		1 1	INDEX	
		2		
	1 JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL	3	Witness: STEPHANIE BURCH	
	2 SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MATTER	4	Examination By Mr. Russell004	
	3		By Mr. Blodgett096	
	4	5	By Mr. Russell162	
	5	6	Page	
	6 INTERVIEW OF STEPHANIE BURCH	7		
	7	8	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
	8 Date Taken: Thursday, June 11, 2020	9		
	9	10		
	10 Time Taken: 10:00 a.m. to 1:50 p.m.		<u>E X H I B I T S</u>	
	11	11	No. 01004 No. 02007	
	12	12	No. 03034 No. 04044	
	13	13	No. 05045	
	14	14	No. 06053 No. 07061	
	15 Examination of the witness taken before:		No. 08063	
	16 Terry T. Hurley, RPR and a Notary Public	15	No. 09067 No. 10069	
	17	16	No. 11070 No. 12077	
	18	17	No. 13078	
	19	18	No. 14080 No. 15081	
	20	-	No. 16083	
	21	19	No. 17086 No. 18087	
	22	20	No. 19088	
	23	21	No. 20090 No. 21091	
	24	22	No. 22093 No. 23SKIPPED	
	25		No. 24097	
		23	No. 25109 No. 26115	
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	24	No. 27158	
		25		
		_	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	
1		2	4	
2	<u>A P P E A R A N C E S</u>	1	STEPHANIE BURCH,	
		2	having been produced, testified as follows:	
3	On Behalf of Special Investigatory Committee	3	EXAMINATION	
		4	BY MR. RUSSELL:	
4	E. LANNY RUSSELL, ESQUIRE KEVIN BLODGETT, ESQUIRE			
5	Smith Hulsey & Busey	5	Q Good morning, Ms. Burch.	
	One Independent Drive, Suite 3300	6	A Good morning.	
6	Jacksonville, Florida 32202	7	<b>Q</b> I'm Lanny Russell, and I intend to ask you	
_		8	questions today in this interview about the events	
7	On Behalf of Stephanie Burch	9	surrounding the sale of potential sale of	
8		10	Jacksonville Electric Authority, JEA.	
-	WARREN W. LINDSEY, ESQUIRE	11	Would you state your full name for the record.	
9	Lindsey & Ferry, P.A.			
	1150 Louisiana Avenue, Suite 2	12	A Stephanie Lynn Burch.	
10	Winter Park, Florida 32789	13	MR. RUSSELL: Let's go ahead and mark	
11		14	(Off the record.)	
••		15	(Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)	
12		16	<b>Q</b> And, Ms. Burch, you're employed by the City of	;
13		17	Jacksonville?	
14				
15 16		18	A Correct.	
16 17		19	<b>Q</b> And what is your position?	
18		20	A Deputy Chief Administrative Officer.	
19		21	<b>Q</b> And how many years have you been employed	bу
20		22	the City of Jacksonville?	
21		23	A Just over four.	
22 23				
23 24		24	Q Prior to being employed by the City of	
25		25	Jacksonville where did you work?	
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	

1	5		7
~	A I was in private practice for a little while	1	negotiator for JEA in connection with the ITN, which
2	before I started with the City. Prior to that I worked	2	is the invitation to negotiate process.
3	for the Florida Department of Transportation for five	3	(Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)
4	years. Prior to that I was in private practice.	4	<b>Q</b> How did you learn about your appointment as a
5	<b>Q</b> Private practice. You mean the practice of	5	negotiator for that process?
6	law?	6	A Brian Hughes brought me in his office. This is
7	A Yes.	7	dated the 22nd. I spoke with him on the 21st, where he
8	Q Okay. And you graduated from law school in	8	asked me if I was willing to participate on a
9	what year?	9	negotiation team.
10	A December 2005.	10	Q You've said Mr. Hughes called you into his
11		11	office.
12	<ul><li>Q Did you talk with anybody other than</li><li>Mr. Lindsey about this interview today?</li></ul>	12	
13		12	Is your physical office in close proximity to
	A The only person was Brian Hughes and my		Mr. Hughes' office?
14	assistant, some other staff in the mayor's office, just	14	A Yes.
15	to let them know that I would be out of the office most	15	Q Are they adjacent to each other?
16	of today.	16	A There is an office in between our two offices,
17	Q Okay. There was no discussion of what you	17	so I would not say adjacent, but they're still very
18	expected to be the substance of this deposition with	18	close.
19	those persons, and no guidance from any of those persons	19	<b>Q</b> Just on average, how often would you visit with
20	about what you should say at today's deposition?	20	Mr physically visit with Mr. Brian(sic) on a in a
21	A No.	21	day of work?
22	<b>Q</b> Interview. Forgive me.	22	A It depends on the day, but multiple times a
23	Did you go actually read anything? Did you go	23	day.
24	back and look at any old documents in connection with	24	<b>Q</b> Frequently would be a fair statement?
25	this interview?	25	A Yes.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	6		8
1			
1	A The only thing was the text messages and the	1	<b>Q</b> Okay. And you also, in addition to personal
2	phone records that we provided.	2	visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other
2	phone records that we provided.	2	visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other
23	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief</li> </ul>	2 3	visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?
2 3 4	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods? A Correct.
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods? A Correct. Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA,
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods? A Correct. Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods? A Correct. Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter.</li> <li>Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter.</li> <li>Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter.</li> <li>Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter.</li> <li>Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter.</li> <li>Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter.</li> <li>Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything. MR. RUSSELL: Good.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> <li>Q 21st. The day before the announcement?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything. MR. RUSSELL: Good.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> <li>Q 21st. The day before the announcement?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Good.</li> <li>Q If you'll look</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> <li>Q 21st. The day before the announcement?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q 21st. On the 21st when you and Mr. Hughes</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Good.</li> <li>Q If you'll look MR. RUSSELL: Hand that document, if you will,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> <li>Q 21st. The day before the announcement?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q 21st. On the 21st when you and Mr. Hughes spoke do you recall anything further you talked about in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Good.</li> <li>Q If you'll look MR. RUSSELL: Hand that document, if you will, to the court reporter, and she will put a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> <li>Q 21st. The day before the announcement?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q 21st. On the 21st when you and Mr. Hughes spoke do you recall anything further you talked about in connection with this appointment?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Good.</li> <li>Q If you'll look</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Hand that document, if you will, to the court reporter, and she will put a professional sticker on it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on</li> <li>November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> <li>Q 21st. The day before the announcement?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q 21st. On the 21st when you and Mr. Hughes spoke do you recall anything further you talked about in connection with this appointment?</li> <li>A The only thing /UPB we he brought me up to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Good.</li> <li>Q If you'll look MR. RUSSELL: Hand that document, if you will, to the court reporter, and she will put a professional sticker on it.</li> <li>And the next document that will become</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> <li>Q 21st. The day before the announcement?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q 21st. On the 21st when you and Mr. Hughes spoke do you recall anything further you talked about in connection with this appointment?</li> <li>A The only thing /UPB we he brought me up to speed on the ethics issues and why he was asking me to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>phone records that we provided.</li> <li>Q Okay. If you'll look at what's in front of you and marked as Exhibit 1, that's a letter dated May 6, 2020. It's to you from Brian Hughes, who is the Chief Administrative Officer. That's his title on the letter. Do you recall receiving this letter?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And it's because of this letter that you've chosen to appear at today's interview?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Anything further you want about that?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: No. Just that she's here not voluntarily, but she's here because she was ordered to be here based upon the assurances that were in the letter about Garrett and everything.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>visits, you communicate by phone and e-mail and other methods?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know who made you available to the JEA, made the decision that you would be made available to the JEA to participate in the ITN process?</li> <li>A What Brian told me is the mayor offered for JEA to be able to use City employees to participate on the negotiation team. So then it was up to Brian to determine who the best people would be.</li> <li>Q I think you said it would have been on November 20, 2019, that you and Brian spoke about this appointment?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Mr. Hughes.</li> <li>A The 21st.</li> <li>Q 21st. The day before the announcement?</li> </ul>

	9		11
1	So he brought me up to speed on Carla Miller's	1	<b>Q</b> After this initial meeting with Mr. Hughes on
2	determination with the prior negotiation teams and how	2	November 21, 2019, did you have any further discussions
3	she had turned over the issue, what she felt was a	3	with him about what was occurring in the ITN process?
4	conflict of interest for the negotiation team. She had	4	<b>A</b> As far as what was occurring in the ITN
5	turned over that issue to the State Ethics Commission,	5	process, nothing outside of scheduling, letting him know
6	who apparently said that they would not be able to meet	6	when I needed to be out of the office, when I would be
7	on it until January.	7	back in the office, my travel schedule for when we went
8	JEA was interested in continuing to move	8	to Atlanta, things like that.
9	forward with the ITN, so they requested to be able to	9	<b>Q</b> Okay. And during the ITN process, outside of
10	use City employees.	10	notice and scheduled meetings, did you have
11	<b>Q</b> Okay. Did you recall having any questions of	11	communications with anybody about the ITN process that
12	Mr. Hughes about what your responsibilities in this	12	you can recall?
13	matter would be?	13	A No.
14	A My only question was timing. I just you	14	<b>Q</b> Other than administrative or scheduling.
15	know, as with any project, I wanted to know what the	15	A Correct. Other than that, no.
16	timeline looked like, because I knew that I would have	16	Q No substantive discussions?
17	to pretty much clear my calendar for all of the meetings	17	A No.
18	that would take place. So I just asked him what the	18	<b>Q</b> All right.
19	timeline would look like.	19	A There was one set of phone calls, which I'm
20	<b>Q</b> Okay. Can you recall what the timeline you	20	sure we'll get to with Kevin, where there was a set
21	were was explained to you as to what the timeline	21	of phone calls one evening where Aaron Zahn had called
22	would look like?	22	to ask about some attendees in some of the meetings, and
23	A Yes. He said that if we could we, the	23	I reached out to Brian about that.
24	negotiation team, could get something to City Council	24	So outside of that, no, no other discussions.
25	sometime in February, that's what he thought was	25	It's on November 25th.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	10		12
1	feasible.	1	(Discussion off the record.)
2	<b>Q</b> And at that point in time was the process	2	<b>Q</b> Did you, in the ITN process, meet separately
3	discussed in terms of their would be meetings with	3	with the other two negotiators?
4	bidders and meetings with the negotiation team, further	4	A Outside of scheduling, no, because I had to
5	meetings with bidders, review of documents and reports	5	discuss with them the travel plans for Atlanta, and
6	from analysts? Were those kind of details discussed?	6	whatnot. But outside of that, no.
7	A No.	7	<b>Q</b> So you and let's make sure we know who they
8	<b>Q</b> Eventually did you ever see for this process,	8	are so the record is clear. They were Randall Barnes,
9	which basically for you started on November 21st and	9	and they were Robin Smith, and they were you, Stephanie
10	ended sometime shortly before Christmas in December, did	10	Burch, were pointed as the negotiators. And you three
11	you ever see anything that looked like a schedule of the	11	never a noticed meeting, just at the meeting or
12	events that would occur?	12	negotiation session did you three ever meet together and
13	A So the only thing where a schedule may have	13	talk about what you were going to decide?
14	been included was, from what I can remember, I sat down	14	A No. No, not outside of a meeting.
15	with Melissa Dykes at some point where she walked me	15	<b>Q</b> When you left your meeting with Brian Hughes on
16	through a document called the CIP. It's C-I-P.	16	11/22/2019 did you have the understanding that it was
17	I could not tell you what it stands for. I	17	the responsibility of you three negotiators who I
18	don't remember the acronym.	18	just identified to make a decision of what bids would
19	<b>Q</b> What was the acronym again?	19	be recommended to the JEA board's consideration?
20	<b>A</b> CIP. She called it the CIP. It's the C-I-P.	20	<b>A</b> No. And to clarify the record, the meeting was
21	And I believe there was a schedule there potentially	21	on the 21st. I believe you just said the 22nd.
22	was a schedule in there that we looked at.	22	<b>Q</b> Oh, I did that. I know it was the 21st.
23	<b>Q</b> Okay. Were any specific bidders discussed at	23	Sorry.
24	your meeting with Mr. Hughes?	24	The meeting on the 21st. Did you leave that
25	A No.	25	meeting with an understanding that it was your you Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	13		15
1	three, you, Robin Smith, and Randall Barnes, it was your	1	clear, you know, with the values that were submitted.
2	responsibility and duty for you to make the decision as	2	There were certainly two to three that were, you know,
3	to what bids would be submitted to the JEA board?	3	at the top, if you will. There were a couple in the
4	A Yes. Our instruction was to find the best	4	middle, and then there were a couple at the bottom,
5	value for the City of Jacksonville.	5	which is to be expected with a procurement like that.
6	<b>Q</b> In the ITN process did you separately meet with	6	<b>Q</b> Okay. And do you recall who the two or three
7	what I've heard referred to as SME's?	7	were that were at the top in your evaluation at that
8	A SME's.	8	time?
9	<b>Q</b> SME's. Okay.	9	A I want to say Duke Energy was pretty close top,
10	A Yes.	10	NextEra. I think Emera was pretty close to the top.
11	<b>Q</b> Did you separately meet with those persons?	11	<b>Q</b> Do you recall expressing an opinion that
12	A Yes.	12	NextEra was actually the highest and best bid that had
13	<b>Q</b> Do you recall specifically any of those	13	been received?
14	meetings?	14	A Sure, because let me clarify.
15	A Well, one specifically was with Melissa Dykes,	15	<b>Q</b> Sure.
16	which I had already referred to, where she walked me	16	A I don't know about highest and best, because
17	through the CIP. We talked about the Plant Vogtle	17	there was still a lot of negotiation to take place, but
18	agreement. I also had two to three separate meetings	18	they certainly had the highest bid.
19	with Aaron Zahn as a SME, and there may there may	19	<b>Q</b> And do you recall who was the second?
20	have been others. I may have had a couple meetings with	20	A I believe it was Duke.
21	Lynne Rhode as a SME. But outside of that, really	21	<b>Q</b> Duke. I'll wait until get to those.
22	everything else was, you know, with a strategy session	22	In connection with your role as a negotiator in
23	or a negotiation session with the team.	23	this process, what instructions were you given about how
24	<b>Q</b> Okay. SME means subject matter expert?	24	to perform that role?
25	A Correct.	25	<b>A</b> I would say there were instructions from time
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	14		16
1	<b>Q</b> And each of the subject matter experts you just	1	to time from the procurement folks about we need to
2	identified were members of the senior management team of	2	discuss this today at the strategy session, whether it
0	1540		
3	JEA?	3	was schedule, it was, you know, how to how to conduct
4	A Correct.	4	different meetings with the proposers.
4 5	<ul><li>A Correct.</li><li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li></ul>	4 5	different meetings with the proposers. But typically it was something where they came
4 5 6	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is</li> </ul>	4 5 6	different meetings with the proposers. But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain
4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is</li> <li>what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7	different meetings with the proposers. But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is</li> <li>what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8	different meetings with the proposers. But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is</li> <li>what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	different meetings with the proposers. But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.
4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is</li> <li>what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is</li> <li>what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all of those conversations really took place in a strategy</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those criteria were to be evaluated? I know it had a number</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all of those conversations really took place in a strategy session where the advisors walked us through.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those criteria were to be evaluated? I know it had a number of items, like how it dealt with Plant Vogtle or</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all of those conversations really took place in a strategy session where the advisors walked us through. It was really written up like an executive</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those criteria were to be evaluated? I know it had a number of items, like how it dealt with Plant Vogtle or community benefit.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all of those conversations really took place in a strategy session where the advisors walked us through. It was really written up like an executive summary of the different revised replies that had come</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those criteria were to be evaluated? I know it had a number of items, like how it dealt with Plant Vogtle or community benefit.</li> <li>Were you told how those criteria were to be</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all of those conversations really took place in a strategy session where the advisors walked us through.</li> <li>It was really written up like an executive summary of the different revised replies that had come in. I think it was early December when those came in.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those criteria were to be evaluated? I know it had a number of items, like how it dealt with Plant Vogtle or community benefit.</li> <li>Were you told how those criteria were to be used in developing a ranking in the ITN?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all of those conversations really took place in a strategy session where the advisors walked us through. It was really written up like an executive summary of the different revised replies that had come in. I think it was early December when those came in.</li> <li>Q And in the strategy session that occurred in</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those criteria were to be evaluated? I know it had a number of items, like how it dealt with Plant Vogtle or community benefit.</li> <li>Were you told how those criteria were to be used in developing a ranking in the ITN?</li> <li>A No. I don't know that we ever got to that</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa</li> <li>Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all of those conversations really took place in a strategy session where the advisors walked us through.</li> <li>It was really written up like an executive summary of the different revised replies that had come in. I think it was early December when those came in.</li> <li>Q And in the strategy session that occurred in early December, did you express any opinion that you had</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those criteria were to be evaluated? I know it had a number of items, like how it dealt with Plant Vogtle or community benefit.</li> <li>Were you told how those criteria were to be used in developing a ranking in the ITN?</li> <li>A No. I don't know that we ever got to that point, to be honest, because, you know, we received</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q And you mentioned your meeting with Melissa Dykes and said that she explained the process to you, is what I understood her to talk to you about.</li> <li>A The process?</li> <li>Q Of what was going to happen in the ITN.</li> <li>A We we probably did a little bit, but</li> <li>never I don't know that we really got in-depth with anything on the process.</li> <li>Q Did you and Ms. Dykes discuss your evaluation of any of the bids that had been submitted?</li> <li>A Not that I can recall specifically, because all of those conversations really took place in a strategy session where the advisors walked us through.</li> <li>It was really written up like an executive summary of the different revised replies that had come in. I think it was early December when those came in.</li> <li>Q And in the strategy session that occurred in early December, did you express any opinion that you had then developed of the quality of any particular bids</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>different meetings with the proposers.</li> <li>But typically it was something where they came to me and said, hey, we've got questions about a certain topic. We need the negotiation team to discuss it. And so I would bring that up in the strategy session to discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay. And more specifically what I was asking for, were you given criteria by which the bids should be evaluated?</li> <li>A Outside what was in the ITN, no, because there was specific criteria in the ITN.</li> <li>Q And in the ITN did it specify how those criteria were to be evaluated? I know it had a number of items, like how it dealt with Plant Vogtle or community benefit.</li> <li>Mere you told how those criteria were to be used in developing a ranking in the ITN?</li> <li>A No. I don't know that we ever got to that point, to be honest, because, you know, we received revised replies early in December, and then there was</li> </ul>

	17		19
1	<b>Q</b> You just answered the exact question I had	1	<b>Q</b> The CPI CIP I get initials backwards
2	written down next. Thank you. That doesn't happen very	2	sometimes tell us what that was.
3	often.	3	A It was like I said, I don't remember what
4	So at the time and I asked that question	4	the acronym stands for, but it was a document that just
5	too.	5	kind of laid out from what I remember, it was really
6	Did you have an understanding of how many bids	6	about the business operations of JEA.
7	the negotiators would recommend to the JEA board for	7	Because I had this conversation with Melissa
8	consideration?	8	early on where she was meeting to explain a lot of
9	<b>A</b> My understanding from my experience was that	9	things to me, you know, as far as how the utility
10	there would be one. I don't know how we could do it any	10	operates, the difference between the electric side, the
11	other way. So that was my understanding.	11	water and sewer side, and all that kind of stuff.
12	<b>Q</b> Was there any discussion amongst the	12	It was more of a kind of in-the-weeds
13	negotiators, the SME's, or the consultants for the	13	conversation about business operations.
14	process, about how many bids should be submitted?	14	<b>Q</b> The copy of the CIP that you obtained, do you
15	<b>A</b> So, yes, we did have a discussion in a strategy	15	recall how you obtained it?
16	session. It was after the mayor had commented in the	16	<b>A</b> It was me looking at it on Melissa's tablet.
17	press about how he wanted several bidders to be proposed	17	Q Okay. And was Melissa's tablet being used to
18	to the JEA board.	18	look at a database that was referred to, I think, as the
19	So we discussed that story, I guess you could	19	data room where different materials had been
20	call it. We discussed the story in a strategy session,	20	electronically stored for the access of people on the
21	and talked quite a bit about how we would be able to do	21	negotiation team?
22	that, because it was I felt it would be pretty	22	<b>A</b> I don't know where Melissa accessed it from.
23	difficult to try to choose, you know, two or three to	23	All I know is it was on her tablet, and I that's
23 24	try to provide to the board.	24	where I looked at it.
25	<b>Q</b> So make sure I understand. After the mayor's	25	<b>Q</b> Okay. So you read it on her tablet. You never
25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	18		20
1	letter, if I can remember the date, mid December, late	1	took a copy of it?
2	December	2	<b>A</b> I never took a copy of it.
2	<b>A</b> I think it was the 12th.	3	Q And I think this occurred consistently
J 1		4	-
5	55 1	5	throughout the ITN process, that if there was an event,
5	bidders be given to the JEA board for consideration, the	6	if it was a bidder session, if you had been passed out
6	group talked about complying with that request?	7	materials, those materials were taken back from you at the end of the session?
7	A Talked about whether we could comply with the		
8 9	request, yes.	8 9	A Correct.
	<b>Q</b> And was a decision made of whether you could		<b>Q</b> And if you had taken notes, those notes were
10	comply, or was it open still?	10	taken back from you at the end of the session?
11	A I don't remember whether we made a decision. I	11	A Correct.
12	think it was still open, because there still was a lot	12	<b>Q</b> And do you know what's happened to those
13	of work to do in the process. So I don't know that we	13	materials that were taken back, and your notes?
14	ever came to a conclusion.	14	A No.
15	Q Okay. Going back to your meeting with Mr.	15	<b>Q</b> And the same thing would be true if it was a
16	Hughes about the time of your appointment on November	16	strategy session amongst the negotiators, if you
17	21st, did Mr. Hughes tell you that the State Ethics	17	received a document, that document would be taken back
18	Commission had not yet issued an opinion on the	18	from you at the end of the session?
19	objection that Carla Miller had raised concerning the	19	A Yes.
20	ethics of JEA employees participating in the process,	20	<b>Q</b> And if you happened to take notes during those
21	the INT ITN?	21	session, negotiator strategy sessions, those notes would
22	<b>A</b> ITN. Mr. Hughes just stated that the Ethics	22	be taken back from you?
23	Commission had told someone, I don't know who, but that	23	A Yes.
24	the Ethics Commission had stated they would not be able	24	<b>Q</b> Do you know why that was?
25	to meet on it until sometime in January.	25	<b>A</b> It's a pretty typical practice in a procurement
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	21		23
1	like that.	1	G-i-a-r-d-i-n-e-l-l-i.
2	<b>Q</b> That information would that information have	2	
		3	<ul> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>C So however that's propounded do you recall</li> </ul>
3	been useful to you when it came time to make a decision		<b>Q</b> So however that's pronounced, do you recall
4	about bids, particularly your own notes?	4 5	what organization he was with?
5	<ul> <li>A Potentially.</li> <li>2 Decomposition and Lthick the data is</li> </ul>	_	A He was either with J.P. Morgan or Morgan
6	Q Do you recall and I think the date is	6	Stanley. I'm not sure which firm.
7	December 4, 2019 that calls were made to the bidders	7	<b>Q</b> And those were the two investment banks that
8	about the bids that they had submitted?	8	worked with the negotiation team?
9	A Yes.	9	A Correct.
10	<b>Q</b> Okay. And tell me about the process of those	10	Q Okay. And in connection with this call did you
11	calls to the bidders. How did that occur?	11	tell the bidders that the goal was the actual
12	A We were attempting to figure out the schedule	12	consummation of a transaction in connection with JEA by
13	for the management presentations in Atlanta. We were	13	the end of January of 2020?
14	trying to figure out a way where we could weed out some	14	A I believe we did.
15	of the bidders who, you know, maybe were kind of	15	<b>Q</b> And that's something you you believe you
16	questioning whether they really needed to travel for the	16	said that to the bidders?
17	meetings, because we knew that people would be coming	17	A Uh-huh.
18	from all over the world for the meetings, and they bring	18	<b>Q</b> Do you recall what NextEra's response to that
19	big teams of people with them.	19	date was when you made that statement to the NextEra
20	So we knew, you know, it's a lot of dollars for	20	people on the phone?
21	them to make all the travel arrangements, so we were	21	A Not specifically on that day, because we we
22	trying to figure out whether there was a way we could	22	talked about it a couple of times with the bidders. So
23	weed proposers out, or if they would weed themselves out	23	I don't remember specifically on that day what their
24	of the process. So we had several calls with the	24	response was.
25	proposers that week.	25	<b>Q</b> Okay. But over the number of times that you
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	22		24
1	<b>Q</b> At that time I believe there were still eight	1	talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall
2	<b>Q</b> At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the	2	talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that
2 3	<b>Q</b> At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?	2 3	talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the</li> <li>process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the</li> <li>process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only</li> <li>eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the</li> <li>process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only</li> <li>eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the</li> <li>process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only</li> <li>eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range.</li> <li>And in these calls that were made to the eight</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the</li> <li>process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only</li> <li>eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range.</li> <li>And in these calls that were made to the eight</li> <li>or nine biders, was the entire negotiation team present</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight</li> <li>or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight</li> <li>or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only</li> <li>eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight</li> <li>or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designate to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> <li>were only bidding on the water side they would be able</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight</li> <li>bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range.</li> <li>And in these calls that were made to the eight</li> <li>or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> <li>were only bidding on the water side they would be able</li> <li>to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020 transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designate to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> <li>were only bidding on the water side they would be able</li> <li>to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat</li> <li>favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folk?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> <li>were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folk?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know I I remember specifically Emera said that if they were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule, with the holidays in between there they weren't sure</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folk?</li> <li>A John McCarthy and Jenny McCollum.</li> <li>Q Besides you I'm going to massacre his</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that</li> <li>timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it</li> <li>was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> <li>were only bidding on the water side they would be able</li> <li>to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat</li> <li>favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other</li> <li>comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule,</li> <li>with the holidays in between there they weren't sure</li> <li>they could make it happen.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range.</li> <li>And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folk?</li> <li>A John McCarthy and Jenny McCollum.</li> <li>Q Besides you I'm going to massacre his name was there a gentleman named Giardinelli also</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> <li>were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other</li> <li>comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule, with the holidays in between there they weren't sure they could make it happen.</li> <li>Q Okay. And in connection with the call to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folks</li> <li>A John McCarthy and Jenny McCollum.</li> <li>Q Besides you I'm going to massacre his name was there a gentleman named Giardinelli also appointed to speak on the phone?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives</li> <li>what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> <li>were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other</li> <li>comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule, with the holidays in between there they weren't sure they could make it happen.</li> <li>Q Okay. And in connection with the call to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. <ul> <li>And in these calls that were made to the eight</li> </ul> </li> <li>or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folk?</li> <li>A John McCarthy and Jenny McCollum.</li> <li>Q Besides you I'm going to massare his name was there a gentleman named Giardinelli also appointed to speak on the phone?</li> <li>A He was one of the advisors, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule, with the holidays in between there they weren't sure they could make it happen.</li> <li>Q Okay. And in connection with the call to the NextEra representatives by you and Mr. Giardinelli, do you recall making any comments to the NextEra</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folk?</li> <li>A John McCarthy and Jenny McCollum.</li> <li>Q Besides you I'm going to massacre his name was there a gentleman named Giardinelli also appointed to speak on the phone?</li> <li>A He was one of the advisors, yes.</li> <li>Q Was he one?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall</li> <li>NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight</li> <li>bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020</li> <li>transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know</li> <li>I I remember specifically Emera said that if they</li> <li>were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other</li> <li>comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule, with the holidays in between there they weren't sure they could make it happen.</li> <li>Q Okay. And in connection with the call to the</li> <li>NextEra representatives by you and Mr. Giardinelli, do you recall making any comments to the NextEra</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folk?</li> <li>A John McCarthy and Jenny McCollum.</li> <li>Q Besides you I'm going to massacre his name was there a gentleman named Giardinelli also appointed to speak on the phone?</li> <li>A He was one of the advisors, yes.</li> <li>Q Was he one?</li> <li>A I don't know if Giardinelli was his it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020 transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know I I remember specifically Emera said that if they were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule, with the holidays in between there they weren't sure they could make it happen.</li> <li>Q Okay. And in connection with the call to the NextEra representatives by you and Mr. Giardinelli, do you recall making any comments to the NextEra representatives about the status or the relative quality of their bids in terms of comparison to other bidders?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folks.</li> <li>A John McCarthy and Jenny McCollum.</li> <li>Q Besides you I'm going to massacre his name was there a gentleman named Giardinelli also appointed to speak on the phone?</li> <li>A He was one of the advisors, yes.</li> <li>Q Was he one?</li> <li>A I don't know if Giardinelli was his it started with a G, but anyway.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020 transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know I I remember specifically Emera said that if they were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule, with the holidays in between there they weren't sure they could make it happen.</li> <li>Q Okay. And in connection with the call to the NextEra representatives by you and Mr. Giardinelli, do you recall making any comments to the NextEra representatives due the status or the relative quality of their bids in terms of comparison to other bidders?</li> <li>A Sure. The comments for all of the bidders were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q At that time I believe there were still eight bidders that were perceived to be participating in the process?</li> <li>A I remember nine, but maybe there were only eight. I don't I dont' remember.</li> <li>Q Something in that range. And in these calls that were made to the eight or nine bidders, was the entire negotiation team present for those calls?</li> <li>A As far as I remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. And were there two people were you designated to be one of the speakers on the phone?</li> <li>A Yes, because the procurement folks had asked us at one point to nominate a lead negotiator.</li> <li>Q And who are you talking about when you refer to as the procurement folk?</li> <li>A John McCarthy and Jenny McCollum.</li> <li>Q Besides you I'm going to massacre his name was there a gentleman named Giardinelli also appointed to speak on the phone?</li> <li>A He was one of the advisors, yes.</li> <li>Q Was he one?</li> <li>A I don't know if Giardinelli was his it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>talked with NextEra about that date, do you recall NextEra ever expressing any concern with meeting that timetable?</li> <li>A Outside of them, you know, discussing that it was aggressive, but they felt they could meet it.</li> <li>Q Okay. Now, as to the other seven or eight bidders, in discussing with those bidder representatives what was their reaction to the January 30, 2020 transaction deadline?</li> <li>A From what I remember, there were I I know I I remember specifically Emera said that if they were only bidding on the water side they would be able to meet that date as well. I believe Duke was somewhat favorable to meeting that date too.</li> <li>Outside of that, I think most of the other comments were that it was too aggressive of a schedule, with the holidays in between there they weren't sure they could make it happen.</li> <li>Q Okay. And in connection with the call to the NextEra representatives by you and Mr. Giardinelli, do you recall making any comments to the NextEra representatives about the status or the relative quality of their bids in terms of comparison to other bidders?</li> </ul>

	25		
	25		27
1	bottom.	1	in Todd's comments?
2	Again, it was an attempt to weed out some of	2	Q Yes, I'm sorry. Todd's comments.
3	the bidders for the for the process, for the	3	A Okay.
4	management presentations.	4	Q Do you recall Mr. Giardinelli making a
5	Q So do you recall then what your comment was to	5	statement like that to the Emera representatives who
6	NextEra about its bid?	6	were on the phone?
7	A Not specifically.	7	A I don't recall specifically to Emera, but there
8	Q Well, it would have been right next to the top.	8	were several I believe that he said that too, so it's
9	A Do you want do you want do you want me to		possible.
10	read what is on the exhibit?	10	<b>Q</b> Okay. In the discussions with these bidders
11	<b>Q</b> Right. I didn't know these are parts of	11	the term some similar term was used by both you and
12	transcripts.	12	Mr. Giardinelli about best value to the City, what your
13	<b>A</b> I'm guessing it's a transcript.	13	bid would need to achieve in order to be the successful
14	<b>Q</b> Yes.	14	bid.
15	A Yes.	15	What did you mean by that?
16	<b>Q</b> And we have transcripts from this December 4th	16	A Whatever ended up being the best value to the
17	group of calls, and it went into a lot of other stuff,	17	City. It could be the amount of gross proceeds, it
18	and I took out those things that I thought were	18	could be the fact that the City was still going to
19	relevant.	19	retain the assets. It just depended on where we got at
20	For some reason, on the meeting that occurred	20	the end of the process.
21	prior to the December 4th meeting, on December 3rd, the	21	<b>Q</b> Okay. But at the point you got to in the
22	negotiation strategy session in preparation for these	22	process, that is until it's determination, were you ever
23	calls we can't find the transcript.	23	given any instructions on how you were to take those
24	Do you have any idea why that	24	criterias and make that evaluation of what would be the
25	A No.	25	best bid?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	26		28
1			
-	Q Where we are?	1	A Not that I remember.
2	<ul><li>Q Where we are?</li><li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li></ul>	1	<ul><li>A Not that I remember.</li><li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and</li></ul>
2	A No. Did we no, I don't know why.	2	<b>Q</b> And I think this consistently happens, and
23	<ul><li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li><li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in</li></ul>	2 3	<b>Q</b> And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<b>Q</b> And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in</li> <li>connection with the statements, and I've marked on the</li> <li>transcript there, were your comments to the other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in</li> <li>connection with the statements, and I've marked on the</li> <li>transcript there, were your comments to the other</li> <li>bidders in the nature of you will need to find more</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in</li> <li>connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other</li> <li>bidders in the nature of you will need to find more</li> <li>value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them. MS. BURCH: Before I answer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them. MS. BURCH: Before I answer? MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in</li> <li>connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them. MS. BURCH: Before I answer? MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute. MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them. MS. BURCH: Before I answer? MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute. MS. BURCH: Which one are we on? MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things. MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them. MS. BURCH: Before I answer? MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute. MS. BURCH: Which one are we on? MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things. MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing. MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them. MS. BURCH: Before I answer? MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute. MS. BURCH: Which one are we on? MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things. MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing. MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth. MS. BURCH: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: And, Ms. Burch, at any time if</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> <li>Q For example, in regards to the Emera, Inc.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them. MS. BURCH: Before I answer? MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute. MS. BURCH: Which one are we on? MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things. MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing. MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth. MS. BURCH: Thank you. MR. RUSSELL: And, Ms. Burch, at any time if I've handed you a document, if you want to take the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> <li>Q For example, in regards to the Emera, Inc. bid it's on about page 5, Ms. Burch the transcript</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: And, Ms. Burch, at any time if I've handed you a document, if you want to take the time to read throughout the document, that's fine.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> <li>Q For example, in regards to the Emera, Inc. bid it's on about page 5, Ms. Burch the transcript says what you told the Emera representatives about their</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: And, Ms. Burch, at any time if I've handed you a document, if you want to take the time to read throughout the document, that's fine.</li> <li>It's just that I had very specific questions,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> <li>Q For example, in regards to the Emera, Inc. bid it's on about page 5, Ms. Burch the transcript says what you told the Emera representatives about their bid was it's in the third from the bottom line, I've</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them. MS. BURCH: Before I answer? MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute. MS. BURCH: Which one are we on? MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things. MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing. MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth. MS. BURCH: Thank you. MR. RUSSELL: And, Ms. Burch, at any time if I've handed you a document, if you want to take the time to read throughout the document, that's fine. It's just that I had very specific questions, Warren, about some of the documents.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> <li>Q For example, in regards to the Emera, Inc. bid it's on about page 5, Ms. Burch the transcript says what you told the Emera representatives about their bid was it's in the third from the bottom line, I've taken a portion of the phrase you are at the level</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: And, Ms. Burch, at any time if I've handed you a document, if you want to take the time to read throughout the document, that's fine.</li> <li>It's just that I had very specific questions, Warren, about some of the documents.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Understood.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> <li>Q For example, in regards to the Emera, Inc. bid it's on about page 5, Ms. Burch the transcript says what you told the Emera representatives about their bid was it's in the third from the bottom line, I've taken a portion of the phrase you are at the level where an increase in value with an increase in value</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: And, Ms. Burch, at any time if I've handed you a document, if you want to take the time to read throughout the document, that's fine.</li> <li>It's just that I had very specific questions,</li> <li>Warren, about some of the documents.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Understood.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: But this one's gotten to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> <li>Q For example, in regards to the Emera, Inc. bid it's on about page 5, Ms. Burch the transcript says what you told the Emera representatives about their bid was it's in the third from the bottom line, I've taken a portion of the phrase you are at the level where an increase in value with an increase in value you could be successful.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: And, MS. Burch, at any time if I've handed you a document, if you want to take the time to read throughout the document, that's fine.</li> <li>It's just that I had very specific questions, Warren, about some of the documents.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Understood.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: But this one's gotten to the point I think you're right that she better read it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A No. Did we no, I don't know why.</li> <li>Q All right. As to the other bidders in connection with the statements, and I've marked on the transcript there, were your comments to the other bidders in the nature of you will need to find more value, you're going to need to get your bid up higher to be in competition. You'll have to change your bid in a way to make it more proceeds proceeds are benefits to the City?</li> <li>A I don't know if it was stated exactly like that, but we were trying to show certain bidders without, you know, giving a specific Delta for them, that if they wanted to stay in the process they certainly to provide the best value for the citizens of Jacksonville, they would need to revise their bid.</li> <li>Q For example, in regards to the Emera, Inc. bid it's on about page 5, Ms. Burch the transcript says what you told the Emera representatives about their bid was it's in the third from the bottom line, I've taken a portion of the phrase you are at the level where an increase in value with an increase in value you could be successful.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q And I think this consistently happens, and you're welcome to look at the transcript excerpts if you want</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Why don't you just take a second to read them.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Before I answer?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, just take a minute.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Which one are we on?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Read the whole things.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Oh, all right. You want me to read the whole thing.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way you don't have to go back and forth.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: And, Ms. Burch, at any time if I've handed you a document, if you want to take the time to read throughout the document, that's fine.</li> <li>It's just that I had very specific questions,</li> <li>Warren, about some of the documents.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Understood.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: But this one's gotten to the point I think you're right that she better read it.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: That way she doesn't go back and</li> </ul>

	29		31
1	MS. BURCH: It looks like I'm saying pretty	1	experts you had spoken to.
2	much the same thing in all of them too. Okay.	2	Did you ever speak with the investment bankers,
3	<b>Q</b> If you will look at page 7. This is a	3	Morgan Stanley or J.P. Morgan, their representatives,
4	telephone call that you and Mr. Giardinelli were making	4	outside of a noticed session of bidders or negotiators?
5	to JEA Public Power Partners.	5	A Potentially, but it would have only been me and
6	A Uh-huh.	6	a JEA representative. We we never I mean, I never
7	<b>Q</b> And do you see in the transcripts of the	7	had any outside of a recorded strategy session, I
8	statement of what Mr. Giardinelli said it's at	8	never had meetings with any of the other negotiators
9	page 11 of his transcript from this call	9	with them.
10	Mr. Giardinelli told JEA Public Power Partners that:	10	<b>Q</b> So anytime you talked to an investment banker
11	But we want to let you know from a value perspective	11	it was in a recorded strategy session?
12	your bid from both the upfront proceeds as well as the	12	A From what I remember, that's correct.
13	ongoing proceeds to the City, when we take them in	13	<b>Q</b> And you understood from the confidentiality
14	totality, is substantially below the top bid.	14	agreement and what you had been told that was the proper
15	Is that consistent with the statements that	15	place for those discussions to occur?
16	Mr. Giardinelli made to a number of the bidders?	16	A Correct.
17	A Well, reading through the transcripts it	17	<b>Q</b> The other consultants for JEA in this matter
18	doesn't appear to be.	18	were two law firms. One was Foley & Lardner.
19	<b>Q</b> It's not the exact statement, but he told other	19	Do you recall that?
20	bidders about the quality of their bid and what would	20	A Yes.
21	need to be done to make their bid improve.	21	<b>Q</b> And the other was the Pillsbury firm.
22	A Uh-huh. Yes.	22	A Yes.
23	<b>Q</b> And when those bidders were told that, did many	23	<b>Q</b> You recall those lawyers being consultants.
24	of the bidders, like JEA Public Power, ask of	24	As to the lawyers, did you ever talk with them
25	Mr. Giardinelli to tell them how much they were off the	25	outside of a noticed strategy session, that you recall?
-	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	30		32
1	mark, how much they would need to raise their bid to	1	A Yes. I believe I had there would have been
2	<ul><li>become competitive?</li><li>A I see that here for JEA PPP, and I saw it on</li></ul>	2	more conversations Foley & Lardner, because they were
4	<b>A</b> I see that here for JEA PPP, and I saw it on another one as well, Emera. So I would say at least two	4	the they were advising on the procurement process. So it's very possible that I had conversations
5	of them asked about that.	_	So it s very possible that i had conversations
		5	with them about the process outside of a strategy
b		5	with them about the process outside of a strategy
6	Because these are just pieces of conversations,	6	session.
7	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember	6 7	session. <b>Q</b> Okay. And what lawyers at Foley & Lardner did
	Because these are just pieces of conversations,	6	session.
7 8	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it.	6 7 8	session. Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley & Lardner did you speak to, if you recall? A This is terrible. One of them, his name was
7 8 9	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that	6 7 8 9	session. <b>Q</b> Okay. And what lawyers at Foley & Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?
7 8 9 10	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the	6 7 8 9 10	session. Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley & Lardner did you speak to, if you recall? A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, I I don't
7 8 9 10 11	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM	6 7 8 9 10 11	session. Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley & Lardner did you speak to, if you recall? A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, I I don't remember his name, unfortunately.
7 8 9 10 11 12	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, I I don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, I I don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, 1 1 don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls. Did those calls actually occur?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, 1 1 don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well,</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls. Did those calls actually occur? <b>A</b> I don't remember specifically. I don't remember if we actually had calls with them. <b>Q</b> In connection with these discussions, Ms.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, I I don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well, yes, they would. They may have been.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls. Did those calls actually occur? <b>A</b> I don't remember specifically. I don't remember if we actually had calls with them. <b>Q</b> In connection with these discussions, Ms. Burch, were you given a transcript for you to use in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, 1 1 don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well,</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls. Did those calls actually occur? <b>A</b> I don't remember specifically. I don't remember if we actually had calls with them. <b>Q</b> In connection with these discussions, Ms. Burch, were you given a transcript for you to use in talking to the bidders?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, 1 1 don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well, yes, they would. They may have been.</li> <li>Do you know if you put those telephone calls with those lawyers on your phone log?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls. Did those calls actually occur? <b>A</b> I don't remember specifically. I don't remember if we actually had calls with them. <b>Q</b> In connection with these discussions, Ms. Burch, were you given a transcript for you to use in talking to the bidders? <b>A</b> No, I don't believe I was.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, I I don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well, yes, they would. They may have been.</li> <li>Do you know if you put those telephone calls with those lawyers on your phone log?</li> <li>A The logs that I gave you were from my personal</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls. Did those calls actually occur? <b>A</b> I don't remember specifically. I don't remember if we actually had calls with them. <b>Q</b> In connection with these discussions, Ms. Burch, were you given a transcript for you to use in talking to the bidders? <b>A</b> No, I don't believe I was. <b>Q</b> And I had asked earlier about who else you may	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, 1 1 don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well, yes, they would. They may have been.</li> <li>Do you know if you put those telephone calls with those lawyers on your phone log?</li> <li>A The logs that I gave you were from my personal cell phone. So I never made calls to them on my</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it.</li> <li>Q Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls.</li> <li>Did those calls actually occur?</li> <li>A I don't remember specifically. I don't remember if we actually had calls with them.</li> <li>Q In connection with these discussions, Ms.</li> <li>Burch, were you given a transcript for you to use in talking to the bidders?</li> <li>A No, I don't believe I was.</li> <li>Q And I had asked earlier about who else you may have spoken to about the ITN process outside of one of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, 1 1 don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well, yes, they would. They may have been.</li> <li>Do you know if you put those telephone calls with those lawyers on your phone log?</li> <li>A The logs that I gave you were from my personal cell phone. If I had, it would have been</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it. <b>Q</b> Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls. Did those calls actually occur? <b>A</b> I don't remember specifically. I don't remember if we actually had calls with them. <b>Q</b> In connection with these discussions, Ms. Burch, were you given a transcript for you to use in talking to the bidders? <b>A</b> No, I don't believe I was. <b>Q</b> And I had asked earlier about who else you may have spoken to about the ITN process outside of one of the noticed bidder sessions or strategy sessions, and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, I I don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well, yes, they would. They may have been.</li> <li>Do you know if you put those telephone calls with those lawyers on your phone log?</li> <li>A The logs that I gave you were from my personal cell phone. If I had, it would have been included.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Because these are just pieces of conversations, I don't know what the rest of them I don't remember what the rest of them said specifically about it.</li> <li>Q Oh. There are two calls that were made that day, one to American Public Infrastructure, LLC, and the other one was to I was intended to be made to IFM Investors Pty Ltd. And for the documents that have been given to us we were told that there are no transcripts of those calls.</li> <li>Did those calls actually occur?</li> <li>A I don't remember specifically. I don't remember if we actually had calls with them.</li> <li>Q In connection with these discussions, Ms.</li> <li>Burch, were you given a transcript for you to use in talking to the bidders?</li> <li>A No, I don't believe I was.</li> <li>Q And I had asked earlier about who else you may have spoken to about the ITN process outside of one of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>session.</li> <li>Q Okay. And what lawyers at Foley &amp; Lardner did you speak to, if you recall?</li> <li>A This is terrible. One of them, his name was Ben. And but the lead attorney, 1 1 don't remember his name, unfortunately.</li> <li>Q Okay. Were those in-person conversations?</li> <li>A Sometimes.</li> <li>Q Were some of them also telephone conversations?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And the telephone logs that you've produced for us, are those and they would not have been well, yes, they would. They may have been.</li> <li>Do you know if you put those telephone calls with those lawyers on your phone log?</li> <li>A The logs that I gave you were from my personal cell phone. If I had, it would have been</li> </ul>

	33		35
1	A It was always with a JEA representative there,	1	A March
2	so it was from a JEA phone.	2	<b>Q</b> item, does it have a date?
3	<b>Q</b> Okay. And was it do you recall what JEA	3	A March something. Looks like
4	representative was with you when you made those calls?	4	<b>Q</b> March 2020?
5	A I know Lynne Rhode would have been present.	5	A I can't tell for sure. All I can tell is it
6	It's possible that John or Jenny may have been present	6	fits under March.
7	for those phone calls as well.	7	<b>Q</b> Well, if we're in December '19, the next March
8	<b>Q</b> And were you making those phone calls from that	8	that would occur after December '19 would be March 2020?
9	JEA employee's cell phone?	9	A I would stipulate to that.
10	A No, they were from	10	<b>Q</b> Okay. Thank you. I didn't know a better way
11	Q Land line?	11	to get there. I apologize. And I'm sorry for the long
12	A Yeah, JEA land line.	12	way of getting around to this, but you, in your
13	<b>Q</b> That's fine. In terms of the procurement	13	statements to the bidders, told them that the
14	process that you talked about, do you remember	14	transaction deadline was January 30, 2020, not March 30,
15	specifically what it was you were asking for guidance	15	2020.
16	on?	16	A Uh-huh.
17	A I don't remember specifically. There was	17	<b>Q</b> Did you understand you had the authority to
18	always questions about whether we could or couldn't, you	18	change that date?
19	know, talk about something, or do something, in a	19	A Yes.
20	strategy session or outside of a strategy session.	20	<b>Q</b> Why did you have that understanding?
21	There were constant conversations with them about what	21	A I was the lead negotiator.
22	we could or couldn't do.	22	<b>Q</b> Okay. And despite the fact that this date had
23	Q The next document	23	been established by the senior leadership team of JEA,
24	MS. BURCH: Do you want this one marked?	24	it was still your understanding that you could change
25	MR. RUSSELL: Yes. Thank you very much. We	25	the date?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	-	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	decided it will be 2. I think	1	36
2	decided it will be 3, I think. (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for identification.)	2	A With the concurrence of the negotiation team members.
3	<b>Q</b> The next one in your package is a document	3	<b>Q</b> Okay. So that date, which I guess you came up
4	called Project Freebird.	4	with, the negotiation team concurred with that?
5	Do you know what Project Freebird refers to?	5	A Yes.
6	A No, I don't.	6	Q And that would mean
7	<b>Q</b> And this document predates your appointment as	7	A Let me clarify.
8	a negotiator by several months, but I wanted to use it	8	<b>Q</b> Sure.
9	to discuss something that did concern you.	9	A I would say initially not unequivocally did
10	And just an example of what I want to talk to	10	they concur with it, because there were several
11	you about, if you will turn to they're unnamed	11	discussions that we still needed to have. There were
12	unnumbered pages, unfortunately.	12	still many negotiations that needed to take place. And
13	A They're down at the bottom.	13	so I don't I would not agree that we definitively
14	MR. LINDSEY: Very light.	14	said at any point in time we were going to be done by
15	MR. RUSSELL: You found it. Thank you.	15	the end of January.
16	<b>Q</b> Turn to page 7. Unfortunately, it's the best	16	You know, you have to understand, in a lot of
17	copy we have. There's the last entry at the bottom, and	17	the transcripts that you're reading there's posturing
18	it says: Board meeting to approve transaction.	18	that goes on. You know, you're trying to drive a
19	Again I apologize for the quality of the copy.	19	schedule to get them to respond to it, so there's
20	Very bottom. Can you read that?	20	posturing that happens.
21	MR. LINDSEY: Do you see it?	21	But I would not say I me, personally, never
22	MS. BURCH: Yeah, I see it.	22	felt that we definitively had come to an agreement that
23	MR. LINDSEY: She has better eyes.	23	the end of January was what it was going to be. We were
24	<b>Q</b> And if you follow across to the other side of	24	pushing them for that, but we never got to the point
25	the page for that	25	where we could actually say that.
1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	37		39
1	<b>Q</b> The calls that were made on December 4th, I	1	the time that things were falling apart with the ITN
2	think ultimately and I'm sorry. You answered this.	2	process, so the sooner we could complete it the better
3	I just don't remember the answer to it.	3	off we were all going to be.
4	American Public Infrastructure, it shows there	4	So that at that point it would go to the JEA
5	is no transcript. Is that because there wasn't a call	5	board, they would do whatever they were going to do with
6	or there just wasn't a transcript?	6	it, and then it would go to City Council, if it got that
7	A I don't remember.	7	far. So
8	<b>Q</b> Okay. That's why I don't remember.	8	<b>Q</b> When you talked to me about your original
9	A Yeah, I don't remember.	9	conversation with Brian Hughes on November 21st, in
10	<b>Q</b> Okay. So assuming all these calls were made	10	connection with your appointment as an negotiator, and
11	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 eight calls, do you recall on	11	you told me you talked to him about the deadline
12	that day how much time you and Mr. Giardinelli devoted	12	excuse me the timeline for that process. One of the
13	to these calls? How long did it take?	13	things in that timeline that you and Mr. Hughes
14	A From my recollection, it wasn't very long.	14	discussed was the end of the timeline, the transaction
15	They were pretty quick calls. I feel like we scheduled	15	date, and you and Mr. Hughes discussed that date being
16	them for maybe 30 minutes, and I don't think any of them	16	January 20th January 30th, 2020?
17	took that long, but I would have to view a full	17	A No.
18	transcript to be able to tell you exactly.	18	<b>Q</b> When did that discussion with Mr. Hughes
19	<b>Q</b> In your role as an appointed negotiator did you	19	happen?
20	ever become aware that the initial bids of the bidders	20	A What Mr. Hughes said was that if something
21	had been evaluated by JEA employees?	21	could go to City Council in February that would be good.
22	<b>A</b> The initial bids. So prior to the revised	22	<b>Q</b> Okay.
23	replies that came in.	23	A Those were his words.
24	I don't remember specifically whether they had	24	<b>Q</b> And then you had a later conversation with
25	been evaluated. I'm sure they were reviewed, but I	25	Aaron Zahn.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	38		40
1	don't know if JEA staff evaluated any of the bids.	1	A Uh-huh.
2	<b>Q</b> Okay.	2	<b>Q</b> Just you and Mr. Zahn?
3	A I couldn't tell you.	3	A Yes.
4	Q So since you don't know that, you never saw a	4	<b>Q</b> And again, was it about the entire timeline, or
5	document prepared by JEA staff that went through the	5	was it focussed specifically on the date that the
6	initial group of bids, which I believe the number was 18	6	transaction would be concluded?
7	or 19, and gave it a evaluation, a numerical evaluation	7	A I think that's one and the same, the entire
8	<ul><li>of a number of criteria concerning those bids?</li><li>A I don't remember seeing anything to that</li></ul>	8 9	timeline and then the date that it would be concluded. So I guess my answer to that is yes.
10	effect.	10	<b>Q</b> Okay. And tell me about that discussion, what
11	<b>Q</b> Going back to the January 30, 2020, date. Were	11	you said to Mr. Zahn and what Mr. Zahn said to you about
12	you the individual who initially came up with that for	12	the deadline.
13	the proposed date to conclude the transaction?	13	<b>A</b> I'm sure we discussed a few different things,
14	A Yes.	14	but but it was just, you know, do you think this is
15	<b>Q</b> And it wasn't suggested to you by anybody else?	15	possible for the for the bidders.
16	<b>A</b> No.	16	Q You were asking that question of Mr. Zahn?
17	Q Just your idea originally?	17	A I believe so, yes.
18	A Yeah. I mean, I was going off the timeline	18	<b>Q</b> Do you have a recollection, Ms. Burch, what he
19	that I had discussed with Brian, you know, before I was	19	said in response?
20	actually on the negotiation team. So going based on	20	A Outside of, yes, I think that's possible.
21	that timeline I had conversations with Melissa Dykes and	21	<b>Q</b> If the process ITN process was, as you said,
22	Aaron Zahn separately to make sure that they thought	22	falling apart, tell me why you would accelerate the
23	that was a feasible schedule for the proposers, and they	23	schedule. What would that accomplish?
24	both said, yes, they thought that it was.	24	A To get it done sooner.
25	And it just you know, it was very clear at	25	<b>Q</b> To prevent it from falling apart?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	41		43
1	A Yes.	1	thereafter, did the ITN process continue to fall apart
2	<b>Q</b> Okay. Faster would, in your judgment and Mr.	2	
3	Zahn's judgment and Mr. Burch's judgment, have helped	3	A Yes.
4	the ITN not to fall apart?	4	<b>Q</b> Do you recall any of the other reasons?
5	A You said Mr. Burch's judgment?	5	
6	<b>Q</b> I'm sorry. Mr. Hughes.	6	news articles about everything, and the City Council
7	A Brian's judgment.	7	meetings that were taking place. You know, it just
8	<b>Q</b> Brian's judgment.	8	it just continued to deteriorate.
9	A Well, I don't know what Brian's judgment was on	9	<b>Q</b> Did you discuss with Mr. Zahn anything else,
10	it other than what he told me as far as if you could	10	any other activities actions, excuse me, that could
11	send something to City Council sometime in February that	11	be undertaken to hold the INT process together?
12	would be good. There was no directive. There was no	12	A To hold it together?
13	you must do this. It was simply that sounds like a good	13	<b>Q</b> Right.
14	timeline to me.	14	A No, not that I remember.
15	So once I got involved and remember, that's	15	<b>Q</b> Okay. Nobody had any suggestions of things
16	prior to me being appointed to the negotiation team. So	16	that could be done to enhance the chances of the process
17	once I got involved I explored that possibility with	17	succeeding?
18	Aaron and Melissa. They both felt that that was	18	A No. It was simply let's get it done sooner
19	possible. Melissa went a little bit further and said,	19	rather than later.
20	you should discuss that with the advisors.	20	<b>Q</b> Okay. It may have been you that said this.
21	So we had a separate conversation with the	21	Do you recall making the statement that
22	advisors about that. I believe it took place all during	22	increasing or keeping the timeline of the ITN process as
23	a strategy session, because I know that Randall and	23	January 30, 2020 would enhance transparency? Do you
24	Robin also weighed in on the timeline.	24	remember saying that?
25	And that's why I said earlier I don't think we	25	A I may have said it, but it would have been a
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
			4.4
	42		44
1	ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.	1	reaction.
2	ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work. There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.	2	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that
2 3	ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work. There still was a lot of work that needed to be done. <b>Q</b> Okay. But the specific discussion about	2 3	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,
2 3 4	ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work. There still was a lot of work that needed to be done. <b>Q</b> Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the	2 3 4	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with
2 3 4 5	ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work. There still was a lot of work that needed to be done. <b>Q</b> Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process	2 3 4 5	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about</li> <li>sticking to that timeline or that date for the</li> <li>conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process</li> <li>was falling apart, that conversation make sure I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders. Q Could you help me understand why speeding up
2 3 4 5 6 7	ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work. There still was a lot of work that needed to be done. <b>Q</b> Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?	2 3 4 5 6 7	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders. Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders. Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps transparency?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders. Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps transparency? A Because the sooner it's done the sooner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders. Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps transparency? A Because the sooner it's done the sooner everything can be released to the public.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reaction. I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders. Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps transparency? A Because the sooner it's done the sooner everything can be released to the public. Q Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with</li> <li>all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about</li> <li>sticking to that timeline or that date for the</li> <li>conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process</li> <li>was falling apart, that conversation make sure I</li> <li>understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the</li> <li>conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it,</li> <li>and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well,</li> <li>and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the</li> <li>end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with</li> <li>all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one? MR. RUSSELL: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN process.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one?</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Yes.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one?</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Yes.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Following up on the transparency question, part</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN process.</li> <li>A Right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one?</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Yes.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN process.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q In connection with the falling apart of the ITN</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one?</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Yes.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Following up on the transparency question, part</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN process.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q In connection with the falling apart of the ITN process, when did that begin?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that</li> <li>up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know,</li> <li>just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up</li> <li>the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps</li> <li>transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner</li> <li>everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one?</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Yes.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Following up on the transparency question, part</li> <li>of your answer was: Transparency would occur because</li> <li>everything could be released to the public at the end of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN process.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q In connection with the falling apart of the ITN process, when did that begin?</li> <li>A I mean, it was happening as I got appointed.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one? MR. RUSSELL: Yes. (Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Following up on the transparency question, part of your answer was: Transparency would occur because everything could be released to the public at the end of the transaction.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN process.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q In connection with the falling apart of the ITN process, when did that begin?</li> <li>A I mean, it was happening as I got appointed.</li> <li>Q What were the reasons for that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one? MR. RUSSELL: Yes. (Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Following up on the transparency question, part of your answer was: Transparency would occur because everything could be released to the public at the end of the transaction.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN process.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q In connection with the falling apart of the ITN process, when did that begin?</li> <li>A I mean, it was happening as I got appointed.</li> <li>Q What were the reasons for that?</li> <li>A Well, the initial reason was because of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one? MR. RUSSELL: Yes. (Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Following up on the transparency question, part of your answer was: Transparency would occur because everything could be released to the public at the end of the transaction.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q So it was your understanding that everything that went on in the ITN process at its end would be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>ever got to a definitive, yes, that's going to work.</li> <li>There still was a lot of work that needed to be done.</li> <li>Q Okay. But the specific discussion about sticking to that timeline or that date for the conclusion of the transaction, because the ITN process was falling apart, that conversation make sure I understood you was between you and Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A I mean, I don't I don't know so the conversation I had conversations with Aaron about it, and I had a conversation with Melissa about it as well, and it was simply to figure out whether they thought the end of January was feasible.</li> <li>Q Okay. But when we talked about that earlier, we talked about that end of January deadline as being important to try to hold together the falling apart ITN process.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q In connection with the falling apart of the ITN process, when did that begin?</li> <li>A I mean, it was happening as I got appointed.</li> <li>Q What were the reasons for that?</li> <li>A Well, the initial reason was because of Councilman Diamond and Councilman Salem's meeting</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>reaction.</li> <li>I know Aaron was the first one to bring that up. So if I repeated it after him, it was, you know, just trying to stay consistent with our comments with all the bidders.</li> <li>Q Could you help me understand why speeding up the process or keeping up this aggressive pace helps transparency?</li> <li>A Because the sooner it's done the sooner everything can be released to the public.</li> <li>Q Thank you.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay. The next document MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one? MR. RUSSELL: Yes. (Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Following up on the transparency question, part of your answer was: Transparency would occur because everything could be released to the public at the end of the transaction.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q So it was your understanding that everything that went on in the ITN process at its end would be released to the public?</li> </ul>

06/18/2020 04:16:32 PM

	45		47
1	<b>Q</b> Has that occurred, if you know?	1	Can you tell me what that was? I've never seen
2	A I mean, outside of the news articles I read	2	one.
3	about what JEA has released and what they haven't.	3	<b>A</b> I believe it just was something I don't
4	mean, I assume so, but I haven't talked to anybody about	4	remember if I signed it or not, but it was an
5	it.	5	appointment letter that I believe that John McCarthy had
6	<b>Q</b> You're not aware then that certain bidders	6	his signature on it.
7	continue to claim that they have materials that are	7	<b>Q</b> Okay. Did you get to keep that document?
8	confidential and will not be released to the public?	8	<ul> <li>A No, I didn't keep your copy.</li> </ul>
9	<b>A</b> I'm aware of it because I read the news	9	Q They took your appointment letter back from you
10	articles.	10	also?
11		11	A Uh-huh.
12	Q But you have no involvement in it?	12	
12	A No.	12	Q We just have never been able to find one.
	Q Okay.	_	A Oh, really?
14	A That's pretty typical practice though for a	14	Q Yeah. There may be a very logical explanation.
15	procurement like that.	15	A I don't know.
16	Q Where did you get the understanding that	16	<b>Q</b> Okay. And I think you just answered this
17	everything would be released at the end of the ITN	17	question.
18	process?	18	You believe you signed the letter and then gave
19	A My prior experience.	19	it back to Mr. McCarthy?
20	(Exhibit No. 5 was marked for identification.)	20	A Yes.
21	Q Let's look at Exhibit 5, which should be an	21	<b>Q</b> So that would indicate to me that perhaps a
22	agenda for 11/25/2019.	22	good person to ask for these letters would be
23	Your appointment was announced on 11/22/2019.	23	Mr. McCarthy.
24	I think this would be the first meeting you had with	24	A I would say so.
25	your negotiation strategy session?	25	Q Okay. Another agenda item few more
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	46		48
1	A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I	1	48 questions on 5, please.
2	A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that,	2	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5?
23	A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through	2 3	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event
2 3 4	A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.	2 3 4	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place?
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I</li> <li>don't know that anything was released before that,</li> <li>because I was still we all were still going through</li> <li>confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It</li> <li>may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I</li> <li>don't know that anything was released before that,</li> <li>because I was still we all were still going through</li> <li>confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It</li> <li>may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't</li> <li>think anything was released on the 22nd until this was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I</li> <li>don't know that anything was released before that,</li> <li>because I was still we all were still going through</li> <li>confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It</li> <li>may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't</li> <li>think anything was released on the 22nd until this was</li> <li>completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I</li> <li>don't know that anything was released before that,</li> <li>because I was still we all were still going through</li> <li>confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It</li> <li>may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't</li> <li>think anything was released on the 22nd until this was</li> <li>completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our</li> <li>understanding is that the announcement I used as</li> <li>Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the negotiators, and then we're going to have a session with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> <li>A Anyway, yes, this was our first meeting for our</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>48</li> <li>questions on 5, please.</li> <li>A Oh, on 5?</li> <li>Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event</li> <li>did negotiator training actually take place?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training.</li> <li>Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk</li> <li>about at this initial meeting that there would be</li> <li>a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and</li> <li>they would be scheduled, and that would happen before</li> <li>you actually began your work as a negotiator?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I really don't.</li> <li>Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN</li> <li>process ever seeing something that was like a schedule</li> <li>that said this is what we're going to do on this date,</li> <li>we're going to have a strategy session with the</li> <li>negotiators, and then we're going to have a nother</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> <li>A Anyway, yes, this was our first meeting for our appointment.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the negotiators, and then we're going to have another strategy session, and then we're going to accomplish
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> <li>A Anyway, yes, this was our first meeting for our appointment.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall how long this meeting</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the negotiators, and then we're going to have a nother strategy session, and then we're going to accomplish this goal, get the revised replies in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> <li>A Anyway, yes, this was our first meeting for our appointment.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall how long this meeting lasted?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the negotiators, and then we're going to have a nother strategy session, and then we're going to accomplish this goal, get the revised replies in? Did you ever see a schedule of that kind?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> <li>A Anyway, yes, this was our first meeting for our appointment.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall how long this meeting lasted?</li> <li>A I don't remember it being very long, but I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>48</li> <li>questions on 5, please.</li> <li>A Oh, on 5?</li> <li>Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training.</li> <li>Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I really don't.</li> <li>Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the negotiators, and then we're going to have a session with the bidders, and then we're going to have a nother strategy session, and then we're going to accomplish this goal, get the revised replies in? Did you ever see a schedule of that kind?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> <li>A Anyway, yes, this was our first meeting for our appointment.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall how long this meeting lasted?</li> <li>A I don't remember it being very long, but I could not give you an amount of time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>48</li> <li>questions on 5, please.</li> <li>A Oh, on 5?</li> <li>Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training.</li> <li>Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I really don't.</li> <li>Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the negotiators, and then we're going to have a nother strategy session, and then we're going to accomplish this goal, get the revised replies in? Did you ever see a schedule of that kind?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you recall, at this initial meeting did</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. 1</li> <li>don't know that anything was released before that, because 1 was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but 1 don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. 1 don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. 1 was not aware. 1 don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> <li>A Anyway, yes, this was our first meeting for our appointment.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall how long this meeting lasted?</li> <li>A I don't remember it being very long, but I could not give you an amount of time.</li> <li>Q There's a document we referred I assume it's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	48 questions on 5, please. A Oh, on 5? Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place? A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training. Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator? A I don't remember. I really don't. Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the negotiators, and then we're going to have a nother strategy session, and then we're going to accomplish this goal, get the revised replies in? Did you ever see a schedule of that kind? A I don't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Yes, our first meeting was on the 25th. I don't know that anything was released before that, because I was still we all were still going through confidentiality checks on that date.</li> <li>Q Sure. The announcement is dated 11/22.</li> <li>A Yeah, but I don't think it was released. It may have been a draft that somebody wrote up. I don't think anything was released on the 22nd until this was completed.</li> <li>Q It may be just an absence of information. Our understanding is that the announcement I used as Exhibit 1 was actually on that date shown on the document, published on the JEA website.</li> <li>A Well, that that is news to me. I was not aware. I don't know how they could do that, but</li> <li>Q That is one of the least big deals we will talk about, so don't worry about it.</li> <li>A Anyway, yes, this was our first meeting for our appointment.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall how long this meeting lasted?</li> <li>A I don't remember it being very long, but I could not give you an amount of time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>48</li> <li>questions on 5, please.</li> <li>A Oh, on 5?</li> <li>Q Yeah. The negotiator training. At this event did negotiator training actually take place?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. I don't remember anything specific about training.</li> <li>Q Just to try to jog your memory. Was there talk about at this initial meeting that there would be a series of negotiator trainings that would occur, and they would be scheduled, and that would happen before you actually began your work as a negotiator?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I really don't.</li> <li>Q Do you remember at any time during the ITN process ever seeing something that was like a schedule that said this is what we're going to do on this date, we're going to have a strategy session with the negotiators, and then we're going to have a nother strategy session, and then we're going to accomplish this goal, get the revised replies in? Did you ever see a schedule of that kind?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you recall, at this initial meeting did</li> </ul>

		1	
	49		51
1	A Yeah. I don't remember anything specifically	1	negotiators, and the three negotiators alone?
2	outside of the appointment letter.	2	A Correct.
3	<b>Q</b> And two people attended this meeting by phone.	3	<b>Q</b> Who told you that? Or where did you get that
4	They were lawyers from Foley & Lardner.	4	understanding?
5	A Right.	5	A I mean, I guess I don't know specif I don't
6	<b>Q</b> Mr. Hosay and Mr. Grossman?	6	know exactly who would have said that, other than
7	A Right. Yes.	7	everyone we were working with. I mean, it's my
8	<b>Q</b> Can you tell me what their role was in this	8	understanding we're the negotiation team, so we're there
9	phone call?	9	to find the best value for the taxpayers.
10	A It it was just to advise on the procurement	10	<b>Q</b> So what I understand that to mean is it was
11	process. And those were the two I couldn't remember	11	sort of implicit and everybody understood it, and there
12	earlier.	12	wasn't a lot of need to talk about it expressly.
13	<b>Q</b> You had I think told me earlier in passing that	13	A Yeah. Correct.
14	you've had substantial involvement in the procurement	14	<b>Q</b> There was a term, and I don't know if it got
15	process for the City?	15	coined by the media or the participants, the cone of
16	A Not for the City. It was my experience at the	16	silence.
17	Florida Department of Transportation.	17	A Uh-huh.
18	<b>Q</b> My understanding is the word procurement means	18	<b>Q</b> So tell me what you understood about the cone
19	to buy something, to get it.	19	of silence?
20	A Typically.	20	<b>A</b> So cone of silence is once the procurement is
21	<b>Q</b> All right. Why would a process by which JEA	21	released, then anyone involved in it can't discuss it
22	buys things apply to JEA selling things?	22	outside of a recorded session.
23	A I think the process that they used through an	23	<b>Q</b> Okay. Did anybody ever provide you any
24	invitation to negotiate I can't advise you why they	24	training on, quote, the cone of silence and what its
25	did it. They were already down this road long before I	25	implementation would be like, and the things that would
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	50		52
1	got involved, so I can't really answer your question. I	1	have to be done to comply?
2	don't know why they did it this way.	2	A Outside of we may have had conversations
_	don't know why they did it this way. <b>Q</b> The other topic on this agenda is subject	2 3	<b>A</b> Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley & Lardner and the and John and Jenny.
2 3 4	<ul><li>don't know why they did it this way.</li><li><b>Q</b> The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations</li> <li>with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	don't know why they did it this way. <b>Q</b> The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training. Do you recall what was said about that?	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations</li> <li>with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations</li> <li>with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject</li> <li>matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations</li> <li>with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well,</li> <li>if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations</li> <li>with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well,</li> <li>if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of</li> <li>silence would actually apply to all the participants in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations</li> <li>with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence wuld actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training. Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> <li>Q Sure.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The consultants and JEA's representatives, they could talk</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> <li>Q Sure.</li> <li>A I'm sorry.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The consultants and JEA's representatives, they could talk to the negotiation team</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training. Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> <li>Q Sure.</li> <li>A I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q Were you ever told that although JEA had a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The consultants and JEA's representatives, they could talk to each other. They could talk to the negotiation team one on one, but outside of that they could not discuss</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training. Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> <li>Q Sure.</li> <li>A I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q Were you ever told that although JEA had a number of subject matter experts who participated in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The consultants and JEA's representatives, they could talk to each other. They could talk to the negotiation team one on one, but outside of that they could not discuss it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training. Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> <li>Q Sure.</li> <li>A I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q Were you ever told that although JEA had a number of subject matter experts who participated in the negotiation sessions and provided information to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The consultants and JEA's representatives, they could talk to each other. They could talk to the negotiation team one on one, but outside of that they could not discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> <li>Q Sure.</li> <li>A I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q Were you ever told that although JEA had a number of subject matter experts who participated in the negotiation sessions and provided information to the bidders and information to you, that the ultimate</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The consultants and JEA's representatives, they could talk to each other. They could talk to the negotiation team one on one, but outside of that they could not discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A It's protected.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training. Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> <li>Q Sure.</li> <li>A I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q Were you ever told that although JEA had a number of subject matter experts who participated in the negotiation sessions and provided information to the bidders and information to you, that the ultimate decision of who would be which bidders would be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The consultants and JEA's representatives, they could talk to each other. They could talk to the negotiation team one on one, but outside of that they could not discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A It's protected.</li> <li>Q Are you aware of any breaches of the cone of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>don't know why they did it this way.</li> <li>Q The other topic on this agenda is subject matter expert training.</li> <li>Do you recall what was said about that?</li> <li>A I don't.</li> <li>Q It's just an odd thing to be on an agenda that only has negotiators there and not any subject matter experts at this meeting.</li> <li>A Yeah. I don't I don't remember. I I really don't remember anything about that conversation.</li> <li>Q During the ITN process were you ever told that you could consider the information that was given to you by subject matter experts, but the decision of the bid or bids to be submitted to the JEA board of directors was yours alone?</li> <li>A Can can you ask that again?</li> <li>Q Sure.</li> <li>A I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q Were you ever told that although JEA had a number of subject matter experts who participated in the negotiation sessions and provided information to the bidders and information to you, that the ultimate</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Outside of we may have had conversations with Foley &amp; Lardner and the and John and Jenny.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A And I'm sure Lynne was a part of that as well, if we did.</li> <li>Q So it's your understanding that the cone of silence would actually apply to all the participants in the ITN process. So that would include you and your colleagues as negotiators?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did it also apply to the subject matter experts at JEA?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Was it your understanding that it applied to the consultants that participated in the ITN process?</li> <li>A So they could talk to each other. The consultants and JEA's representatives, they could talk to each other. They could talk to the negotiation team one on one, but outside of that they could not discuss it.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A It's protected.</li> </ul>

		53	55
1	A I'm not aware of any.	1	strategy session was more of an executive summary that
2	<b>Q</b> Then the next agenda	2	had been developed by the investment bankers, by the
3	MR. RUSSELL: That was 5.	3	advisors.
4	Next I want to talk about an agenda	-	<b>Q</b> Okay. And that this is coming up pretty
5	11/26/2019 meeting, which will become	_	quick. You're right.
6	(Exhibit No. 6 was marked for ident		Again the subject matter experts are listed on
7	<b>Q</b> And the first attendee it shows is yo	,	this agenda. Do you recall what the discussion was, or
8	Burch.	8	what the subject matter experts did at this meeting?
9	My understanding was that you we	_	A I don't remember.
10	negotiator amongst the three negotiators.	10	MR. RUSSELL: We've been going over an hour.
11	A The lead, yes.	11	Let's get up and stretch and get some water or
12	Q Lead. Sorry.	12	whatever you'd like.
13	<b>A</b> I was designated the lead negoti		(Short break.)
14	strategy session. I don't remember which		BY MR. RUSSELL:
15	<b>Q</b> Who would have made that designate		<b>Q</b> Follow-up question from one of my earlier
16	A The other two negotiators.	16	questions about reviewing materials prior to this
17	Q Okay. And tell me how being lead		deposition.
18	changed what you were doing in the process.	18	Do you recall now reviewing something prior to
19	A It was more I just had to drive I		this deposition?
20	the agenda for the session, and just led a		<b>A</b> I do. Thank you for yeah, thank you for
21	conversations.	21	reviewing that again.
22	<b>Q</b> The other one would have been call		Warren and I went last week to review a
23	You got as lead negotiator that was sometl		recording from an interview that I gave to the Inspector
24	fell on you to be your responsibility?	24	General back in January about the ITN process. So we
25	A Correct.	25	reviewed the recording, and that helped. Along with
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		54	56
1	<b>Q</b> And for that call, the investment ba	nker, <b>1</b>	going through my text messages and the phone the
2	Giardinelli, how did he get chosen to participa	ate in <b>2</b>	phone logs, that helped jog my memory about a few things
3	that call?	3	along the way, so
4	A I I don't really know. He's or	e of the 4	MR. LINDSEY: Buy you also they showed you,
5	advisors, so	5	after you reviewed the
6	<b>Q</b> You didn't make that decision?	6	MS. BURCH: Oh, the schedule.
7	A No. I guess they could have cho	osen anyone, but <b>7</b>	MR. LINDSEY: They showed you something.
8	I don't really know.	8	MR. BURCH: Yes.
9	<b>Q</b> In the agenda, which is Exhibit 6, I	tem 2 is 9	MR. LINDSEY: Tell Mr. Russell what you saw.
10	revised replies.	10	MR. BURCH: Yes. They showed me the schedule,
11	Do you recall what was discussed a	t this <b>11</b>	which it looks like is the same thing that you
12	meeting about the revised replies?	12	pointed out in Exhibit 4, I believe. And that was
13	A I don't remember.	13	the first time I remembered seeing it, was in my
14	<b>Q</b> Do you recall that actually 11/26 w		interview with the Inspector General.
15	by which revised replies were required to be	-	<b>Q</b> Did you provide documents to the Inspector
16	JEA?	16	General at her request?
17	A I don't remember.	17	A I don't believe so.
18	<b>Q</b> Okay. Were the revised replies pre		MR. LINDSEY: I didn't see any that were
19	to look at at this meeting, if you recall?	19	A Yeah, I don't I don't believe I don't
20	A I don't remember.	20	believe she asked me for anything. It was simply just
21	<b>Q</b> Okay. Do you recall when you got		kind of reviewing some things to clarify some questions
22	revised replies?	22	that she had.
23	A Not precisely. And I don't know		<b>Q</b> Okay. How long was the interview with the
24	ever presented with replies. They may h		Inspector General, if you recall?
25	data room. But what we were presented		MR. LINDSEY: I think it was about
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

		57		59
1	A I think abou	it 40 minutes.	1	front of you.
2	MR. LINDSEY	: 40 minutes, give or take.	2	A I have that here.
3	<b>Q</b> And that was	in January of this year?	3	<b>Q</b> Do you recall approximately how long this
4	A Yes.		4	meeting would have been?
5	<b>Q</b> Okay. You're	e really good at this. My next	5	A I don't remember.
6	question was did you e	ver see a writing that established	6	<b>Q</b> Were any of these meetings multiple hours for
7	a schedule for the ITN	process.	7	the negotiator strategy sessions? Particularly these
8	MR. RUSSELL	.: Okay. The agenda for 26 we've	8	couple preliminary ones, would they have been two or
9	completed?		9	three hours?
10	<b>Q</b> Who actually	set the agenda for what would	10	A I really I really don't remember.
11	occur at the ITN session	ns?	11	<b>Q</b> That's fine.
12	A Typically it v	was John and Jenny.	12	In connection with these two meetings we've
13	<b>Q</b> They're emplo	oyees of JEA?	13	talked about already, did you do anything to prepare for
14	A Yes.		14	these meetings?
15	<b>Q</b> And they had	a particular role in this. I	15	A There was a point in time where I was trying to
16	forgot what the title is.		16	review documents in the data room, because we did get
17	A Procuremen	it.	17	access to that. So I did try to review some documents
18	<b>Q</b> Procurement	?	18	ahead of time.
19	A Yeah, I don'	t remember exactly what the title	19	Like, I read through the entire ITN at one
20	was, but they were o	over the procurement function for	20	point, and was trying to review some other things from
21	JEA, so		21	time to time. But that's it.
22	<b>Q</b> Did you have	the opportunity actually with	22	<b>Q</b> The revised replies that were received by JEA,
23	setting the agenda to p	rovide any input to the	23	my notes tell me it was eight, did you actually review
24	procurement people ab	out what you wanted on the agenda?	24	all of the revised replies that were received by JEA?
25	A Yes.		25	A As I stated earlier, I don't remember whether I
	Hedquist & /	Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		58		60
1		an you recall some examples of	1	roviowed the actual replies themselves . What I
		, ,	-	reviewed the actual replies themselves. What I
2	that?	,	2	remember reviewing is the executive summary we received
2 3	that? A No.		2 3	remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors
2 3 4	that? A No. Q Okay. And w	hen you actually attended a	2 3 4	remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.
2 3 4 5	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you	2 3 4 5	remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared. Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before.
2 3 4 5 6	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w	then you actually attended a transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions,	2 3 4 5 6	remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared. Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny.	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the</li> <li>replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McColle	when you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the</li> <li>replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McCollu A Jenny, yeah	when you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the</li> <li>replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McColle A Jenny, yeah It depends of	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been the John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McColle A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been the John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McColle A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the</li> <li>replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a</li> <li>recollection without looking at a document of who was</li> <li>the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McColle A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion of	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been to John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McCollu A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion of Q Did those par	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> <li>Q Okay. And do you recall the Delta, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been to John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McCollu A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion of Q Did those par	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were. ticipating in the ITN process, d you get any advance notice of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the</li> <li>replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a</li> <li>recollection without looking at a document of who was</li> <li>the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> <li>Q Okay. And do you recall the Delta, the</li> <li>difference between those, what the amount of that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McCollu A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion of Q Did those par particularly yourself, dia what would be discussed	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were. ticipating in the ITN process, d you get any advance notice of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> <li>Q Okay. And do you recall the Delta, the difference between those, what the amount of that difference was?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been to John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McCollu A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion of Q Did those par particularly yourself, di what would be discussed A From time to	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were. ticipating in the ITN process, d you get any advance notice of ed at the ITN session?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> <li>Q Okay. And do you recall the Delta, the difference between those, what the amount of that difference was?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McCollu A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion Q Did those par particularly yourself, di what would be discusse A From time to	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were. ticipating in the ITN process, d you get any advance notice of ed at the ITN session? o time, because like I said earlier,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> <li>Q Okay. And do you recall the Delta, the difference between those, what the amount of that difference was?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you recall it being more than a billion</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McCollu A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion Q Did those par particularly yourself, di what would be discussed A From time to John and Jenny would know, hey, we need	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been eeen myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were. ticipating in the ITN process, d you get any advance notice of ed at the ITN session? o time, because like I said earlier, id would come to me and say, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> <li>Q Okay. And do you recall the Delta, the difference between those, what the amount of that difference was?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you recall it being more than a billion dollars?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McCollu A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion Q Did those par particularly yourself, di what would be discussed A From time to John and Jenny would know, hey, we need	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were. ticipating in the ITN process, d you get any advance notice of ed at the ITN session? o time, because like I said earlier, id would come to me and say, you to get this on the record today, so pw, a certain topic, whether it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> <li>Q Okay. And do you recall the Delta, the difference between those, what the amount of that difference was?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you recall it being more than a billion dollars?</li> <li>A I believe so.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McColle A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion of Q Did those par particularly yourself, di what would be discusse A From time to John and Jenny would know, hey, we need let's discuss, you know	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been een myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were. ticipating in the ITN process, d you get any advance notice of ed at the ITN session? o time, because like I said earlier, id would come to me and say, you to get this on the record today, so pw, a certain topic, whether it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</li> <li>Q Okay. Sorry. I did ask that question before. We're going to get to the summary of the replies in just a few minutes.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q But just to keep it in order, do you have a recollection without looking at a document of who was the bidder who had the highest gross proceeds?</li> <li>A NextEra.</li> <li>Q And second?</li> <li>A I think it was Duke.</li> <li>Q Okay. And do you recall the Delta, the difference between those, what the amount of that difference was?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you recall it being more than a billion dollars?</li> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q At the meeting in which that document was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that? A No. Q Okay. And w session I've seen the spoke, but the people w would that have been t John McCarthy and Jen A Jenny. Q Jenny McColle A Jenny, yeah It depends of them. It may have b the advisors who led what the discussion Q Did those par particularly yourself, di what would be discussed A From time to John and Jenny would know, hey, we need schedule, or whatever Q I asked you a	then you actually attended a e transcripts, so I know you who actually led the sessions, he procurement people, who were McCollum? um? on the session. It may have been eeen myself. I may have been some of the session. It just depended on topics were. ticipating in the ITN process, d you get any advance notice of ed at the ITN session? o time, because like I said earlier, ld would come to me and say, you to get this on the record today, so ow, a certain topic, whether it was er it may be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>remember reviewing is the executive summary we received and went over in the strategy session that the advisors prepared.</pre>

	61		63
1	specifically in a session.	1	nobody had been able to figure out a good way to include
2	<b>Q</b> Do you know why the bids had to be revised?	2	it because it was such a liability.
3	A It was just refining them. I don't	3	<b>Q</b> Do you recall the treatment that the highest
4	Q Okay.	4	gross proceeds bid, that of Florida Power & Light, what
5	A Yeah. I don't know. It's just there's	5	was Florida Power & Light's treatment of Plant Vogtle?
6	refinements along the way in order to eventually get to	6	A I don't remember specifically.
7	one. So I think it's just a part of the process.	7	<b>Q</b> In looking at the bids you would look at the
8	MR. RUSSELL: Okay. If you'll hand the court	8	gross amount of the bids as one of the criteria, and
9	reporter Exhibit 6.	9	there was a minimum amount of what gross proceeds had to
10	(Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.)	10	be?
11	<b>Q</b> The next document I have is October 29, 2019.	11	A Uh-huh.
12	Again, that's a date prior to your appointment, but do	12	<b>Q</b> Do you recall what that had to be?
13	you recall ever looking at this document?	13	A I think it was 3 billion.
14	A I don't remember reviewing this. I looked at a	14	<b>Q</b> And then you had other criteria that were more
15	lot of things, so it's possible, but it doesn't stand	15	subjective, like the treatment of Plant Vogtle.
16	out to me.	16	Did you ever get to the point where you had an
17	<b>Q</b> Okay. And what this document is is the request	17	understanding of how you would factor into your
18	for the revised replies that you ultimately reviewed,	18	consideration of the best bid that bidder's proposal
19	mostly in the form of a summary prepared by the	19	regarding treatment of Plant Vogtle?
20	investment bankers. Correct?	20	<b>A</b> No. We didn't have an opportunity to get that
21	A Uh-huh.	21	far.
22	<b>Q</b> And I just wanted to see if you knew anything	22	Q In the ITN do you know how the \$3 billion
23	about this issue of the document.	23	number was arrived at for the minimum gross proceeds?
24	The document on page 2 deals with the subject	24	A I do not.
25	of gross proceeds. Did you ever understand why the bids	25	(Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	62 had to be revised in connection with gross proceeds?	1	64 <b>Q</b> And this was just a document I put in here to
2	You're welcome to read what you need to.	2	put in context the data room. And this is a document
3	A Yeah, if you can give me a minute to read that	3	from John McCarthy, who was the procurement person for
4	section.	4	the ITN, I just learned, and it's among to you, among
5	MS. BURCH: So can you repeat your question.	5	other people, the other two negotiators, Randall Barnes
6	MR. RUSSELL: Sure.	6	and Robin Smith, actually just you three. And what
7	MS. BURCH: Thank you.	7	Mr. McCarthy is telling you: The most updated versions
8	<b>Q</b> The letter is requesting a revised reply, and	8	of the APA and related agreements have been loaded into
9	part of its description is about gross proceeds.	9	the VDR folder, and the negotiation team can be given
10	Do you know what was requested to be why	10	access to this folder.
11	gross proceeds bids the gross proceeds that's used in	11	What I understand this is talking about is
12	the bids was requested to be revised?	12	something I referred to as the data room.
13	<b>A</b> Gross proceeds was a minimum requirement in the	13	A Uh-huh.
14	ITN, and so it's a part of the whole, and they were	14	<b>Q</b> And you were given you personally, and the
15	asking for revised replies that addressed everything in	15	other two negotiators, were given access to the data
16	the ITN.	16	room?
17	<b>Q</b> Okay. What was your understanding of how Plant	17	A Correct.
18	Vogtle fit into the determination of gross proceeds, the	18	<b>Q</b> Okay. And did you access the data room?
19	Plant Vogtle liability?	19	A Yes.
20	A My understanding was it could either be	20	Q Was there particular things that you were
21	included or excluded.	21	interested in seeing when you accessed the data room?
22	From what I remember with my conversations with		A Initially it was the ITN. I needed to read
23 24	the SME's, they pretty much felt that Plant Vogtle would	23 24	that, because I had not at that point. And outside of
24 25	have to be excluded really from the the final agreement, whatever that looked like, just because	24 25	that, it would've I was taking things as they came. So I just was trying to you know, from time to time
20	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	riedquiet a riedeblateb rieportero, mo.	1	

	65		67
1	would access whatever a topic of conversation was for	1	session we had. So I remember signing them at least or
2	that particular session.	2	a daily basis. And I don't know if there was anything
3	<b>Q</b> And are would you how frequently during	3	specifically for access to the data room, or anything
4	this process would you access the data room: Daily,	4	else.
5	weekly, lesser amount of times?	5	<b>Q</b> Every day you had to sign a new confidentiality
6	<b>A</b> I would say probably a few times a week.	6	agreement?
7	<b>Q</b> Other than the APA and related documents	7	A Yes.
8	related agreements, excuse me, discussed in this e-mail,	8	<b>Q</b> Okay. We've only found one dated one. That
9	and the ITN, do you recall any other specific documents	9	just seems odd.
10	you sought out?	10	(Exhibit No. 9 was marked for identification.)
11	A Not specifically. It was more me just kind of	11	<b>Q</b> Exhibit 9 is an agenda for an 11/29/2019
12	going through the different folders to see what would be	12	negotiation session, and it concerned the revised
13	relevant for for my purposes.	13	replies clarifications.
14	There's a lot of information in there, and I	14	Can you tell me what revised replies
15	knew, I mean, I didn't have time to look at 8000	15	clarifications means?
16	documents, so I was just trying to pick the ones that	16	A I can't tell you exactly without reviewing the
17	were most important.	17	transcript. I don't know if it was really
18	<b>Q</b> Did you have complete access to all the data	18	clarifications or if it was just reviewing the executive
19	that was stored in the data room?	19	summary that the advisors prepared.
20	A As far as I know.	20	<b>Q</b> What we looked at in number seven was a revised
21	<b>Q</b> So	21	reply instructions.
22	A I couldn't tell you if I didn't.	22	A Uh-huh.
23	<b>Q</b> You're not aware of any difference between the	23	<b>Q</b> Do you recall that further down the line there
24	access that the subject matter experts had and you had?	24	was going to be there was in fact a request for
25	You just don't know about any difference?	25	further I think the way it was phrased was a request
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	66		68
1	A Yeah, I don't know.	1	by JEA for updated revised replies.
2	<b>Q</b> The document referred to in Mr. McCarthy's	2	<b>A</b> There was going to be. I don't know if that
3	e-mail is the updated versions of the APA and related	3	actually ever went out before the process was
4	agreements.	4	terminated, but that was the plan.
5	What is the APA?	5	<b>Q</b> Okay. And ultimately the, if the process
6	A Asset purchase agreement.	6	hadn't ended, what you as negotiators would make a
7	Q Okay. And what are the related agreements, if	7	decision on would be the updated revised replies that
8	you recall?	8	may be referred to in this agenda Item 1?
9	A It would have been related closing documents	9	A Well, I don't believe based on the date on
10	essentially.	10	the agenda, I don't believe I tem 1 was referring to the
11	<b>Q</b> As I've seen it, there is the APA and there are	11	revised replies that would have been received in
12	attached to it quite a large number of what's referred	12	January. I believe this is referring to the ones that
13	to as, I think here, as related agreements that went	13	had come in on the 26th.
14	along with the APA.	14	<b>Q</b> 26th. Right. What I'm trying to understand
15	A That makes sense.	15	is, on the 29th had somebody already made a decision
16	Q Okay. Did you sign a confidentiality agreement	16	that the replies that came in on the 26th were going to
17	specifically related to access to the data room?	17	have to be clarified at some time in the future?
18	A I don't remember.	18	A I I don't remember.
19	<b>Q</b> Do you recall signing earlier on I'm going	19	Q On each of the agendas we have looked at
20	to get to it in just a minute a general	20	there's an item called open discussion. And it may be
21	confidentiality in connection with your	21	hard for you to recall, but do you ever recall at any of
22	confidentiality agreement in connection with your	22	the strategy sessions, negotiation sessions, that under
23	participation in the ITN process?	23	open discussions anything was there ever any
24	<b>A</b> I remember signing them essentially on a daily	24	discussion of anything under the open discussion label?
25	basis. I don't think we signed them for each separate	25	A I without reviewing transcripts, I I
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	69		71
1	don't remember.	1	<b>A</b> Yes, the financial advisors.
2	<b>Q</b> You certainly don't remember anything?	2	<b>Q</b> Okay. Was it your under understanding that
3	A No, I just don't remember.	3	J.P. Morgan and Morgan Stanley prepared this document?
4	(Exhibit No. 10 was marked for identification.)	4	A Yes.
5	<b>Q</b> Okay. The next document is Number10. It's an	5	<b>Q</b> Did you have did anybody I'll about you.
6	agenda for the 12/3/2019 meeting, and it looks like	6	Did you have any input into the preparation of this
7	there's quite a number of people attending this meeting.	7	document?
8	At this meeting Item 1 is Revised Replies	8	A No.
9	Review. Can you tell me what happened in connection	9	<b>Q</b> Are you aware of anybody other than the
10	with that agenda item?	10	investment bankers who had input into the preparation of
11	<b>A</b> I believe this is where we went over the	11	the document?
12	executive summary that the advisors prepared.	12	A I'm not aware of anyone specifically.
13	<b>Q</b> I'm going to get to that summary next, but let	13	<b>Q</b> It's possible, you just don't know?
14	me ask a few more questions about this.	14	A It's possible, but I yeah, I don't know.
15	The Production Bid Summary document, do you	15	<b>Q</b> And this document, as we just saw, was
16	have an understanding what that refers to?	16	discussed at the 12/3 meeting, which is the agenda for
17	A Production of Bid well, that would be	17	Item 10.
18	yeah, that would be	18	How long did this meeting last, if you recall?
19	<b>Q</b> Production of Bid. Okay. That's right.	19	A I don't remember.
20	<b>A</b> That's what I'm calling the executive summary.	20	<b>Q</b> Who at this meeting was leading this meeting?
21	<b>Q</b> Okay. Good. And we have Open Discussion,	21	A If I remember right, it would have been Jason
22	Number 2, which never had anything occur at it. And	22	Gredell. Yeah, I believe it was Jason Gredell.
23	Number 3 was next steps.	23	Q Okay. Jason Gredell?
24	Do you recall a timeline being developed at	24	A Gredell.
25	this time?	25	<b>Q</b> Gredell.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	70		72
1	A I don't remember. That may have been where we		A Uh-huh.
2	started discussing the January timeline, which I think	2	Q Okay. And tell me, if you can recall, how he
3	is very possible that that happened at this meeting	3	conducted this meeting? What did he do?
4	since that's specifically on this agenda.	4	A Just walked through the document with us.
5	Q And 3B says Document Markup.	5	Q And the document was given to you at the
6	Do you know what documents referred to as being	6	meeting?
7	marked up?	7	<ul> <li>A From what I remember, yes.</li> <li>Olympic Theorem to your opported down and</li> </ul>
8 9	A I don't remember that specifically. I I	8	<b>Q</b> Okay. It wasn't given to you several days and
10	don't remember. <b>Q</b> And lastly, I don't know what it means, it says	10	you brought it to the meeting several days before the meeting?
11	Production of the Data Room.	11	A No.
12	Do you have any understanding what that means?	12	Q It came
13	<ul> <li>A No, I don't. I don't remember what that means</li> </ul>	13	A No.
14	either, huh-uh.	14	<b>Q</b> Again, like every other document, you had to
15	Q Okay. Let's talk	15	return this at the conclusion of the meeting?
16	MR. RUSSELL: Okay. That will be 10. Thank	16	A Yes.
17	you.	17	<b>Q</b> Okay. And unlike the document that I have here
18	, (Exhibit No. 11 was marked for identification.)	18	as an exhibit, which has redactions in it, the document
19	<b>Q</b> And now we're to a document called Project	19	that you saw had was not redacted?
20	Scampi, Summary of Replies Revised Replies. It shows a	20	A Correct.
21	date of December 2019, and at the bottom of the document	21	<b>Q</b> Okay. And if we look at page 2, you see
22	it lists J.P. Morgan and Morgan Stanley.	22	columns of checks and number figures.
23	You previously told us, Ms. Burch, that those	23	Is this a chart that shows this information for
24	are the investment bankers that worked with the	24	each of the bids that were submitted?
25	negotiation group?	25	A I believe so.
		1	

	73		75
1	<b>Q</b> Okay. And as you said before, the entity that	1	<b>Q</b> Okay. In this December 3 meeting do you recall
2	would be in the two blacked-out numbers at the top of	2	making the comment that because of the time period, the
	•		-
3	this chart would have been NextEra?	3	aggressive time period, that some of the bidders must
4	A I believe so.	4	might drop out?
5	Q Okay. And on page 3 yes, page 3 going	5	A I don't remember specifically, but it's very
6	over to page 4 there is a number of what would you	6	possible.
7	call that charts with writing in it?	7	We were looking at, you know, the management
8	A Uh-huh.	8	presentation meetings, and it was going to be difficult
9	<b>Q</b> And were these the investment bankers did	9	to manage eleven of those in one week, so
10	you go over this at the meeting?	10	<b>Q</b> Okay. And do you recall in this meeting using
11	A Yes.	11	the term self select to describe what may occur to some
12	<b>Q</b> Okay. And these were the investment bankers'	12	of the bidders?
13	comments on each of the bids?	13	A I might have.
14	A Yes.	14	<b>Q</b> Is that a term you use?
15	<b>Q</b> So the page 3 and 4, we're looking at the	15	<b>A</b> In a process like this, probably, but not every
16	investment bankers' comments on NextEra Energy's bid?	16	day.
17	A Yeah. I mean, I think it was I would not	17	<b>Q</b> And what do you mean by self select?
18	necessarily characterize it as their comments. They	18	<b>A</b> Meaning like what we discussed earlier, that,
19	were summarizing the bids in these charts. So I would	19	you know, when we were talking about an aggressive
20	say it's a summary of the bids.	20	timeline they would decide, well, that's something that
21	<b>Q</b> Okay. And in preparing a summary what you take	21	we can't meet, and so we might decide to not travel to
22	is what you believe is the most important parts of a bid	22	Atlanta for the management presentation. They would
23	and reduce it to those important parts?	23	kind of take themselves out of the process.
24	A Uh-huh.	24	<b>Q</b> Okay. Do you recall that after this process,
25	<b>Q</b> So that's what you believe J.P. Morgan and	25	and I guess including the calls the following day, on
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	74		76
1	74 Morgan Stanley did in this regard?	1	76 December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and
1 2		1 2	
	Morgan Stanley did in this regard?		December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and
2	Morgan Stanley did in this regard? <b>A</b> Yes.	2	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?
2 3	Morgan Stanley did in this regard? A Yes. Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason	2 3	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self
2 3 4	Morgan Stanley did in this regard? A Yes. Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason Gredell made about the NextEra bid?	2 3 4	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? <b>A</b> I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate.
2 3 4 5 6	Morgan Stanley did in this regard? A Yes. Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason Gredell water about the NextEra bid? A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so	2 3 4 5 6	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute
2 3 4 5 6 7	Morgan Stanley did in this regard? A Yes. Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason Gredell made about the NextEra bid? A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so Q In connection with every single one, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Morgan Stanley did in this regard? A Yes. Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason Gredell made about the NextEra bid? A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.) Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you</li> <li>recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.) Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell wade about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you</li> <li>recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically.</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.) Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through</li> <li>every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you</li> <li>recall any comments that were made about any of the bids</li> <li>specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.) Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you</li> <li>recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out? A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.) Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you</li> <li>recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself.</li> <li>(Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through</li> <li>every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you</li> <li>recall any comments that were made about any of the bids</li> <li>specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself.</li> <li>(Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself.</li> <li>(Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it also lists Lisa Green, the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself.</li> <li>(Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders to partner, did the January 30, 2020 deadline present a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through</li> <li>every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you</li> <li>recall any comments that were made about any of the bids</li> <li>specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it also lists Lisa Green, the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself.</li> <li>(Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders to partner, did the January 30, 2020 deadline present a particular problem for those those bidders?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it also lists Lisa Green, the</li> <li>Inspector General.</li> <li>Was she at the meeting, if you recall?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders to partner, did the January 30, 2020 deadline present a particular problem for those those bidders?</li> <li>A It might it might have.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it also lists Lisa Green, the</li> <li>Inspector General.</li> <li>Was she at the meeting, if you recall?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders to partner, did the January 30, 2020 deadline present a particular problem for those those bidders?</li> <li>A It might it might have.</li> <li>Q Did someone say that it did? Do you recall in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it also lists Lisa Green, the</li> <li>Inspector General.</li> <li>Was she at the meeting, if you recall?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q And Lisa Green is the person we talked about</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders to partner, did the January 30, 2020 deadline present a particular problem for those those bidders?</li> <li>A I t might it might have.</li> <li>Q Did someone say that it did? Do you recall in your December 4 calls?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it also lists Lisa Green, the</li> <li>Inspector General.</li> <li>Was she at the meeting, if you recall?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q And Lisa Green is the person we talked about just a few moments ago who took a recorded statement</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders to partner, did the January 30, 2020 deadline present a particular problem for those those bidders?</li> <li>A I t might it might have.</li> <li>Q Did someone say that it did? Do you recall in your December 4 calls?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it also lists Lisa Green, the</li> <li>Inspector General.</li> <li>Was she at the meeting, if you recall?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q And Lisa Green is the person we talked about just a few moments ago who took a recorded statement from you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself.         (Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders to partner, did the January 30, 2020 deadline present a particular problem for those those bidders?</li> <li>A It might it might have.</li> <li>Q Did someone say that it did? Do you recall in your December 4 calls?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Morgan Stanley did in this regard?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall any specific comments that Jason</li> <li>Gredell made about the NextEra bid?</li> <li>A Not specifically. I mean, they went through every single one of them with us, so</li> <li>Q In connection with every single one, do you recall any comments that were made about any of the bids specifically?</li> <li>A No, I no, I don't.</li> <li>Q Do you recall at this 12/3/2019 meeting that</li> <li>Carla Miller was present?</li> <li>A I don't remember. Is she on the agenda?</li> <li>Q Yes, she's on the list of attendees.</li> <li>A I I don't I don't remember if she was at that one or not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And it also lists Lisa Green, the</li> <li>Inspector General.</li> <li>Was she at the meeting, if you recall?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q And Lisa Green is the person we talked about just a few moments ago who took a recorded statement from you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>December 4th, did some bidders in fact self select and drop out?</li> <li>A I don't remember that anyone necessarily self selected. I'll leave it at that. I don't remember that anyone self selected to not participate. MR. RUSSELL: I need to take a five-minute break myself. (Short break.)</li> <li>Q At the 12/3 meeting in which the summary of replies was discussed, do you recall there was a discussion about the need for some of the bidders to partner with other bidders in order to have a chance of being successful?</li> <li>A I don't know if we talked about it on that day, but it did come up in conversation at some point.</li> <li>Q In connection with the need for those bidders to partner, did the January 30, 2020 deadline present a particular problem for those those bidders?</li> <li>A It might it might have.</li> <li>Q Did someone say that it did? Do you recall in your December 4 calls?</li> </ul>

	77		79
1	(Exhibit No. 12 was marked for identification.)	1	
2	<b>Q</b> I believe you told me you never saw this	2	
3	document. This actually is an evaluation matrix that	3	
4	was done before you were appointed by	4	point. I don't remember if it was on the 3rd or the
5	MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one?	5	•
6	MR. LINDSEY: Is this Exhibit 12?	6	<b>Q</b> The time of this meeting was 1:30.
7	MR. RUSSELL: Yeah. I'm sorry. I jumped	7	Do you recall on the 4th, if that was the date
8	ahead. I'm done with that one.	8	the calls were made, did you make some calls before this
9	<b>Q</b> This is an exhibit that was prepared quite a	9	meeting and some of the calls after this meeting to the
10	while before you were appointed as a negotiator, but it	10	bidders?
11	is an evaluation matrix, and I just want to confirm that	11	A I don't remember, but it's possible.
12	you never saw anything like this, or saw this particular	12	<b>Q</b> At the December 3 meeting where there was
13	document first.	13	further discussion, I think you said, about the
14	A No.	14	deadline, do you recall what the investment bankers had
15	<b>Q</b> Did you even and I may have asked this	15	
16	question, and I apologize if I did.	16	
17	Did you even know that a prior evaluation had	17	
18	been done in the initial bids?	18	
19	A Not that I recall.	19	
20 21	MS. BURCH: Are we through with this?	20 21	
21	MR. RUSSELL: Yeah. So that would become 12. MS. BURCH: I don't recall who Juli Crawford.	21	
22	MR. BLODGETT: JEA employee.	22	
24	MS. BURCH: Oh, okay.	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	Q Yeah. I think my first question asked you if	25	
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	78		80
1	knew if this evaluation matrix did this.	1	were that you recall?
2	A JEA employee. Right.	2	A Not that I'm aware of.
3	(Exhibit No. 13 was marked for identification.)	3	(Exhibit No. 14 was marked for identification.)
3 4	<ul><li>(Exhibit No. 13 was marked for identification.)</li><li><b>Q</b> And the next document, which will become</li></ul>	3 4	
			Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of
4 5 6	<b>Q</b> And the next document, which will become Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic) negotiation strategy session.	4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that</li> <li>require that confidentiality.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q       Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of         Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it         indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has         text that talks about the need for you to maintain         confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and         describes a number of code provisions and statutes that         require that confidentiality.         Do you recall being provided this document?         A       Like I said before, I've signed several of         these along the way, so when you say provided the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> <li>a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that</li> <li>require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> <li>a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get</li> <li>in all of the phone calls with the proposers.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic) negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get in all of the phone calls with the proposers.</li> <li>Q But you don't recall</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> <li>this at the beginning of every meeting, negotiation</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> <li>a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get</li> <li>in all of the phone calls with the proposers.</li> <li>Q But you don't recall</li> <li>A So it's possible.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> <li>this at the beginning of every meeting, negotiation</li> <li>strategy meeting?</li> <li>A I don't know about the beginning of every</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> <li>a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get</li> <li>in all of the phone calls with the proposers.</li> <li>Q But you don't recall</li> <li>A So it's possible.</li> <li>Q anything specific about this meeting that</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it</li> <li>indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has</li> <li>text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that</li> <li>require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> <li>this at the beginning of every meeting, negotiation</li> <li>strategy meeting?</li> <li>A I don't know about the beginning of every</li> <li>meeting, but it was</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> <li>a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get</li> <li>in all of the phone calls with the proposers.</li> <li>Q But you don't recall</li> <li>A So it's possible.</li> <li>Q anything specific about this meeting that</li> <li>occurred amongst the negotiation team?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it</li> <li>indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has</li> <li>text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that</li> <li>require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I 've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> <li>this at the beginning of every meeting, negotiation</li> <li>strategy meeting?</li> <li>A I don't know about the beginning of every</li> <li>meeting, but it was</li> <li>Q Multiple?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> <li>a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get</li> <li>in all of the phone calls with the proposers.</li> <li>Q But you don't recall</li> <li>A So it's possible.</li> <li>Q anything specific about this meeting that</li> <li>occurred amongst the negotiation team?</li> <li>A Well, yeah, because I don't know if we</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it</li> <li>indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has</li> <li>text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that</li> <li>require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> <li>this at the beginning of every meeting, negotiation</li> <li>strategy meeting?</li> <li>A I don't know about the beginning of every</li> <li>meeting, but it was</li> <li>Q Multiple?</li> <li>A usually daily when we signed these.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> <li>a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get</li> <li>in all of the phone calls with the proposers.</li> <li>Q But you don't recall</li> <li>A So it's possible.</li> <li>Q anything specific about this meeting that</li> <li>occurred amongst the negotiation team?</li> <li>A Well, yeah, because I don't know if we</li> <li>discussed it, you know, on the 4th, or maybe on the 3rd, but we had a discussion in a strategy session about, you</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it</li> <li>indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has</li> <li>text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that</li> <li>require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> <li>this at the beginning of every meeting, negotiation</li> <li>strategy meeting?</li> <li>A I don't know about the beginning of every</li> <li>meeting, but it was</li> <li>Q Multiple?</li> <li>A usually daily when we signed these.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Because it was intended to cover that day,</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q And the next document, which will become</li> <li>Exhibit 13, is the agenda for the 12/4/29(sic)</li> <li>negotiation strategy session.</li> <li>My understanding is that this date, 12/4, was</li> <li>actually the day that you and Mr. Giardinelli made the</li> <li>calls to the bidders.</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q Okay. And was there a meeting separate of</li> <li>those calls?</li> <li>A Based on what you're showing me, it looks like</li> <li>it, yes. I don't remember specifically. I think we had</li> <li>a lot of meetings set up that day because we had to get</li> <li>in all of the phone calls with the proposers.</li> <li>Q But you don't recall</li> <li>A So it's possible.</li> <li>Q anything specific about this meeting that</li> <li>occurred amongst the negotiation team?</li> <li>A Well, yeah, because I don't know if we</li> <li>discussed it, you know, on the 4th, or maybe on the 3rd, but we had a discussion in a strategy session about, you</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Exhibit 14 is Acknowledgment of</li> <li>Confidentiality. It's dated November 27, 2019, and it</li> <li>indicates ITN, negotiation strategy meeting, and it has</li> <li>text that talks about the need for you to maintain</li> <li>confidentiality concerning the ITN process, and</li> <li>describes a number of code provisions and statutes that</li> <li>require that confidentiality.</li> <li>Do you recall being provided this document?</li> <li>A Like I said before, I've signed several of</li> <li>these along the way, so when you say provided the</li> <li>document, I signed them and gave them back to JEA, so</li> <li>Q And you said something I'm just unaware of;</li> <li>that you believe you may have signed a document like</li> <li>this at the beginning of every meeting, negotiation</li> <li>strategy meeting?</li> <li>A I don't know about the beginning of every</li> <li>meeting, but it was</li> <li>Q Multiple?</li> <li>A usually daily when we signed these.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Because it was intended to cover that day,</li> </ul>

	01	1	00
	81	4	83
1	day.	1	<b>Q</b> Okay. Now, in the presentation of management
2	Q So when you say daily, you mean daily as to	2	did the negotiators talk at all during that part of the
3	each day that you had a JE	3	meeting?
4	A No.	4	A During the presentation?
5	Q ITN meeting?	5	Q Yes.
6	A Yes.	6	<b>A</b> No. Typically not until the question and
7	Q You didn't every day just get up and sign one	7	answer period.
8	of these?	8	<b>Q</b> And then at the second part of the meeting when
9	A Right.	9	bidders were asking questions would negotiators respond?
10	<b>Q</b> Okay.	10	A From time to time.
11	A Yes.	11	<b>Q</b> Would the subject matter experts also respond?
12	<b>Q</b> All right.	12	A Yes.
13	<b>A</b> Thank you for correcting that, but, yes, that's	13	<b>Q</b> And did on some occasions the consultants, the
14	what I meant.	14	investment bankers, or the lawyers respond to the bidder
15	(Exhibit No. 15 was marked for identification.)	15	questions?
16	<b>Q</b> And the next agenda is for the 12/9 negotiation	16	A Yes.
17	strategy meeting, and it says Item 1, the topic is	17	<b>Q</b> Okay. So if you got this document
18	Production of Management Presentation Document.	18	MS. BURCH: Are we done with this one?
19	Can you tell me what the management	19	MR. RUSSELL: Yes, we're done with 15, and
20	presentation document was?	20	thank you very much.
21	<b>A</b> That was the document that JEA used during the	21	(Exhibit No. 16 was marked for identification.)
22	management presentations.	22	<b>Q</b> So the next document which will become
23	<b>Q</b> And if I understand what's going to happen,	23	Exhibit 16 is the Management Presentation For Project
24	that document was going to be used I think beginning the	24	Scampi, and it shows a date on the cover of 2019.
25	next day on December 10th, in which the negotiation team	25	This is a document that you recall that you
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	82		- · ·
	02		84
1	was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?	1	84 first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in
1 2		1 2	
	was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?		first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in
2	was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta? A Yes.	2	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?
2 3	<ul><li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document</li></ul>	2 3	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes. Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? <b>A</b> Yes. <b>Q</b> I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15.</li> <li>A All right. Sorry. Back to 15.</li> <li>Q Sure, please.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15.</li> <li>A All right. Sorry. Back to 15.</li> <li>Q Sure, please.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q It says: Production of draft APA.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15.</li> <li>A All right. Sorry. Back to 15.</li> <li>Q Sure, please.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q It says: Production of draft APA. That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes. Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15. A All right. Sorry. Back to 15. Q Sure, please. A Okay. Q It says: Production of draft APA. That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier? A Uh-huh. Q And do you recall if the draft APA document was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes. Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15. A All right. Sorry. Back to 15. Q Sure, please. A Okay. Q It says: Production of draft APA. That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier? A Uh-huh. Q And do you recall if the draft APA document was available to you at this meeting? A I do not remember. Q And Number 3 is MIRA Communication.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> <li>Was it the 10th, the 11th, and 12th of December, or was the last date</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes. Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15. A All right. Sorry. Back to 15. Q Sure, please. A Okay. Q It says: Production of draft APA. That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier? A Uh-huh. Q And do you recall if the draft APA document was available to you at this meeting? A I do not remember. Q And Number 3 is MIRA Communication. Do understand what MIRA Communication refers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> <li>Was it the 10th, the 11th, and 12th of December, or was the last date</li> <li>A Without looking at a calendar, it was either a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes. Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15. A All right. Sorry. Back to 15. Q Sure, please. A Okay. Q It says: Production of draft APA. That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier? A Uh-huh. Q And do you recall if the draft APA document was available to you at this meeting? A I do not remember. Q And Number 3 is MIRA Communication. Do understand what MIRA Communication refers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> <li>Was it the 10th, the 11th, and 12th of December, or was the last date</li> <li>A Without looking at a calendar, it was either a total of three or four days.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?     A Yes.     Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15.     A All right. Sorry. Back to 15.     Q Sure, please.     A Okay.     Q It says: Production of draft APA.     That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier?     A Uh-huh.     Q And do you recall if the draft APA document was available to you at this meeting?     A I do not remember.     Q And Number 3 is MIRA Communication. Do understand what MIRA Communication refers to?     A I believe that's referring to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> <li>Was it the 10th, the 11th, and 12th of December, or was the last date</li> <li>A Without looking at a calendar, it was either a total of three or four days.</li> <li>Q Okay. And tell me these meetings; what was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes. Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15. A All right. Sorry. Back to 15. Q Sure, please. A Okay. Q It says: Production of draft APA. That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier? A Uh-huh. Q And do you recall if the draft APA document was available to you at this meeting? A I do not remember. Q And Number 3 is MIRA Communication. Do understand what MIRA Communication refers to? A I believe that's referring to Q Pardon me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> <li>Was it the 10th, the 11th, and 12th of December, or was the last date</li> <li>A Without looking at a calendar, it was either a total of three or four days.</li> <li>Q Okay. And tell me these meetings; what was the structure of these meetings with the bidders?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes. Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15. A All right. Sorry. Back to 15. Q Sure, please. A Okay. Q It says: Production of draft APA. That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier? A Uh-huh. Q And do you recall if the draft APA document was available to you at this meeting? A I do not remember. Q And Number 3 is MIRA Communication. Do understand what MIRA Communication refers to? A I believe that's referring to Q Pardon me. A I believe so when we say MIRA we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> <li>Was it the 10th, the 11th, and 12th of December, or was the last date</li> <li>A Without looking at a calendar, it was either a total of three or four days.</li> <li>Q Okay. And tell me these meetings; what was the structure of these meetings with the bidders?</li> <li>A JEA would go through their management</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta? A Yes. Q Yes. Q I'm sorry. One further question I forgot to ask on 15. A All right. Sorry. Back to 15. Q Sure, please. A Okay. Q It says: Production of draft APA. That's the asset purchase agreement we talked about earlier? A Uh-huh. Q And do you recall if the draft APA document was available to you at this meeting? A I do not remember. Q And Number 3 is MIRA Communication. Do understand what MIRA Communication refers to? A I believe that's referring to Q Pardon me. A I believe so when we say MIRA we're referribut to the Macquarie team, and I believe they had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> <li>Was it the 10th, the 11th, and 12th of December, or was the last date</li> <li>A Without looking at a calendar, it was either a total of three or four days.</li> <li>Q Okay. And tell me these meetings; what was the structure of these meetings with the bidders?</li> <li>A JEA would go through their management presentation, which took an hour to two hours I believe</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>was meeting with the bidders in Atlanta?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Was the production presentation document actually at this meeting? Did you get to see it?</li> <li>A I don't remember that. My first recollection of receiving the presentation document was at the very first meeting that we had with the proposer.</li> <li>Q Okay. And since you haven't seen it, I will take it as true that you had no input into what the document was going to say?</li> <li>A No, no.</li> <li>Q And at the meetings, which I do believe began on that next day, which was the 10th of December</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q do you know how long the meetings went on?</li> <li>Was it the 10th, the 11th, and 12th of December, or was the last date</li> <li>A Without looking at a calendar, it was either a total of three or four days.</li> <li>Q Okay. And tell me these meetings; what was the structure of these meetings with the bidders?</li> <li>A JEA would go through their management presentation, which took an hour to two hours I believe for them to do that, and then we reserved about an hour</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>first saw when the meeting with the bidders began in Atlanta?</pre>

	85			87
1	<b>Q</b> Was the letter about MIRA dropping out of the	1	Q	Did you have an understanding as to what the
2	process?	2		oduct that was being done by the lawyers? Were
3	A It may have been.	3		the process of preparing an asset purchase and
4	Q Did they in fact drop out of the process?	4		eement that would be a generic agreement that
5	<ul> <li>A I don't think anybody did before we before</li> </ul>	5	-	e used by any of the bidders
6	it was terminated.	6	A	Yes.
7	<b>Q</b> Okay. We talked about the in the December 3	7	Q	who actually signed it?
8	meeting that the investment bankers thought that the	8	4	And none of these agreements were prepared
9	January 20, 2020 date was aggressive.	9	by for	a specific bid, or to match up to a specific
10	Do you recall where the subject matter experts	10	bid?	a specific bid, of to match up to a specific
11	were on that topic? Were they of the belief that it was	11	<b>A</b>	That's my understanding.
12	too aggressive also?	12	Q	Okay. And I think we talked about
13	<b>A</b> What I remember is that I think everybody felt	13	4	MR. RUSSELL: This will be 17 then no,
14	that the timeline was aggressive. We all knew that it	14	AVCI	use me. This will be 18. That will be 17.
15	was moving the timeline up. So we all knew that it was	15	CAC	(Exhibit No. 18 was marked for identification.)
16	an aggressive timeline, but I think those of us who were	16	Q	And 18 is a System Coordination Agreement.
17	more who lived in Jacksonville and, you know, worked	17	4	Do you recall what this document had to do in
18	for either JEA or the City were very well aware of what	18	connecti	on with the sale of JEA?
19	was going on with the news media at the time, and the	19	A	No I don't remember this one at all.
20	public conversation that was happening.	20	Q	And so you don't know were these I'll
21	So I think we were all kind of balancing an	21		n, for lack of a better term, sub agreements.
22	aggressive timeline with also trying to get the	22		To your knowledge, were any of sub agreements
23	procurement completed at some point.	23	beina pr	epared based upon the structure of any specific
24	<b>Q</b> Okay. So you said the decision was made or	24	bids?	
25	not going to alter the January 20 January 30, 2020	25	Α	Of any specific bids?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	86			88
1	86 deadline. Whose decision was that?	1	Q	
1		1	Q	88 Right. No.
	deadline. Whose decision was that?			Right.
2	<ul><li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li><li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We</li></ul>	2		Right. No.
2 3	<ul><li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li><li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a</li></ul>	2 3		Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen,</li> </ul>	2 3 4	A	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	A	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	A	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<b>Q</b> 12, 20	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q 12, 20 A	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q 12, 20 A	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q 12, 20 A	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q 12, 20 A Q	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q 12, 20 A Q	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16. (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate but the Q	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16. (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Okay. 17 is the Asset Purchase and Sale</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate but the Q	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it. Okay. And there really was just two specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16. (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Okay. 17 is the Asset Purchase and Sale</li> <li>Agreement we talked about before. It shows in the upper</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate but the Q paragraj	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it. Okay. And there really was just two specific obs I wanted to ask you about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes. <ul> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q Okay. 17 is the Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement we talked about before. It shows in the upper right-hand corner that it's a draft prepared by the law</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate A but the Q paragrag A Q	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it. Okay. And there really was just two specific phs I wanted to ask you about. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16. (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Okay. 17 is the Asset Purchase and Sale</li> <li>Agreement we talked about before. It shows in the upper right-hand corner that it's a draft prepared by the law firm of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw &amp; Pittman, and dated</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate but the Q paragrap A Q think it v	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it. Okay. And there really was just two specific obs I wanted to ask you about. Okay. First, the mayor seems to have adopted I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16. (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Okay. 17 is the Asset Purchase and Sale</li> <li>Agreement we talked about before. It shows in the upper right-hand corner that it's a draft prepared by the law firm of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw &amp; Pittman, and dated 12/12/19.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate but the Q paragrap A Q think it v	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it. Okay. And there really was just two specific ohs I wanted to ask you about. Okay. First, the mayor seems to have adopted I was originally your idea that the ITN should conclude by the end of January. On
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes. <ul> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q Okay. 17 is the Asset Purchase and Sale</li> <li>Agreement we talked about before. It shows in the upper right-hand corner that it's a draft prepared by the law firm of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw &amp; Pittman, and dated 12/12/19.</li> <li>Do you recall seeing this document, Ms. Burch?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate but the Q paragraj A Q think it v process	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it. Okay. And there really was just two specific ohs I wanted to ask you about. Okay. First, the mayor seems to have adopted I was originally your idea that the ITN should conclude by the end of January. On
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes. <ul> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q Okay. 17 is the Asset Purchase and Sale</li> </ul> <li>Agreement we talked about before. It shows in the upper right-hand corner that it's a draft prepared by the law firm of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw &amp; Pittman, and dated 12/12/19. <ul> <li>Do you recall seeing this document, Ms. Burch?</li> <li>A I can't say specifically. I I may have</li> </ul> </li>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate but the paragrag A Q think it u process page 2 -	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from 019 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it. Okay. And there really was just two specific obs I wanted to ask you about. Okay. First, the mayor seems to have adopted I was originally your idea that the ITN should conclude by the end of January. On  What paragraph. paragraph 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>deadline. Whose decision was that?</li> <li>A I don't think we ever made a decision. We talked about it, but I don't think we ever came to a conclusion that that's what was going to happen, because, like I said, there still was a there still was a lot of negotiation that had that had to happen. And so I personally never felt like we actually settled on January 30th as a deadline.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as of the date that the termination occurred, December 24th, the day before Christmas as of December 24th, in your mind, what would be the submission deadline, was still subject to change?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: That was 16. (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q Okay. 17 is the Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement we talked about before. It shows in the upper right-hand corner that it's a draft prepared by the law firm of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw &amp; Pittman, and dated 12/12/19.</li> <li>Do you recall seeing this document, Ms. Burch?</li> <li>A I can't say specifically. I I may have reviewed it, but I reviewed a lot of documents, so I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q 12, 20 A Q wate but the Q paragrap A Q think it v process page 2 - A	Right. No. MR. RUSSELL: And so we marked that one as 18. (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) Number 19 is a letter dated December 12th from D19 from Mayor Curry to JEA board members. Do you recall reading this letter? Can I read it first? Sure. Absolutely. MR. RUSSELL: And I'll go get some water. Take your time. I'm going to grab a bottle of er. MS. BURCH: Okay. So I don't recall ever reading this document, re was obviously conversation about it. Okay. And there really was just two specific obs I wanted to ask you about. Okay. First, the mayor seems to have adopted I was originally your idea that the ITN should conclude by the end of January. On

	89		91
1	Q Yes.	1	<b>Q</b> Just so we can identify it, what this is an
2	A Looks like it.	2	updated revised reply instructions for the Project
3	<b>Q</b> Okay. Is that just a coincidence?	3	Scampi Invitation to Negotiate. And what your
4	A I don't know.	4	negotiation team had seen before was just revised reply
5	<b>Q</b> Do you know if he had knowledge that the	5	instructions.
6	January 20, 2020 date was being used by the negotiation	6	So this is a further request, if I understand
7	team in talking to the bidders?	7	it, and if you agree with me, that further replies be
8	A I don't know.	8	submitted by the bidders.
9	<b>Q</b> Okay. And then in paragraph 3 the concept I	9	A That is my understanding.
10	wanted to talk to you about it says: the mayor's	10	<b>Q</b> Okay.
11	saying that a top tier of the proposals should be given	11	<b>A</b> And if you got this from NextEra, then, you
12	to the City Council for them to review and consider.	12	know
13	And that's different than the idea being	13	<b>Q</b> It went out.
14	pursued by the negotiation team of a single bidder.	14	A Yeah, I would assume that it went out.
15	A Yes, it was.	15	<b>Q</b> Yeah, it did.
16	<b>Q</b> But did the negotiation team ever meet again	16	And then my question is, do you know if any
17	before the cancelation of the ITN and discuss the	17	updated revised replies were provided to JEA or to the
18	mayor's request demand or, excuse me, the request	18	negotiation team actually by any of the bidders?
19	to the JEA board members that a top tier of bidders be	19	A No, because they weren't we didn't expect
20	provided to the board?	20	them until January.
21	<b>A</b> Yes. We talked about it at a strategy session.	21	(Exhibit No. 21 was marked for identification.)
22	I don't remember what the date was, but I know there was	22	<b>Q</b> Then 21 is a lot of people showing up for a
23	conversation about it.	23	canceled meeting, and this looks like a meeting that was
24	<b>Q</b> Can you tell me about that conversation,	24	scheduled for the negotiation team and NextEra.
25	please?	25	Is that my understanding of this?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	90		92
1	A Yeah, it was really you know, up until this	1	A So without me I I don't remember exactly,
2	time we had all been on the path to choose one entity,	2	but what this looks like, because it includes U.S. Water on there U.S. Water.
4	and we were contemplating, you know, his comments in the letter, as it had been reported in the press, whether	4	
_	that was even possible, you know, because we all felt	5	I you know what? I can't tell you specifically if this was it may have been partnering
5 6	that or I I can't speak for everybody else, but I	6	meeting as well.
7	felt that we had to close the procurement in order to be	7	<b>Q</b> My understanding is NextEra makes it on this
8	able to actually send something to the JEA board.	8	agenda with U.S. Water because they were talking about
9	And in my mind, the only way you could close a	9	partnering on the water side.
10	procurement was to have a best value proposer to	10	A Very possible.
11	present.	11	Q Okay. And it got canceled. I just don't know
12	MR. RUSSELL: So that will be 19, I hope.	12	what it is.
13	(Exhibit No. 20 was marked for identification.)	13	What is the FTI Regulatory Report? Do you
14	<b>Q</b> And 20 again is is a document. I don't know	14	know?
15	whether you would have even seen this document.	15	A Oh, yeah. That was a report that had been
16	First, a very confusing thing about the	16	prepared by a different advisor, and it was talking
17	document is it indicates it's from NextEra, but that's	17	about as far as with the states, the what's the
18	because the produced copy we got was produced by NextEra	18	commission? Like the utility the state's yeah,
19	and they put their stamp on it. So this is actually a	19	the PSE. That's right. Public Service Commission.
20	JEA document.	20	Kind of there was a lot of discussions about
21	Did you ever see this document?	21	what types of approvals would have to be obtained from
22	A Let me take a look.	22	the Public Service Commission. So that was a report
23	So I can't tell you specifically whether I	23	that that particular consultant was you know, had
24	reviewed this. I can't tell you specifically whether I	24	prepared on what approvals would be required, and which
25	reviewed this or not.	25	ones wouldn't for the different type of transactions.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	93		95
1	<b>Q</b> Okay. And in the first line in parens is the	1	negotiation team about the INT process?
2	term Jethawk. Do you see that?	2	<b>A</b> So outside of talking to people inside the
3	A Yeah.	3	office?
4	<b>Q</b> Do you know what Jethawk means?	4	Q Inside whose office?
5	A I don't.	5	<b>A</b> The mayor's office. Those who my colleagues
6	<b>Q</b> Do you recall that it was a code name for	6	who I work with inside the mayor's office, my husband
7	NextEra?	7	about scheduling purposes, no, there were no
8	<b>A</b> I don't remember that.	8	conversations outside that.
9	<b>Q</b> Okay. And this meeting, which was scheduled	9	<b>Q</b> And the conversations that happened with those
10	for 2 o'clock on November 23rd, 2019, was canceled.	10	inside the mayor's office were limited to scheduling
11	Do you know why it was canceled?	11	issues?
12	<b>A</b> Well, based on the date and the time, I believe	12	A Yes.
13	it's because that was after the mayor had come out and	13	<b>Q</b> No substantive conversations about what was
14	publicly asked the JEA board to cancel the ITN.	14	going on in the ITN process?
15	(Exhibit No. 22 was marked for identification.)	15	A Correct.
16	<b>Q</b> What I have as the document, which is the	16	<b>Q</b> Okay.
17	that will become 22, the Notice of Cancelation of the	17	MR. BLODGETT: Let's just take a five-minute
18	ITN and it's dated December 24, 2019.	18	break, and I think we're going to wrap it up with
19	A Uh-huh.	19	some questions about your cell phone records.
20	<b>Q</b> And this is from the JEA.	20	MS. BURCH: Okay.
21	So it's your recollection that prior to this	21	(Short break.)
22	notice coming out there was public knowledge of the	22	BY MR. BLODGETT:
23	mayor requesting JEA to cancel the ITN?	23	<b>Q</b> Ms. Burch, my name is Kevin Blodgett. I just
24	A That's what I remember.	24	want to say before I begin my questions, I know that you
25	<b>Q</b> Okay. And then JEA actually did that, because	25	have a lot going on right now with the City and the
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	94		96
1	it had its choice to cancel or not. It did it on the	1	Covid crisis, and I've heard nothing but good things
2	following day?	2	about what you're doing, so I truly appreciate you
3	A Correct.	3	coming in and speaking with us.
4	Q Is it your belief that the INT process was in	4	A Thank you.
5	fact canceled by the JEA because of the mayor's request?	5	Q Because I've heard you're working 60, 80,
6	<b>A</b> I would say, no, that's not my belief. The JEA	6	100-hour weeks, and we really appreciate that.
7	board acts independently, and so it was up to them to	7	<ul> <li>A It's been a lot. Thank you.</li> <li>Co with in that in mind. It coincide to true to</li> </ul>
8 9	have the discussion whether they wanted to take his comments under advisement or not.	8 9	<b>Q</b> So with in that in mind, I'm going to try to get you through this as quickly as I can.
10	<b>Q</b> Sure. So do you have a belief that they would	10	A Okay.
11	have canceled it without the mayor's request as if it	11	Q And some of these questions are going to seem
12	had never occurred? The JEA board.	12	silly, but trust me, I wouldn't ask them if I didn't
13	<b>A</b> That's hypothetical for me to, you know, opine	13	think I had to, so
14	on what they were going to do, and I can't I can't do	14	A Understood.
15	that.	15	<b>Q</b> I want to begin just with some general
16	Q You don't know?	16	questions about cell phone or cell phones you had in
17	A I don't know.	17	November or December of 2019.
18	<b>Q</b> During the ITN process did you ever speak to	18	Based on the records you provided to us, my
19	any member of the JEA board?	19	understanding is that you were using a cell phone while
20	A No, outside of I think I met April Green in the	20	you were participating in the ITN negotiations. Is that
21	elevator once, and that was it.	21	accurate?
22	<b>Q</b> And just to wind it up and be clear, consistent	22	A Potentially. You mean during a strategy
23	with the confidentiality agreement and what you	23	session?
24	understood about the code of silence, your knowledge is	24	<b>Q</b> Well, did you just have a cell phone in
25	that you never spoke to anybody outside of the	25	November/December 2019?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	97		99
1	A So I have two cell phones. One is my personal	1	A Yes, I do.
2	and one is my City cell phone.	2	MR. LINDSEY: She will do it promptly.
3	<b>Q</b> Did you provide records for both cell phones?	3	MS. BURCH: Yes, I will do it promptly.
4	A Only for my personal.	4	MR. BLODGETT: I appreciate that.
5	<b>Q</b> Okay. Did you conduct any business relating to	5	<b>Q</b> So my questions are going to be just tailored
6	the ITN process through the I assume the City cell	6	then to your personal cell phone.
7	phone you had?	7	A Yes.
8	A Not not that I remember. But I would ask if	8	<b>Q</b> And your personal cell phone is the device from
9	I could as a follow up when we leave here to just go	9	which you produced the records that we received?
10	through and check that, because I only remember using my	10	A Yes.
11	personal cell phone, and so I did not look at my COJ	11	<b>Q</b> Okay. Do you know what type of cell phone you
12	cell phone.	12	were using in December and November of 2019?
13	So if I could follow up with that with you all,	13	A Yes. It's a iPhone 11 Pro, I believe.
14	I'll do that when I leave.	14	<b>Q</b> Okay. And you still have that phone; it's with
15	<b>Q</b> Just so we're clear, you're going to go back	15	you here today?
16	when we're done, look at your City cell phone records	16	A Yes.
17	and see if there are any documents responsive to Lanny	17	<b>Q</b> And do you know what the phone number is
18	Russell's request, which is Exhibit 24.	18	associated with that phone?
19	THE REPORTER: Exhibit 23.	19	<b>A</b> (904)654-4948.
20	MR. BLODGETT: Yeah, we're skipping 23. It	20	<b>Q</b> And who is the service provider for that cell
21	goes from 22 to 24.	21	phone as of November and December of 2019?
22	(Exhibit No. 24 was marked for identification.)	22	A Verizon.
23	MR. BLODGETT: Good catch.	23	<b>Q</b> And Verizon is still your cell phone service
24	<b>Q</b> Do you have any idea about the timeframe that	24	provider?
25	will require?	25	A Yes.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	98		100
			100
1	A I can get it I can find out by the end of	1	<b>Q</b> So other than that cell phone and your City of
1 2		1 2	
	A I can get it I can find out by the end of		<b>Q</b> So other than that cell phone and your City of
2	<b>A</b> I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.	2	<b>Q</b> So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell
2 3	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want</li> </ul>	2 3	<b>Q</b> So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A n appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: We'll say by Monday.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Or Tuesday. That's fine.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> <li>Q Would you be able to give us a copy of that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Or Tuesday. That's fine.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Give her until Wednesday.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> <li>Q Would you be able to give us a copy of that document?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> <li>Q And the only reason I'm even asking you about a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> <li>Q Would you be able to give us a copy of that document?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: We'll say by Monday.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Or Tuesday. That's fine.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Give her until Wednesday.</li> <li>Q And the only reason I'm even asking you about a timeframe is because my understanding is that not all</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> <li>Q Would you be able to give us a copy of that document?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: I don't have anything to take a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want</li> <li>to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: We'll say by Monday.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Or Tuesday. That's fine.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Give her until Wednesday.</li> <li>Q And the only reason I'm even asking you about a timeframe is because my understanding is that not all City Hall is open yet, so I just didn't know if you have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> <li>Q Would you be able to give us a copy of that document?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: I don't have anything to take a note with, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: We'll say by Monday.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Or Tuesday. That's fine.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Give her until Wednesday.</li> <li>Q And the only reason I'm even asking you about a timeframe is because my understanding is that not all City Hall is open yet, so I just didn't know if you have IT assistants to help you, or anything like that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> <li>Q Would you be able to give us a copy of that document?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: I don't have anything to take a note with, but</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: I've got it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> <li>Q Surch: We'll say by Monday.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Or Tuesday. That's fine.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Give her until Wednesday.</li> <li>Q And the only reason I'm even asking you about a timeframe is because my understanding is that not all City Hall is open yet, so I just didn't know if you have IT assistants to help you, or anything like that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> <li>Q Would you be able to give us a copy of that document?</li> <li>A Sure.     MS. BURCH: I don't have anything to take a note with, but     MR. LINDSEY: I've got it.     MS. BURCH: You've got it. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A I can get it I can find out by the end of the week.</li> <li>Q Okay. It's not a super rush. I just want to</li> <li>A Yeah, I really don't think there's anything on there, but I did not think to check it, but I realize now that I should do that.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, I'll get you something by the end of the week, if I have anything.</li> <li>Q Okay. We appreciate it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Tomorrow.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: It is, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: We'll need a little more than that.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: We'll say by Monday.</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Or Tuesday. That's fine.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Give her until Wednesday.</li> <li>Q And the only reason I'm even asking you about a timeframe is because my understanding is that not all City Hall is open yet, so I just didn't know if you have IT assistants to help you, or anything like that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q So other than that cell phone and your City of Jacksonville cell phone, did you use any other cell phones while you were an ITN negotiator?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you have an employment agreement with the City of Jacksonville?</li> <li>A An appointment agreement?</li> <li>Q Employment. Sorry.</li> <li>A Employment. No.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed an agreement with the City of Jacksonville that governs how you are supposed to use your cell phone?</li> <li>A There I know the City has a I'm pretty sure the City has a policy. There's an electronics use policy that the City has that I believe I've signed.</li> <li>Q Do you recall when you signed that document?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I'd have to check.</li> <li>Q Would you be able to give us a copy of that document?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>MS. BURCH: I don't have anything to take a note with, but</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: I've got it.</li> </ul>

		101		103
1	Q	Thank you.	1	<b>Q</b> Okay. And if they are responsive you'll
2	Α	And I to be clear, I assume you want the one	2	produce them to us?
3	that I s	igned?	3	A Yes.
4	Q	Correct.	4	<b>Q</b> Okay. And my understanding is and this may
5	Α	You want some proof that I signed it?	5	or may not be correct is that you accidentally
6	Q	Right.	6	deleted one or more text messages with John McCarthy
7	Α	Okay.	7	prior to coming here today?
8	Q	So the first document I'm going to talk about	8	A Yes.
9	is an e-r	nail that's Exhibit 24, and it's it begins	9	<b>Q</b> Are there any other cell phone records you're
10	with the	the top of the chain is: From Warren	10	aware of that are responsive to Mr. Russell's request
11	Lindsey	to Lanny Russell, but I'm mostly going to talk	11	that you did not produce to us?
12	about th	e last page, which is page 3. It's an e-mail	12	A None that I'm aware of.
13	from Lar	nny Russell to Warren Lindsey dated June 1st,	13	<b>Q</b> Do you recall how many texts were deleted
14	2020.		14	between you and Mr. McCarthy?
15	Α	Uh-huh.	15	A It was my whole chain at the time. So whatever
16	Q	And it contains the request for documents we	16	I had with John from the day that I met him, which I
17	made fo	r you to bring with you or produce prior to this	17	believe was November 25th, through whatever the last
18	stateme	nt today.	18	date was.
19	Α	Uh-huh.	19	I remember I was at the airport in Atlanta, so
20	Q	Did you comply with that production request?	20	it would have been the day that we were leaving Atlanta.
21	Α	So there's still a couple things pending.	21	So
22	Number	r one would be COJ cell phone that I need to check.	22	<b>Q</b> So you believe that you deleted one text chain,
23	And the	e other thing that's pending is I did ask ITD to	23	but it may have comprised multiple text messages?
24	do a sea	arch of my e-mails and calendar appointments.	24	A Correct.
25		I received a response from them late Tuesday.	25	<b>Q</b> And do you recall how you accidentally deleted
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		102		104
1	Some o	f the response is included in a PST file that I	1	those messages?
2		ot yet figured out how to open, and I just did not	2	<b>A</b> No. My phone's fairly new. I had just gotten
3		me yesterday to get with ITD to give me	3	it towards the latter part of October, so I was still
4		tion on how to open that so I could review the	4	kind of learning how to use it, because not only was it
5		ut I do have I do have that to respond as	5	a new phone, but I had switched over from Google a
6	well.		6	Google phone I forget what they're called Android.
7	Q	And just for clarification, what is ITD?	7	I had switched from Android to iPhone, and so was still
8	<b>A</b>	It's our IT department. I can't remember what	8	learning how to use it, and I was just fiddling around
9		ands for.	9	with it in the airport and accidentally deleted the
10	Q	Information and technology department.	10	chain with John.
11	A	Yes. Thank you. Thank you. That's what it	11	Q Do you remember the general timeframe that you
12 13	is.		12 13	<ul><li>made the switch from Android to iPhone?</li><li>A It was when I got this phone in the latter part</li></ul>
14		I just didn't want to make the accumption	14	<b>A</b> It was when I got this phone in the latter part
14	Q	I just didn't want to make the assumption.	14	of October Solit was between mid and late October
	Q A	Information and technology, yes.	15	of October. So it was between mid and late October.
16	Q A Q		15 16	<b>Q</b> Of 2019?
16 17	Q A Q an	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not	16	<ul><li>Q Of 2019?</li><li>A Yes.</li></ul>
17	Q A Q an A	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not Yes.	16 17	<ul> <li>Q Of 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to your knowledge you did not delete any</li> </ul>
17 18	Q A Q an A Q	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not Yes. outside service provider?	16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q Of 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to your knowledge you did not delete any other texts relating to the ITN process from your phone?</li> </ul>
17 18 19	Q A Q an A Q A	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not Yes. outside service provider? Correct.	16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q Of 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to your knowledge you did not delete any other texts relating to the ITN process from your phone?</li> <li>A To my knowledge.</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20	Q A Q an A Q A Q	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not Yes. outside service provider? Correct. So in addition to looking at the potential	16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q Of 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to your knowledge you did not delete any other texts relating to the ITN process from your phone?</li> <li>A To my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what the deleted text with</li> </ul>
17 18 19	Q A Q an A Q A Q records	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not Yes. outside service provider? Correct. So in addition to looking at the potential you may or may not have with the City of	16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q Of 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to your knowledge you did not delete any other texts relating to the ITN process from your phone?</li> <li>A To my knowledge.</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q an A Q A Q records	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not Yes. outside service provider? Correct. So in addition to looking at the potential	16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q Of 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to your knowledge you did not delete any other texts relating to the ITN process from your phone?</li> <li>A To my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what the deleted text with Mr. McCarthy said?</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q an A Q A Q records d Jacksony	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not Yes. outside service provider? Correct. So in addition to looking at the potential you may or may not have with the City of ville cell phone, you're going to go back and	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q Of 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to your knowledge you did not delete any</li> <li>other texts relating to the ITN process from your phone?</li> <li>A To my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what the deleted text with</li> <li>Mr. McCarthy said?</li> <li>A No. Most of my text messages with him, as they</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q an A Q A Q records d Jacksony	Information and technology, yes. That's with the City of Jacksonville. It's not Yes. outside service provider? Correct. So in addition to looking at the potential you may or may not have with the City of ville cell phone, you're going to go back and hose documents that ITD gave you and see if	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Of 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And to your knowledge you did not delete any</li> <li>other text relating to the ITN process from your phone?</li> <li>A To my knowledge.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what the deleted text with</li> <li>Mr. McCarthy said?</li> <li>A No. Most of my text messages with him, as they were with Jenny, were about scheduling. So it was all,</li> </ul>

	105		107
1	<b>Q</b> So scheduling of ITN-related issues?	1	young, I'm okay.
2	A Yes.	2	MS. BURCH: See, I didn't know I didn't
3	<b>Q</b> Have you ever spoken with Mr. McCarthy about	3	understand that.
4	any subject matter that was not related to the ITN?	4	MR. BLODGETT: Yeah. So there are cell phone
5	A I mean, I'm sure we have at some point. You	5	call logs, and you produced the cell phone call
6	know, we talked. I believe he graduated from Ohio	6	logs.
7	State, and I grew up in Ohio, so I know we talked about	7	MS. BURCH: Right.
8	that at some point. So I'm sure we talked about things	8	MR. BLODGETT: It looks like this document,
9	outside of that.	9	which is Exhibit 27. And there's the same thing,
10	<b>Q</b> How about text messages outside of the ITN	10	but for text, for some providers.
11	process you were involved in? Had you ever texted	11	MS. BURCH: Okay.
12	Mr. McCarthy outside that context?	12	MR. BLODGETT: I'm pretty sure Verizon has text
13	A I can't say for sure without reviewing them. I	13	logs.
14	don't remember.	14	MS. BURCH: Okay.
15	<b>Q</b> Do you recall the timeframe of the texts that	15	MR. BLODGETT: If you could provide those to
16	were deleted?	16	us, it would also be helpful.
17	A Well, I met John on November 25th, and then	17	MS. BURCH: I'll check with them.
18	whatever the date was that they were deleted, which was	18	MR. BLODGETT: And I'm also going to ask for
19	when I was like I said, I was sitting in the airport	19	the call logs or the text logs, if you would.
20	in Atlanta waiting on my return flight.	20	They do not typically contain the the bodies of
21	So whatever that date was. It about	21	text messages, what you write or receive, but they
22	December 13th or 14th, I think.	22	will have, you know, the date, the time, the sent
23	<b>Q</b> And the Atlanta flight you're talking about,	23	number, the received number.
24	was that the Atlanta meet related to the Atlanta	24	If you would provide us a version of that log
25	meetings for the ITN process?	25	from November 21st, 2019 to December 24th, 2019.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	106		108
4		4	MG BUBGUE Ober
1	A Yes.	1	MS. BURCH: Okay.
2	<b>Q</b> So that would have been mid December 2019?	2	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your
2 3	<ul><li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li><li>A Yes.</li></ul>	2 3	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail</li> </ul>	2 3 4	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail</li> <li>that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail</li> <li>that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he</li> <li>requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll</li> <li>quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs.</li> <li>Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail</li> <li>that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he</li> <li>requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll</li> <li>quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for</li> <li>the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We</li> <li>only received cell phone call logs.</li> <li>Did you check with Verizon to see whether or</li> <li>not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A No. I don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do not redact the call information about, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A No. I don't MR. LINDSEY: I don't even know that that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do not redact the call information about, you know, like the numbers, the times, et cetera.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A No. I don't MR. LINDSEY: I don't even know that that MS. BURCH: Yeah. I don't know what that is.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do not redact the call information about, you know, like the numbers, the times, et cetera. But if you see that there's irrelevant text
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail</li> <li>that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he</li> <li>requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll</li> <li>quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for</li> <li>the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We</li> <li>only received cell phone call logs.</li> <li>Did you check with Verizon to see whether or</li> <li>not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A No. I don't</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: I don't even know that that</li> <li>MS. BURCH: Yeah. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: So that would be my my my</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do not redact the call information about, you know, like the numbers, the times, et cetera. But if you see that there's irrelevant text messages, bodies of the text, like I'm fine with you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A No. I don't MR. LINDSEY: I don't even know that that MS. BURCH: Yeah. I don't know what that is. MR. LINDSEY: So that would be my my my fault. I didn't even understand that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do not redact the call information about, you know, like the numbers, the times, et cetera. But if you see that there's irrelevant text messages, bodies of the text, like I'm fine with you redacting those.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A No. I don't MR. LINDSEY: I don't even know that that MS. BURCH: Yeah. I don't know what that is. MR. LINDSEY: So that would be my my my fault. I didn't even understand that. MS. BURCH: I didn't either.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do not redact the call information about, you know, like the numbers, the times, et cetera. But if you see that there's irrelevant text messages, bodies of the text, like I'm fine with you redacting those. Is that okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A No. I don't MR. LINDSEY: I don't even know that that MS. BURCH: Yeah. I don't know what that is. MR. LINDSEY: So that would be my my fault. I didn't even understand that. MS. BURCH: I didn't either. MR. BLODGETT: And I didn't know this until I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do not redact the call information about, you know, like the numbers, the times, et cetera. But if you see that there's irrelevant text messages, bodies of the text, like I'm fine with you redacting those. Is that okay? MS. BURCH: Say that again. Don't redact date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q So that would have been mid December 2019?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q So if you would look at Mr. Russell's e-mail that's been as Exhibit 24, one of the things he requested, and it's actually 1, little i, it's, I'll quote, logs for cell phone calls and texts, unquote, for the month of November and December of 2019.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q We did not receive any text message logs. We only received cell phone call logs. Did you check with Verizon to see whether or not Verizon has cell phone text logs?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A No. I don't MR. LINDSEY: I don't even know that that MS. BURCH: Yeah. I don't know what that is. MR. LINDSEY: So that would be my my my fault. I didn't even understand that. MS. BURCH: I didn't either. MR. BLODGETT: And I didn't know this until I had a case that revolved this issue about two months</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BLODGETT: Basically the day of your appointment until the day the ITN was canceled. And if you would, just MS. BURCH: Well, the day of my appointment was November 25th. So are you saying because you said the 21st, and that's the day that Brian asked me to participate, but my appointment was technically on the 25th. MR. BLODGETT: Okay. I got you. Yeah, so I guess let's stick with the 21st, so when Brian spoke with you, to December 24th when the ITN was canceled. MS. BURCH: Okay. MR. BLODGETT: And if you would, just please do not redact the call information about, you know, like the numbers, the times, et cetera. But if you see that there's irrelevant text messages, bodies of the text, like I'm fine with you redacting those. Is that okay? MS. BURCH: Say that again. Don't redact date and time?

	109		111
1	sender and recipient of each text within that	1	<b>A</b> It was directed to JEA, and John McCarthy is
2	timeframe. And if there are relevant text messages,	2	the other party on that text message chain, so John
3	in your judgment they're relevant to the ITN, we'd	3	would have preserved it.
4	like to see those too, but if you see that there's	4	<b>Q</b> Did you ever talk to John McCarthy to verify
5	personal text that are unrelated to the ITN, we're	5	that he had the text chain that you accidentally
6	fine with you redacting those.	6	deleted?
7	MS. BURCH: Okay.	7	A No.
8	MR. BLODGETT: Okay. Thanks.	8	MR. LINDSEY: I instructed her not to contact
9	(Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)	9	him.
10	<b>Q</b> So the next exhibit is Exhibit 25. It's a	10	MR. BLODGETT: Okay.
11	memorandum created by Rory Diamond, Jason Gabriel, and	11	Q Prior to becoming an ITN negotiator, or really
12	Sean Granat, and it's dated January 24, 2020.	12	at any point in the ITN process, did you receive any
13	If you'll read it towards the middle of the	13	instructions from anyone, including JEA's attorneys or
14	paragraph, and there's only one paragraph in this memo,	14	OGC, about preserving information related to the ITN
15	bu it says that, you know, Rory Diamond had instructed	15	process?
16	JEA to, quote, immediately cease the destruction,	16	A Yes.
17		17	
18	purging, or removal of any and all records whatsoever in the possession, custody, or control of JEA, JEA	18	<b>Q</b> Can you explain the information that was given to you?
19	employees, and any third-party agents such as vendors	19	
20	and consultants.	20	<ul><li>A Don't delete anything.</li><li>Q And who told you that?</li></ul>
20	Do you see that?	20	<ul> <li>A I don't remember. I don't remember exactly. I</li> </ul>
22	A I do.	22	mean, there are several conversations. You know, Carla
23	<ul><li>Q Did you ever get a copy of this memorandum?</li></ul>	23	Miller would speak up from time to time, and, you know,
23	<ul> <li>A I believe so, because it was sent to the CDC</li> </ul>	24	
24		24	give reminders about things. And between Lynne and the
25	e-mail address, which I have access to. I receive Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25	attorneys at Foley, you know, there were there were Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	· · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	110		112
1	avanything that comes through that a mail	4	appuarantiana about it from time to time
1	everything that comes through that e-mail.	1	conversations about it from time to time.
2	<b>Q</b> Okay. And so this memorandum would have been	2	<b>Q</b> But you remember having multiple conversations
2 3	<b>Q</b> Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted	2 3	<b>Q</b> But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations</li> <li>with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations</li> <li>with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you</li> <li>know, it was more about public meetings and public</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations</li> <li>with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you</li> <li>know, it was more about public meetings and public</li> <li>records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been</li> <li>after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been</li> <li>after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this</li> <li>memorautm?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been</li> <li>after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this</li> <li>memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been</li> <li>after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this</li> <li>memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been</li> <li>after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this</li> <li>memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been</li> <li>after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this</li> <li>memoraum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memoradum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memoratum with anyone?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorantum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memorantum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you recall that you read this memorandum</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> <li>Q So even though that ITN process related to City</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you received its memorandum with anyone?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> <li>Q So even though that ITN process related to City business, you don't feel comfortable going to ITD to ask</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you received its memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you received it?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> <li>Q So even though that ITN process related to City business, you don't feel comfortable going to ITD to ask to recover that text?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you recall that you read this memorandum when you received it?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q After you read the memorandum did you do</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> <li>Q So even though that ITN process related to City business, you don't feel comfortable going to ITD to ask to recover that text?</li> <li>A No. I don't know how they would.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Di you ever have any conversations about this memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you recall that you read this memorandum when you received it?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you recall the memorandum did you do anything to try to recapture the text that you had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> <li>Q So even though that ITN process related to City business, you don't feel comfortable going to ITD to ask to recover that text?</li> <li>A No. I don't know how they would.</li> <li>Q Do you between the date that you were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you recall that you read this memorandum when you received it?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q After you read the memorandum did you do anything to try to recapture the text that you had accidentally deleted?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> <li>Q So even though that ITN process related to City business, you don't feel comfortable going to ITD to ask to recover that text?</li> <li>A No. I don't know how they would.</li> <li>Q Do you between the date that you were appointed to the ITN strike that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you recall that you read this memorandum when you received it?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q After you read the memorandum did you do anything to try to recapture the text that you had accidentally deleted?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> <li>Q So even though that ITN process related to City business, you don't feel comfortable going to ITD to ask to recover that text?</li> <li>A No. I don't know how they would.</li> <li>Q Do you between the date that you were appointed to the ITN strike that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Okay. And so this memorandum would have been after you accidentally deleted</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q your text messages to Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how you got a copy of this memorandum?</li> <li>A Through the CDC e-mail.</li> <li>Q Okay. So just an e-mail to multiple people.</li> <li>You were on a chain</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q and you received it that way?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever have any conversations about this memorandum with anyone?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Do you recall that you read this memorandum when you received it?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q After you read the memorandum did you do anything to try to recapture the text that you had accidentally deleted?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q But you remember having multiple conversations with different sets of attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A I mean, not specifically. It's more you know, it was more about public meetings and public records, and, you know, the rules around all of it, and, you know, I I know you know, I know the law surrounding public meetings and public records, and I just unfortunately made a mistake when I accidentally deleted those text messages.</li> <li>Q And just to make sure I understand your earlier testimony, you've reached out to ITD to give you some information.</li> <li>Have you specifically asked ITD to help recover deleted texts you had with Mr. McCarthy?</li> <li>A No, because it's on my personal cell phone. They don't have access to that.</li> <li>Q So even though that ITN process related to City business, you don't feel comfortable going to ITD to ask to recover that text?</li> <li>A No. I don't know how they would.</li> <li>Q Do you between the date that you were appointed to the ITN strike that.</li> </ul>

	113		115
1	your cell phone?	1	<b>Q</b> your iTunes library
2	<b>A</b> I don't know specifically. That's a great	2	A Yeah.
3	question though, because I think it backs up every	3	<b>Q</b> that will be helpful too.
4	night. I think I have it set to back up every night.	4	<b>A</b> I will. You know a lot more about this than I
5	So potentially.	5	do.
6	Q Okay.	6	<b>Q</b> Well, I just got instructions from someone who
7	A Just not knowing.	7	actually knows they're talking about.
8	<b>Q</b> When you say you have it set to back up, what	8	MR. RUSSELL: Do you still believe this was my
9	do you mean by that?	9	e-mail? He keeps on calling it Lanny's e-mail.
10	<b>A</b> I think when you plug it in at night when I	10	MR. LINDSEY: Educating us.
11	plug it in at night to charge it, I think it's set to	11	MS. BURCH: We're learning things today.
12	automatically back up every night.	12	(Exhibit No. 26 was marked for identification.)
13	<b>Q</b> Would that be backed up on your computer, or an	13	<b>Q</b> So I've marked as Exhibit 26 the texts you've
14	external hard drive, or	14	provided, and I'm going to go through these texts as
15	A No. I think it's in the Cloud with Verizon.	15	quickly as I can.
16	I'd have to check though. I don't remember.	16	A Okay.
17	<b>Q</b> But you believe that Cloud is through Horizon	17	<b>Q</b> I have some questions. I want to make sure I
18	and not the iCloud?	18	understand what's
19	A Verizon.	19	A Sure.
20	<b>Q</b> Okay.	20	<b>Q</b> being said.
21	A Yes.	21	And I assume that these are texts that you sent
22	<b>Q</b> And I'm assuming	22	or received to the people that are referenced in these
23	A It may be through iCloud too. I I don't	23	documents.
24	know for sure.	24	A In these documents?
25	<b>Q</b> Okay. So did you check the Verizon Cloud to	25	<b>Q</b> Right. So in Exhibit 26.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	114		116
1	see if those deleted texts were there?	1	A The other exhibits?
2	<ul> <li>A Nope. I didn't think about it.</li> <li>Okay. And then you indicated that you may</li> </ul>	2	Q In Exhibit 26.
3	<b>Q</b> Okay. And then you indicated that you may use it sounds like you haven't confirmed this, but	3	<ul><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q How did you gather these text messages that</li></ul>
5	you may use iCloud as well?	5	were produced to us?
6	A Yes, I may.	6	<b>A</b> I screen shot them from my phone.
7	<b>Q</b> And I'm assuming you did not check the iCloud	7	<b>Q</b> Okay. So each and every single one of these
8	to see if those texts were saved there?	8	pages is a screen shot that you did?
9	<b>A</b> No. I didn't think about that.	9	A Yes.
10	<b>Q</b> So would you check those two?	10	<b>Q</b> Okay. And my understanding is that these are
11	A Yes, I will.	11	all the text messages you found that are responsive to
12	<b>Q</b> Similar line of questioning, but do you use	12	Mr. Russell's request?
13	iTunes?	13	A Yes.
14	A Yes, yes.	14	<b>Q</b> So looking at Exhibit 26 on page 1, it's the
15	<b>Q</b> When's the last time you used iTunes?	15	top of the page, it says BH Brian.
16	A I couldn't say for sure.	16	A Uh-huh.
17	<b>Q</b> Okay.	17	<b>Q</b> Does that refer to Brian Hughes?
18	A I couldn't say for sure. It's mostly just	18	A Yes.
19	to to get to download apps for things.	19	<b>Q</b> And then the next really the first text
20	<b>Q</b> Okay. And the reason I ask that is sometimes	20	message which is in gray on page 1, it's dated
21	people have iTunes set up so that it syncs with their	21	November 21, 2019. Did you send or receive any text
22	phone and it will download basically backups of certain	22	prior to that date that related to the ITN?
23	files.	23	A That related to the ITN, no.
24	So if you would also check	24	<b>Q</b> And just to make the record clear, the gray
25	A Voob	25	texts are from Brian Hughes?
25	A Yeah. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

		117		119
1	А	Correct.	1	conversations were?
2	Q	And the blue texts are from you?	2	<b>A</b> It was during Hurricane or Tropical Storm,
3	A	Correct.	3	whatever it ended up being, Dorian, where we were
4	Q	Do you know if anyone else had access to your	4	spending a lot of time at the Emergency Operation
5		ne in November or December of 2019?	5	Center. Nothing to do with the ITN.
6	A	My daughter, my husband.	6	<b>Q</b> Okay. And nothing to do with the potential
7	Q	But do you password protect your phone?	7	privatization of JTA?
8	Ā	Yes.	8	<b>A</b> No.
9	Q	Okay. And then the first blue text on page 1	9	MR. RUSSELL: Which hurricane did you say it
10		es, but I spoke with JG.	10	was?
11	5dy5. 1	Who is JG?	11	MS. BURCH: Dorian. It was it was barely a
12	А	Jason Gabriel.	12	thunderstorm by the time it got here. We waited for
13	Q	Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Gabriel	13	five or six days for that thing.
14	about?	bo you remember what you spoke with hit Gubher	14	MR. LINDSEY: That was the one over September
15	A about	Yes. I was trying to schedule a time to go	15	last year?
16		n my conflict check with him. So this was	16	MS. BURCH: That's the one.
17		uent to the conversation where Brian asked me	17	<b>Q</b> At the top of page 2 of this document, the
18		er I wanted to participate.	18	first full gray text just says: Ping Aaron when you
19	Q	Do you remember what your conflict check	19	can.
20	consiste		20	<b>A</b> Uh-huh.
21	A	Yeah. I met with Jason, Lawsikia Hodges, and	21	Q Do you remember whether you spoke with Aaron on
22		Rhode, and they reviewed questions with me about,	22	this date?
23	5	bw, whether I had any kind of conflict of interest	23	<b>A</b> I don't remember. I'd have to refer to the
23	5	A or any of the potential bidders.	23	oh, so that's November 25th.
25	Q	Do you remember how long that process took?	25	I spoke with him I know I spoke with him
23	ų	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		118		120
1	۸		1	
1	A	Maybe an hour.	1	that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may
2	Q		2	that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to
2 3	<b>Q</b> long?	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour	2 3	that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.
2 3 4	Q long? A	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes.	2 3 4	that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look. So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I
2 3 4 5	Q long? A Q	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation	2 3 4 5	that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look. So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The
2 3 4 5 6	Q long? A Q team me	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent	2 3 4 5 6	that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look. So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q long? A Q team mo a similar	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check?	2 3 4 5 6 7	that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look. So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th. <b>Q</b> Got it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q long? A Q team ma a similar A	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look. So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th. Q Got it. A Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q long? A Q team me a similar A Q	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.         So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.         Q Got it.         A Yeah.         Q Okay.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q long? A Q team me a similar A Q	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look. So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th. Q Got it. A Yeah. Q Okay. A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q long? A Q team me a similar A Q the mide that.	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the mido that.	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the mide that. A Q	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Q series of	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Q series of One of t	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Q series of One of t	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Q series of One of t A Q	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh. Actually it begins on page 1 and goes on to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed, what he wanted to get out of it. You know, all of that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Q series of One of t A Q page 2.	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh. Actually it begins on page 1 and goes on to I'm assuming this is indicating you received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed, what he wanted to get out of it. You know, all of that.</li> <li>Q What do you recall him saying that indicate</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Series of One of t A Q page 2. Aaron Z	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh. Actually it begins on page 1 and goes on to I'm assuming this is indicating you received ahn's contact information?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed, what he wanted to get out of it. You know, all of that.</li> <li>Q What do you recall him saying that indicate well, strike that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Series of One of t A Q page 2. Aaron Z A	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh. Actually it begins on page 1 and goes on to I'm assuming this is indicating you received ahn's contact information? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed, what he wanted to get out of it. You know, all of that.</li> <li>Q What do you recall him saying that indicate well, strike that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Series of One of t A Q page 2. Aaron Z A Q	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh. Actually it begins on page 1 and goes on to I'm assuming this is indicating you received ahn's contact information? Correct. Had you ever spoken with Aaron Zahn prior to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I</li> <li>talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed, what he wanted to get out of it. You know, all of that.</li> <li>Q What do you recall him saying that indicate well, strike that.</li> <li>You indicated that he may have specified what he wanted to get out of the process?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Q series of One of t A Q page 2. Aaron Z A Q	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh. Actually it begins on page 1 and goes on to I'm assuming this is indicating you received ahn's contact information? Correct. Had you ever spoken with Aaron Zahn prior to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed, what he wanted to get out of it. You know, all of that.</li> <li>Q What do you recall him saying that indicate well, strike that.</li> <li>You indicated that he may have specified what he wanted to get out of the process?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Q series of One of t A Q page 2. Aaron Z A Q this date A	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards due of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh. Actually it begins on page 1 and goes on to I'm assuming this is indicating you received ahn's contact information? Correct. Had you ever spoken with Aaron Zahn prior to a? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed, what he wanted to get out of it. You know, all of that.</li> <li>Q What do you recall him saying that indicate well, strike that.</li> <li>You indicated that he may have specified what he wanted to get out of the process?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q What did you mean by that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q long? A Q team mo a similar A Q the midd that. A Q series of One of t A Q page 2. Aaron Z A Q	Maybe an hour. So just one meeting that was about an hour Yes. And do you know if the other ITN negotiation embers, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, underwent r conflict check? It's my understanding that they did. So looking back at page 1 of Exhibit 26 towards dle of the page it talks about actually strike Let's move to page 2? Okay. So towards the middle of page 2 there's a f texts that are from Friday, November 22, 2019. hose texts say Aaron Zahn. Uh-huh. Actually it begins on page 1 and goes on to I'm assuming this is indicating you received ahn's contact information? Correct. Had you ever spoken with Aaron Zahn prior to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that evening after reviewing the phone logs, but I may have talked to him that morning as well. I'd have to look.</li> <li>So, yeah, it looks like I it looks like I talked to him at 2:10 p.m. Oh, that's on the 22nd. The texts are on the 25th.</li> <li>Q Got it.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A Yeah, so I talked to him a couple times apparently.</li> <li>Q Do you remember what you spoke with Mr. Zahn about on November 25th?</li> <li>A No. I think it just was about, you know, getting started on the negotiation team, and I wanted to meet with him separately to kind of talk about, you know, the process and how he expected it to proceed, what he wanted to get out of it. You know, all of that.</li> <li>Q What do you recall him saying that indicate well, strike that.</li> <li>You indicated that he may have specified what he wanted to get out of the process?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> </ul>

1211the ITN process.1ANo. He I mean, he says in the text2QAnd what were his goals?about the library. So must have been something3AThe best value for the citizens ofthat. I don't know exactly.4Jacksonville.4MR. RUSSELL: Excuse me for the interrupti5QDid he specify what he meant by best value5but the library system in Jacksonville?6during your November 25th cell phone call?6MS. BURCH: Yeah.7ANo, because that was all to be determined based7MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia8on the replies that we received.9of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what9QOn page 2 there's a subsequent set of text9of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what10where Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone10 what it was about, but11named Robin?11QI believe you answered this question earlier12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith on14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16November 25, 2019?17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17ACorrect.	about on, r. art
2QAnd what were his goals?2about the library. So must have been something3AThe best value for the citizens of3that. I don't know exactly.4Jacksonville.4MR. RUSSELL: Excuse me for the interruption5QDid he specify what he meant by best value5but the library system in Jacksonville?6during your November 25th cell phone call?6MS. BURCH: Yeah.7ANo, because that was all to be determined based7MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia8on the replies that we received.8MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that's a pail9QOn page 2 there's a subsequent set of text9of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what10where Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone10 what it was about, but11named Robin?11QI believe you answered this question earlier12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	about on, r. art
3AThe best value for the citizens of3that. I don't know exactly.4Jacksonville.4MR. RUSSELL: Excuse me for the interrupti5QDid he specify what he meant by best value5but the library system in Jacksonville?6during your November 25th cell phone call?6MS. BURCH: Yeah.7ANo, because that was all to be determined based7MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia8on the replies that we received.8MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that's a pain of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what the were Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone10 what it was about, but11named Robin?11QI believe you answered this question earlier12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	on, r. art
4Jacksonville.4MR. RUSSELL: Excuse me for the interruption5QDid he specify what he meant by best value5but the library system in Jacksonville?6during your November 25th cell phone call?6MS. BURCH: Yeah.7ANo, because that was all to be determined based7MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia8on the replies that we received.8MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that's a pail9QOn page 2 there's a subsequent set of text9of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what10where Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone10 what it was about, but11named Robin?11QI believe you answered this question earlier12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?13correctly.14AYes.14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	r. art
5QDid he specify what he meant by best value5but the library system in Jacksonville?6during your November 25th cell phone call?6MS. BURCH: Yeah.7ANo, because that was all to be determined based7MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia8on the replies that we received.8MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that's a pailor9QOn page 2 there's a subsequent set of text9of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what10where Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone10 what it was about, but11named Robin?11QI believe you answered this question earlier12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three	r. art
<ul> <li>6 during your November 25th cell phone call?</li> <li>7 A No, because that was all to be determined based</li> <li>8 on the replies that we received.</li> <li>9 Q On page 2 there's a subsequent set of text</li> <li>9 Q On page 2 there's a subsequent set of text</li> <li>9 Q On page 2 there's a subsequent set of text</li> <li>9 of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what</li> <li>10 where Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone</li> <li>11 named Robin?</li> <li>12 A Uh-huh.</li> <li>13 Q Is that referring to Robin Smith?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q Did you communicate with Robin Smith on</li> <li>16 November 25, 2019?</li> <li>17 A I mean, that was the first day that the three</li> <li>6 MS. BURCH: Yeah.</li> <li>6 MS. BURCH: Yeah.</li> <li>7 MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia</li> <li>8 MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that's a page of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what</li> <li>10 what it was about, but</li> <li>11 Q I believe you answered this question earlier</li> <li>12 today, but I just want to make sure I recall it</li> <li>13 correctly.</li> <li>14 But my understanding is you never spoke w</li> <li>15 Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w</li> <li>16 the ITN process while that process was ongoing.</li> <li>17 A I mean, that was the first day that the three</li> </ul>	ith
<ul> <li>7 A No, because that was all to be determined based</li> <li>8 on the replies that we received.</li> <li>9 Q On page 2 there's a subsequent set of text</li> <li>9 Q On page 2 there's a subsequent set of text</li> <li>9 of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what</li> <li>10 where Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone</li> <li>11 named Robin?</li> <li>12 A Uh-huh.</li> <li>13 Q Is that referring to Robin Smith?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q Did you communicate with Robin Smith on</li> <li>16 November 25, 2019?</li> <li>17 A I mean, that was the first day that the three</li> <li>7 MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia</li> <li>8 MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that's a pa</li> <li>9 of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what</li> <li>10 what it was about, but</li> <li>11 Q I believe you answered this question earlier</li> <li>12 today, but I just want to make sure I recall it</li> <li>13 correctly.</li> <li>14 But my understanding is you never spoke w</li> <li>15 Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w</li> <li>16 November 25, 2019?</li> <li>17 A I mean, that was the first day that the three</li> <li>7 MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia</li> <li>8 MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that was the first day that the three</li> <li>7 MR. RUSSELL: Just sounded kind of peculia</li> <li>8 MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that was the first day that the three</li> </ul>	ith
<ul> <li>8 on the replies that we received.</li> <li>9 Q On page 2 there's a subsequent set of text</li> <li>9 Q On page 2 there's a subsequent set of text</li> <li>9 of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what</li> <li>10 what it was about, but</li> <li>11 Q I believe you answered this question earlier</li> <li>12 A Uh-huh.</li> <li>13 Q Is that referring to Robin Smith?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q Did you communicate with Robin Smith on</li> <li>16 November 25, 2019?</li> <li>17 A I mean, that was the first day that the three</li> <li>8 MS. BURCH: Yeah. No. I mean, that's a part of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what</li> <li>9 of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what</li> <li>10 what it was about, but</li> <li>11 Q I believe you answered this question earlier</li> <li>12 today, but I just want to make sure I recall it</li> <li>13 correctly.</li> <li>14 But my understanding is you never spoke with the three</li> <li>17 A I mean, that was the first day that the three</li> </ul>	ith
9QOn page 2 there's a subsequent set of text9of our responsibilities. So I don't remember what10where Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone10 what it was about, but11named Robin?11QI believe you answered this question earlier12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?14But my understanding is you never spoke w14AYes.14But my understanding is you never spoke w16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	ith
10where Mr. Hughes asks if you connected with someone10 what it was about, but11named Robin?11QI believe you answered this question earlier12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?13correctly.14AYes.14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	ith
11named Robin?11QI believe you answered this question earlier12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?13correctly.14AYes.14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	
12AUh-huh.12today, but I just want to make sure I recall it13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?13correctly.14AYes.14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	
13QIs that referring to Robin Smith?13correctly.14AYes.14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection with16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	
14AYes.14But my understanding is you never spoke w15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection w16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	
15QDid you communicate with Robin Smith on15Mr. Hughes about a substantive issue in connection with16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17A	
16November 25, 2019?16the ITN process while that process was ongoing.17AI mean, that was the first day that the three17ACorrect.	
17     A     I mean, that was the first day that the three     17     A     Correct.	
18 of us went over to be appointed to the negotiation team, 18 Q So turning to page 4 of Exhibit 26, at the to	n
19so I would say yes.19there's a gray message from Mr. Hughes that you received	
20 I would have to look at my call log to see. 20 at 7:17 a.m. on December 23rd, 2019, and it states,	
21 Q If you need to refer to your call log, that's 21 quote: LC going to ask JEA board to pull plug on ITN	
22 fine?   22 via press today.	
23 A Is that okay. 23 Do you see that?	
24 Q Yeah. 24 A Yes.	
<b>25</b> A 9603. So okay. So I talked to him then on <b>25</b> Q Do you know LC refers to?	
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	
122	124
1 Sunday afternoon, is what it looks like. Yeah. Okay. 1 A The mayor.	
2 Q Do you recall what you discussed with 2 Q Do you know why Mayor Curry was intendin	g to
3Mr. Smith?3ask the board to pull the plug on the ITN?	
<b>4 A</b> No. Just other than, you know, scheduling, <b>4 A</b> I mean, I think it was just a matter of, y	/ou
5 talking about, you know, what kind of things he had 5 know, what public opinion looked like at that pol	nt,
6 going on for his for his work, and, you know, just 6 and, you know, I think everybody was just kind a	of ready
7 when to schedule meetings and whatnot. I don't remember 7 to stop the bleeding at that point.	
8 anything specific about it. 8 Q So during the ITN process, prior to the	
9 Q And moving to page 3 of Exhibit 26, towards the 9 cancelation of the ITN, did you ever speak with anyon	e
10middle of the page there's a series of texts dated10in the mayor's administration about why he was	
11   Tuesday, December 10, 2019.     11   considering pulling the plug on the ITN?	
12     A     Uh-huh.     12     A     No. Outside of our strategy session wh	
13       Q       My understanding, that was the first day of the       13       talked about his December 12th communication.	
14       ITN negotiations in Atlanta?         15       0 you recall what was discussed about that         15       0 you recall what was discussed about that	
15AYes.15communication at the December 12th strategy session16QFor some context.16AYeah. It was mostly I don't know if -	
17And there's a text from Mr. Hughes that states:17And there's a text from Mr. Hughes that states:17	
<ul> <li>18 Know your busy, but call if you can.</li> <li>18 the next day. But it was it was what we had to be a set of the state of</li></ul>	
19AUh-huh.19about before where we were trying to figure out	
20 Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Hughes on 20 we could pick, you know, three or four proposers	
<ul><li>21 December 10th?</li><li>21 to the JEA board, or if we had to stick with just of</li></ul>	
21       December form         22       A         1       don't remember, but I can check the call log.         22       Q         Okay. Did you or anyone else you're aware	
<ul> <li>23 I probably did. I talked to him quite a bit.</li> <li>23 tell any of the ITN bidders that Mayor Curry intended</li> </ul>	
24Yes, I spoke with him that afternoon.24Process?	
25 Q Do you recall what you spoke about? 25 A I don't I don't remember that. I don't	t
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	

		125			127
1	remem	ber.	1	Q	When you're talking about the website, you're
2	Q	So you just don't recall?	2	talking a	bout What's Next Jax
3	Α	No, I don't recall.	3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	So now on page 5 of Exhibit 26.	4	Q	JEA website?
5	Α	I should have numbered these.	5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	So at the very bottom there's a number, just to	6	Q	And that's the website where JEA has posted ITN
7	help.		7	related d	ocuments?
8	Α	Yes.	8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	So towards the bottom of page 5 it appears you	9	Q	Did you ever communicate with Jason Hutchinson
10	sent a te	ext to Mr. Hughes. It's dated December 26,	10	in conne	ction with this public records request?
11	2019, ai	nd it asked him to send Kerri Stewart it	11	Α	Not that I remember.
12	asked	well, it asked him to send Kerri Stewart's	12	Q	And you believe that Kerri Stewart was in
13	phone n	umber to you.	13	charge o	f responding to at least this public records
14	Α	Right.	14	request t	hat's being discussed?
15	Q	Do you recall why you were asking for Kerri	15	Α	At least this one. Or she was working on it.
16	Stewart	's phone number?	16		know if she was responsible for it, but she was
17	Α	I think we're there was a public records	17	working	
18	•	t that she was working on, and I needed to either	18	Q	What is Kerri Stewart's position with JEA?
19		e a response or, you know, ask her a question	19	Α	She's the director or chief of customer care, I
20		t. But I believe it had something to do with a	20		Her title is something like that.
21		records request.	21	Q	So the next text is on page 6. At the top of
22	Q	Do you recall what the subject matter of that	22		nere is a picture of a man, and underneath that
23		ecords request was?	23		says Randall.
24	Α	I don't. There were so many.	24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	So you don't recall if it related to the ITN?	25	Q	Is that Randall Barnes?
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	•	126 I mean, I'm sure it did. Based on the date, it	1	•	128
2	A bad sor	nething to do with that process.	2	A Q	Yes. So the following pages and texts are between
3		But you don't remember how it related to the	3		Mr. Barnes?
4	ITN?	but you don't remember now it related to the	4	you and A	Yes.
5	Α	No. I couldn't even tell you which one	5	Q	And it's the same formatting as the other set
6		specific request it was.	6		we looked at where Mr. Barnes' text are gray
7	Q	Kerri Stewart is an employee of JEA; correct?	7		texts are blue; correct?
8	A	Yes.	8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Do you typically coordinate public records	9	Q	My next question is going to be about page 12.
10	request	responses with JEA employees?	10	А	You're going to have to help me on that one.
11	Α	It depends on the request. We might have to if	11	Q	Page 12 at the bottom?
12	we hav	e documents, they have documents. Just depends on	12	А	I don't have page numbers.
13	the req	uest.	13	Q	Oh, it doesn't?
14	Q	Other than this public records request that's	14	А	No.
15	being di	scussed with Kerri Stewart, can you recall any	15	Q	It says on it's a text at 12 the top left
16	other in	stances where you've coordinated with a JEA	16	page say	s 12:48. It's Randall Barnes, and the first
17	employe	e about a public records request?	17	text says	it's a gray text. It says: Don't have the
18	Α	I don't recall anything specific. Kerri was	18	docs. In	nagine that.
19	the one	e kind of heading up all the public records	19	Α	Yes. Got it.
20	request	ts that came in. I guess the people who worked on	20		MR. LINDSEY: Show me where we're at.
21	those v	vere under her supervision, and so at the time	21		MS. BURCH: December 20th.
22	they we	ere trying to figure out how to set up the website	22		MR. BLODGETT: Right. It says December 20th,
23	to just	put documents on the website so that they	23	Frida	ay, 9:20 a.m.
24	wouldn	't have to respond to separate requests like that	24		MR. LINDSEY: Got it.
25	all the	time.	25		MR. BLODGETT: Don't have the docs. Imagine
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	129		131
1	that.	1	the executive summary in a meeting. Outside of that, I
2	<b>Q</b> Do you remember what documents Mr. Barnes was	2	can't remember anything else that was, you know, like a
3	referring to?	3	draft document that was dropped in front of us for
4	A I believe it was documents that Pillsbury was	4	review, or anything like that.
5	working on.	5	<b>Q</b> Do you recall if you ever received a material
6	<b>Q</b> Then it looks like you responded: I'm so tired	6	document from a legal advisor like Pillsbury, or a
7	of them not having docs available for proposers.	7	subject matter expert, through an e-mail?
8	A Yes.	8	A I don't remember. You would have to we
9	<b>Q</b> Is that referring to the same documents	9	would have to go back and search my e-mail. I don't
10	Mr. Barnes was discussing?	10	remember whether we did or not.
11	A Yes.	11	<b>Q</b> All right. So turn to page 15, which is at
12	<b>Q</b> Do you specifically remember the titles of	12	the top left corner it says 4:54 p.m 4:54, Randall
13	those documents?	13	Barnes, his name and picture is still shown at the top.
14	<b>A</b> I think it had to do with the asset purchase	14	A Okay.
15	agreement and related documents.	15	<b>Q</b> And at the top of the page it says: Mayor.
16	So I know you showed me drafts, and I don't	16	Time to pull plug on talks of selling JEA?
17	know if maybe they were updated drafts, or what it	17	A Uh-huh.
18	what it was, but I feel like we were waiting for a long	18	<b>Q</b> And towards the middle of that page there's a
19	time for that stuff to come out to be able to provide to	19	text from Mr. Barnes that begins with, quote: I'm not
20	proposers.	20	sure Pbury understands the level of commitment that is
21	Q Was it a recurring problem for you and	21	going to be required in this process. Unquote.
22	potentially the other negotiation team members getting	22	Does Pbury refer to Pillsbury?
23	access to the documents timely that you needed in the	23	A Yes.
24	ITN process?	24	<b>Q</b> And then you respond to Mr. Barnes' text with
25	A For that particular set I feel like it was	25	"IKR".
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	130		
1	because we were trying to drive the Japuary 20th	1	132
1	because we were trying to drive the January 30th deadline, and the only way that that could be successful	1	A Correct.
2	deadline, and the only way that that could be successful	2	<ul><li>A Correct.</li><li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li></ul>
23	deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them		<ul><li>A Correct.</li><li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li><li>A Yes.</li></ul>
2 3 4	deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> </ul>
23	<ul><li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li><li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to</li></ul>	2 3	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li><li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was the Villsbury was saying that they didn't think</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. I I wouldn't assume that I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism Journal of the negotiation team's level of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. I I wouldn't assume that I would have gotten them any other way. So so I can't say definitively what the plan was, but to me what makes sense is that they would have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism about the negotiation team's level of commitment.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember which attorney with Pillsbury</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. I I wouldn't assume that I would have gotten them any other way.</li> <li>So so I can't say definitively what the plan was, but to me what makes sense is that they would have been put in the data room.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism about the negotiation team's level of commitment?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember which attorney with Pillsbury that was?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. I I wouldn't assume that I would have gotten them any other way. So so I can't say definitively what the plan was, but to me what makes sense is that they would have been put in the data room.</li> <li>Q So the material ITN documents, like the asset</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism about the negotiation team's level of commitment?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember which attorney with Pillsbury that was?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. I I wouldn't assume that I would have gotten them any other way. So so I can't say definitively what the plan was, but to me what makes sense is that they would have been put in the data room.</li> <li>Q So the material ITN documents, like the asset purchase agreement, did you ever receive any other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism about the negotiation team's level of commitment?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember which attorney with Pillsbury that was?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure.</li> <li>Q Was it a man or a woman?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. I I wouldn't assume that I would have gotten them any other way. So so I can't say definitively what the plan was, but to me what makes sense is that they would have been put in the data room.</li> <li>Q So the material ITN documents, like the asset purchase agreement, did you ever receive any other material ITN documents during the ITN process through a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with</li> <li>Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism about the negotiation team's level of commitment?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember which attorney with Pillsbury that was?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure.</li> <li>Q Was it a man or a woman?</li> <li>A I believe it was a man.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. 1 I wouldn't assume that I would have gotten them any other way. So so I can't say definitively what the plan was, but to me what makes sense is that they would have been put in the data room.</li> <li>Q So the material ITN documents, like the asset purchase agreement, did you ever receive any other material ITN documents during the ITN process through a means other than the data room?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism about the negotiation team's level of commitment?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember which attorney with Pillsbury that was?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure.</li> <li>Q Was it a man or a woman?</li> <li>A I believe it was a man.</li> <li>Q And do you remember well, was this criticism</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. I I wouldn't assume that I would have gotten them any other way. So so I can't say definitively what the plan was, but to me what makes sense is that they would have been put in the data room.</li> <li>Q So the material ITN documents, like the asset purchase agreement, did you ever receive any other material ITN documents during the ITN process through a means other than the data room?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism about the negotiation team's level of commitment?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember which attorney with Pillsbury that was?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure.</li> <li>Q Was it a man or a woman?</li> <li>A I believe it was a man.</li> <li>Q And do you remember well, was this criticism made in person, over the phone?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>deadline, and the only way that that could be successful is if we got to the proposers draft documents for them to review and mark up.</li> <li>Q I don't want to put words in your mouth, but to your understanding what was the reason why you were having trouble getting access to those documents?</li> <li>A To my understanding it just was continual revision by the advisors.</li> <li>Q And were these MR. RUSSELL: Damn lawyers.</li> <li>Q And how were these documents supposed to be made available to you? Was it through the data room?</li> <li>A As far as supposed to be made available, I would assume that's it. 1 I wouldn't assume that I would have gotten them any other way. So so I can't say definitively what the plan was, but to me what makes sense is that they would have been put in the data room.</li> <li>Q So the material ITN documents, like the asset purchase agreement, did you ever receive any other material ITN documents during the ITN process through a means other than the data room?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Does that mean I know right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the issue was with Pillsbury's commitment that's being discussed in these text?</li> <li>A It wasn't Pillsbury's commitment. The issue was that Pillsbury was saying that they didn't think that we, the negotiation team, understood the level of commitment that it would take to get to the end of this process.</li> <li>Q So it was</li> <li>A We took offense to that.</li> <li>Q So some attorney at Pillsbury had levied a criticism about the negotiation team's level of commitment?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember which attorney with Pillsbury that was?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure.</li> <li>Q Was it a man or a woman?</li> <li>A I believe it was a man.</li> <li>Q And do you remember well, was this criticism</li> </ul>

	133		135
1	<b>Q</b> Okay. Do you know what Stephen Amdur looks	1	Randall Barnes' text, but the first page text at the
2	like?	2	top of the page is a blue text from you and it says:
3	A Yes.	3	Nobody agrees with Carla's assertion about the public
4	Q Okay. Do you believe it was Stephen Amdur that	4	meeting violation she believes took place. No one from
5	made that criticism?	5	OGC or outside counsel, and I doubt Lisa would either,
6	A I believe it's very possible it was Stephen	6	nor my own legal opinion.
7	Amdur.	7	Do you see that?
8	<b>Q</b> Do you recall with any more specificity what	8	A I do.
9	exactly the Pillsbury attorney's criticism was with	9	Q Can you just kind of explain, I guess beginning
10	respect to your level of commitment?	10	with what Carla Miller's concerns were, as you
11	A So, yeah. I mean, I believe at that point, you	11	understood them?
12	know, because it was after that news the news article	12	A Yeah. As I understood them, Carla Miller felt
13	came out where the mayor was asking the JEA board to	13	that all of the ITN meetings should have been open to
14	cancel the meetings. So I believe we were talking about	14	the public, and I believe this was in response to an
15	whether to continue, you know, with meetings we had	15	article, a news article where she had released her notes
16	scheduled that afternoon, and what else it was going to	16	to the Times-Union.
17	take to get to the end of the process.	17	<b>Q</b> Are you aware of any conversations where Carla
18	So Pillsbury, I think they, you know, perceived	18	Miller told any of JEA's attorneys, including the
19	us as trying to and also it was December 23rd, so	19	attorneys at Foley & Lardner and Pillsbury, about those
20	it's right before Christmas, and Randall was either out	20	concerns that she had?
21	of town already, or going out of town, and so we were	21	A I can't I can't say for sure. I know there
22	just discussing scheduling meetings go forward.	22	was a lot of conversation about Carla's opinion
23	And I think they were maybe misunderstanding	23	regarding that, but I don't know exactly who she
24	our availability that we were expressing at that time.	24	expressed that to, how she expressed it to them, you
25	<b>Q</b> Did the news that the mayor had asked for the	25	know, in what manner, or anything like that.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	134		100
			136
1	plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on	1	Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about
1 2		1 2	
_	plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on		<b>Q</b> Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about
2	plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN	2	<b>Q</b> Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA
2 3	plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?	2 3	<b>Q</b> Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?
2 3 4	plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process? A I couldn't say for sure.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<pre>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process? A I couldn't say for sure. Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the</pre>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process? A I couldn't say for sure. Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process? A I couldn't say for sure. Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the Pillsbury attorney?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that point.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that one.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that point.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that one.</li> <li>Q When we noticed, just so you know, what we're</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that point.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A We may have been trying to explain to them, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that one.</li> <li>Q When we noticed, just so you know, what we're meaning is, you know, like there's an agenda for it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that point.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A We may have been trying to explain to them, you know, that it didn't look good.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that one.</li> <li>Q When we noticed, just so you know, what we're meaning is, you know, like there's an agenda for it that's published now on the JEA's What's Next website.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that point.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A We may have been trying to explain to them, you know, that it didn't look good.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that one.</li> <li>Q When we noticed, just so you know, what we're meaning is, you know, like there's an agenda for it that's published now on the JEA's What's Next website.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that point.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A We may have been trying to explain to them, you know, that it didn't look good.</li> <li>So I I can't say for sure without looking at the transcript of that particular meeting.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that one.</li> <li>Q When we noticed, just so you know, what we're meaning is, you know, like there's an agenda for it that's published now on the JEA's What's Next website.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q So just to avoid confusion</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that point.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A We may have been trying to explain to them, you know, that it didn't look good.</li> <li>So I I can't say for sure without looking at the transcript of that particular meeting.</li> <li>Q The next set of texts I want to ask about is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that one.</li> <li>Q When we noticed, just so you know, what we're meaning is, you know, like there's an agenda for it that's published now on the JEA's What's Next website.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q So just to avoid confusion</li> <li>A Sure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>plug to be pulled on the ITN process have any impact on Pillsbury's commitment to try to see through the ITN process?</li> <li>A I couldn't say for sure.</li> <li>Q I don't want to, like, put words in your mouth, but what I'm getting at is it just seems strange to me that the mayor would say he wants the ITN process, the plug to be pulled on it, and then Pillsbury seems to be kind of pushing you guys to push through that.</li> <li>A To continue?</li> <li>Q Right.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So, I mean, did you have any conversations about the issue with the Pillsbury attorney?</li> <li>A I I can't say for sure. I don't we</li> <li>I'd have to go back and look at the transcripts to see whether they were even aware of the news story at that point.</li> <li>Q Okay.</li> <li>A We may have been trying to explain to them, you know, that it didn't look good.</li> <li>So I I can't say for sure without looking at the transcript of that particular meeting.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Okay. So you have no personal knowledge about conversations between Carla Miller and any of those JEA attorneys about that issue?</li> <li>A Not that I remember. Huh-uh.</li> <li>Q And did Carla Miller ever explain to you or in your presence kind of what the basis was for her concerns?</li> <li>A I remember at the end of a nego a strategy session early on Carla took a couple minutes at the end of the meeting. She actually was complimenting us, but also in the same vein reminding us that, you know, these these meetings were being recorded, and, you know, keep documents, and stuff like that.</li> <li>Q And was that at one of the noticed meetings where there's a transcript?</li> <li>A I don't I don't think they were noticed meetings, but, yes, there would be a transcript of that one.</li> <li>Q When we noticed, just so you know, what we're meaning is, you know, like there's an agenda for it that's published now on the JEA's What's Next website.</li> <li>A Okay.</li> <li>Q So just to avoid confusion</li> </ul>

	137		139
1	A Okay.	1	agree with Carla's opinion.
2	<b>Q</b> But your understanding was Carla made those	2	<b>Q</b> And I guess the basis of my question, what I
3	comments at one of the ITN negotiation sessions we've	3	was trying to get at is, to your knowledge, had anyone
4	discussed today?	4	sought out or received an opinion from the State
5	A Yes.	5	Attorney or the Attorney General about these ethics
6	<b>Q</b> And there do you know if there is a	6	issues?
7	transcript of that meeting?	7	A No, not to my knowledge.
8	A Well, I know there would be a transcript, but	8	<b>Q</b> We're going to skip a couple pages ahead. It
9	it may be possible that Carla made those statements	9	begins it's 2:37 at the top left corner, and it's AZ,
10	after it was done being recorded. She was also taking	10	Aaron Zahn is the text bubble.
11	our picture at that meeting too.	11	A Yeah.
12	<b>Q</b> That was going to be my next question. I just	12	<b>Q</b> I believe the first message is AZ Aaron.
13	wanted to make sure.	13	A Got it.
14	A Yeah.	14	<b>Q</b> I've already like answered my own question
15	Q Okay. Thank you.	15	here, but just to make sure, AZ Aaron refers to Aaron
16	Did Ms. Miller ever specify who she thought	16	Zahn?
17	committed the potential violations?	17	A Yes.
18	A No, not that I'm aware of.	18	<b>Q</b> And then same formatting as the prior texts,
19	<b>Q</b> And do you know when the alleged violations may	19	the text in blue are from you, and the texts in gray are
20	have taken place?	20	from Mr. Zahn; correct?
21	A I mean, I think Carla felt that we all were	21	A Yes.
22	making the violation the entire way along.	22	<b>Q</b> It looks like on December 2nd at some time
23	Q Okay.	23	around 3:40 p.m. you asked Mr. Zahn if he could talk,
24 25	A So	24 25	and he responded "I'm free"? <b>A</b> Uh-huh.
20	Q She didn't take issue with the specific Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25	A Uh-huh. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	138		140
1		1	
1	meeting. She thought it was just like a	1	<b>Q</b> Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on
1 2 3	<ul><li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li><li>A Yes.</li></ul>		<b>Q</b> Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?
2	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on</li> <li>December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you</li> </ul>
2 3	<ul><li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li><li>A Yes.</li></ul>	2 3	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on</li> <li>December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you</li> <li>know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of</li> </ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on</li> <li>December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on</li> <li>December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you</li> <li>know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of</li> <li>a strategy session. I was probably in the office for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>A Not some of page 17 there's a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on</li> <li>December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you</li> <li>know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of</li> <li>a strategy session. I was probably in the office for</li> <li>this one.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set UP</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Wr. Barnes that says</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on</li> <li>December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you</li> <li>know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of</li> <li>a strategy session. I was probably in the office for</li> <li>this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on</li> <li>December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you</li> <li>know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of</li> <li>a strategy session. I was probably in the office for</li> <li>this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set UP</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Same page that starts "nobody</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>meetins. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set u</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Same page that starts "nobody agrees".</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	meeting. She thought it was just like a A Yes. Q systematic problem of the way the process was set UP A Yes. Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a text from UR. Barnes that says MR. RUSSELL: Same page? MR. BLODGETT: Right. MR. LINDSEY: Same page that starts "nobody agrees". MR. BLODGETT: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set UP</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Same page that starts "nobody agrees".</li> <li>Q Mr. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Same page that starts "nobody agrees".</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would stand bebind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. 1 think he got</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Same page that starts "nobody</li> <li>agrees".</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would</li> <li>stand be-ind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up:</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would stand be-ind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> <li>A I think he got Attorney General confused with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> <li>Q And if I understood you correctly, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Same page that starts "nobody agrees".</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would stand behind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> <li>A I think he got Attorney General confused with State Attorney. He just combined the two.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> <li>Q And if I understood you correctly, you indicated that you may have had some, you referred to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would</li> <li>stand behind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> <li>A I think he got Attorney General confused with</li> <li>State Attorney. He just combined the two.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you responded: I believe she will.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> <li>Q And if I understood you correctly, you indicated that you may have had some, you referred to them, outside strategy sessions with Mr. Zahn?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Same page that starts "nobody</li> <li>agrees".</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would</li> <li>stand behind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> <li>A I think he got Attorney General confused with</li> <li>State Attorney. He just combined the two.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you responded: I believe she will.</li> <li>Do you recall what the basis was for that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> <li>Q And if I understood you correctly, you indicated that you may have had some, you referred to them, outside strategy sessions with Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would stand behind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> <li>A I think he got Attorney General confused with State Attorney. He just combined the two.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you responded: I believe she will. Do you recall what the basis was for that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> <li>Q And if I understood you correctly, you indicated that you may have had some, you referred to them, outside strategy sessions with Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were the other negotiation team members</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would</li> <li>stand behind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> <li>A I think he got Attorney General confused with</li> <li>State Attorney. He just combined the two.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you responded: I believe she will.</li> <li>Do you recall what the basis was for that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> <li>Q And if I understood you correctly, you indicated that you may have had some, you referred to them, outside strategy sessions with Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were the other negotiation team members involved in those discussions?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would</li> <li>stand behind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> <li>A I think he got Attorney General confused with</li> <li>State Attorney. He just combined the two.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you responded: I believe she will.</li> <li>Do you recall what the basis was for that</li> <li>response?</li> <li>A Because I don't know anyone who how do I put</li> <li>this? I don't know anyone any attorney who was aware</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> <li>Q And if I understood you correctly, you indicated that you may have had some, you referred to them, outside strategy sessions with Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were the other negotiation team members involved in those discussions?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>meeting. She thought it was just like a</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q systematic problem of the way the process</li> <li>was set up?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And towards the bottom of page 17 there's a</li> <li>text from Mr. Barnes that says</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: Same page?</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>MR. BLODGETT: Right.</li> <li>Q Mr. Barnes wrote, quote: Seems like SAG would</li> <li>stand behind the ITN process.</li> <li>Who is SAG?</li> <li>A State Attorney. I think he got</li> <li>MR. RUSSELL: General.</li> <li>A I think he got Attorney General confused with</li> <li>State Attorney. He just combined the two.</li> <li>Q Okay. And you responded: I believe she will.</li> <li>Do you recall what the basis was for that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Do you remember if you spoke with Mr. Zahn on December 2nd?</li> <li>A I couldn't tell you for sure. I had a few, you know, subject matter expert meetings with him outside of a strategy session. I was probably in the office for this one.</li> <li>MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Got you.</li> <li>A December 2nd. I had a call with him about 3:41. Oh, so I guess I did talk to him. It wasn't in the office. 3:41 I talked to him for a minute. Okay.</li> <li>Q So you may not have even talked to him; you may have just left a voice message.</li> <li>A Yeah, maybe I did.</li> <li>Q Called him, and he didn't pick up. And you don't remember obviously speaking with him on that day?</li> <li>A No, not specifically. We had a like I said, we had a few separate meetings.</li> <li>Q And if I understood you correctly, you indicated that you may have had some, you referred to them, outside strategy sessions with Mr. Zahn?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were the other negotiation team members involved in those discussions?</li> </ul>

	141		143
1	strategy sessions you had with Mr. Zahn during the ITN	1	the purchase and sale documents.
2	process?	2	It was more than just the number, and
3	<b>A</b> I think maybe three. It wasn't very many.	3	especially for myself. You know, the JEA PPP was a very
4	Melissa was more my go-to.	4	interesting structure for me just based on my
5	<b>Q</b> Do you remember what was discussed in those	5	background, the types of procurements I've been through
6	strategy sessions with Mr. Zahn?	6	before.
7	A Yeah. I mean, initially it was, you know, tell	7	So, you know, we talked. We talked about the
8	me about the business operations, tell me about the	8	different structures and how, you know, things could
9	process that you've been through, you know, up to this	9	could be considered good or better than, you know, other
10	point. Just kind of educating me on all things JEA.	10	ones.
11	Q Did you ever discuss specific ITN bidders with	11	<b>Q</b> I just want to make sure I understand your
12	Mr. Zahn in those sessions?	12	answer better.
13	A Potentially. Potentially. Just kind of	13	So you believe Mr. Zahn considered factors
14	getting his opinion on, you know, what they had	14	other than just the gross proceeds amount. He was
15	presented at that point.	15	looking at some of the other criteria in the ITN when he
16	<b>Q</b> What do you recall about Mr. Zahn's opinion	16	was assessing the bidders; is that correct?
17	about the ITN bidders?	17	A Yes.
18	<b>A</b> I don't remember anything specific about it.	18	<b>Q</b> And you referenced an example, the APA
19	It more was just it would have been very generic as	19	documents.
20	far as, yeah, this one's good, that one doesn't make	20	A Uh-huh.
21	sense. You know, this one could be good if they did	21	<b>Q</b> How does that relate to the criteria that were
22	this. Things like that.	22	in the ITN that Mr. Zahn was assessing?
23	<b>Q</b> Do you remember Mr. Zahn ever discussing who he	23	A Well, the APA was going to work for almost all
24	perceived to be the best bidder, or bidders plural?	24	the bidders except for the PPP, because that would have
25	A Well, at that point it was NextEra. They had	25	been done through a concession agreement, because there
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	440		
	142		144
1	142 the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then	1	144 would not have been a sale of the assets.
1 2		1 2	
	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then		would not have been a sale of the assets.
2	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some	2	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to
2 3	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.	2 3	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the
2 3 4	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point. But there like I said, there was a lot of	2 3 4	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know,
2 3 4 5	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point. But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.	2 3 4 5	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then</li> <li>they would have been considered the best value at some</li> <li>point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of</li> <li>work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there. The other thing that we talked about was the
2 3 4 5 6 7	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point. But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done. Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems	2 3 4 5 6 7	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there. The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point. But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done. Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there. The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there. The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point. But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done. Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there. The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well. So it just was really making sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would not have been a sale of the assets. So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there. The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well. So it just was really making sure that everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point. But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done. Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered? A From what I would from what I remember, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to</li> <li>figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the</li> <li>transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know,</li> <li>there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the</li> <li>the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for</li> <li>the first I believe two years, was what was required in</li> <li>the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that</li> <li>everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the</li> <li>minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point. But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done. Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered? A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to</li> <li>figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the</li> <li>transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know,</li> <li>there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the</li> <li>the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for</li> <li>the first I believe two years, was what was required in</li> <li>the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that</li> <li>everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the</li> <li>minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know,</li> <li>figure out who holistically had would represent the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed to be evaluated against.</li> <li>Do you ever remember in your conversations with Mr. Zahn discussing any of the criteria other than the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing and being concerned about the effect of rate increases, or the potential rate increases that the bids would have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed to be evaluated against.</li> <li>Do you ever remember in your conversations with Mr. Zahn discussing any of the criteria other than the gross proceeds amount?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing and being concerned about the effect of rate increases, or the potential rate increases that the bids would have</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed to be evaluated against.</li> <li>Do you ever remember in your conversations with Mr. Zahn discussing any of the criteria other than the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing and being concerned about the effect of rate increases, or the potential rate increases that the bids would have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed to be evaluated against.</li> <li>Do you ever remember in your conversations with Mr. Zahn discussing any of the criteria other than the gross proceeds amount?</li> <li>A Sure. Because we discussed, you know, the APA at one point, and, you know, we talked about some of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing and being concerned about the effect of rate increases, or the potential rate increases that the bids would have</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed to be evaluated against.</li> <li>Do you ever remember in your conversations with Mr. Zahn discussing any of the criteria other than the gross proceeds amount?</li> <li>A Sure. Because we discussed, you know, the APA at one point, and, you know, we talked about some of the things that needed to be included in the APA and the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that</li> <li>everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing and being concerned about the effect of rate increases, or the potential rate increases that the bids would have</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q on JEA's rate payers?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember if that was done in one of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed to be evaluated against.</li> <li>Do you ever remember in your conversations with Mr. Zahn discussing any of the criteria other than the gross proceeds amount?</li> <li>A Sure. Because we discussed, you know, the APA at one point, and, you know, we talked about some of the things that needed to be included in the APA and the other related documents as far as things that were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that</li> <li>everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing and being concerned about the effect of rate increases, or the potential rate increases that the bids would have</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q o you remember if that was done in one of the outside strategy sessions you had with Mr. Zahn, or at</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed to be evaluated against.</li> <li>Do you ever remember in your conversations with Mr. Zahn discussing any of the criteria other than the gross proceeds amount?</li> <li>A Sure. Because we discussed, you know, the APA at one point, and, you know, we talked about some of the things that needed to be included in the APA and the other related documents as far as things that were important to, you know, the citizens of Jacksonville.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that</li> <li>everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing and being concerned about the effect of rate increases, or the potential rate increases that the bids would have</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you remember if that was done in one of the outside strategy sessions you had with Mr. Zahn, or at one of the formal sessions where's a transcription</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the highest bid, so if everything else panned out then they would have been considered the best value at some point.</li> <li>But there like I said, there was a lot of work to do before everything was said and done.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure the record is clear on this point, but from what you can recall, which seems like it's not very specific, but Mr. Zahn, his opinion about which bidders were best was based primarily or exclusively on the gross proceeds amount that had been offered?</li> <li>A From what I would from what I remember, I would say that's accurate.</li> <li>Q So in the ITN there's a list of criteria that are discussed that the bidders are, in theory, supposed to be evaluated against.</li> <li>Do you ever remember in your conversations with Mr. Zahn discussing any of the criteria other than the gross proceeds amount?</li> <li>A Sure. Because we discussed, you know, the APA at one point, and, you know, we talked about some of the things that needed to be included in the APA and the other related documents as far as things that were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>would not have been a sale of the assets.</li> <li>So, you know, it just was really just trying to figure out is Plant Vogtle going to be a part of the transaction, or is that going to be left out? You know, there's many different considerations there.</li> <li>The other thing that we talked about was the the rate increases, that the rates had to be held for the first I believe two years, was what was required in the ITN as well.</li> <li>So it just was really making sure that</li> <li>everybody checked the boxes on meeting all of the minimum requirements, and then just trying to, you know, figure out who holistically had would represent the best value for the citizens.</li> <li>Q So you specifically recall Mr. Zahn assessing and being concerned about the effect of rate increases, or the potential rate increases that the bids would have</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q o you remember if that was done in one of the outside strategy sessions you had with Mr. Zahn, or at</li> </ul>

	145			147
1	A So I don't remember Aaron attending any of our	1	Q	When you say feasible, what do you mean by
2	nego any of our strategy sessions. He may have been	2	that?	when you say reasible, what do you mean by
3	in one or two, but he was not a regular attendee of our	3	Α	That bidders would be able to meet the
4	strategy sessions.	4	<b>A</b> deadlin	
5	So I remember talking with him about it myself	5	Q	Do you know what the basis was for her belief
6	in our one-on-one, and then also I believe it came up in	6		bidders would be able to meet the deadline?
7	the management presentation meetings that we had as	7	A and the	
8	well. So that would have been a recorded session.	8		I mean, she's a pretty experienced utility
9		9		ve, so I would imagine that's based on her
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10	experie <b>Q</b>	
11	your go-to, I guess, JEA employee when you had questions about the ITN process.	11		She didn't tell you, like, I reached out to the and talked?
12	Did you have outside strategy sessions with Ms.	12	A	No.
13	Dykes?	13	Q	Okay. So she didn't specify what the basis was
14	A Yes.	14	ي for that	
15	<ul><li>Q Do you remember about how many of those</li></ul>	15		No. I didn't feel like I needed to ask her.
16	sessions you had during the ITN process?	16	Q	From what you can gather, just your personal
17	A Maybe a total of five or six.	17		did you ever get a sense that Mr. Zahn ever
18	Q Do you recall, ballpark, about how long these	18		any bidders differently or unfairly compared to
19	strategy sessions lasted with Mr. Zahn and Ms. Dykes?	19		er bidders?
20	A Maybe 30 minutes.	20	A	No.
21	Q So about 30 minutes each?	21	Q	Same question for Ms. Dykes. In your opinion,
22	A Yeah.	22		n what you saw, did Ms. Dykes treat all the
23	<b>Q</b> And with your external or outside strategy	23		equally and fairly?
24	sessions with Ms. Dykes do you recall if the other ITN	24	A	Yes.
25	negotiation team members, Randall Barnes and Robin	25	Q	Did you ever talk about the revised replies for
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		_	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	146			148
1	Smith, participated in any of those other sessions?	1	any of th	he bidders with either Ms. Dykes or Mr. Zahn?
2	A Not with me. All of mine were just individual	2	Á	l don't remember.
3	sessions.	3	Q	Moving a couple pages down in Exhibit 26, it's
4	<b>Q</b> Do you know if Mr. Zahn or Ms. Dykes had	4	going to	be at the top left-hand corner it says
5	similar strategy sessions with either of those other	5		and it's the bubble that identifies the person
6	negotiation team members?	6	just says	s JM Jenny.
7	A I don't know.	7	Α	Uh-huh. So the first page or the second page?
8	<b>Q</b> For the strategy sessions that you had with Ms.	8	Q	I think it's the first JM Jenny page.
9	Dykes, do you remember what you discussed?	9	Α	Okay. Where it says: Stephanie, this is Jenny
10	A The first one I remember pretty well. That's	10	McCollu	um?
11	where she walked me through the CIP document, and we	11	Q	Yeah.
12	also talked a lot about Plant Vogtle.	12	Α	Okay.
13	Outside of that, there was a separate meeting	13	Q	Right. And you've answered my first question.
14	where we discussed the January timeframe, whether she	14	JM Jenny	y stands for Jenny McCollum; right?
15	felt that was feasible.	15	Α	Correct.
16	And then I don't remember with any specificity	16	Q	And same formatting where the colored texts are
17	any of the other topics we may have discussed.	17	yours, th	he gray texts are Jenny McCollum?
18	<b>Q</b> Do you recall what specifically Ms. Dykes said	18	Α	Correct.
19	about Plant Vogtle during that session?	19	Q	And kind of toward the middle of the page
20	<b>A</b> I remember that she advised she thought it was	20	there's a	a text from Jenny McCollum that asks you for
21	feasible, but that we needed to discuss it with the	21	your e-n	nail, and then indicates that you'll need a
22	advisors, because they were the ones who really had	22	two-fact	or identification.
		23	Α	Yes.
23	especially the financial advisors because they are			1001
24	the ones that really had their fingers on the pulse of	24	Q	What does that refer to?

	149		151
1	offsite, so outside the JEA building, you had to go	1	<b>Q</b> Turning to the next page, still Jenny McCollum
2	through a two-factor identification process. So there	2	texts.
3	were a couple of steps that you had to go through in	3	A Uh-huh.
4	order to be able to log on to the JEA system while	4	<b>Q</b> So at the top of that page, the way I interpret
5	you're offsite.	5	this text, it indicates that you were having trouble
6	<b>Q</b> When you said the JEA system, what are you	6	accessing the Foley FTP site.
7	referring to?	7	Do you see that?
8	A Their network.	8	A Yes.
9	<b>Q</b> Were you assigned a unique e-mail address	9	<b>Q</b> What does the Foley FTP site refer to?
10	specifically for this ITN process?	10	A So that was a separate site where documents
11	A Yes.	11	were provided. I couldn't tell you the difference in
12	<b>Q</b> Do you remember what that ITN address was?	12	those documents versus the ones that were in the data
13	A So according to the text message it's	13	room, but there were two you know, obviously we had
14	burcsl@jea.com.	14	to access the data room, and then we were also getting
15	<b>Q</b> Was it ever explained to you why you were	15	documents to look at on the on Foley's FTP site as
16	receiving a JEA e-mail?	16	well.
17	A Yeah. I I may have even asked for it	17	<b>Q</b> But based on your understanding, the FTP site
18	because I wanted to keep the JEA work separate from my	18	was different than the data room?
19	City work. So it just was to have a clear delineation	19	A Yes.
20	of JEA work versus City business.	20	<b>Q</b> And you're not sure about what the different
21	<b>Q</b> To your knowledge, did the other ITN	21	documents were stored on each of those, call them
22	negotiation team members have JEA e-mails?	22	resources, but you know that they were separate
23	A Yes.	23	resources?
24	<b>Q</b> Do you recall how many e-mails you sent or	24	<b>A</b> I don't know that for sure. There could be
25	received through your JEA e-mail address?	25	some crossover with some documents on both on both
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	150		152
1	A No.	1	resources, but to the best of my memory, for the most
2	Q Did all the e-mails you sent or received	2	part they were different documents.
3	through the JEA e-mail address relate to the ITN	3	<b>Q</b> Did you have log-in credentials, you know, like a password, for the Foley FTP site?
4 5	process?	4	a Dassword, for the foley fife sile?
5		5	
6	<ul> <li>A I believe they did.</li> <li>Do you know if this IEA e-mail has been</li> </ul>	5	A I believe so.
6	Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been	6	<ul><li>A I believe so.</li><li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li></ul>
7	<b>Q</b> Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?	6 7	<ul><li>A I believe so.</li><li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li></ul>
7 8	<ul><li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been</li><li>preserved?</li><li>A I don't know.</li></ul>	6 7 8	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>
7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been</li> <li>preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> </ul>
7 8	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been</li> <li>preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been</li> <li>preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been</li> <li>preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail</li> <li>account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li> <li>information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been</li> <li>preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most</li> <li>likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li> <li>information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, I I don't remember.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been</li> <li>preservet?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail</li> <li>account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most</li> <li>likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on</li> <li>December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li> <li>information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, I I don't remember.</li> <li>Q bo you remember how many times you accessed the</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li> <li>information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, I I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li> <li>information</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, I I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> <li>FTP site turing the ITN process?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> <li>Q So if I understood you correctly, you</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li> <li>information</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, 1 1 don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> <li>Q So if I understood you correctly, you indicated you indicated that you may have asked for a</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li> <li>information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, I I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> <li>FTP site uring the ITN process?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> <li>Q Do you remember why you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> <li>Q Do you remember why you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A To review whatever documents were in there.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> <li>Q So if I understood you correctly, you indicated you indicated that you may have asked for a JEA e-mail?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that</li> <li>information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, 1 1 don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> <li>FTP site</li> <li>FTP site turing the ITN process?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> <li>Q Do you remember why you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A To review whatever documents were in there.</li> <li>Q Do you remember the documents you reviewed when</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> <li>Q So if I understood you correctly, you indicated you indicated that you may have asked for a JEA e-mail?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, 1 1 don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> <li>FTP site during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> <li>Q Do you remember why you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A To review whatever documents were in there.</li> <li>Q Do you remember the documents you reviewed when you wurter the FTP site?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> <li>Q So if I understood you correctly, you indicated you indicated that you may have asked for a JEA e-mail?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q You don't recall anyone from JEA telling you,</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, I I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> <li>FTP site during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> <li>Q Do you remember why you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A To review whatever documents were in theree.</li> <li>Q Do you remember the documents you reviewed when you went into the FTP site?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> <li>Q So if I understood you correctly, you indicated you indicated that you may have asked for a JEA e-mail?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q You don't recall anyone from JEA telling you, or any of the JEA attorneys, that you should use a JEA e-mail?</li> <li>A I don't remember anyone telling me specifically</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, I I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> <li>FTP site during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> <li>Q Do you remember why you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A To review whatever documents were in theree.</li> <li>Q Do you remember the documents you reviewed when you went into the FTP site?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Similar question, but for the data room. You were assigned a unique password and log-in information for the data room; correct?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> <li>Q So if I understood you correctly, you indicated you indicated that you may have asked for a JEA e-mail?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q You don't recall anyone from JEA telling you, or any of the JEA attorneys, that you should use a JEA e-mail?</li> <li>A I don't remember anyone telling me specifically that we should, but I think, you know, everyone thought</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, 1 I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> <li>FTP site during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> <li>Q Do you remember why you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A To review whatever documents were in theree.</li> <li>Q Do you remember the documents you reviewed when you went into the FTP site?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Similar question, but for the data room. You were assigned a unique password and log-in information for the data room; correct?</li> <li>A I believe it was unique to me personally. I</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Do you know if this JEA e-mail has been preserved?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed this e-mail account?</li> <li>A I can't tell you for sure, but it was most likely December 23rd. I returned it, I believe, on December 27th, because we were provided tablets, and that's how I accessed the e-mail. So it would have been towards the end of December.</li> <li>Q So if I understood you correctly, you indicated you indicated that you may have asked for a JEA e-mail?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q You don't recall anyone from JEA telling you, or any of the JEA attorneys, that you should use a JEA e-mail?</li> <li>A I don't remember anyone telling me specifically</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A I believe so.</li> <li>Q Do you remember when you received that information?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Was it during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q When's the last time you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A Oh, I I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Do you remember how many times you accessed the</li> <li>FTP site during the ITN process?</li> <li>A Probably a handful of times.</li> <li>Q Do you remember why you accessed the FTP site?</li> <li>A To review whatever documents were in theree.</li> <li>Q Do you remember the documents you reviewed when you went into the FTP site?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Similar question, but for the data room. You were assigned a unique password and log-in information for the data room; correct?</li> </ul>

	152		155
1	153	1	155 Rhode notified you that she would be resigning from JEA,
2	password for everybody to use for the data room. I	2	
3	<ul><li>think it was unique to me personally.</li><li>Q Do you know if Randall Barnes and Robin Smith</li></ul>	3	and that text is dated December 19, 2019. Did you ever have a phone call or speak with
4	had access to the FTP site from Foley?	4	Ms. Rhode about why she resigned from JEA?
5	<b>A</b> I don't know for sure.	5	
6	Q So we're skipping towards the end of this	6	•
7		7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	document.	8	why she was leaving, or did she just tell you she was
8	(Discussion off the record.)	9	resigning?
9	<b>Q</b> This is I think it's the first text from		<ul> <li>A I don't remember. I really don't remember.</li> <li>Did your source that you is any iteration.</li> </ul>
10	Lynne Rhode.	10	Q Did you save that voicemail?
11	Actually how do you say her last name? I've	11	A I may have.
12	heard it said differently. Is it Rhode?	12	MR. LINDSEY: Do you want her to see?
13	A Rhode.	13	A Should I check?
14	<b>Q</b> Rhode. In the top left corner it says 3:48,	14	<b>Q</b> You can check at your leisure and get back to
15	and then there's a gray text bubble that says LR Lynne.	15	us
16	Looks like the first text message is	16	A Okay.
17	December 12th at 12:19 p.m.	17	Q when you're doing this.
18	A Okay.	18	I will ask that in your voice not your
19	<b>Q</b> Again same format. Your text are colored,	19	voicemail logs, but your phone record logs from Verizon
20	Lynne's texts are gray; correct?	20	there's several references to voicemails. If you would,
21	A Correct.	21	just check to see if you still have those?
22	<b>Q</b> Then towards the middle of that page there's a	22	A Okay.
23	text, appears to you from you, dated December 12, 2019	23	Q Let us know if you don't.
24	at 6:13 p.m., and you wrote: Hey, I stuck around.	24	A Okay. Will do.
25	Would like to talk to you and Herschel before you leave.	25	<b>Q</b> All right. The last page of Exhibit 26.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	154		156
1	Thanks.	1	MR. RUSSELL: If Ms. Burch finds any
2	Do you remember why you wanted to speak to Ms.	2	voicemails, what do we want her to do with them?
3	Rhode?	3	MR. BLODGETT: She may have to have her IT
	<ul><li>A I don't remember.</li><li>Q Do you remember whether or not you actually had</li></ul>	4 5	department help her extract them. I would imagine
5 6	<b>Q</b> Do you remember whether or not you actually had the conversation that's referenced in these texts with	6	that they can do that. But if not, you know, you can have your IT
7	Ms. Rhode did Mr. Vinyard?	7	department and your attorney contact us, and we have
8	<b>A</b> I don't remember.	8	people here that can help do you that.
9	Q Towards the bottom of this page there's a text	9	MS. BURCH: Okay.
10	exchange between you and Ms. Rhode that's dated	10	MR. RUSSELL: And these are just voicemails
11	December 13th, and it actually continues on to the next	11	that concern the ITN process.
12	page, and it's Ms. Rhode writing that Aaron would like	12	MR. BLODGETT: She doesn't know if she has
13	to speak with you.	13	them, but she's going to check.
14	Do you remember if you ever spoke with Aaron	14	MS. BURCH: Or Lynn e's resignation. I don't
15	Zahn at this time?	15	know if that's really an ITN process.
16	<b>A</b> I don't I don't remember.	16	MR. RUSSELL: Right. It's part of the process,
17	Q This would have been December 13th.	17	unfortunately.
18	A Yeah. So we were in Atlanta. I don't remember		MS. BURCH: All right. Where are we at?
19	whether I did or not.	19	<b>Q</b> The last page on Exhibit 26, KS Kerri.
20	<b>Q</b> So moving on to the next page, the first text	20	So KS Kerri; that responds or that
20	is a gray bubble: I'll come to A4.	20	references Kerri Stewart; correct?
22	A Uh-huh.	22	A Yes.
23	Q Still Lynne Rhode's text?	23	Q Then there's a green text at the bottom I'm
24	A Yes.	24	sorry it's at the top of the page, and it's dated
25	Q And then towards the bottom of that page Ms.	25	December 26, 2019.
1-0			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		

	157		159
1	Do you see that text?	1	for me.
2	A Yes.	2	So I downloaded all that, printed them out, and
3	Q And the text indicates you contacted Kerri	3	just started reviewing the phone numbers on it of the
4	Stewart to discuss a media request.	4	calls that might be responsive.
5	Do you remember what media request you're	5	<b>Q</b> And to your knowledge there were no phone calls
6	referring to?	6	that were redacted from this document that related to
7	A No.	7	the ITN?
8	<b>Q</b> Do you remember speaking to Ms. Stewart about	8	A Correct.
9	that media request on December 26th?	9	<b>Q</b> So there are redacted phone calls in this
10	A You know, can I check the phone log? I may	10	record, but they're irrelevant and do not relate to the
11	have spoken with her. I don't remember.	11	ITN or Mr. Russell's request?
12	Yes, it looks like we had a few calls that day.	12	A Correct.
13	So I would say, yes, I spoke with her.	13	<b>Q</b> My last set of questions. You referenced a
14	<b>Q</b> Do you remember if all those calls related to	14	tablet earlier during the ITN process.
15	the public records request that's referenced in your	15	A Correct.
16	text?	16	<b>Q</b> Do you remember who provided you with that
17	A I mean, to the best of my memory, it does.	17	tablet?
18	<b>Q</b> But you just don't remember what the subject	18	A Shawn Eads.
19	matter of that public records request related to?	19	<b>Q</b> Mr. Eads is employed at JEA; correct?
20	A Well, it was about the ITN process, so	20	A Yes.
21	<b>Q</b> But you don't specifically recall what you were	21	<b>Q</b> Do you remember what his position was in
22	talking about. You were just, I guess, presuming that	22	November and December of 2019?
23	it related to the ITN, because otherwise	23	A He's the director of their information
24	A Yes.	24	technology department.
25	<b>Q</b> she would not be reaching out to you about	25	<b>Q</b> Why did he give you a tablet?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	158		160
1	it; right?	1	A I believe I asked him for one so that I could
2	A Correct.	2	access my JEA e-mails on a JEA piece of equipment.
3	(Exhibit No. 27 was marked for identification.)	3	<b>Q</b> Do you remember just roughly the timeframe that
-	Q So the last exhibit is marked Exhibit 27.	4	he gave you that tablet?
5 6	<ul><li>A Uh-huh.</li><li>Q And these are a set of phone call logs that</li></ul>	6	<ul> <li>A I I don't remember. I mean, it was early</li> <li>on, because we started accessing e-mails and calendar</li> </ul>
7	your attorney Mr. Lindsey produced to us. And I'm	7	appointments and things like that pretty early in the
8	assuming that these phone call logs are from your	8	process.
9	personal cell phone; is that correct?	9	<b>Q</b> And this would have been well, you would
10	A Correct.	10	have received the tablet before the Atlanta meetings;
11	<b>Q</b> Did you personally get these phone logs? How	11	correct?
12	did you obtain these phone logs?	12	A Yes.
13	A Yes, I downloaded them from my Verizon account	13	<b>Q</b> Do you remember if you received the tablet
14	online.	14	before the December 3rd and 4th meetings where the
15	<b>Q</b> And the cover page for these records state Key	15	revised bids were discussed?
16	to Phone Numbers.	16	A I can't say for sure, but I believe I did.
17	Did you prepare this cover page?	17	<b>Q</b> How did you use the tablet in connection with
18	A Yes.	18	the ITN?
19	MR. RUSSELL: Thank you.	19	A So mostly there were some e-mails on there,
20	MS. BURCH: You're welcome.	20	mostly calendar appointments. And then I did take some
21	<b>Q</b> Could you just kind of walk us through how you	21	notes on a Word document during some of our sessions
22	prepared this information and how you verified it.	22	that I saved on the tablet.
23	A Yeah. So, I mean, the first thing I did was	23	<b>Q</b> Do you know what was done with the files that
24	download the bills that were for November and December,	24	you created on that tablet?
25	which actually crossed three separate billing statements	25	A I do not.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	161	1	163
1	<b>Q</b> When the ITN process was over did you return	1	policy with the City that she signed.
2	the tablet to Shawn Eads?	2	MS. BURCH: And check my City cell phone as
3	A Yes. Well, technically. I returned it to John	3	well.
4	McCarthy.	4	MR. LINDSEY: Right. Those are the only things
5	<b>Q</b> Do you know if the other ITN negotiation team	5	that I have down.
6	members, Randall Barnes and Robin Smith, received	6	Does that cover it?
7	tablets?	7	MR. BLODGETT: That covers it.
8	A Yes.	8	To make sure we're okay with this text log, if
9	Q Do you know if they also kept notes about the	9	there are irrelevant texts, like the bodies of
10	ITN process on those tablets?	10	texts, I'm fine with you redacting texts that are
11	A I don't know.	11	not related to the ITN, but I would like for you not
12	Q Do you remember what type of tablet it was?	12	to redact any of the call information, like the date
13	<ul> <li>A I don't remember specifically, no.</li> </ul>	13	of the call, the duration of the call, the number
14	Q Do you remember what it looked like, the color,	14	sent to and received from for each text. I would
15	the shape?	15	just like to see that during I think the date I
16	A Yeah. I mean, it was it was in a black	16	stated was November 21st through December 24, 2019.
17	case, but it was like a little rectangle like a tablet.	17	MS. BURCH: Got it.
18	Either iPad or Surface Pro, or something like that.	18	MR. BLODGETT: Okay.
19	MR. BLODGETT: Those are all the questions.	19	MR. LINDSEY: I got it here.
20	Do you have any follow-ups, Lanny?	20	MR. RUSSELL: Thank you so much.
21	MR. RUSSELL: Give me just a minute.	21	MS. BURCH: You're welcome.
22	BY MR. RUSSELL:	22	(The interview concluded at 1:50 p.m.)
23	<b>Q</b> The tablet that you were given, did you use	23	
24	that tablet to send e-mails to members of the	24	
25	negotiation team, exchange e-mails with them?	25	
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	162		
1	A I don't know specifically. If I did it would		164
2	have included John and Jenny on the e-mails. It would		1
3	have been something about scheduling.		2 <u>CERTIFICATE</u>
4	<b>Q</b> Okay. So you said that you communicated with		3 STATE OF FLORIDA
5	the tablet. Your recollection was, again, scheduling		4 COUNTY OF DUVAL
6	and administrative issues only?		5
7	A Yes. We may have		6 I, Terry T. Hurley, RPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the 7 foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
8	<b>Q</b> Scheduling traveling, those kinds of things?		7 foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record thereof. 8
9	A Right. We may have received some documents,		DATED this 18th day of June 2020. 9
10	whether it was agendas, or something like that, but		10
11	nothing nothing really substantive.		11 TERRY T. HURLEY, RPR
12	MR. RUSSELL: Okay. We might want to do this		12
13	on the record, review what we have pending.		13
14	MR. LINDSEY: My understanding is check if		14 15
15	there's any voicemails that have been kept that have		16
16	anything whatsoever to do with the ITN, to check		17
17	her IT person is right now checking to see if		18
18	there's any e-mails or calendar appointments that		19
19	are relevant to the ITN, to see if there is a she		20
20	can get a text log of the texts on her personal		21
21	telephone for that time period, either from iCloud		22 23
22	or from her provider, and to see if she backed up		23
23	her phone to see if it would be there. I think		25
24	that's it.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
25	Oh, no. And also you wanted her electronic use		
1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		