1		
2		JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL
3	SPECIAL	INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MATTER
4		
5		
6		
7		INTERVIEW OF
8		MICHAEL WEINSTEIN
9		
10	DATE TAKEN:	Friday, June 26, 2020
11	TIME:	1:51 p.m. to 4:20 p.m.
12	LOCATION:	Smith Hulsey & Busey One Independent Drive
13		Suite 3300 Jacksonville, Florida 32202
14	Eveni	nation of the witness taken before:
15		
16	Terrie L.	Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, and a Notary Public
17		
18		
19		Hedquist and Associates 345 East Forsyth Street
20		Jacksonville, Florida 32202 (904)354-4111 FAX (904)791-9103
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1

r	2	_		
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL			4
		1	EXHIBITS	5
2	On behalf of Special Investigatory Committee	2		Page
3	Stephen D. Busey, Esquire	3	Exhibit 6	46
	E. Lanny Russell, Esquire	4	Exhibit 7	49
4	Kevin Blodgett, Esquire Smith, Hulsey & Busey	6	Exhibit 8	80 81
5	One Independent Drive	7	Exhibit 9	
•	Suite 3300	8	Exhibit 10 Exhibit 11	84 87
6	Jacksonville, Florida 32202	9		90
7		10	Exhibit 12 Exhibit 13	90
		11	Exhibit 14	97
8		12	Exhibit 15	101
9		13	Exhibit 16	101
10		14	Exhibit 17	105
11		15	Exhibit 18	105
12		16	Exhibit 19	100
13 14		17	Exhibit 20	109
15		18	Exhibit 1	105
16		19	Exhibit 2 (not used)	110
17 18		20	Exhibit 3	119
19		21	Exhibits 4 and 5	120
20		22		
21 22		23		
23		24		
24 25		25		
20	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporter	s, Inc.
	3			5
1	I N D E X	1		
2		2	MICHAEL WEINST	EIN,
3	ΕΧΑΜΙΝΑΤΙΟΝ	3	acknowledged having been duly sworn	to tell the truth
4		4	and testified upon his oath as follows:	
5	WITNESS Page	5	THE WITNESS: I do.	
6	MICHAEL WEINSTEIN	6	DIRECT EXAMINA	TION
7	EXAMINATION BY MR. BUSEY, MR. RUSSELL AND 5	7	BY MR. BUSEY:	
8 9	MR. BLODGETT	8	Q And, Mike, say your name ar	id what your
10		10	employment is right now. A Mike Weinstein. I'm curre	onthy the CEO of an
11		11	organization called the Kids Hope	
12		12	Q Mike, you're among the mos	
13		13	people in this community about the co	-
14		14	government. Starting I think probal	oly started your
15		15	career as an assistant state attorney w	ith Ed Austin; is
16		16	that correct?	
17		17	A I came here in '75 and wo	orked with I was in
18		18	the doctorate program in criminolo	ogy, FSU, came here on
		19	a grant and worked with Carla Mill	er in the what's
19				
19 20		20	now the Bedell Building, on a gran	t about whether we
20 21		20 21	now the Bedell Building, on a gran should have a new jail or not. I we	
20 21 22		20 21 22		orked for Jim Jarboe,
20 21 22 23		20 21 22 23	should have a new jail or not. I we who worked for Rudy Daniels, who for Jake Godbold.	orked for Jim Jarboe, worked for Don McClure,
20 21 22 23 24		20 21 22 23 24	should have a new jail or not. I we who worked for Rudy Daniels, who for Jake Godbold. And then went back to FS	orked for Jim Jarboe, worked for Don McClure, U, finished the program
20 21 22 23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	20 21 22 23	should have a new jail or not. I we who worked for Rudy Daniels, who for Jake Godbold.	orked for Jim Jarboe, worked for Don McClure, U, finished the program for Ed Austin.

	6	1	8
1	Q And very, very briefly, take your career	1	be non you had to be a nongovernmental entity to
2	forward and tell us positions you've had since then.	2	basically do a Super Bowl. So I became president of the
3	A Well, I came to work for Ed Austin and I was	3	Super Bowl and did that.
4	the executive director of the State Attorney's Office,	4	Q XXXIX XLIX?
5	which covered Duval, Clay and Nassau counties. I was a	5	A We were XXXIX. Super Bowl XXXIX. So we had
6	nonlawyer, having had a under	6	that in 2005.
7	Q You're not a member of the Florida Bar?	7	Then I became president for five years of an
8	A Well, I'll get to that. Is that all right?	8	organization called Take Stock in Children, which is a
9	Q Oh, yeah.	9	nonprofit scholarship program with mentors. And I was a
10	A I because I am.	10	statewide president. And I had staff in all 67
11	After undergraduate degree and master's degree	11	counties. I did that for five years.
12	in the criminal master's administration and the	12	And then went back to the State Attorney's
13	doctorate program in criminology, I came here as the	13	Office with Angela as a prosecutor. And also ran for
14	executive director of the State Attorney's Office,	14	the House. So I was a House member for four years,
15	responsible for everything in the office except the	15	House of Representatives, and I was with Angela for
16	prosecution piece; the investigators, the diversion, the	16	about five years as a prosecutor. And then I retired.
17	support staff and what have you.	17	And then I was asked to be president of an
18	And after 12 years of being there, I decided to	18	organization called Volunteers in Medicine, which
19	get a law degree. And and the only school that made	19	basically provides health care to noninsured people.
20	sense was Florida so I I commuted for two-and-a-half	20	Q To which our law firm gives money.
21	years to Gainesville and got my law degree.	21	A Your law firm gave money. Did that for a
22	Q What year was that?	22	period of time and then I retired again.
23	A I got it in '90 Ed Austin became mayor in	23	Q But you're too young.
24	'91, I think, so I got it, just basically, around that	24	A And then
25	time. So I am a member of the Florida Bar and have	25	Q You're too young to retire.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
<u> </u>	7		9
1	been.	1	A And then Lenny Curry becomes mayor, who I
2	And then when Ed Austin became mayor, he asked	2	didn't really know and didn't support. I was I I
3	me to come over as his chief of staff, which I did. And	3	moved to Clay County. I live in Fleming Island. He
4	I said I'd stay a year because now I'm a new lawyer, I	4	asked me to come back and help with the finances and be
5	want to be a lawyer. After a year, I left and went to	5	CFO again, which I said no to for a while and finally
6	work with Robert Harris, Harris Guidi Rosner law firm.	6	said yes.
7	Q Christmas party.	7	I told him I would come back to help with
8	A Yes. And then Ed Austin lost the CFO for the	8	the with the pension, help with the finances and find
9	City, a woman by the name of Susan Miller. She left and	9	my replacement. Turned into a three-year stint.
10	Mr. Austin came over and asked me to come back as the	10	Q When did you what year did you go to work
11	CFO for the City, which I did. So I came back as a CFO	11	for Lenny?
12	for the City.	12	A When he came in. I basically started during
13	John Delaney became mayor, didn't run for a	13	his transition.
14	second term. I stayed on as CFO. And then we created	14	Q What year was that?
15	the economic development commission. So for a period of	15	A So about May.
16	time, I was CFO and I headed up the organization as we	16	BY MR. RUSSELL:
17	created it.	17	Q 2015?
18	And then left and became just the head of the	18	A Yeah. I'm not good at that. So, you know,
19	economic development commission. And negotiated the	19	yeah, when he first came into office.
20	Jaguar lease, went with Weaver to Chicago to get the	20	Q 2015.
21	team.	21	A So I came in as his CFO and did that for three
22	And then we Weaver asked me to do the	22	years and then retired again.
23	application for the Super Bowl. So I did that,	23	BY MR. BUSEY:
24	presented it to the NFL owners. And when we were	24	Q And what year was that?
25	awarded the Super Bowl, I left because you had to	25	A I retired in
1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	10	1	12
1	Q '15, three would be '18?	1	Q Okay.
2	A Yeah, it would be '18. It would be '18. And	2	A So so my description was the right RFP.
3	before I retired, I took on another responsibility	3	Q Okay. And are you familiar with public what
4	heading up the new organization called Kids Hope	4	is the name of the company?
5	Alliance. So I did both for a while. And then once we	5	A Public Finance Management. It's PFM. They
6	hired a CFO for Kids Hope Alliance, I just was CFO	6	have been the advisor for the City of Jacksonville for
7	again. And then I retired. And now KHA, in March	7	decades on financial City financial matters. And I
8	well, really in February, came to me and asked me if I'd	8	think they are for the Port Authority and JEA as well
9	come back.	9	when I was there. Whether they still are, I don't
10	Q KFA, Kids Hope Allowance?	10	know.
11	A Yes. And be their CEO for a while because	11	Q So do you do you remember that, which is
12	they've lost a bunch of people, which that's where I am	12	marked
13	now.	13	A I I remember I I didn't they put
14	So I've been very blessed. I am so fortunate	14	out the RFP, my understanding, if I remember correctly,
15	to have the career I've had, but I do I am student	15	so.
16	of government, that's for sure. I worked in all	16	Q They they are?
17	branches.	17	A Public Financial Management, Incorporated, did
18	Q You and Lex Hester?	18	the process of screening and finding companies that
19	A Yes. Yes. He was phenomenal.	19	would be doing this. So I had I haven't read this,
20	Q You were so you were city finance director	20	but I'm very familiar with what we were doing and why we
21	for Mayor Curry from roughly 2015 to 2018?	21	were doing it.
22	A Yeah, around September to November of '18,	22	Q Was that done at your direction or with your
23	something like that, I left around that time.	23	participation?
24	MR. BUSEY: Hey, Kevin, would you put that RFP	24	A It was done through the treasurer,
25	up, please?	25	Joey Greive. As as as the CFO, to give you a
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1			
	11		13
1	11 MR. BLODGETT: Sure.	1	
1	MR. BLODGETT: Sure.	1	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in
2	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY:	2	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk
	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for	2 3	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury.
2 3 4	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory	2 3 4	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but
2 3 4 5	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018.	2 3 4 5	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it	2 3 4 5 6	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out.	2 3 4 5 6 7	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on the Port Authority, on JEA, on our bridges and were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes; big, medium, small; to bring in a concessionaire. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on the Port Authority, on JEA, on our bridges and were asking us if we were interested.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes; big, medium, small; to bring in a concessionaire. And we were looked at as a as a very fine medium-size
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on the Port Authority, on JEA, on our bridges and were asking us if we were interested. Q Have you had a hard time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes; big, medium, small; to bring in a concessionaire. And we were looked at as a as a very fine medium-size airport so we had companies coming to us for that. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what I nitiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on the Port Authority, on JEA, on our bridges and were asking us if we were interested. Q Have you had a hard time MR. RUSSELL: It's Number 6 in your pile right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes; big, medium, small; to bring in a concessionaire. And we were looked at as a as a very fine medium-size airport so we had companies coming to us for that. And companies coming to us again wanting to buy our parking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on the Port Authority, on JEA, on our bridges and were asking us if we were interested. Q Have you had a hard time MR. RUSSELL: It's Number 6 in your pile right there. MR. BLODGETT: Exhibit Number 6.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes; big, medium, small; to bring in a concessionaire. And we were looked at as a as a very fine medium-size airport so we had companies coming to us for that. And companies coming to us again wanting to buy our parking garages and what have you. And we didn't know so so we were looking for help in that regard and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on the Port Authority, on JEA, on our bridges and were asking us if we were interested. Q Have you had a hard time MR. RUSSELL: It's Number 6 in your pile right there. MR. BLODGETT: Exhibit Number 6. A Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, 5,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes; big, medium, small; to bring in a concessionaire. And we were looked at as a as a very fine medium-size airport so we had companies coming to us for that. And companies coming to us again wanting to buy our parking garages and what have you. And we didn't know so so we were looking for help in that regard and that's what did this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on the Port Authority, on JEA, on our bridges and were asking us if we were interested. Q Have you had a hard time MR. RUSSELL: It's Number 6 in your pile right there. MR. BLODGETT: Exhibit Number 6. A Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, 5, 6. Okay. So okay. Yeah. This was done through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes; big, medium, small; to bring in a concessionaire. And we were looked at as a as a very fine medium-size airport so we had companies coming to us for that. And companies coming to us again wanting to buy our parking garages and what have you. And we didn't know so so we were looking for help in that regard and that's what did this. Q And at the time that was issued, you were the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BLODGETT: Sure. BY MR. BUSEY: Q Do you remember this, Mike? The request for proposal for Strategic Initiative Financial Advisory Services for the City. It was in December of 2018. A I wouldn't have been there, if it was if it was put out. Q '17. A Oh, okay. Q I said '18, I meant '17. A I'm not sure of the exact title. If it's for looking for firms to help us understand privatization, then yes. I'm familiar with it, if that's what Initiative Financial Advisory Services, I think that's what it is because we had companies coming to us that wanted to do concessions on the airport, on the Port Authority, on JEA, on our bridges and were asking us if we were interested. Q Have you had a hard time MR. RUSSELL: It's Number 6 in your pile right there. MR. BLODGETT: Exhibit Number 6. A Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, 5,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 sense of the CFO job, I had a couple hundred people in IT, about 3500 vehicles responsible for, the risk management, procurement, pension, budget and treasury. So this was done through Joey and Randall, but definitely aware of it and Q When you say Randall A Randall Barnes, who worked for Joey Greive. Yes. Q So what what, if any, was your role in that RFP? A The RFP, nothing, other than giving them the direction to find companies that would help us understand the value of these things. The airport's a perfect example. The federal government, Congress passed a law that allowed about 30 airports around the country, ten from different sizes; big, medium, small; to bring in a concessionaire. And we were looked at as a as a very fine medium-size airport so we had companies coming to us for that. And companies coming to us again wanting to buy our parking garages and what have you. And we didn't know so so we were looking for help in that regard and that's what did this.

	14		16
1		1	
	Was Sam Mousa the chief administrative officer at that	1	so a tremendous amount of conversation and
2	time?	2	strategy and just discussion would have happened on the
3	A He was the chief administrative officer for the	3	fourth floor with people that I you know, I was
4	entire time I was CFO.	4	invited to the fourth floor for a meeting or a
5	Q And did you have any discussions at that time,	5	discussion or what have you. I wasn't part of the daily
6	in the fall of 2017, with Sam or with the mayor	6	how are we doing and this kind of thing because I was
7	regarding the City's sale of JEA or potential sale of	7	busy downstairs.
8	the JEA?	8	So I just want to make sure that you sort of
9	A Throughout my three years there	9	see that as as the beginning. So it was not a
10	Q (Nods head.)	10	it's not was not an unusual topic to come up
11	A the topic came up. It's a topic that's come	11	throughout my career in city government.
12	up each time I've been in the City cohorts.	12	Q I I got that, but I my question a little
13	Q We talked to Michael Hightower the other day	13	more precisely is do you remember talking to Sam or
14	and he told me every mayor's consolidation has	14	Lenny about in or about the time that RFP was issued?
15	considered	15	A I would I would suspect I can't say a
16	A Ed Ed did.	16	specific conversation, but in the list they knew that
17	Q Ed did?	17	we were pursuing assistance and for a variety of things.
18	A Ed Austin did. And Russ Liles, just put that	18	And the potential of of who we hired here, helping us
19	down immediately if you remember Russ Liles.	19	understand the value of JEA, was understood.
20	Q Yeah.	20	MR. BUSEY: Go ahead.
21	A But every everybody looks at it as a	21	BY MR. RUSSELL:
22	potential. And it's a reasonable asset to to	22	Q Other than Mayor Curry, do you recall, Mike,
23	evaluate	23	that others in the administration were also involved in
24	Q My my	24	those conversations about the potential about the
25	A as the owner.	25	value of JEA and its potential sale?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	15		17
1	Q Mike's characterization of it was every mayor	1	A From the fourth floor, not members of the City,
2	wants to do good in Jacksonville and they need resources	2	but, I mean, it wouldn't have been that unusual for
3	to do it and don't but they don't want to raise taxes	3	Brian to be around the floor or Tim to be around. And
4	so where they look for the money, and the logical place	4	that's Brian Hughes and Tim Baker. I mean, they were
5	to look, is JEA. Is that a fair characterization?	5	very close to the mayor and it wouldn't be that unusual
6	A Yes. And also knowing that it's not like that	6	for me to pop up to the fourth floor and see them.
7	everywhere that that, you know, around the country.	7	Q And
8	Some are that way; some are owned by private. We're	8	BY MR. BUSEY:
9	surrounded by private as far as the	9	Q What was their role at the time?
10	Q FPL?	10	A That you'd have to talk to the mayor. I mean,
11	A Yeah. But but the conversation and the	11	they were around
12	topic would periodically come up, yes.	12	Q The outside consultants?
13	Q Do you remember talking to them about it in	13	A But and also friends.
14	in '17?	14	Q Okay.
15	A I couldn't say a date, but but it was a	15	BY MR. RUSSELL:
16	topic that didn't come up just once. I mean, it was	16	Q In these conversations, Mike, do you recall
17	it was it did was a reasonable topic throughout the	17	potential purchases being discussed?
18	time. I mean, it wasn't something that that was	18	A I don't recall the connection of potential
19	discussed every day, but but it wasn't an unusual	19	purchases being discussed, but over the years there were
20	topic to bring up.	20	two companies that had been discussed. One that could
21	And let me make sure that you understand. The	21	have been a potential purchaser, which was years ago,
22	responsibilities that I had, which were quite	22	beginning of not through this JEA issue.
23	substantial, and my office was on the third floor	23	Q Right.
24	Q Not the fourth floor?	24	A And then the other one just coming up in
25	A Not the fourth floor.	25	conversation because they know some people or they had
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
•	· ·	-!	

1dinner with people or what have you.1unsolicited bid, a bid comes into the City2QAnd that and it was what?2new convention center, buy the JEA, a new3AThat would be what company?3whatever, unsolicited bid comes in from4QYes.4City looks at it and decides this is what	ew bridge,
 3 A That would be what company? 4 Q Yes. 3 whatever, unsolicited bid comes in from 4 City looks at it and decides this is what 	5
4 Q Yes. 4 City looks at it and decides this is what	John Doe, the
	at would
5 A That would be FPL. 5 happen, the City would decide whether i	t's viable and
6 Q Florida Power & Light? 6 whether we're interested. If we're not i	nterested, we
7 A Yes. 7 just discard it, keep it as a public record	, but
8 Q And the other 8 basically.	
9 A Exelon, I believe it is. 9 If we're interested in it, then w	e would then
10 Q Its parent company is Xterra? 10 have to put it out to bid for anybody to c	compete for it.
11ANo. I didn't know that name.11We make the public unsolicited bid pu	blic and then
12QOkay.12invite anyone who's interested in also do	oing the same
13 A I knew Exelon for years prior, which we may get 13 thing to compete. That's how the process	ss works. We
14 into. 14 never got there though.	
15 BY MR. BUSEY: 15 BY MR. RUSSELL:	
16QHow how do you spell that?16QWell, let me make sure I understand	nd what we're
17AExelon? I would say it's E-x-c-e-I-o-n,17talking about in terms of the process. The C	ity can buy
18 something like that. 18 things and a procurement process applies to	the purchase
19QYou do that phonetically?19of items, if it wants to purchase roadwork or	wants to
20AYeah, I I wouldn't know. Yeah.20purchase a new building. Are you telling me	that the
21 So that they would have I don't remember 21 procurement codes apply just the same if the	e City wants
22 any other company just being mentioned for one reason or 22 to sell something?	
23another. That would be the extent of it.23AI t's it's a little different, but i	t ends up
24 BY MR. RUSSELL:24 being a competitive process.	
25 Q And in the conversations, was the structure of 25 Q And it has to be if the City's going t	to sell
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.).
19	21
1 the potential prioritization or disposition of 1 something	
2 Florida of JEA discussed, how that would work? 2 A Yes, yes.	
3 A Not potentially when there's there's two 3 Q right? 4 Set to go to go the set to go to go the set to go t	h
4 efforts. Well, there's three really if you throw Exelon 5 in There's three really if you throw Exelon 6 it and to go to council. It's got to	5
 5 in. There's a conversation with Exelon who wanted to do 6 an unsolicited bid, which means you still have to 6 bid, the only thing that it does is it starts 	
	strie
 7 compete for it, but if they wanted to do it. 8 And then there was the effort that started with 8 Q Okay. 	
 9 Tom Petway that ended up getting a pretty detailed 9 A But it doesn't give the company 	that submitted
10 report from public finance. 10 it any advantage. It really gives them a	
1011And then there is the JEA RFP. So you've got11because their bid becomes known to cor	0
12 three.	npetitor 3.
13 And so I want to make sure I understand the 13 Q So when did Exelon make an unsoli	icited bid?
14 question and which one of those three that we might be 14 Just hoping for the time frame.	
 15 talking about. 15 A Yeah, it was it was long before 	re Petway.
16 BY MR. BUSEY: 16 Q When you say Petway, now Petway	5
17 Q Tell us about all three of them. 17 A Petway making his his staten	
18 A Well, the first one, Exelon somehow approached 18 of a board meeting, saying, JEA, why do	
19 the City and was interested in submitting an 19 selling?	-
20 unsolicited bid to purchase JEA. And once I became 20 Q That was December of 2017	
21 aware you know, I was invited to help explain to them 21 A Right.	
22 what the process would be to submit an unsol 22 Q that board meeting?	
23 unsolicited bid and what that would then the 23 A And Exelon would have been be	efore that.
24 consequences of that.24QWithin the year or	
25And the consequences are, basically, for a25AI would I would say within si	x, eight months
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	».

	22		24
1	before that.	1	BY MR. RUSSELL:
2	Q Okay. That was one.	2	Q The Exelon bid, do you remember the amount of
3	A That's one. And then you have the Petway	3	that bid, Mike?
4	comment that started the JEA to look at it. And and	4	A Oh, I don't think they ever submitted it.
5	what what I my connection to that is the mayor	5	BY MR. BUSEY:
6	asked me to observe what JEA was doing so we wouldn't be	6	Q What happened to that process?
7	surprised because JEA was off on their own, evaluating	7	 A Well, first of all, it was brought over, I
8	it and they looked to their consultant, Public Financial	8	believe Herschel Walker not Herschel Walker.
9	Management, to do a study and to make a report. And my	9	Q The football player.
10	interest from the mayor was to just observe and know	10	A Herschel Vinyard strike that. Herschel
11	what's going on. And that's what I did.	11	Vinyard and Sam connected. And Herschel set up a
12	And then the third one, I have no involvement	12	meeting, and Foley I think he worked with Foley.
13	in the in the process. I was already gone. And the	13	Q He was representing Exelon?
14	conversation never came up about about it. The	14	A He wasn't representing Exclone. It was
15	the shellacking that JEA got from the initial try with	15	interesting because he was somehow connected to JEA. I
16	the Public Financial Management, I thought put it to	16	remember there was conversation that he's got to be very
17	bed, at least for the time being.	17	careful because he was with a firm. I think it's Foley
18	Q I think we know what you're talking about, but	18	had a contract with JEA, but he also initiated the
19	say what you're talking about when you refer to the	19	connection. And and it was between Sam and Herschel
20	shellacking.	20	and and I was eventually invited up to go to a
21	A Well, the public the employees, the unions,	21	meeting.
22	the public, everybody's just so was so negative on	22	Q On the fourth floor?
23	the process that it ended up having the board say, don't	23	A No. The meeting was at Herschel's office.
24	ever do this again without permission.	24	Q Oh, really, Foley?
25	Q That was in 2018?	25	A Yes.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	23		25
1	A Yeah. And that	1	Q Here in this building?
2	Q And Paul McElroy held a public workshop about	2	A That I can't remember. But but it was in
3	the pros and cons of selling JEA in March of 2018, do	3	Herschel's office. And there was about six or eight
4	you recall that?	4	suits from Exelon asking about the solicitation process.
5	MR. RUSSELL: You're talking about Cres	5	To get to your question, my understanding I
6	sounds like with Crescimbeni.	6	never saw it, my understanding is they walked over a
7	MR. BUSEY: No, I'm talking about Paul	7	draft letter and Sam looked at it and said, there's
8	McElroy's workshop.	8	things that were were or were not in it that needed
9	A I I don't know about that.	9	to be. Either take it out or put it in and send it
10	Q And it was a public workshop and they people	10	back. I don't recall if another one ever came over.
11	talked about the pros and cons of it and that led	11	And I know I I'm 99 sure percent sure that
12	that led to a City Council committee chaired by	12	there was never an unsolicited bid presented. They just
13	Crescimbeni and it ultimately became a committee of the	13	went away.
		14	BY MR. RUSSELL:
14	whole to the in 2018, the study of the sale of JEA		BT FIR. ROSSELE.
14 15	whole to the in 2018, the study of the sale of JEA and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018.	15	Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid
		15 16	
15	and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018.		Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid
15 16	and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018. As a part of that, you're right, the board said	16	Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid contemplate the purchase of the entire utility of JEA,
15 16 17	and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018. As a part of that, you're right, the board said to the City leadership team, just stop. And that was in	16 17	Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid contemplate the purchase of the entire utility of JEA, the electric, the water, the entire operation?
15 16 17 18	and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018. As a part of that, you're right, the board said to the City leadership team, just stop. And that was in 2018. That's what you just were referring to?	16 17 18	 Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid contemplate the purchase of the entire utility of JEA, the electric, the water, the entire operation? A Yes.
15 16 17 18 19	 and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018. As a part of that, you're right, the board said to the City leadership team, just stop. And that was in 2018. That's what you just were referring to? A (Nods head.) 	16 17 18 19	 Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid contemplate the purchase of the entire utility of JEA, the electric, the water, the entire operation? A Yes. Q Okay.
15 16 17 18 19 20	 and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018. As a part of that, you're right, the board said to the City leadership team, just stop. And that was in 2018. That's what you just were referring to? A (Nods head.) Q And when you said the shellacking, you're 	16 17 18 19 20	 Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid contemplate the purchase of the entire utility of JEA, the electric the water, the entire operation? A Yes. Q Okay. A Because they promoted themselves on the water
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018. As a part of that, you're right, the board said to the City leadership team, just stop. And that was in 2018. That's what you just were referring to? A (Nods head.) Q And when you said the shellacking, you're talking about sort of the public outcry, we don't want 	16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid contemplate the purchase of the entire utility of JEA, the electric the water, the entire operation? A Yes. Q Okay. A Because they promoted themselves on the water side because they had nuclear facilities that they also
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018. As a part of that, you're right, the board said to the City leadership team, just stop. And that was in 2018. That's what you just were referring to? A (Nods head.) Q And when you said the shellacking, you're talking about sort of the public outcry, we don't want to sell JEA? 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid contemplate the purchase of the entire utility of JEA, the electric the water, the entire operation? A Yes. Q Okay. A Because they promoted themselves on the water side because they had nuclear facilities that they also managed and were quite familiar with the water side,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and it came to a negative conclusion in 2018. As a part of that, you're right, the board said to the City leadership team, just stop. And that was in 2018. That's what you just were referring to? A (Nods head.) Q And when you said the shellacking, you're talking about sort of the public outcry, we don't want to sell JEA? A Yeah. 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Do you recall, Mike, did the Exelon bid contemplate the purchase of the entire utility of JEA, the electric the water, the entire operation? A Yes. Q Okay. A Because they promoted themselves on the water side because they had nuclear facilities that they also managed and were quite familiar with the water side, even the vast majority of their business was on

	26		28
1	BY MR. BUSEY:	1	Q Better Jacksonville.
2	Q Do you know that in that process, were they	2	A Oh, yeah, we did the bond issue. Well, Ed did
3	mindful of JEA's obligation on Vogtle?	3	the Renaissance.
4	A Everybody has been. It's always been the	4	Q Right.
5	elephant in the room.	5	A And Delaney did the Better Jacksonville. Yeah,
6	BY MR. RUSSELL:	6	I don't remember ever seriously listening to a
7	Q Well, not back in Mayor Austin's tenure?	7	conversation that would tie JEA to a sale during
8	A No, not back no.	8	Delaney's time.
9	Q I was going to ask you about that, just to back	9	Q Okay. So what you're telling sounds like
10	up a little bit, if you don't mind.	10	I'm hearing, is by the time you were with Mayor Curry's
11	During your work with Mayor Austin, did	11	administration, the talk became much more focused and
12	during that period of time, was there ever an offer from	12	serious than it had been in the two prior
13	any other entity to purchase JEA?	13	administrations that you worked with?
14	A Never got past a rumor that Russ Liles heard	14	A The administrations that I was associated with.
15	and just said that's not possible.	15	I just know that the auditors did a study, council
16	Q But as you mentioned a few moments ago, we've	16	auditors did a study on the value of JEA, responding
17	heard with every mayor in the last 30 years	17	probably to a councilman's request or a councilwoman's
18	MR. BUSEY: Since consolidation.	18	request, that was done years before.
19	BY MR. RUSSELL:	19	But in the in the time of of Austin,
20	Q since consolidation, it's been more than 30	20	Delaney and and Curry, it was it was more of a
21	years, had in some respect considered potential sale of	21	conversation in Lenny Curry's time than the other two,
22	JEA and that would have been true of Mayor Austin?	22	that's for sure.
23	A Yes. Well, that's pretty strong, potential	23	BY MR. BLODGETT:
24	sale.	24	Q I'm sorry to jump around on you on this. I
25	Q And	25	want to go back to the three, I guess, efforts to
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		1	29
1			
1	A The concept and legitimacy of evaluating the		potentially prioritize JEA mentioned, so Exelon, the Tom Petway effort and then the REP. It sounds in your
2	idea, yes.	2	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your
	idea, yes. Q Okay.	23	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one
2 3 4	idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or	2 3 4	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate?
2	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to 	2 3 4 5	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate?A From my prospective, yes. From responders,
2 3 4 5	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even 	2 3 4	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. 	2 3 4 5 6	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some consideration of the sale of JEA, privatization of it, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some consideration of the sale of JEA, privatization of it, how far along did that get in the Delaney 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued. And so, to your knowledge, are you aware of any connection between Tom Petway's statement at that JEA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some consideration of the sale of JEA, privatization of it, how far along did that get in the Delaney administration? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued. And so, to your knowledge, are you aware of any connection between Tom Petway's statement at that JEA board meeting and then that RFP?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some consideration of the sale of JEA, privatization of it, how far along did that get in the Delaney A If if there was, I don't recall. I don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued. And so, to your knowledge, are you aware of any connection between Tom Petway's statement at that JEA board meeting and then that RFP? A No. This this had been something that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some consideration of the sale of JEA, privatization of it, how far along did that get in the Delaney administration? A If if there was, I don't recall. I don't recall. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued. And so, to your knowledge, are you aware of any connection between Tom Petway's statement at that JEA board meeting and then that RFP? A No. This this had been something that we had been talking about internally for a long time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some consideration of the sale of JEA, privatization of it, how far along did that get in the Delaney administration? A If if there was, I don't recall. I don't recall. Q That administration got some money from another 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued. And so, to your knowledge, are you aware of any connection between Tom Petway's statement at that JEA board meeting and then that RFP? A No. This this had been something that we had been talking about internally for a long time because the solicitations that were coming to us were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some consideration of the sale of JEA, privatization of it, how far along did that get in the Delaney administration? A If if there was, I don't recall. I don't recall. Q That administration got some money from another source, didn't it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued. And so, to your knowledge, are you aware of any connection between Tom Petway's statement at that JEA board meeting and then that RFP? A No. This this had been something that we had been talking about internally for a long time because the solicitations that were coming to us were coming to us for for lots of time. And what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 idea, yes. Q Okay. A Whether there was a real interest in selling or not, that that would be way down the line to seriously consider, but we couldn't even get to even think about figuring it out. Q And that was the point I was getting to, you just made, although Mayor Austin had some vague concept of it, he never really took any formal steps to get down the line in the process that would lead to the potential sale? A Absolutely not. Not more than a conversation or two that we had internally with two or three people and that was it. Q And moving a little bit forward in the Delaney administration, was the there would have been some consideration of the sale of JEA, privatization of it, how far along did that get in the Delaney administration? A If if there was, I don't recall. I don't recall. Q That administration got some money from another 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Petway effort and then the RFP. It sounds, in your mind, all three of those efforts are distinct from one another; is that accurate? A From my prospective, yes. From responders, maybe not. Q And A Because I know Exelon ultimately put in a bid years later. So so, yeah, from my perspective, they were very separate discussions and efforts, all three of which never went anywhere. Q And in terms of the timing, my understanding is Tom Petway, at a JEA board meeting, it was November 27th, 2018, suggested and this is paraphrasing suggested that JEA look into privatizing. And then less than well, about three weeks later that RFP is issued. And so, to your knowledge, are you aware of any connection between Tom Petway's statement at that JEA board meeting and then that RFP? A No. This this had been something that we had been talking about internally for a long time because the solicitations that were coming to us were

	30		32
1	And that was a relatively recent it wasn't years ago.	1	A And there's one now a parking lot right now,
2	It was I mean, the last time a a legitimate offer	2	not too recent, someone from DIA wanted to leave the DIA
3	came in on the airport concession was probably not too	3	and purchase the parking garages. And Laurie had to
4	many months before this went out.	4	figure out how do you do that and that's what this would
5	Q And so in this November 2017 and then December	5	have been for.
6	2017 time frame, was the City actively looking into	6	Q Can you remember the names of the interested
7	privatizing the airport in that time frame?	7	parties who were displaying interest in purchasing the
8	A We never got to be seriously looking at	8	airport in that November 2017?
9	private and it's not privatization. It was it was	9	A No, I you would have to go back. I don't
10	a concessionaire agreement. Like Kevin, SMG, the	10	know how to no, I don't.
11	company SMG	11	Q Was it more than one
12	Q Uh-huh.	12	A Yes.
13	A manages all of our City facilities; our	13	Q or just
14	stadium, our convention, our arena, our baseball park.	14	A Yes. And, again, it was generated because of
15	That's a concession, they manage it. We pay they pay	15	the cong the congressional ability that they put out
16	us and then we pay them for managing it. That's a	16	that you could do this for ten medium size airports, as
17	concession. And that's what these would have been like,	17	well as smaller ones and larger ones. And they could
18	not necessarily a purchase. It was more when the	18	come in and give the examples of others that they were
19	airport people came to us, it was a concession because	19	doing, but I couldn't tell you their names. It was many
20	they can't buy you know, they can't buy an airport.	20	years ago. And we we didn't do it so it never stuck,
21	Q And so the City was having active	21	you know.
22	communications with potential airport's concessionaires	22	BY MR. RUSSELL:
23	in this November/December 2017 time frame?	23	Q What Kevin was just asking you about in the
24	A And parking lot purchasers	24	language there, it talks about one of the items being
25	Q So other	25	the disposition of the City assets. And you've
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	31		33
1	A parking garage purchasers, which we have	1	mentioned parking garages.
	A parking garage purchasers, which we have the City has many of.	1	mentioned parking garages. A Yeah.
1 2 3	the City has many of.		A Yeah.
2	the City has many of. Q Okay.	2	A Yeah.
2 3 4	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah.	2 3	A Yeah.Q At this time were there any other City assetsthat were under consideration for sale?
2 3	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm	2 3 4	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong
2 3 4 5	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And 	2 3 4 5	A Yeah.Q At this time were there any other City assetsthat were under consideration for sale?
2 3 4 5 6	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the 	2 3 4 5 6	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that. A This would be no, this would also include	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages Q Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that. A This would be no, this would also include the concessionaire. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages Q Right. A would be the sale at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that. A This would be no, this would also include the concessionaire. Q Okay. And so there was the airport, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages Q Right. A would be the sale at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that. A This would be no, this would also include the concessionaire. Q Okay. And so there was the airport, the parking system 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages Q Right. A would be the sale at that time. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if the City had allocated or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that. A This would be no, this would also include the concessionaire. Q Okay. And so there was the airport, the parking system A Parking garages and the airport were the two 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages Q Right. A would be the sale at that time. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if the City had allocated or budgeted any funds for this RFP and the financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that. A This would be no, this would also include the concessionaire. Q Okay. And so there was the airport, the parking system A Parking garages and the airport were the two most often discussions that came to us. None of which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages Q Right. A would be the sale at that time. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if the City had allocated or budgeted any funds for this RFP and the financial services that would be relating to it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that. A This would be no, this would also include the concessionaire. Q Okay. And so there was the airport, the parking system A Parking garages and the airport were the two most often discussions that came to us. None of which we ever solicited. They would just come and say, would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages Q Right. A would be the sale at that time. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if the City had allocated or budgeted any funds for this RFP and the financial services that would just come out of the budget that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the City has many of. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Because when I'm looking at this RFP, I'm looking at page 2. Let me try to zoom in on this. And it talks about, in the purpose section, that the financial advisor will provide services relating to the market analysis, opportunity review planning, solicitation, evaluation, negotiation and award of potential alternative delivery of existing or new projects for services which are similar to, but not limited to, public, private partnerships for lease, sale and/or disposition of City assets. Does the concessionaire seems to be different to me than that. A This would be no, this would also include the concessionaire. Q Okay. And so there was the airport, the parking system A Parking garages and the airport were the two most often discussions that came to us. None of which we ever solicited. They would just come and say, would you like to consider doing this? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A Yeah. Q At this time were there any other City assets that were under consideration for sale? A Well, consideration is a pretty is strong because there was always it was always just, again, unsolicited offers. Q Potentially. A I would just throw the port in as a as another one, but that wasn't purchased. Q Right. Some A That would have been concessionaire as well. Q concessions? A Yeah. Q But the outright sale of other City assets A Just the garages Q Right. A would be the sale at that time. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if the City had allocated or budgeted any funds for this RFP and the financial services that would be relating to it? A No, it would just come out of the budget that the treasury had. And, again and we weren't

	34		36
1	qualify a small number of companies through a	1	Q if it chose to, could piggyback on this
2	competitive process that we could go to if we needed	2	exhibit we've marked as Exhibit 6, this RFP?
3	them. So there wasn't a penny to be spent.	3	A Anybody could.
4	We asked P we asked Public Finance to do a	4	Q Okay. Got it. Thank you.
5	process where we could have the expertise we would need	5	A Any governmental entity that wanted to be.
6	if we needed them. So it was never the intent to hire	6	We we piggyback on state contracts all the time.
7	anybody right off the bat. It would be to have them	7	Q Did JEA, in fact, piggyback on this offer?
8	available to us if we needed them.	8	A No, we never we never did anything.
9	Q And the entity to which the bidders, the	9	Q Well, people responded
10	winning bidders provided services, would ultimately be	10	A People responded
11	paid by the entities it provided those services to? So	11	Q you know, bidders.
12	who would pay who would pay the	12	A and we ended up with a a I think
13	A If if we if we were to sell something	13	three, four. I couldn't tell you the number.
14	or do a concessionaire and needed them to do some sort	14	Q Right.
15	of an evaluation, we would pay them. City would pay	15	A Four companies that qualified. Went through
16	them.	16	the competition and were qualified.
17	Q So if one of the successful bidders, after the	17	Q Right.
18	RFP did financial services, the City would pay it and	18	A If anybody hired them to do anything, I I
19	not, for example, JEA?	19	have no idea. I would think not
20	A Oh, it has nothing to do no, no. Yeah, this	20	Q How about you
21	was us. This is City. It had nothing to do with JEA as	21	A because we never hired them.
22	far as the process or the payment. I mean, yeah, yeah,	22	MR. RUSSELL: Do you remember who those four
23	yeah.	23	were?
24	Now, you know, in government, you can always	24	MR. BLODGETT: Yeah.
25	piggyback on any government contract anywhere. You	25	MR. RUSSELL: Tell Mike, please.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
4	35	4	37
1	know, if Miami has a contract with a company and you want you don't want to go through a competitive bid	1	MR. BLODGETT: Right. BY MR. BLODGETT:
3	and you can you can you're comfortable with the	3	Q So I guess before we get to that, though, I
4	contract Miami has, you can piggyback on Miami's	4	just want to make sure the PFM arrangement, is that a
5	contract.	5	common arrangement for the City to have in a procurement
6	Q Do you recall why PFM was selected as the	6	process where a third-party consultant is accepting
7	entity to select bids from prospective bidders?	7	bids?
8	A Because they were our consultant, had been	8	A If if it was in their ex if it was in
9	forever, that I know of.	9	their line of expertise. I mean, we couldn't have gone
10	BY MR. RUSSELL:	10	to them to do purchase cars, but to purchase
11	Q Help me understand what you mean by piggyback,	11	something that they are the experts in or at least
12	Mike.	12	they're the ones we rely on, yes.
13	A Piggyback in some some other governmental	13	Q Do you know if anyone from JEA approved
14	entity's contract that they did a competitive bid	14	retaining PFM, the City retaining PFM to accept bids in
15	for	15	that RFP process?
16	Q Right.	16	A Not aware of it and wouldn't understand why.
17	A you want to do the same thing. You could	17	Q Do you know if PFM signed a contract with the
18	and you're comfortable using the elements of their	18	City in connection with that RFP or was there a
19	contract, how much it cost, the process, you can just	19	preexisting contractual arrangement between PFM and the
20	piggyback on their contract and not do a competitive	20	City?
21	bid.	21	A Definitely a preexisting contract for, again,
22		22	years and years and years. Whether there was any MOU or
22 23	Q So works as between cities. In this instance,	22	anything added I would think not but but
23	where the work is between the City of Jacksonville and	23 24	anything added, I would think not, but but I wouldn't you'd have to ask our treasurer, but I don't
23 24	where the work is between the City of Jacksonville and the JEA, so did the JEA	24	wouldn't you'd have to ask our treasurer, but I don't
23	where the work is between the City of Jacksonville and		

	38		40
1	Q When you say MOU, I just want to make sure I	1	know how PFM works. This this this was public
2	understand, that's a memorandum of understanding?	2	because it was advertised in in publications, might
3	A If there were something in addition to the	3	have been financial publications, but I know it was
4	existing relationship that needed to be explained or	4	advertised for people to submit bids. But if it was
5	added to, I'm not aware of it and I don't think it, but	5	if it was us, it would it would be advertised. We
6	you'd have to ask someone else.	6	would send it to those that we already know should be
7	Q And do you know if PFM was paid any money for	7	somewhat interested in it. And then we would advertise
8	the services they performed in connection with that	8	it, put it on our website and have it open for a period
9	RFP?	9	of time with a very specific deadline, literally day and
10	A Over and above what they were already paid, no.	10	time, that it has to be in by.
11	No I'm not saying, no, that they weren't. You asked	11	Q And do you re do you
12	me if I know.	12	A And I don't know what the process PFM went
13	Q Okay.	13	through.
14	A And I do not know if they were or not.	14	Q So you believe that PFM is the entity that
15	Q Understood.	15	published that RFP in December of 2017?
16	BY MR. RUSSELL:	16	A I believe PFM did whatever process was
17	Q The manner in which PFM was being paid, was	17	taken, PFM managed that process. The City did not. All
18	that a monthly fee, a flat fee or by the hour, one of	18	I know is that it was made public because during, I
19	those bases? And if they had to do something on salary,	19	think, Crescimbeni's time or some some somewhere
20	if they do something extra, they're going to get paid.	20	along the line, it was questioned. And the answer was
21	So if it was flat fee and they did something extra, they	21	that it was, in fact, public publicized, but beyond
22	would be paid additional?	22	that, I don't I do not know their process.
23	A That's	23	Q Was it typical for the City to basically
24	Q Makes	23	delegate publication authority to its consultants like
25	A I I don't know how they how they were	24	PFM? Is that typically how the RFP process worked?
25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	rieuquisi à Associates Reporters, inc.		rieuquisi & Associates Reporters, inc.
	30		41
1	39	1	41
1	paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long	1	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has
2	paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement	2	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other
2 3	paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid.	2 3	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise
2 3 4	paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from	2 3 4	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the
2 3 4 5	paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract	2 3 4 5	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded
2 3 4 5 6	paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen.	2 3 4 5 6	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general	2 3 4 5 6 7	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives of JEA had any conversations with PFM about that RFP? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more common in the financial side of government than in most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives of JEA had any conversations with PFM about that RFP? A I have no, I'm not aware of that and I I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more common in the financial side of government than in most of the other sides, where you're buying cars or you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives of JEA had any conversations with PFM about that RFP? A I have no, I'm not aware of that and I I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more common in the financial side of government than in most of the other sides, where you're buying cars or you're buying air-conditioners or whatever, you're buying copy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives of JEA had any conversations with PFM about that RFP? A I have no, I'm not aware of that and I I don't know why they would, but I I do not know. Q If that RFP could you kind of walk me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more common in the financial side of government than in most of the other sides, where you're buying cars or you're buying air-conditioners or whatever, you're buying copy paper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives of JEA had any conversations with PFM about that RFP? A I have no, I'm not aware of that and I I don't know why they would, but I I do not know. Q If that RFP could you kind of walk me through what the process is for publishing those RFPs so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more common in the financial side of government than in most of the other sides, where you're buying cars or you're buying air-conditioners or whatever, you're buying copy paper. Q When you say it's not that common, can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives of JEA had any conversations with PFM about that RFP? A I have no, I'm not aware of that and I I don't know why they would, but I I do not know. Q If that RFP could you kind of walk me through what the process is for publishing those RFPs so that the public can see them and how that worked in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more common in the financial side of government than in most of the other sides, where you're buying cars or you're buying air-conditioners or whatever, you're buying copy paper. Q When you say it's not that common, can you think of any other instances in 2017 where an RFP was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives of JEA had any conversations with PFM about that RFP? A I have no, I'm not aware of that and I I don't know why they would, but I I do not know. Q If that RFP could you kind of walk me through what the process is for publishing those RFPs so that the public can see them and how that worked in December of 2017? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more common in the financial side of government than in most of the other sides, where you're buying cars or you're buying air-conditioners or whatever, you're buying copy paper. Q When you say it's not that common, can you think of any other instances in 2017 where an RFP was published by a third-party consultant instead of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 paid is the same way they've been paid for a long, long time. I don't know exactly their particular arrangement for how they were paid. MR. RUSSELL: All right. And I take it from your questioning, Kevin, that the City's contract with PFM is a document we haven't seen. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I've seen a general contract, but nonspecific to that RFP. A And and there may not be one. Q Okay. And it's possible there is one? A Yes. Q And did that in the scope of the services? A Yeah, yeah. It could very well have been a phone call from Joey to somebody at PFM and asked them to do this. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Do you know if any employees or representatives of JEA had any conversations with PFM about that RFP? A I have no, I'm not aware of that and I I don't know why they would, but I I do not know. Q If that RFP could you kind of walk me through what the process is for publishing those RFPs so that the public can see them and how that worked in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A The treasury treasurer and the treasury has a little bit more flexibility than most of the other entities within City government because of the expertise required for some of the things they do and the quickness that some of the things have to be responded to, like, the changing of what's happening in the market. And, you know, we we manage billions of dollars' worth of investments and what have you. So they have a little bit more flexibility. But to get to your question, it wouldn't be appropriate unless you already had an existing contract with a company, like, PFM and what you were asking them to do was something right in the middle of their expertise. And they would have much more knowledge and much more understanding of what it is that that was going on. So it's not that common. It's but it's not out of the question. And it would be more common in the financial side of government than in most of the other sides, where you're buying cars or you're buying air-conditioners or whatever, you're buying copy paper. Q When you say it's not that common, can you think of any other instances in 2017 where an RFP was

	42		44
1	A Not that I'm aware of.	1	example, to New York?
2	Q Okay. Do you remember who made the decision to	2	A I I I here's, like, two or three of
3	allow PFM to publish that RFP back in 2017?	3	them that would travel to New York with us. Was Michael
4	A I don't know if it was more of a consensus	4	the one that did the report?
5	between, you know we wanted to have the ability to	5	MR. BLODGETT: Yes.
6	get expertise like this. How was that and we	6	Q Yes.
7	probably talked to PFM about how to get expertise in	7	A Yeah.
8	this area because this we would talk to them all the	8	Q It indicates in our notes, you know, Mike, that
9	time about pension, about our money, about I mean,	9	it's a Michael Maze was the managing director of PFM
10	there was a very direct line of communication between	10	at the time. And that's the only name, I think, we have
11	this particular company and our treasury side of the	11	from PFM.
12	house.	12	MR. BLODGETT: The other the other name that
13	So I would assume there was conversation about	13	we have from PFM, Jeremy Niedfeldt.
14	how do we get there and this was one of the options.	14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a familiar name. He
15	And it was the quickest option.	15	might have traveled with us also.
16	BY MR. RUSSELL:	16	A They're very like a law firm, they are very
17	Q And the consensus you're talking about, Mike,	17	Chinese walled. They have a group that works public
18	the consensus with whom among whom?	18	finance, they have a group that works utilities, a group
19	A It would be Joey, Randall, myself and people	19	that works port authorities and so that's how they're
20	representing PFM.	20	set up. So we would only deal with the public finance
21	BY MR. BLODGETT:	21	side.
22	Q You've indicated the the quickness of	22	Michael Maze
23	getting the RFP turned around as important, why was that	23	Q Right.
24	an issue with this RFP?	24	A now that you've mentioned it, might not have
25	A Because we wanted to have the ability the	25	traveled with us. He might have been on the utility
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	43		45
1	ability to lean on experts in that area. Again, we	1	side because if if he wrote that if he wrote the
2	had solicitations coming in and this is what we were	2	report. You know what report I'm talking about?
3	doing	3	Q This? Not this report?
4	Q Were any of those	4	A No, the PFM report.
5	A SO.	5	Q The report on
6 7	Q solicitations, like, time sensitive? Did	6	MR. BLODGETT: It's one of the exhibits.
8	A No, but they were coming. And each time they	8	Q It's coming up.A Well, whoever wrote that was probably on the
	came, we really didn't know what to do with it.	9	utility side and not would not have traveled to New
9 10		10	York with us or done anything for us.
11	Q Do you recall the the names of the PFM members who were spearheading this RFP project?	11	Q So what is the side of PFM
12	A No. 1 I don't I couldn't tell you one	12	 A This is the financial side for City government.
13	name of anybody from there, but I know them. And we	13	Q Just so you know, we're generally, that would
14	traveled to New York, you know, when we did our	14	be Jeremy Niedfeldt and
15	presentations, to Wall Street, they would set it up,	15	A Yeah, but there's some other names besides
16	they would make all the interviews time wise. I mean,	16	that.
17	there was a pretty strong connection with this company	17	Q Yeah. That you work with.
18	and the City for, again, decades, but I'd have to	18	A I don't know.
19	see I would recognize the names, I think, if you had	19	Q Okay. But the two distinct silos you know of
20	a list.	20	at PFM
21	BY MR. RUSSELL:	21	A And more silos than that.
22	Q Perhaps a name you might recognize is Michael	22	Q Okay.
23	Maze?	23	A For Port Authority and airports.
24	A Yes.	24	Q Okay.
25	Q And Michael Maze traveled with you, as an	25	A We have airport ones too.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	46		48
1	Q Okay. Got it.	1	Q Do you know if anyone told them that JEA was
2	BY MR. BLODGETT:	2	for sale at the time that this RFP was issued?
3	Q Do you recall Michael Maze ever participating	3	A No, I have no idea. And and was it for sale
4	in a meeting regarding that RFP?	4	when it was I don't think it was.
5	A No, no.	5	Q There were discussions about it.
6	Q Okay. One of the documents I wanted to ask you	6	A Oh.
7	a few questions about is Exhibit 7.	7	Q I'm just talking
8	MR. RUSSELL: Would you hand that to Terrie,	8	A No, I
9	please, the one that you've been looking at, and	9	Q referring to
10	have her put a sticker on what says Number 6? And	10	A I have no idea and never participated, never
11	we'll maybe come back to 1 through 5.	11	spoke to PFM about what was coming in or anything like
12	THE WITNESS: You want me to go to what?	12	that, never saw any responses.
13	MR. BLODGETT: Did you want to do that first?	13	Q Who typically receives responses to RFPs within
14	MR. RUSSELL: No, I want to keep going with	14	the finance department?
15	where we are now.	15	A Well, whoever offered whoever put out the
16	(Off-the-record discussion.)	16	RFP. I mean, basically, the scorers and evaluators are
17	(Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)	17	the one are are from the entity within government
18	BY MR. BLODGETT:	18	that requested the RFP to be issued. Normally, it would
19	Q But Exhibit 7 is JP Morgan's response to the	19	be the treasurer and and people in finance, if it was
20	RFP we just discussed and it's dated January 15th,	20	a financial RFP. But this wasn't normal, so I don't
21	2018.	21	I don't this this my understanding is these
22	A RFP to that?	22	were evaluated by PFM.
23	Q Uh-huh.	23	Q Do you know when or if the the treasurer,
24	A Okay. I would never have seen it.	24	the City team received the responses to the RFP?
25	Q Okay. So you've	25	A I don't know for sure. I don't know. I
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	47		49
1	A No, I would never have seen it.	1	wouldn't be surprised if they did if we did, but I
2	Q Okay. If you if you turn to page 10,	2	I can't say for sure.
3	towards the bottom there's a section on JEA. And I can	3	Q And you referenced that there may have been,
4	read a quote just so you know what I'm talking about,	4	like, a selection process or evaluation process for the
5	but it says, The robustness of the City's economy	5	responses to the RFP. Do you know anything about that
6	combined with JEA scale and financial stability makes it	6	process?
7	a substantial source of value to the City, considers	7	 A No, I I don't. C Device la survive serfermed that success?
8	strategic sale or P3. The combined electric system,	8	Q Do you know who performed that process?
9	water and wastewater is considered strategic value to	9	A PFM. The internal workings of Public Financial
10 11	adjacent utilities looking to expand their service coverage footprint in Florida.	10 11	Management did the process and did the did the prioritization of the responders.
12	Do you know why JP Morgan's response to the RFP	12	Q So the next I guess if you could give that
13	seems to be honing in on a potential sale or transaction	13	document to
14	involving JEA?	14	MR. BLODGETT: I'm sorry, what's your name,
15	A Well, it follows the airport and the Port	15	ma'am?
16	Authority and the City. I mean, first, you it	16	THE REPORTER: Terrie.
17	follows more than just JEA and then it hones in a little	17	MR. RUSSELL: Terrie.
18	bit on JEA, but, no, I don't. Although, again, it's	18	THE WITNESS: Terrie, that's
19	been public. You know what I mean? The idea the	19	MR. BLODGETT: Terrie. She can mark that as
20	idea of the potential and I would assume that	20	Exhibit 7.
21	JP Morgan has been involved with other utilities being	21	THE WITNESS: Okay. It says that on it, too.
22	sold throughout the country, but, no, you'd have to ask	22	(Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.)
23	them. And I've never seen this before so I I don't	23	MR. BLODGETT: Then Exhibit 8, do you want
24	know. And they're marketing to get awarded. They	24	MR. RUSSELL: Yeah. I have a couple
25	thought it was important.	25	questions.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	50		52
1	BY MR. RUSSELL:	1	Q Right. Probably more senior members?
2	Q Exhibit 8, Mike, is just an e-mail	2	A Yeah. Definitely definitely on the
3	A JEA meeting.	3	financial side, the senior members.
4	Q Right.	4	Q Okay.
5	confirming the meeting at the Jacksonville	5	A Paul may have stepped in, stepped out, may have
6	International Airport regarding the RFP and	6	been there. I don't know if Paul was there or not. I
7	A Now now, this is a different RFP. This is	7	can't really recall. I think he might have been.
8	JEA's	8	Q We're not sure either. We think he might have
9	Q It is, right.	9	been. We haven't been able to confirm that.
10	A Okay. It's not that's not the RFP we've	10	A I think he might have been. I couldn't be
11	about talking about for the last half hour?	11	absolutely sure. And I brought Joey
12	Q Right.	12	Q Uh-huh.
13	A Okay.	13	A and I think Randall Barnes. Joe Greive and
14	Q But do you remember being invited to and	14	Randall Barnes, as well, were there.
15	attending this meeting?	15	Q Since Paul McElroy's name came up, Mike, have
16	A Yes.	16	you ever had a discussion with Paul McElroy about his
17	Q Okay. And Michael Maze, the person from PFM	17	views on utilities being owned as private companies and
18	who is in what you described as the utility silo, was	18	what he thinks about that?
19	also at that meeting?	19	A I was in a meeting or two with Paul, not that I
20	A I believe so.	20	had directed any questions to him or not
21	Q Okay.	21	Q Right.
22 23	A Now, this is after the board was giving them	22 23	A but where my understanding was he thought
23	direction to pursue it.	23 24	that JEA was in a pretty good position just the way it
24	Q I'm sure.A Pursue the idea or the concept of possibly	24	Was.Q More broadly, do you recall Paul McElroy
23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
-	51		53
1	selling.	1	expressing a belief that public utilities should be
2	MR. BLODGETT: Correct.	2	owned by the public and not privately?
3	Q Right.	3	A Well, by definition, public utilities, not
4	A Yeah. Okay.	4	Q Utility I said the wrong word, utility.
5	Q Okay.	5	A Utilities be pub be publicly owned rather
6	A And this is after I've been asked to observe.	6	than privately owned.
7	Q Observe, who asked you to observe?	7	Q Owned privately.
8	A Lenny mayor asked me to just keep keep an	8	A No, I can't say that I've ever heard anything
9	eye on what JEA's doing so we're not surprised.	9	that would give me his opinion on that in a broad sense,
10	Q Okay.	10	but I do feel that he felt that JEA was properly
11	A So I was there to observe. Didn't grade,	11	situated and and running fine.
12	didn't didn't do anything like that, but I was I	12	Q Okay. And how about you personally, Mike, do
13	was there and present.	13	you have opinions on whether utilities should be
14	Q And other than Michael Mace, do you recall who	14	publicly or privately owned?
15	else attended this meeting? I think it was actually at	15	A I have a very strong opinion as to whether JEA
16	the airport, in the airport conference room. Who else	16	should be privately or publicly owned.
17	was there, as best you can recall, Mike?	17	Q Please tell us that opinion.
18	A The JEA financial team. Melissa and those that	18	A Well, I'll give you what it is and what I did
19	work when was this?	19	because of it. You you may know that that I, for
20	Q The date was January 24th.	20	a number of months, helped one of the responders to the
21	A Yeah, it was the CFO and Melissa.	21	RFP and the only reason I helped them is because they
22	Q Melissa Dykes?	22	weren't looking to buy it. They were looking to provide
23	A Yes, Melissa Dykes. And she there was about	23	the City the \$8 billion and for the privilege of
24	four or five JEA members there. Not members of the	24	managing it as a concessionaire, where the staff would
25	board, but, I mean, staff there.	25	stay City employees stay in the pension plan, the
1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	54	1	56
1	board would stay, the board would still set the rates,	1	seriously. And we were sitting back with the best idea
2	it would still be City-owned. So at the end of the	2	on the table and couldn't tell anybody.
3	concession contract, the City would still own it, but	3	Q What did you think about the cone of silence?
4	the City would still get the \$8 billion.	4	A Oh, I I thought it was unbelievable. As a
5	I felt that was the right way to go if we were	5	matter of fact, I called Carla Miller. When I saw the
6	going to do anything.	6	required NDA, I called Carla. And I said, Carla, have
7	BY MR. BUSEY:	7	you seen this N I said, It is unbelievable. Even if
8	Q What's the name of the company?	8	you lose, you still can't tell anybody what you were
9	A The company	9	offering for a long period of time.
10	MR. BLODGETT: JEA PPP is.	10	And I said, Carla, this has to get made public.
11	A Yeah, they they formed a company with SUEZ	11	People need to know this. And she said, Well, call the
12	Water, which has about 86,000 employees. And Emera,	12	councilmen. I can't do anything. Oh, yeah, it was
13	which owns Teco and a lot of other electric utilities.	13	it was the most unbelievable NDA that anyone in the
14	They came together with Bernhard Capital Partners to	14	public sector anyone could ever imagine.
15	form a new company, which Kevin just named, to offer	15	Q Do you think the the terms that you
16	this concessionaire agreement, which, again, I felt was	16	described that was written for a buyer was designed to
17	the right way to go if we were going to go anywhere.	17	favor any particular utility buyer?
18	Q What would the new company receive in	18	A The the questions weren't. The
19	consideration for \$8 billion?	19	timing and I can't say a particular, but the
20	A What would the company get back?	20	question the timing of it would have advantaged
21	Q Yeah.	21	companies that knew more than others from the
22	A The company I asked this. And and they	22	beginning.
23	felt that with a long-term contract, that with their	23	Q And tell me what you mean when you say the
24	efficiencies and the use of their money, because they	24	timing of it.
25	were going to take over the debt, City would have no	25	A The the idea of of asking someone to buy
20	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	20	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	55		57
1	idea, this company would would issue the debt, but	1	a \$8 billion asset without having the ability to walk it
	idea, this company would would issue the debt, but		a to binon asset without having the ability to walk it
2	using their money and the efficiencies that they had and	2	and feel it and see it because the timing wasn't there
2	using their money and the efficiencies that they had and	2	and feel it and see it, because the timing wasn't there, is incredible
3	their expertise, that they would gain back not only the	3	is incredible.
3 4	their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their	3 4	is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring
3 4 5	their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require.	3 4 5	is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to?
3 4 5 6	their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow.	3 4 5 6	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so
3 4 5 6 7	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. 	3 4 5 6 7	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to
3 4 5 6 7 8	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified because they knew that the RFP was written to for a 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities under it, I mean, they don't JEA doesn't even know
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified because they knew that the RFP was written to for a buy. The RFP was written for a buy. The questions were 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities under it, I mean, they don't JEA doesn't even know all the properties that it owns. They asked a company
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified because they knew that the RFP was written to for a buy. The RFP was written for a buy. The questions were done in a way, the timing was done in a way that it was 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities under it, I mean, they don't JEA doesn't even know all the properties that it owns. They asked a company to buy an asset of \$8 billion in in a couple months
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified because they knew that the RFP was written to for a buy. The RFP was written for a buy. The questions were done in a way, the timing was done in a way that it was a purchase it was a purchase offer. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities under it, I mean, they don't JEA doesn't even know all the properties that it owns. They asked a company to buy an asset of \$8 billion in in a couple months period and not be able to walk the capital buildings,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified because they knew that the RFP was written to for a buy. The RFP was written for a buy. The questions were done in a way, the timing was done in a way that it was a purchase it was a purchase offer. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities under it, I mean, they don't JEA doesn't even know all the properties that it owns. They asked a company to buy an asset of \$8 billion in in a couple months period and not be able to walk the capital buildings, you know, the utilities the transmission and the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified because they knew that the RFP was written to for a buy. The RFP was written for a buy. The questions were done in a way, the timing was done in a way that it was a purchase it was a purchase offer. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities under it, I mean, they don't JEA doesn't even know all the properties that it owns. They asked a company to buy an asset of \$8 billion in in a couple months period and not be able to walk the capital buildings, you know, the utilities the transmission and the generating plants is unheard of. Even in the PFM
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified because they knew that the RFP was written to for a buy. The RFP was written for a buy. The questions were done in a way, the timing was done in a way that it was a purchase it was a purchase offer. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities under it, I mean, they don't JEA doesn't even know all the properties that it owns. They asked a company to buy an asset of \$8 billion in in a couple months period and not be able to walk the capital buildings, you know, the utilities the transmission and the generating plants is unheard of. Even in the PFM report, they said it would take 18 months to do you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 their expertise, that they would gain back not only the 8 billion, but the interest on the 8 billion their investors would require. Q Wow. A And too good to be true. Q Yeah. A And I looked at it and went through it and said, I'll help you. So I helped them for a number of months, but we could never get the story out. JEA with their zone cone of silence and their requirements of the RFP, we could never tell the public of this possibility. It was bizarre. Q Did you tell the JEA senior leadership team? A No. No, you couldn't because because the group was nervous that they would be disqualified because they knew that the RFP was written to for a buy. The RFP was written for a buy. The questions were done in a way, the timing was done in a way that it was a purchase it was a purchase offer. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 is incredible. Q And what was the timing that you're referring to? A I can't give you the dates, but it was done so quickly that they wouldn't allow the bidders to to visit the assets. Q Who's they who's they in that sentence? A The JEA. Well, the whole process was absolutely unbelievable. Q Okay. I'm really interested in your opinion about this. When you say it was unbelievable, what do you mean? A To to ask a business to bid on buying a a complicated asset with thousands of pieces of properties that have all kinds of restrictions and and utilities under it, I mean, they don't JEA doesn't even know all the properties that it owns. They asked a company to buy an asset of \$8 billion in in a couple months period and not be able to walk the capital buildings, you know, the utilities the transmission and the generating plants is unheard of. Even in the PFM

	58		60
1	they they did a time a reasonable time line that	1	BY MR. RUSSELL:
2	it would take and it was, like, 18 months.	2	Q Well, let's make sure we're calling it the same
3	Q And the truncated time of which you're	3	thing. The ITN, when it first came out, Michael, had a
4	referring was the time after the 2019 invitation bid was	4	time frame of March 30th, 2020, and was your the
5	issued until they were the bids were required?	5	client you were consulting with, PPM, was complaining
6	A It was it was it was months that this had	6	that was insufficient?
7	to be done in. And the months weren't even though it	7	A It was short, but manageable if information was
8	was even even that it was only a short period of	8	available quickly and you could walk it.
9	time, the information that needed to be bled out in the	9	Q Okay.
10	data room took a while to get to.	10	A As that shrunk and you had to wait on
11	And the reason and my my affiliation with	11	information and you couldn't walk it, it became
12	this company was never a secret. In in order to get	12	difficult. I'm aware I I wasn't in Atlanta. I
13	in the data room, which is where more private	13	wasn't a part of the Atlanta meetings
14	information which is real weird because it's a public	14	Q Uh-huh.
15	institution, I don't know why they're private, you had	15	A but I do know that the company that I was
16	to identify and sign things to be allowed into the data	16	with asked during the Atlanta meeting with JEA to please
17	room. And so I was identified early on to JEA that I	17	give us more time, that your time frame is more
18	was helping this company. It wasn't a secret, where	18	difficult. And and, ironically, it would have been
19	some others might have been more quiet about it.	19	easier to apply as a concessionaire than a purchase
20	Q Some others?	20	because with a concessionaire, you're not getting all
21	A Yeah.	21	those questionable land pieces. You're not you won't
22	Q Who who?	22	have time to adjust to what you learned. But the idea
23	A I don't know. I don't know.	23	of purchasing it without having adequate time to I
24	Q Come on.	24	just couldn't believe and they kept asking for best
25	A But, yeah, I I am having learned what	25	and final.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	59		61
1	happened the other times, that the possibility of	1	And and the request came in, you want your
2	selling JEA, I and having a required referendum, I	2	best and final and we need and and this company
3	never felt that was a reasonable possibility.	3	that I was with was hiring experts to analyze the the
4	Q You never felt what was reasonable?	4	condition of the assets. And they knew and they were
5	A To be able to sell it. Even if it was the	5	asking these experts, Can you do it this quickly? Can
6	right thing to do, I don't think publicly you could get	6	you do it this quickly? And they kept getting pushed
7	there.	7	back. And then JEA said, You're not going to get
8	Q In that time frame or or generally	8	access. You're not going to be able to walk it. You're
9	speaking?	9	not going to be able to see the capital
10	A In my lifetime.	10	BY MR. BUSEY:
11	Q Because of the required	11	Q JEA denied access?
12	A Because of the prior because of the prior	12	A Yeah. Said yeah, yeah.
13	attempts and now negatively, they were accepted.	13	Q Why?
14	Q Okay. Just to put a time frame on it. The	14	A Because it just had to be done so quickly that
15	prior attempt was generated after Tom	15	they weren't allowing the companies to literally walk
16	MR. RUSSELL: Petway.	16	the facilities.
17	Q Petway's comment in December of '17 and then	17	Q And when you say the time shrunk, Lanny
18	there was the shellacking that you referred to earlier	18	referred to a March time frame for final at best,
19	in 2018 and the ITN was issued	19	what what is the shrinkage that you're referring
20	MR. BUSEY: When, Kevin?	20	to?
21	MR. BLODGETT: August 2nd, 2019.	21	A I I believe I couldn't tell you for sure,
22	Q August 2nd, 2019, and the JEA was trying to	22	but I think it was more at the end of January, by the
23	complete that process by by January of 2020, that's	23	end, than it was in March.
24	the shortened time period you're referring to?	24	BY MR. RUSSELL:
25	A Yes. And I believe	25	Q And, Michael, do you know who made the decision
1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	62		64
1	to shrink that time period, truncate it to January 30th,	1	A was
2	2020?	2	MR. BLODGETT: JEA PPP was the active head.
3	A No idea how internal decisions were being made.	3	A Yeah, that name was created as an entity, you
4	My connection as an observer ended with the demise of	4	know, at the very end and I'm not familiar with it.
5	that effort and I no longer went to the building.	5	MR. RUSSELL: Okay. I wasn't either. Say the
6	BY MR. BUSEY:	6	name again, please, Kevin.
7	Q When you say that when you say that	7	MR. BLODGETT: JEA PPP.
8	 A When the demise of the effort that the Public 	8	BY MR. RUSSELL:
9	Finance Management team presented that report and	9	Q Okay. And on that client's path, with whom you
10	Crescimbini's committee was created, we were done and I	10	were consulted, did that client, and you as a
11	was done.	11	
12			consultant, ever receive any information from JEA about
	Until then, I would go to the JEA building and	12	the participation unit plan that was being put in place
13	I'd go to a meeting, but once that happened, I was	13	by JEA?
14	totally disconnected to JEA.	14	A Is that that the bond is that the
15	Q But you know what goes on in this town.	15	marketing the financial arrangement with the
16	A Yeah, but I the the decisions being made	16	employees?
17	on this process were so bizarre, I have no idea who	17	Q Yes.
18	whether they were consultants making them or whether	18	A No.
19	they were being made inside JEA. I do not know.	19	Q These seemed to be employees
20	Q Give us some idea of what you're referring to	20	A Everyone learned about it in the press.
21	when you said the decisions were	21	Q So in connection with the ITN process up until
22	A Well, decisions to speed it up and the decision	22	your client stopped its participation, you JEA told,
23	not to allow as much access as the responders were	23	as far as you know, no bidders about that participation
24	asking for and the creation and the language of the	24	in your plan?
25	nondisclosure agreements, the decision-making in those,	25	A I can only say about ours.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	63		65
1	I felt, were pretty bizarre and I don't know how or who	1	Q Okay. Yes. Your client
2	was making them.	2	A Yeah.
3	Q Do you have any even suspicion as to why that	3	Q not a word?
4	was being done?	4	A And everyone learned about it in the press and
5	A No, I can only tell you the results. The	5	couldn't believe it.
6	results are that the responders were were having a	6	Q Okay.
7	very difficult time being able to give a best and final	7	BY MR. BLODGETT:
8	offer with a lack of information. And I think the whole	8	Q You mentioned the cone of silence in the ITN
9	process caved before the final offers were due.	9	process. One of the things that has been reported is
10	Q When you say caved	10	that the cone of silence applied not only to the
11	A I think JEA pulled the plug on the process.	11	participants in the ITN process, the bidders and JEA,
12	Q Well, that's right, that happened in December.	12	but then it also prohibited council members from talking
13	A Yeah.	13	about the ITN process.
14	BY MR. BLODGETT:	14	In your experience, have you ever heard of a
15	Q You mentioned that	15	code of silence in a procurement process being applied
16	MR. BLODGETT: Yeah, go you can go ahead.	16	to council members?
17	BY MR. RUSSELL:	17	A Absolutely not. It was so bizarre because the
18	Q I'm not sure I've got my time right. Let me	18	JEA put out that they didn't want anyone they didn't
19	make sure I get this right. At the time you were	19	want anybody any responders talking to anyone that
20	working as a consultant, Mike, the entity was PPM?	20	would have possibly had a final decision being made, you
21	A Wait, wait.	21	know. And that really goes to the people because it was
22	MR. BLODGETT: He's asking the name of your	22	going to be referendum.
23	client.	23	So you could literally take their explanation
24	A My client	24	of who you couldn't talk to to your next-door
25	Q Yes.	25	neighbor because if they were going to vote on whether
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	20	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	66		68
1	to sell or not, they were a decision maker. No, I've	1	about those sessions?
2	never seen anything I mean, and, again and the NDA	2	A The the I don't know there were sessions.
3	started that way and got worse as the process went	3	I know there was a session. I didn't know there were
4	along. The NDA they put out to those that were still	4	multiples.
5	left and had access to the data room and were still	5	Q Multiple sessions with the bidders were broken
6	competing was even more severe than the way they	6	up.
7	started.	7	A Well, yeah, they had their own.
8	Q So was there an initial NDA and then an amended	8	Q Right. So you're talking
9	NDA?	9	A So so my client had one that I'm aware of.
10	A Yes, as they as they allowed more access to	10	Q Okay. And after that session, which would be
11	information, they would have a new NDA for those as	11	the end of November, December, I think it's December
12	the group shrunk, new NDAs came out. And I just know of	12	3rd, 4th, I'm pretty sure it's the 4th, instead of
13	two for sure, the original one and the one that we got	13	actually having a person-to-person meeting, one of the
14	towards the end, which was the one that was so crazy	14	negotiators, one of the appointed negotiators from the
15	that I called Carla Miller on, when I when I read it.	15	mayor's office and a representative of one of the
16	So I know of at least two, if not more.	16	investment banks called each of the bidders and reported
17	Q You also indicated that your client was not	17	to those bidders on what the negotiation team perceived
18	given the opportunity to inspect JEA assets. Do you	18	as the competitiveness of the bid. Did your client ever
19	know if any of the other ITN bidders were given the	19	report to you on that call?
20	opportunity to inspect JEA assets?	20	A I I can't relate it to a call or not. I
21	A The only thing I know is that when there	21	I have three feedbacks.
22	was the process called for questions where responders	22	Q Okay.
23	could submit their questions to JEA and JEA would	23	A One was earlier on where the feedback that I
24	respond and copy everybody with the question all the	24	was given was we when they first submitted it, it was
25	potential responders. And I don't know who asked the	25	about 7.6 billion. The feedback I got from my client
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	67		69
1	question, what responder, could have been us, could have	1	was that their feedback was you got to get it up.
2	been any one of them, about walking with it, getting	2	Q And that feedback was coming from who?
3	access to the facilities and the answer was no.	3	A Coming from some someone within JEA or
4	Whether they let any in or not, I don't know,	4	their consultants. Someone from the JEA consultants
5	but the answer the public answer to all responders in	5	told my client that you have a very interesting bid, but
6	the in the official mechanism was, no, you don't get	6	you've got to get your price up.
7	access. Give us your final and best, but you can't	7	Q That the race is going on within the context
8	can't see the facilities.	8	of the invitation to bid, negotiate?
9	Q I also want I want to just verify. Did you	9	A Yes, yes. It was related to the response
10	participate in any of the negotiation sessions in	10	that yeah.
11	connection with the ITN?	11	As far as the Atlanta meeting goes, I had two
12	A No.	12	feedbacks that I can recall. One is the one I mentioned
13	BY MR. RUSSELL:	13	that they were asking for more time, that the schedule
14	Q Let me follow up on that. Did you talk to your	14	was quite burdensome and that they did say to JEA, or
15	clients	15	whoever was there, that some of the questions were
16	A I don't know there was negotiation. I think	16	difficult for us because it's designed for buyers'
17	there was the Atlanta.	17	response. And and some of our answers don't, you
18	Q Those were the Atlanta sessions?	18	know because our our our response didn't
19	A And if there were if there were direct	19	require any pension change. The whole idea of the
20	negotiations, I'm not aware of and definitely didn't	20	pension buyout and all that, not required because
21	participate in any of them.	21	everybody stays in the pension.
22	Q I want to see if you heard from your client	22	The the questions that relates to what are
23	about any of those further negotiations. They were the	23	you going to do about property taxes because and
24	Atlanta Atlanta in-person sessions, most of the	24	and the the contribution in lieu of, that didn't
25	bidders were there, and did your client talk to you	25	relate to ours because we were still going to be public
L	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	70			72
1	and we still weren't going to be property taxed, but we	1	Α	and their receiving of it. I I I
2	still make a contribution.	2	wouldn	't think they would happily get more involved and
3	So in trying to make our answers fit some	3	connec	ted. I mean, it it didn't go well. It didn't
4	questions or you know, so they mentioned some of the	4	end	
5	difficulty in that. I don't remember the feedback.	5	Q	And because what I'm talking about is a phone
6	The other the only other feedback I got was	6	call that	lasts about ten minutes.
7	relating to Herschel Herschel that the feedback that	7	А	They might.
8	the group got was that the individuals listening to what	8	Q	Okay.
9	our offer was were very interested. The concepts that I	9	Α	, I mean, I I don't know. But you have to let
10	laid out were very interesting to those that were	10		now that this is you know, you're not asking
11	hearing it except Herschel. Herschel responded	11		b testify, you're not putting them in front of the
12	negatively where everybody else was positive	12	court.	
13	Q And everybody else	13	Q	No.
14	A in the Atlanta meeting.	14	A	You're just trying to, you know, put some
15	Q Okay.	15	Q	Understand.
16	BY MR. BUSEY:	16	A	final touches on and that and that
17		17		u're not necessarily following up on Mike
	Q Responded negatively to what?		5	5 0 1
18	A To the to the presentation and ideas that I	18		ein, you're just, you know, calling some people to
19	relayed to you about our response.	19		t about how you felt about your experience in
20	Q What did you think that was about?	20	Atlanta	
21	A I and I don't know what exactly was said	21	Q	Okay.
22	or I'm just telling you what they said to me.	22	Α	You know, I mean, that's a legitimate call.
23	Q They?	23	Q	Okay.
24	A My client said to me that they did alert JEA	24	Α	Because you're not tying it to, you know, what
25	that some of our answers won't fit exactly right and	25	did Her	schel say?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	71			73
1	that we need a little more time. And they said to me	1	Q	Okay. Won't do that.
2	that we were very well received by everyone except	2		But who would be the person for us to reach out
3	Herschel.	3	to and t	ry to get that information from?
4	Q What	4	Α	Fellow by the name of Jeff Yuknis. The initial
5	A And I don't know what was said or how that came	F		
-		5	compar	ny that came to me was Bernhard Capital Partners.
6	about. You you now heard everything I heard. And	5 6	compar Q	ny that came to me was Bernhard Capital Partners. Is that who
-				Is that who
6	about. You you now heard everything I heard. And	6	Q	Is that who
6 7	about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it.	6 7	Q BY MR.	Is that who BUSEY:
6 7 8	about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to	6 7 8	Q BY MR. Q A	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name?
6 7 8 9	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was 	6 7 8 9	Q BY MR. Q A one of	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's
6 7 8 9 10	about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it.Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined?	6 7 8 9 10	Q BY MR. Q A one of they we	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And
6 7 8 9 10 11	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's 	6 7 8 9 10 11	Q BY MR. Q A one of they we of the e	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q BY MR. Q A one of they we of the e got two	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q BY MR. Q A one of f they we of the e got two wasn't	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q BY MR. Q A one of they we of they we got two wasn't 100,000	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q BY MR. Q A one of they we of they we got two wasn't 100,000	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they b huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things.
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q BY MR. Q A one of they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR.	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from your client, JEA PPP, the one who was there at the ITN, 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q BY MR. Q A one of t they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR. Q A	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL: Okay.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from your client, JEA PPP, the one who was there at the ITN, would be willing to talk to us about what you just described in terms of the animosity towards your 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q BY MR. Q A one of f they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR. Q A the sto	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL: Okay. And this is the closest I've gotten to getting ry out
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from your client, JEA PPP, the one who was there at the ITN, would be willing to talk to us about what you just described in terms of the animosity towards your client's type of proposal? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q BY MR. Q A one of f they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR. Q A the sto Q	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL: Okay. And this is the closest I've gotten to getting ry out Well, thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from your client, JEA PPP, the one who was there at the ITN, would be willing to talk to us about what you just described in terms of the animosity towards your client's type of proposal? MR. BUSEY: I would use lack of receptivity. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q BY MR. Q A one of t they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR. Q A the sto Q A	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL: Okay. And this is the closest I've gotten to getting ry out
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from your client, JEA PPP, the one who was there at the ITN, would be willing to talk to us about what you just described in terms of the animosity towards your client's type of proposal? MR. BUSEY: I would use lack of receptivity. Q All right. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q BY MR. Q A one of f they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR. Q A the sto Q A been.	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL: Okay. And this is the closest I've gotten to getting ry out Well, thank you. as far as how great that offer would have
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from your client, JEA PPP, the one who was there at the ITN, would be willing to talk to us about what you just described in terms of the animosity towards your client's type of proposal? MR. BUSEY: I would use lack of receptivity. Q All right. A Yeah, animosity was too strong. Or or just 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q BY MR. Q A one of f they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR. Q A the sto Q A been. Q	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL: Okay. And this is the closest I've gotten to getting ry out Well, thank you. as far as how great that offer would have And to your understanding, is your client still
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from your client, JEA PPP, the one who was there at the ITN, would be willing to talk to us about what you just described in terms of the animosity towards your client's type of proposal? MR. BUSEY: I would use lack of receptivity. Q All right. A Yeah, animosity was too strong. Or or just the interpretat just their understanding 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q BY MR. Q A one of t they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR. Q A the sto Q A been. Q prohibite	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL: Okay. And this is the closest I've gotten to getting ry out Well, thank you. as far as how great that offer would have And to your understanding, is your client still ed from putting that story out?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 about. You you now heard everything I heard. And they never and they never got into detail about it. Q I'm just trying to get into your mind as to why you think that was. Do you think the outcome was predetermined? A I I can't I can't my opinion's worthless. I I don't know, but Q I hold your opinion in high regard. A Yeah, but BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You think, Michael, that a representative from your client, JEA PPP, the one who was there at the ITN, would be willing to talk to us about what you just described in terms of the animosity towards your client's type of proposal? MR. BUSEY: I would use lack of receptivity. Q All right. A Yeah, animosity was too strong. Or or just 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q BY MR. Q A one of f they we of the e got two wasn't 100,000 BY MR. Q A the sto Q A been. Q	Is that who BUSEY: How do you spell Jeff's last name? Y-u-k-n-i-s, Yuknis. And he's he's he's the executives in Bernhard Capital Partners. And ere the entity that was putting together the team electric manager and the water manager. And they be huge companies to be a part of the team. This a fly-by-the-night team. Together they had about 0 employees doing these things. RUSSELL: Okay. And this is the closest I've gotten to getting ry out Well, thank you. as far as how great that offer would have And to your understanding, is your client still

1		1	
	74		76
1	cone if the NDA is still in effect or not.	1	that meeting?
2	BY MR. BLODGETT:		A I know that the the more substantial names,
3	Q One one of my questions is: Did you ever	3	yes.
4	have the opportunity to read the template asset sale and		Q Okay.
5	purchase agreements that were prepared by JEA's	5	A I think both of them and and there might have been more than three. I don't I don't know how
6 7	attorneys to perfect the bidders?	7	
8	A No, but that was one of the hang-ups, that it		many there were. Q I only have three names so that's all I could
9	was not designed for a concessionaire because what they told my client, now that you mentioned it, that we're	9	Q I only have three names so that's all I could ask about.
10	going to give all the responders that as a draft. You	10	But do you recall if there were any prospective
11	have to write your own.	11	buyers, that you would consider prospective buyers at
12	Q When were you given that option to write your	12	that meeting of JEA?
13	own?	13	A I'm not I'm not sure.
14	A I think they might have gotten that from	14	Q At this meeting
15	Atlanta. That might have been the result of of	15	A Yeah.
16	Atlanta. I I don't know. I'm not I wouldn't have	16	Q at the airport, investment bankers
17	been involved in the creation of it. And I don't think	17	 A Which was for JEA to hire a banker.
18	they ever did create it. I never think that it got that	18	Q Right. Were there any representatives of
19	far, but they did say that everybody else gets a draft	19	people you would
20	to work off of. Ours is so different that we have to	20	A Oh, oh.
21	draft it from the beginning, which meant they have to	21	Q consider prospective buyers of that
22	hire more legal staff and what have you.	22	A Not that I know, no.
23	Q So not only was the deadline moved from March	23	Q Okay.
24	2020 to January 2020, but your client also had to draft,	24	A No, not that I'm aware of.
25	from scratch essentially, all the concessionaire	25	Q Okay. In the discussions that happened at that
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	75		77
1	agreements, site agreements, collateral agreements, get	1	meeting or that, as you recall, were JEA senior
2	all that done in the same time period?	2	leadership group and the investment bankers talking
3	A Yes.	3	about the retention of those investment bankers to
4	Q To your knowledge, did your clients ever raise	4	provide services to JEA and the potential sale of JEA?
5	those concerns, like, specifically with drafting those	5	A Yeah. Basically, it was a competitive process
6	documents with JEA or their negotiators?	6	where the the bankers would come in and explain why
7	A I don't know one way or another. I think they	7	they are well-suited to help JEA I wouldn't say at
8	were told about it and didn't really have a dialog about	8	that point to sell it to determine if it should be
9	it, but I don't know for sure.	9	sold and what it should be sold for if it's determined
10	Q Did you ever ask your client if it would be	10	to sell.
11	able to draft those documents in the accelerated shorter	11	Q Okay. In the monitoring that the mayor asked
12	time frame they were given?	12	you to do of what JEA was up to, are you aware of what
13	A No. I don't know if they could have and I I	13	point in time when, in fact, JEA did move into the we're
14	never had that, no.	14 15	no longer valuing the entity, we're now preparing to
15 16	BY MR. RUSSELL: Q Let's go back and finish up a few questions,	16	sell it?A No, because my my focus was more on the
17	Mike, about the meeting in January at the airport. My	17	the Public Financial Management report, which laid
18	understanding is an investment banker, I think you	18	out and and just so you know, that concessionaires
19	pronounce it Moelis & Company, attended that meeting at	19	was even mentioned in his report. He laid out a lot of
20	the airport?	20	vari variable ways to go
21	A As one of the competitors?	21	Q Uh-huh.
22	Q Yes.	22	A one of which was the idea this company had.
23	A It may have been. I	23	No, I I didn't think we were even close to that. We
24	Q And do you recall whether JP Morgan do you	24	were still trying to determine what it could possibly be
25	recall also that JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley attended	25	worth and then determine whether we should sell it. I'm
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	· · ·	-	

	78		80
1	saying "we," as the City.	1	8. Hand that to the court reporter.
2	Q Right.	2	(Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)
3	A Not not Lenny, not the mayor. JEA. My	3	BY MR. RUSSELL:
4	understanding is JEA was given the responsibility go out	4	Q And just to go back and complete 6, moving back
5	and see if this is something we should be doing and what	5	to the City's
6	is it worth. Not the City.	6	A Should I get it?
7	Q Right.	7	Q If you would, please, Mike. Thank you.
8	A City was never asked to do it. We never looked	8	A Could you give me 6? Sorry, I didn't know it
9	to do it.	9	was coming back.
10	Q And as JEA undertook that process beginning	10	Q Plus the order of the documents actually came
11	in 2019, the event that you probably are aware of was	11	out, you have there in your pile, Mike, the next
12	the JEA board meeting on July 23 of 2019, in which the	12	numbered document, which is Number 9, will be marked as
13	board approved the sporing of a number of options in	13	Number 9, it's dated February 1, 2018. It's to you, as
14	connection with JEA, some were stay the course, one was	14	director of finance and the CFO of the City of
15	doing public offering of JEA, which sounded pretty	15	Jacksonville, from a gentlemen you mentioned earlier,
16	creative, and the other was to explore the	16	Joey Greive, as treasurer.
17	privatization of JEA. Those were the options that the	17	And if I understand what this is, this is the
18	board voted to be explored at the July 23 JEA board	18	evaluation that was done in order to pick the successful
19	meeting.	19	bidders under the RFP from the City of Jacksonville that
20	Do you recall that?	20	we've marked as Exhibit 6.
21	A Only as a retired person living in Clay County	21	Who chose the bidders well, let's identify
22	from the press.	22	them first. I will. The chosen successful bidders for
23	Q Away from the press?	23	that RFP were JP Morgan, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley
24	A That was my that was my connection. That	24	and KPMG; is that correct?
25	was mostly reading headlines.	25	A That's what's written on this letter and I
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 79	-	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1		1	81
1	Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document	1	believe that is correct. And you would you asked me
1 2 3	Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark	1 2 3	believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them?
2	Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document	2	believe that is correct. And you would you asked me
2 3	Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8.	2 3	believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4	Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT:	2 3 4	believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes.
2 3 4 5	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two 	2 3 4 5	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public
2 3 4 5 6	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated 	2 3 4 5 6	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. A I couldn't give you any names. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if JEA had any input into this selection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. A I couldn't give you any names. Q Okay. Do you remember if Goldman Sachs had any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if JEA had any input into this selection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. A I couldn't give you any names. Q Okay. Do you remember if Goldman Sachs had any representatives at that meeting? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if JEA had any input into this selection? A Yeah, I have yeah, I I wouldn't expect it or know about it and wouldn't understand why.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. A I couldn't give you any names. Q Okay. Do you remember if Goldman Sachs had any representatives at that meeting? A No, I don't, one way or another, but I wouldn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if JEA had any input into this selection? A Yeah, I have yeah, I I wouldn't expect it or know about it and wouldn't understand why. Q Okay. Okay. I think I'm done with that one,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. A I couldn't give you any names. Q Okay. Do you remember if Goldman Sachs had any representatives at that meeting? A No, I don't, one way or another, but I wouldn't have been surprised. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if JEA had any input into this selection? A Yeah, I have yeah, I I wouldn't expect it or know about it and wouldn't understand why. Q Okay. Okay. I think I'm done with that one, too.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. A I couldn't give you any names. Q Okay. Do you remember if Goldman Sachs had any representatives at that meeting? A No, I don't, one way or another, but I wouldn't have been surprised. MR. BLODGETT: All right. That was the only 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if JEA had any input into this selection? A Yeah, I have yeah, I I wouldn't expect it or know about it and wouldn't understand why. Q Okay. Okay. I think I'm done with that one, too.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. A I couldn't give you any names. Q Okay. Do you remember if Goldman Sachs had any representatives at that meeting? A No, I don't, one way or another, but I wouldn't have been surprised. MR. BLODGETT: All right. That was the only question I had. You can move on. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if JEA had any input into this selection? A Yeah, I have yeah, I I wouldn't expect it or know about it and wouldn't understand why. Q Okay. Okay. I think I'm done with that one, too. MR. RUSSELL: So we can give THE WITNESS: 6 and 9.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Got you. So let's go ahead and the document you have there, if you'd hand it to Terrie, she'd mark it as 8. BY MR. BLODGETT: Q Actually, I just want to ask one or two questions real quick, but at that meeting you indicated that, from your recollection, that there was a team of four to five JEA senior leadership team members there, included Melissa Dykes and maybe Paul McElroy. You indicated that you seem to recall JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley representatives being there and then maybe Moelis representatives being there. Do you recall anyone else being at that meeting? A If you would ask me how many, I would have thought there were more than three. Q Okay. A I couldn't give you any names. Q Okay. Do you remember if Goldman Sachs had any representatives at that meeting? A No, I don't, one way or another, but I wouldn't have been surprised. MR. BLODGETT: All right. That was the only 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 believe that is correct. And you would you asked me who chose them? (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. A That would have been the results of the public financial team. It would not have been us picking those. It would not have been the City of Jacksonville picking them. It would have been reported to us from Public Financial Management. Q And do you have any insight, Mike, into what the criteria was that Public Financial Management used to select these successful bidders? A Not specifically, but I would be shocked if it wasn't their experience and exactly what we're looking for as evaluating public assets, the value of public assets. Q Yeah. And you would similarly be shocked if JEA had any input into this selection? A Yeah, I have yeah, I I wouldn't expect it or know about it and wouldn't understand why. Q Okay. Okay. I think I'm done with that one, too.

1 BY MR. RUSSELL: 1 MR. RUSSELL: Is that okay? 2 Q And move on to what's now 3 BY MR. BLODGETT: 4 Q THE WITNESS: Yeah. 3 BY MR. BLODGETT: 4 Q There was one just one question. So do you 5 MR. RUSSELL: Is that okay? 4 Q There was one just one question. So do you 3 MR. RUSSELL: 5 Q Take what we had marked as Exhibit 9, while 5 Not to that	ge e t
 3 BY MR. BLODGETT: 4 Q There was one just one question. So do you 5 know if any of those winning RFP bidders, the four we 6 mentioned, provided any services in connection with that 7 RFP? 8 A I don't know what what you mean. 9 Q So they were selected as winners of that RFP 10 A Right. 11 Q to provide financial services. Did they ever provide any financial services described in that 13 RFP? 14 A Not not that I'm not when I was there. 15 We never we never connected and offered anything to 16 them. 17 Q Why did they never provide the services that 18 were discussed in that RFP? 2 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 21 nor what have you and we never moved forward. You know, 22 became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became 23 controversial so we didn't pursue It. Never hired them, 24 A That they hired. 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 83 1 there. 2 Q Understood. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 5 services discussed in that RFP? 2 A No, no. 3 Q Can 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I meant 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going 	ge e t
 A There was one just one question. So do you know if any of those winning RFP bidders, the four we mentioned, provided any services in connection with that RFP? A I don't know what what you mean. Q So they were selected as winners of that RFP A Right. Q to provide financial services. Did they ever provide any financial services. Did they ever provide any financial services described in that RFP? A Not not that I'm not when I was there. We never we never connected and offered anything to them. Q Why did they never provide the services that were discussed in that RFP? A Because we never moved forward. You know, again, we were going to respond to the offers that came in or what have you and we never moved forward. And became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Bay MR. RUSSELL: S Q Take what we had marked as Exhibit 9, while there. Q Understood. Do you remember who was involved in the decision to not move forward with any of the financial services discussed in that RFP? 	ge e t
 know if any of those winning RPP bidders, the four we mentioned, provided any services in connection with that RFP? A I don't know what what you mean. Q So they were selected as winners of that RFP A Right. Q to provide financial services. Did they ever provide any financial services described in that RFP? A Not not that I'm not when I was there. We never we never connected and offered anything to them. Q Why did they never provide the services that were discussed in that RFP? A Because we never moved forward. You know, again, we were going to respond to the offers that came in or what have you and we never moved forward. And became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, never asked them to do anything, but they're available to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. M I there. Q Understood. Do you remember who was involved in the decision to nt move forward with any of the financial services discussed in that RFP? C A No, no. Same entity that the City had hired? A Bucl I'm not again, JP Morg I mean you're going to go into this process, they're going 	ge e t
6 mentioned, provided any services in connection with that 7 RFP? 8 A I don't know what what you mean. 9 Q So they were selected as winners of that RFP 10 A Right. 11 Q to provide financial services. Did they 12 ever provide any financial services. Did they 12 ever provide any financial services. Did they 12 ever provide financial services. Did they 14 A Not not that I'm not when I was there. 15 We never we never connected and offered anything to 16 them. 17 Q Why did they never provide the services that 18 were discussed in that RFP? 18 Because we never moved forward. You know, 20 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 21 in or what have you and we never moved forward. And 22 became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 24 They they hired them. 25 Q Understood. 3 Do you	ge e t
7 RFP? 8 A I don't know what what you mean. 9 Q So they were selected as winners of that RFP 10 A Right. 11 Q to provide financial services. Did they 12 ever provide any financial services described in that 13 RFP? 14 A Not not that I'm not when I was there. 15 We never we never connected and offered anything to 16 them. 17 Q Why did they never provide the services that 18 were discussed in that RFP? 19 A Because we never moved forward. You know, 20 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 21 in or what have you and we never moved forward. And 22 became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 24 A They they hired them. 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 83 1 there. 1 2 Q Understood.	e t
8 A I don't know what what you mean. 8 Q the buyers. And it's perhaps it's some 9 Q So they were selected as winners of that RFP 9 sort of odd coincidence, but Number 10 in your packates it's come 10 A Right. 10 there, looks like what you have right in front of you 11 Q to provide financial services. Did they 10 there, looks like what you have right in front of you 12 ever provide any financial services. Did they 11 and the date line of the approval of the successful 13 RFP? 13 have a document we got produced to us from JEA that 14 A Not not that I'm not when I was there. 15 day after their approval for the City's RFP, signing a 16 them. 11 a for what? For what? 18 sows that successful bidder, JP Morgan Securities, ti 18 were discussed in that RFP? 18 (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.) 19 Q For sources in connection with the 20 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 11 disposition of JEA. The study in JEA. 21 21 in or what have you and we never moved forward. And	e t
9 Q So they were selected as winners of that RFP 9 sort of odd coincidence, but Number 10 in your packat 10 A Right. 10 there, looks like what you have right in front of you 11 Q	e t
10ARight.11Q to provide financial services. Did they12ever provide any financial services. Did they12ever provide any financial services. Did they13RFP?14ANot not that I 'm not when I was there.15We never we never connected and offered anything to16them.17QWhy did they never provide the services that18were discussed in that RFP?19ABecause we never moved forward. You know,20again, we were going to respond to the offers that came21in or what have you and we never moved forward. And22became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became23controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them,24never asked them to do anything, but they're available25to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was14there.2Q2Q3Do you remember who was involved in the4decision to not move forward with any of the financial5services discussed in that RFP?2Q4M11there.2Q4decision to not move forward with any of the financial5services discussed in that RFP?3Do you remember who was involved in the4A contro or this process, they're going to go into this process, they're going2ANo, no.3QCan	e t
11Q to provide financial services. Did they12ever provide any financial services described in that13RFP?14ANot not that I'm not when I was there.15We never we never connected and offered anything to16them.17QWhy did they never provide the services that18were discussed in that RFP?19ABecause we never moved forward. You know,20again, we were going to respond to the offers that came21in or what have you and we never moved forward. And22became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became23Q24A25to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was26Q27Q28Q29Understood.3Do you remember who was involved in the4decision to not move forward with any of the financial5services discussed in that RFP?14same entity that the City had hired?29Q20Understood.3Do you remember who was involved in the4decision to not move forward with any of the financial5services discussed in that RFP?	t
12ever provide any financial services described in that13RFP?14ANot not that I'm not when I was there.15We never we never connected and offered anything to16them.17QWhy did they never provide the services that18were discussed in that RFP?19ABecause we never moved forward. You know,20again, we were going to respond to the offers that came21in or what have you and we never moved forward. And22became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became23controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them,24never asked them to do anything, but they're available25to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was14there.2Q2Q3Do you remember who was involved in the4decision to not move forward with any of the financial5services discussed in that RFP?2Q4Associates Reporters, Inc.	t
13 RFP? 14 A Not not that I'm not when I was there. 15 We never we never connected and offered anything to them. 13 have a document we got produced to us from JEA that shows that successful bidder, JP Morgan Securities, ti day after their approval for the City's RFP, signing a confidentiality with JEA. 17 Q Why did they never provide the services that 16 18 were discussed in that RFP? 18 (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.) 19 A Because we never moved forward. You know, again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 21 17 A For what? For what? 20 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 21 19 Q For sources in connection with the 21 21 became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became 23 Controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, 24 22 A That they hired? 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 24 A They they hired them. 25 Q Understood. 3 Q Can 1 there. 1 same entity that the City had hired? 2 2 Q Understood. 3 Q <th>t</th>	t
14 A Not not that I'm not when I was there. 14 shows that successful bidder, JP Morgan Securities, the day after their approval for the City's RFP, signing a confidentiality with JEA. 17 Q Why did they never provide the services that 16 18 were discussed in that RFP? 18 confidentiality with JEA. 19 A Because we never moved forward. You know, 19 Q For sources in connection with the 20 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 20 transaction. The transaction's described broadly as ti 21 in or what have you and we never moved forward. And 20 transaction of JEA. The study in JEA. 22 became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 23 controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, 24 A They they hired. 24 never asked them to do anything, but they're available 24 A They they hired. 25 there. 1 same entity that the City had hired? 2 2 Q Understood. 3 Q Can 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial	
15 We never we never connected and offered anything to them. 15 day after their approval for the City's RFP, signing a confidentiality with JEA. 17 Q Why did they never provide the services that 16 confidentiality with JEA. 18 were discussed in that RFP? 18 (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.) 19 A Because we never moved forward. You know, 19 Q For sources in connection with the 20 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 20 transaction's described broadly as ti 21 in or what have you and we never moved forward. And 20 transaction of JEA. The study in JEA. 22 became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 23 controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, 24 A They they hired them. 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was 25 Q Were you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire 2 Q Understood. 3 Q Can 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I meant 4 decision to	e
16them.16confidentiality with JEA.17QWhy did they never provide the services that17AFor what? For what?18were discussed in that RFP?18(Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)19ABecause we never moved forward. You know,19QFor sources in connection with the20again, we were going to respond to the offers that came10transaction. The transaction's described broadly as the21in or what have you and we never moved forward. And22became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became2323controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them,23QYeah, they hired?24never asked them to do anything, but they're available24AThey they hired them.25to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.831same entity that the City had hired?2QUnderstood.3Do you remember who was involved in the3QCan4decision to not move forward with any of the financial5you're going to go into this process, they're going	
17QWhy did they never provide the services that17AFor what? For what?18were discussed in that RFP?18(Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)19ABecause we never moved forward. You know,19QFor sources in connection with the20again, we were going to respond to the offers that came11in or what have you and we never moved forward. And19QFor sources in connection with the21in or what have you and we never moved forward. And22became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became23QYeah, they hired?23controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them,24AThey they hired.2424never asked them to do anything, but they're available25QWere you aware that, I guess, JEA had hired25QUnderstood.3Do you remember who was involved in the3QCan4decision to not move forward with any of the financial4ABut I'm not again, JP Morg I mean5services discussed in that RFP?5you're going to go into this process, they're going	
18 were discussed in that RFP? 18 (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.) 19 A Because we never moved forward. You know, 19 Q For sources in connection with the 20 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came 20 transaction's described broadly as the 21 in or what have you and we never moved forward. And 20 transaction of JEA. The study in JEA. 22 A That they hired? 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 23 controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, 24 A They they hired. 24 never asked them to do anything, but they're available 24 A They they hired them. 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was 25 Q Were you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire 24 there. 1 same entity that the City had hired? 2 2 Q Understood. 3 Q Can 3 Q Can 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5 services discussed in that RFP? 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going <	
19ABecause we never moved forward. You know, again, we were going to respond to the offers that came in or what have you and we never moved forward. And 2219QFor sources in connection with the transaction's described broadly as the disposition of JEA. The study in JEA.22became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, rever asked them to do anything, but they're available to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.22AThat they hired?23QYeah, they hired.24AThey they hired them.25to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.25QWere you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.831there.1same entity that the City had hired?2QUnderstood.3QCan3Do you remember who was involved in the 4ABut I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 54ABut I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5you're going to go into this process, they're going	
 again, we were going to respond to the offers that came in or what have you and we never moved forward. And became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, never asked them to do anything, but they're available to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. again, we were going to respond to the offers that came there. Q Understood. Do you remember who was involved in the decision to not move forward with any of the financial services discussed in that RFP? 	
21 in or what have you and we never moved forward. And 21 disposition of JEA. The study in JEA. 22 became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became 22 A That they hired? 23 controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 24 never asked them to do anything, but they're available 24 A They they hired them. 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was 25 Q Were you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire 26 Q Understood. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 3 Q Can 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 3 Q Can 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going	
 22 became somewhat controversial, the whole thing became 23 controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, 24 never asked them to do anything, but they're available 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 1 there. 2 Q Understood. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 5 services discussed in that RFP? 22 A That they hired? 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 24 A They they hired them. 25 Q Were you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 83 1 there. 2 A No, no. 3 Q Can 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going 	е
 23 controversial so we didn't pursue it. Never hired them, 24 never asked them to do anything, but they're available 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 1 there. 2 Q Understood. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 5 services discussed in that RFP? 23 Q Yeah, they hired. 24 A They they hired them. 25 Q Were you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 83 1 there. 2 A No, no. 3 Q Can 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going 	
24 never asked them to do anything, but they're available 24 A They they hired them. 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 25 Q Were you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 83 1 there. 83 1 there. 1 same entity that the City had hired? 2 Q Understood. 2 A No, no. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 3 Q Can 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going	
 25 to us. But we never did, that I'm aware, while I was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 25 Q Were you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 83 1 there. 2 Q Understood. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the decision to not move forward with any of the financial services discussed in that RFP? 25 Q Were you aware that, I guess, JEA had hire Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 83 1 same entity that the City had hired? 2 A No, no. 3 Q Can 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going 	
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 83 1 1 there. 2 Q Understood. 1 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 5 services discussed in that RFP?	
83 1 there. 2 Q Understood. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 5 services discussed in that RFP?	the
1 there. 1 same entity that the City had hired? 2 Q Understood. 2 A No, no. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 3 Q Can 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5 services discussed in that RFP? 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going	
2 Q Understood. 2 A No, no. 3 Do you remember who was involved in the 3 Q Can 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5 services discussed in that RFP? 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going	85
3Do you remember who was involved in the3QCan4decision to not move forward with any of the financial4ABut I'm not again, JP Morg I mean5services discussed in that RFP?5you're going to go into this process, they're going	
 4 decision to not move forward with any of the financial 5 services discussed in that RFP? 4 A But I'm not again, JP Morg I mean 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going 	
5 services discussed in that RFP? 5 you're going to go into this process, they're going to go into this process.	lf
	910
 7 no one had to say don't. It was let someone 7 Q All right. And the other one, I didn't bring 	
8 Q Understood maybe. 8 it here, but they also hired Morgan Stanley, I don't	
 9 A Yeah, it was not a good time. 9 know if it was on the day after, but from your 	
10 Q And do you remember the time frame that 10 perspective, Mike, that's just a coincidence?	
11 decision was made? 11 A Yeah. I I don't I don't I don't kr	ow
12 A To not? 12 what they did. And you you're suggesting the	
13 Q To not move forward. 13 have piggybacked	
14 A It it wouldn't have been an effort that we 14 Q That's what I'm trying	
15 decided not to do. We just we just stood back from 15 A or were they separate? They could h	ave.
16 the whole process. 16 Q Okay.	
17 Q Understood. 17 A Although, again, we did yeah, they co	uld
18 MR. RUSSELL: Why don't we take about a 18 have I don't I don't know what they do w	hat
19ten-minute break, five-minute break now and get up.19they did.	
20THE WITNESS: What time is it?20QOkay. Let me just understand. Piggyback	vas a
21MR. RUSSELL: 3:30.21concept brand new to me today. What you're talking	
22THE WITNESS: How long do you think we'll be22about, JEA could have taken the response that these	
23here?23entities made to the City's RFP and gone and chosen	
24MR. RUSSELL: Another hour.24hire them?	0
25THE WITNESS: That'll work.25AI I I say they could have. JEA has	0
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	

		86		88
1	own pro	ocurement	1	BY MR. BLODGETT:
2	Q	Right.	2	Q It wasn't at a board meeting. We don't we
3	Α	rules. I would think they're very similar	3	just received this document, isolated form
4	to the C	Sity as a whole, but you but you'd have to	4	A I I yeah.
5	look at	their procurement rules to see. I know I	5	Q in a recent Morgan Stanley production in
6	know o	urs. I don't know theirs, but I would think	6	response to a subpoena. And it's dated February, I
7	they're	very, very similar or even more restrictive. I	7	believe, 15th, 2018. And what it discusses is, like, a
8		I do not know.	8	step-by-step process of how to explore privatizing,
9	Q	Okay.	9	capitalizing selling JEA.
10	Α	And and and I wouldn't be surprised if	10	A So very much like this?
11	they did	dn't already have those companies on some sort of	11	Q Well, that's just providing an evaluation of
12	retainer	r for things. They're a huge business.	12	JEA and some discussion.
13	Q	Right.	13	A And a and a and a description of how
14	Α	And they go to New York all the time. They	14	to
15	issue bo	onds all the time. So they could have very	15	Q Right. I mean
16	easily h	ave just started a company they already have an	16	A move to sell. This is strictly on how you
17	affiliatio	on with on something new.	17	dispose of it.
18	Q	Such as the bonding issue, got to be	18	Q Right. It's much more detailed than Exhibit
19	somethii	ng	19	11. And it does have a separate evaluation of JEA in
20	Α	Whatever on financial, whatever.	20	that document. I think it said page 64 at the end.
21	Q	Right.	21	A Well, this is dated the day after this.
22		MR. RUSSELL: Do we know if that's true,	22	Q Right. And so one of my questions is: Did you
23	Kevi	in?	23	know PFM was retained to do an evaluation of JEA when
24		MR. BLODGETT: Well, I see no evidence about	24	Morgan Stanley did a separate evaluation that was issued
25	that		25	the day after?
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		87		89
1		MR. RUSSELL: Okay. We'll see if that's the	1	A No.
2	case	e. That's a good ways to go.	2	Q Okay.
3	BY MR. F	RUSSELL:	3	A Well, that again, goes back to they they
4	Q	What you have next, Mike, is Exhibit 11. And	4	could have been on PFM was on a long-term contract
5	this was	a group of JEA discussion materials.	5	with JEA. They couldn't I wouldn't be surprised if
6	Α	Is this the meeting where they had shown	6	this group wasn't either, that as many times as JEA goes
7	differer	nt variations of options or a different meeting?	7	to Wall Street, goes to New York to issue bonds and
8		MR. BLODGETT: This is a different meeting.	8	and protect their ratings, that I would think all these
9		THE WITNESS: Okay.	9	companies, not necessarily KPMG, but the two Morgans
10		MR. BLODGETT: Same company. Morgan Stanley	10	would have been hired by them numerous times. But, no,
11	was	involved.	11	I I am not familiar with this.
12		(Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.)	12	BY MR. RUSSELL:
13	BY MR. F	RUSSELL:	13	Q Okay. So I guess in connection with what you
14	Q	Right. Do you have you seen this document	14	described earlier is your task for the mayor to pay
15	before?		15	attention to what JEA was doing, JEA providing you with
16	Α	Uh-uh. Uh-uh.	16	this document didn't happen?
17	Q	Okay. It says if he haven't seen it, move on.	17	A No, not that I can not that I can recall.
18		ı haven't seen	18	Q Okay. And
19	A	I haven't seen it.	19	A And I'm shocked that it's the day after. I
20	Q	Okay. And you never don't have any	20	don't understand the logic of that.
21		ge whether it would have been given to anybody	21	Q And given the fact that this work was done for
22		layor Curry's administration?	22	JEA, you would expect that JEA paid for this work?
23	A	No, I I do not know.	23	A Yes.
24	Q	Okay.	24	Q Okay.
25	Α	This was a meeting they had, the board had.	25	A And this as well.
1		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	90	1	92
1	Q All right. Okay. I think we're done with all	1	A Yeah.
2	those and ready to move on to 12 now. And I have a few	2	Q He recommended what's the quote exactly? I
3	questions about Exhibit 12, which is actually just a	3	can probably tell it better.
4	transcript of a news story. If you turn, Mike, to page	4	A Is it is it here?
5	3 of the	5	MR. BLODGETT: We have the meeting minutes from
6	A What was this was written by Shelby	6	that meeting
7	Danielsen from	7	THE WITNESS: No, that's okay. No, I'm not
8	(Exhibit 12 was marked for identification.)	8	supposed to ask questions. That's all right.
9	Q I think the actual she was the news caster	9	That's just that's pretty strong. I don't know
10	who gave this report and this is	10	if he would have said sell it, other than
11	A Oh, it's a TV report.	11	potentially sell it or something.
12	Q TV report, right.	12	Q Investigate, I think.
13	A Okay. Okay.	13	A Yeah. I would think so.
14	Q Let me see if we have covered what was there.	14	Q He didn't say sell it.
15	Oh, yeah. It says that she says, Mike, and you may	15	A Yeah. I didn't think so.
16	agree, it says, on November 11th	16	Q Look into it, so an overstatement. I don't
17	A Where okay. You're read	17	think I had that one highlighted. I'm just trying to
18	Q Page 2 no, page 3. It's page 3.	18	figure out the rest of these. And I think we've now
19	A On November on November 11, two lobbyists.	19	answered that.
20	Q Yes. Who formally let me start over, now	20	Okay. That Mace would visit JEA because he's
21	that we got there.	21	in the PFM silo of selling utilities. And by the time
22	On November 11th, two lobbyists, who formerly	22	of this article, that's underway. So oh, one other
23	worked for the mayor's office, visit JEA.	23	thing they mentioned, I don't know if you know anything
24	Do you know who those lobbyists were?	24	about it, it was discussed with the mayor's staff, that
25	A Two lobbyists who used to work for the mayor.	25	Sam declined to appear for the City Council, I guess it
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	91		93
1	When was this? This was in March of '18. So it was a	1	was
2	month after this.	2	A It was Crescimbeni.
	Q Right.		
3			Q Crescimbeni's and testify.
4	MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from	4	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose
4 5	MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings	4 5	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions?
4 5 6	MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017.	4 5 6	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me.
4 5 6 7	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple 	4 5 6 7	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No.
4 5 6 7 8	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked 	4 5 6 7 8	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to 	4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was 	4 5 7 8 9 10	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the
4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was 	4 5 7 8 9 10	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. I mean, when it says worked 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. I mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. I mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what I'm asking. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. I mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what I'm asking. Q Used to work for this mayor. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall. Q Do you recall? I thought you said you recalled
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. I mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what I'm asking. Q Used to work for this mayor. A I don't know who they are. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall. Q Do you recall? I thought you said you recalled that they were there.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. I mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what I'm asking. Q Used to work for this mayor. A I don't know who they are. Q I understood it okay. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall. Q Do you recall? I thought you said you recalled that they were there. A Well, the name yeah, I know that there were
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. I mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what I'm asking. Q Used to work for this mayor. A I don't know who they are. Q I understood it okay. A I I have no idea who that is. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall. Q Do you recall? I thought you said you recalled that they were there. A Well, the name yeah, I know that there were a number of them there. The Morgans, you know, Morgan
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. I'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. I mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what I'm asking. Q Used to work for this mayor. A I don't know who they are. Q I understood it okay. A I I have no idea who that is. Q That's fine. Let me see what I need to ask 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall. Q Do you recall? I thought you said you recalled that they were there. A Well, the name yeah, I know that there were a number of them there. The Morgans, you know, Morgan Stanley, for sure. That's an unusual name. And I do
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. 1'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. 1 mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what 1'm asking. Q Used to work for this mayor. A I don't know who they are. Q I understood it okay. A I 1 have no idea who that is. Q That's fine. Let me see what I need to ask about that one. A (Witness reading.) Well, he recommended to look at it. Did he 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall. Q Do you recall? I thought you said you recalled that they were there. A Well, the name yeah, I know that there were a number of them there. The Morgans, you know, Morgan Stanley, for sure. That's an unusual name. And I do believe there was a company there with an unusual name
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. 1'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. 1 mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what 1'm asking. Q Used to work for this mayor. A I don't know who they are. Q I understood it okay. A I I have no idea who that is. Q That's fine. Let me see what I need to ask about that one. A (Witness reading.) Well, he recommended to look at it. Did he recommend to sell it?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall. Q Do you recall? I thought you said you recalled that they were there. A Well, the name yeah, I know that there were a number of them there. The Morgans, you know, Morgan Stanley, for sure. That's an unusual name. And I do believe there was a company there with an unusual name and I think it was them.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. BLODGETT: Well, that entry is from November of 2017. It's referring to meetings happening in November of 2017. A Oh, so would be November of '17, a couple months before this, two lobbyists, who formerly worked for the mayor's office. No. 1'm not sure who used to work for the mayor's office that would have been was it this mayor? Q In 2017, it would be this mayor. A No. 1 mean, when it says worked Q Oh, I see. It could be a different mayor. A That's what 1'm asking. Q Used to work for this mayor. A I don't know who they are. Q I understood it okay. A I 1 have no idea who that is. Q That's fine. Let me see what I need to ask about that one. A (Witness reading.) Well, he recommended to look at it. Did he 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you recall any testimony about why Sam chose to do that? I mean, testified any discussions? Excuse me. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. A No. Q Okay. And we've talked about from the e-mails about you and Mr. Mace, on the 24th of January, visiting at the airport with the investment firm Moelis. A If you if you're saying they were there, I mean, I don't recall. Q Do you recall? I thought you said you recalled that they were there. A Well, the name yeah, I know that there were a number of them there. The Morgans, you know, Morgan Stanley, for sure. That's an unusual name. And I do believe there was a company there with an unusual name and I think it was them. Q Okay. In the bottom two paragraphs there,

	94		96
1	Q Page 4, yes, sir.	1	Next one I can find is 13.
2	MR. BLODGETT: And these are the January	2	A Oh, wow.
3	entries towards the top.	3	(Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.)
4	MR. RUSSELL: Right.	4	Q Getting close.
5	A Okay. Okay. (Witness reading.)	5	A No, I was going to say there's a lot of little
6	Okay. Well, I'm not sure what that means.	6	ones in here to get to 20.
7	That's not is that the meeting we're talking about?	7	Q They're little. They're little. There it is.
8	Q Yes.	8	13. And we've already identified a document
9	A Oh, okay. Because I don't remember meeting	9	that's just the PFM reports we've already established
10	these people any other time. This is still the meeting	10	that
11	we're talking about?	11	A Yes. That was the public and reported
12	Q Yes.	12	public
13	A Okay.	13	MR. RUSSELL: Are there any more questions you
14	Q I think we covered it. Actually, it's the next	14	have for the PFM report?
15	two	15	MR. BLODGETT: When we were talking about the
16	A (Witness reading.)	16	exhibit before that, which is the article?
17	Q before February, it says two days.	17	MR. RUSSELL: Yes.
18	Do you recall that meeting?	18	MR. BLODGETT: Have you moved on from that?
19	A Yeah. I think it was just a courtesy meeting	19	MR. RUSSELL: Yes.
20 21	to meet. Q And	20 21	MR. BLODGETT: Okay. No, I have I have no
21		22	questions about the PFM report.
22	A I think it was just for the just as a	22	MR. RUSSELL: Okay. So we covered that
24	courtesy for the mayor to meet these people.Q Okay. And Greg Black, who's referred to in	23	already, Mike. BY MR. RUSSELL:
25	that bullet point, he's a governor affairs consultant	25	Q And the next one we have oh, this is an
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	95		97
1	lobbyist, who did he represent as a lobbyist?	1	e-mail, it's got Number 14 on it, Mike. And it shows
2	A I I do not know.	2	that oh, here it is at the very end. It's okay.
3	Q Okay.	3	This a chain of e-mails, Mike, shows that there was a
4	A I do not know.	4	public request made for JEA documents. And Melissa
5	Q He just came to after meeting with Brian,	5	Dykes sends that to you. And it involves a request for
6	then he came to meet with you and that was a short	6	the oh, RFP engagement letters for the evaluation of
7	meeting. Oh, I see. I saw it has two. And they met	7	JEA. And do you know why this e-mail got to you from
8	separately with Alan Howard. You weren't part of the	8	Melissa Dykes?
9	meeting with Alan Howard, who was chairman of the	9	A It's about the evaluation the valuation,
10	board?	10	which is the public financial report
11	A I was in over the time period, I was in a	11	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.)
12	meeting or two with Paul and Alan.	12	Q PFM report, yes.
13	Q All right.	13	A that only
14	A I don't remember ever meeting without Paul. I	14	Q And there's an initial
15	met in JEA's board with JEA's board and I don't	15	A No, only sending it to me possibly as a
16	remember meeting Alan ever in JEA. I only remember	16	heads-up because I was, again, going over there and
17	meetings with Alan Howard in Sam's office.	17	observing and and seeing what they were doing with
18	Q Okay.	18	this preparation of this report. Other than that, I
19	A And Paul would have been there.	19	don't know. We didn't engage them or hire them or pay
20	Q What do you recall about those meetings in	20	them or anything. I I don't know. We wouldn't have
21	Sam's office with you and Paul McElroy?	21	had any connection with the the connection of PFM and
22	A Discussing the idea of the possibility of a	22	JEA for their report.
23	sale.	23	Q Okay. When you
24 25	Q Okay. Okay. That's all the questions I want	24 25	A Other than other than just information.
23	to ask about that. That was 12.	25	I do I do not know. Hedruist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

		98		100
1	Q	Okay. You don't recall discussing this	1	So she must have thought it was ours.
2	docume	nt request with Melissa Dykes?	2	Q Okay. And now since it was yours, do you
3	Α	No. What what is the request?	3	know it was yours, it was the City of Jacksonville
4		MR. BLODGETT: So	4	A Yeah.
5	Α	Where is the request?	5	Q you don't have any idea
6		MR. BLODGETT: if you go to the very last	6	A No.
7	pag	e, it says, Please provide us the following	7	Q what happened?
8		lic records and there's a request of	8	A No, if it was if, in fact, it was accurately
9	A	Requesting valuation, the RFP, which JEA must	9	the one we were doing, it would have gone to Joey.
10	have do	one. We didn't do you know, I mean, I don't	10	would have seen this and given it to Joey because we
11	know h	ow they got to the PFM to do that product. I	11	didn't have any we didn't have any we would only
12	don't ki	now how they hired them.	12	be able to send them the PFM because we didn't do a
13	Q	Right.	13	City we didn't have an RFP. There wasn't a City RFP
14	Α	JEA engagement letter.	14	issued, City of Jacksonville RFP. We we did not do a
15		(Witness reading.)	15	City of Jacksonville RFP for valuation of a public
16		They sort of combined the two. You know what I	16	utility, unless it's the one that we're talking about
17	mean?		17	and we didn't do that.
18	Q	Yes, that's what I'm leading up to.	18	Q It would be the JEA. Okay. So the request, I
19	Α	You could you could say that at the very end	19	understand, the difficulty, it's mixed, it's asking
20	of that	request they were looking for the thing that we	20	about percentage
21	had PFI	M do for us	21	A Well, it's mixed. And if they're asking for
22	Q	Right.	22	for the PFM one, we would have responded, the City of
23	Α	that would be our only connection.	23	Jacksonville doesn't have an RFP for the valuation of
24	Q	And that was what I was trying to find out. In	24	utilities in general, garages in general or JEA. PFM
25	connecti	ion with this getting to you from Melissa Dykes,	25	did one that would have incorporated lots of options.
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		99		101
		55		101
1	did you	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that	1	Q Got you.
1 2			1 2	
-	we've m	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that		Q Got you.
2	we've m Jackson	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of	2	Q Got you.A That's how I would see that.
23	we've m Jackson	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that narked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given	2 3	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15
2 3 4	we've m Jackson in conne A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record?	2 3 4	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested
2 3 4 5	we've m Jackson in conne A and the	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that narked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this	2 3 4 5	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back
2 3 4 5 6	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that barked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bought that it wasn't hers. And it should be	2 3 4 5 6	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council
2 3 4 5 6 7	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we've m Jackson in conne A and the and it v that, I Q	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that barked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we've m Jackson in conne A and the and it v that, I Q	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I t Q don't kn	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I i Q don't kn request	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we've m Jackson in conne and tho and it v that, I t Q don't kn request A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you how what happened in connection with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I t Q don't kn request A Q	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you low what happened in connection with this No. of those documents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I f Q don't kn request A Q A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you how what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know I pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we've m Jackson in conne and tho and it v that, I f Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we've m Jackson in conne and tho and it v that, I f Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this ought that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you ow what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay. But the but the request went to JEA.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you were pissed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay. But the but the request went to JEA. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you were pissed. A Because he he implied things that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I f Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you low what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay. But the but the request went to JEA. Right. Didn't go to us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you were pissed. A Because he he implied things that it wasn't that it wasn't true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we've m Jackson in conne and tho and it v that, I t Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this ought that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you ow what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay. But the but the request went to JEA. Right. Didn't go to us. But then it came to you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you were pissed. A Because he he implied things that it wasn't that it wasn't true. Q Take your time and read it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay. But the but the request went to JEA. Right. Didn't go to us. But then it came to you Well, she sent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you were pissed. A Because he he implied things that it wasn't that it wasn't true. Q Take your time and read it. A (Witness reading.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I f Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay. But the but the request went to JEA. Right. Didn't go to us. But then it came to you Well, she sent from JEA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you were pissed. A Because he he implied things that it wasn't that it wasn't true. Q Take your time and read it. A (Witness reading.) It wasn't that's not why it was done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given bettion with this public record? It she may have she may have read this ought that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay. But the but the request went to JEA. Right. Didn't go to us. But then it came to you Well, she sent from JEA? me this train, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you were pissed. A Because he he implied things that it wasn't that it twasn't true. Q Take your time and read it. A (Witness reading.) It wasn't that's not why it was done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we've m Jackson in conne A and tho and it v that, I f Q don't kn request A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	and Melissa Dykes discuss whether the RFP that harked as Exhibit 6, done for the City of ville, was responsive and had should be given ection with this public record? It she may have she may have read this bught that it wasn't hers. And it should be vould be ours. That would be the only reason think, she could have sent it to me. Okay. And then ultimately, it sounds like you now what happened in connection with this No. of those documents? No. What documents to request or recommend Or who ended up responding to it. Okay. But the but the request went to JEA. Right. Didn't go to us. But then it came to you Well, she sent from JEA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Got you. A That's how I would see that. Q All right. And what we've marked as Exhibit 15 is an exchange of e-mails. And the part I'm interested in, Mike, is the first part of it, would be on the back of the page, is from Kyle Billy, the City Council auditor. Are you familiar with this e-mail to you from A I'd have to go back and read it specifically. I know it pissed me off when I saw it. (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. A Saw it the night in the press, as a matter of fact. Q Let's get pissed off again. Well, you might want to read it because I want to ask you about why you were pissed. A Because he he implied things that it wasn't that it wasn't true. Q Take your time and read it. A (Witness reading.) It wasn't that's not why it was done.

	102		104
1	reporter can write it down. What is the premise that	1	A No, no, no. No, no, no, no.
2	you're talking about in your response to Kyle Billy's	2	Q Okay. When?
3	e-mail?	3	A No, no, no. The data room that I'm talking
4	A The way Kyle Billy's e-mail reads is that the	4	about is '19. This this is relating
5	RFP that was done for lots of different reasons was	5	Q Okay. I'm sorry.
6	solely for the sale of JEA is is the interpretation	6	A to, again, a different
7	that one would have in the reading of Kyle Billy's, in	7	Q You're right.
8	the middle of the evening, e-mail. And I think he sent	8	A Yeah. Yeah. No, there's no connection to the
9	it to the press as well.	9	invitation to bid that the most current invitation to
10	Q Okay. So the premise and opinions you're	10	bid and this. This is more a connection to the Petway
11	talking about, the same thing, it was Kyle Billy's	11	request to look into the possible sale.
12	premise and opinion that the Number 6 RFP related	12	Q Okay.
13	solely to the sale of JEA and you're telling me it's	13	A So I don't know anything about it and I don't
14	wrong?	14	know Macquarie. And the data room had nothing to do
15	A Yes.	15	with me back then.
16	Q Okay. And you still agree with that opinion?	16	Q All right. And did you even know that JEA had
17	A Yes.	17	set up
18	Q Okay.	18	A No.
19	A He wouldn't have been in any of the meetings on	19	Q a data room?
20	all the other opportunities that we were looking at	20	A Well, the first time I heard the term, I wasn't
21	potentially. He wouldn't have sat with the airport	21	sure what the heck it was. No, no, nothing no idea
22	solicitations or the port solicitations or the garage	22	about why this was and what it was for. Could have also
23	solicitations. He wouldn't have been aware of them and	23	been relating to bond issues or what have you. You know
24	he wouldn't have sat in any of them.	24	what I mean? Again, JEA is very dependent upon Wall
25	Q Okay. The next one, 16, and we talked a	25	Street and their ratings. So they could have data rooms
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
4	103	1	105
1 2	little bit about this earlier, the data room that JEA set up	1	set up for Standard Poor and Fitch and Moody's to look at more things than normally go public.
2	A Uh-huh.	3	Q Okay. 17, you should have that in front of
4	(Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.)	4	you, is a resolution by which Mayor Curry appointed
5	Q and the date of this memo, e-mail, talking	5	Aaron Zahn to the JEA board. Do you recall discussing
6	about the data room is February the 8th of 2018. Why,	6	with Mayor Curry his appointment of Aaron Zahn to the
7	if you know, Mike, was JEA setting up a data room in	7	
-			JEA board?
ŏ			JEA board? A No. I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all.
8 9	February of 2018?	8	A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all.
8 9 10	February of 2018?AI can only surmise that they were getting ready	8	A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't
9	February of 2018?A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the	8 9	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.)
9 10	February of 2018?AI can only surmise that they were getting ready	8 9 10	 No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.)
9 10 11	February of 2018?A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA.	8 9 10 11	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead.
9 10 11 12	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been 	8 9 10 11 12	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him.
9 10 11 12 13	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the 	8 9 10 11 12 13	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons
9 10 11 12 13 14	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons Mr. Zahn was selected to serve on the board? A No, I only know he was a board member. So
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as MIRA. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons Mr. Zahn was selected to serve on the board? A No, I only know he was a board member. So there mayor felt
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as MIRA. A Macquarie is one of the names that I kept 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons Mr. Zahn was selected to serve on the board? A No, I only know he was a board member. So there must have been some reason the mayor felt comfortable with him to appoint him as a board member.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as MIRA. A Macquarie is one of the names that I kept hearing as a potential responder to the invitation. I 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as MIRA. A Macquarie is one of the names that I kept hearing as a potential responder to the invitation. I wasn't really familiar with them until relatively 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons Mr. Zahn was selected to serve on the board? A No, I only know he was a board member. So there must have been some reason the mayor felt comfortable with him to appoint him as a board member, but I do not have any connection or understanding of of Aaron Zahn.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as MIRA. A Macquarie is one of the names that I kept hearing as a potential responder to the invitation. I wasn't really familiar with them until relatively recently. No, I I don't know. Q Okay. But it was about the same time that you that you recently told us, that you were given on 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons Mr. Zahn was selected to serve on the board? A No, I only know he was a board member. So there must have been some reason the mayor felt comfortable with him to appoint him as a board member, but I do not have any connection or understanding of of Aaron Zahn. Q Do you know anything about Mr. Zahn's
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as MIRA. A Macquarie is one of the names that I kept hearing as a potential responder to the invitation. I wasn't really familiar with them until relatively recently. No, I I don't know. Q Okay. But it was about the same time that 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons Mr. Zahn was selected to serve on the board? A No, I only know he was a board member. So there must have been some reason the mayor felt comfortable with him to appoint him as a board member, but I do not have any connection or understanding of of Aaron Zahn. Q Do you know anything about Mr. Zahn's background in terms of the kind of work he did?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as MIRA. A Macquarie is one of the names that I kept hearing as a potential responder to the invitation. I wasn't really familiar with them until relatively recently. No, I I don't know. Q Okay. But it was about the same time that you that you recently told us, that you were given on behalf of your client A No, no, no, no, no. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons Mr. Zahn was selected to serve on the board? A No, I only know he was a board member. So there must have been some reason the mayor felt comfortable with him to appoint him as a board member, but I do not have any connection or understanding of of Aaron Zahn. Q Do you know anything about Mr. Zahn's background in terms of the kind of work he did? A Only from the public. Stories that he was associated with a company in South Florida, somewhere else in Florida, that didn't end perfectly well. But I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 February of 2018? A I can only surmise that they were getting ready for the possibility of private entities looking at the inner workings, the inner financial workings of JEA. Q Okay. And do you know why JEA would have been giving access to this data room to let me look at the name right here Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets, Inc.? You may know them by their acronym as MIRA. A Macquarie is one of the names that I kept hearing as a potential responder to the invitation. I wasn't really familiar with them until relatively recently. No, I I don't know. Q Okay. But it was about the same time that you that you recently told us, that you were given on behalf of your client 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A No, I wouldn't have known Aaron Zahn at all. Didn't (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) Q Do you happen go ahead. A Didn't know didn't know him. Q So you don't have any idea as to reasons Mr. Zahn was selected to serve on the board? A No, I only know he was a board member. So there must have been some reason the mayor felt comfortable with him to appoint him as a board member, but I do not have any connection or understanding of of Aaron Zahn. Q Do you know anything about Mr. Zahn's background in terms of the kind of work he did? A Only from the public. Stories that he was associated with a company in South Florida, somewhere

	106		108
1	him.	1	Q Right.
2	Q Okay. And Exhibit 18 that I referenced is	2	A But I had no yeah.
3	related to Mr. Zahn's appointment as interim CEO for the	3	Q You don't recall any conversations amongst the
4	JEA. Did you ever have a were you aware of that,	4	mayor's staff?
5	that Mr. Zahn was, in fact, appointed?	5	A Not not that I would have been part of.
6	A I I'm aware he was and that he competed for	6	Q Or any effort by the mayor's administration
7	the job. I'm aware of it, yes.	7	that was in support of JE in support of Aaron Zahn's
8	(Exhibit 18 was marked for identification.)	8	selection as JEA CEO?
9	Q All right. Did you ever have any discussion	9	A No, it would have been normal for any of the
10	with Mayor Curry or hear any discussion in the	10	independent authorities or boards to know how the mayor
11	administration about the appointment of Aaron Zahn as	11	felt about the direction they were taking in hiring the
12	interim CEO of the JEA?	12	CEO. So I'm sure he was given a positive inclination.
13	A Not specifically about it. I know that that's	13	How aggressive and how often, how pervasive, I wouldn't
14	something that I think the mayor was positively inclined	14	have been a connector to.
15	to to support, but he and I would never have talked	15	Q And you say you're sure because that's just
16	about Aaron Zahn.	16	common practice
17	Q Okay. Are you aware of any conversations that	17	A It's just common practice that, you know, the
18	would have occurred between the mayor or other members	18	appointed officials and all appointed I guess
19	of his administration and JEA board members about the	19	they're not officials, the appointed board members of
20	selection of Aaron Zahn as interim CEO?	20	all the different entities would have a courtesy
21	A No.	21	normally to know how the mayor felt about major
22	Q At the time Aaron Zahn was selected as interim	22	decisions they were making, not that they always had to
23	CEO, did you have any understanding or hearing	23	follow them, but as a courtesy would have checked
24	discussions of the mayor's staff about Mr. Zahn's	24	Q Okay.
25	attitude towards privatization of JEA?	25	A normally.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	107		109
1	A Not that I participated in. No.	1	Q Exhibit 20 is a letter, came to us from Cindy
2	Q Okay.	2	Laquidara at Akerman and she lists the consultants and
3	A That wouldn't have been something again, my	3	other advisors for JEA Public Power Partners. And as
4	work was work-related, not it wouldn't have been a	4	you've told us earlier today and as you've made public
5	normal thing that I would have been a part of.	5	at the time, Michael, you were a consultant for JEA Public Power Partners?
6 7	Q I guess the task that you were given in terms	6 7	
8	of looking after what JEA was doing A That ended that just	8	 A That's correct. (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification.)
9	Q that that's done.	9	Q And you've described for us today what you
10	A that just ended.	10	did in your consultant role for JEA Public Power
11	Q Okay. Sorry. When did that end?	11	Partners?
12	A It ended with Cres you know, the whole	12	A Yes, for a couple months in '19. In 2019,
13	Q Okay.	13	yes.
14	A Crescimbini committee and how it went south.	14	Q And you were paid for those services?
15	And, yeah, that was the end of my connection to JEA.	15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And the next document you have in front	16	Q Did you have a written agreement with JEA
17	of you is 19. And it's the board minutes from the	17	Public Power Partners
18	November 27th meeting.	18	A Yes.
19	A Is that	19	Q for services you were providing?
20	(Exhibit 19 was marked for identification.)	20	A Yes.
21	Q And we've already talked about that. That was	21	Q And what was the basis of your compensation for
22	Eric Zahn's selection as permanent CEO. And the answers	22	those services?
23	to that question, we just went over, you didn't know	23	A What do you mean the basis?
24	anything about that selection or why it happened?	24	Q Hourly or fixed fee?
25	A Well, I knew it was happening just from	25	A It was a monthly fee.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	110	1	112
1	Q Monthly fee. What was that fee?	1	et cetera, do you know if your client assessed those
2	A \$12,500.	2	factors and their impacts on JEA's future performance?
3	Q In the two months, make sure we have this	3	A I know I know the industry is interested in
4	correct, and we've already gotten it, that you were	4	growth and there are many areas of the country where
5	provided services to JEA PPP, Power Partners, what	5	growth isn't happening. So as a utility, even though
6	months would those have been, months and year?	6	conservation is advancing, they're looking at areas
7	A It would have ended at the end of December '19,	7	where population's growing and business businesses
8	and it would have been more than two months.	8	are growing too. Northeast Florida is definitely one of
9	Q Okay. How many?	9	those places. So the utilities, in general, are
10	A I would think it would probably be five months	10	interested in our geographic area and that's why you had
11	would be more like it.	11	a whole bunch of them wanting to spend a tremendous
12	Q Okay.	12	amount of money.
13	A And it had the ability to go up a little bit as	13	My the company that I was associated with
14	the process continued, if the process continued.	14	for a period of time was no different than the others.
15	Q Process continued?	15	It was a very positive impression of what the future
16	A Meaning if if we got it we were part of a	16	would be. And this company, as well as others, felt
17	ten competitive group, we ended up as two left, you get	17	they were in a better position to deal with the newly
18	a little more. If we were selected and we really were	18	created energy systems and water systems than a public
19	in negotiations, potentially on agreement, it could go	19	utility would have been. They felt the private could
20	up again, something like that.	20	change quicker and adapt quicker. So they had a
21	BY MR. BLODGETT:	21	positive impression of what the future would be and
22	Q Just to help me, could you kind of walk me	22	weren't that concerned about some of the interpretations
23	through some of the services you provided as a	23	that were coming out of JEA or else they wouldn't have
24	consultant for JEA PPP?	24	been offering what they offered. I mean
25	A Well, giving them an on-the-ground	25	BY MR. RUSSELL:
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	111		113
1	understanding of utility, a history of the utility,	1	Q During the period of time that you were serving
2			
2	helping to understand the finances of the utility,	2	as a consultant with JEA Public Power Partners in
3	helping to understand the finances of the utility, understand the debt of the utility, a little bit	2 3	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that
3 4	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior	3 4	
3 4 5	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit	3 4 5	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw
3 4 5 6	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses.	3 4 5 6	 connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the
3 4 5 6 7	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if	3 4 5 6 7	 connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he
3 4 5 6 7 8	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your	3 4 5 6 7 8	 connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future? A Not specifically, but healthy enough to return 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was basically the end of it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future? A Not specifically, but healthy enough to return the investment. So it was it was definitely a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was basically the end of it. BY MR. BUSEY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future? A Not specifically, but healthy enough to return the investment. So it was it was definitely a positive interpretation of what the future would be.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. Mad I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was basically the end of it. BY MR. BUSEY: Q August of '19?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future? A Not specifically, but healthy enough to return the investment. So it was it was definitely a positive interpretation of what the future would be. Q So as you're probably aware, JEA senior 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was basically the end of it. BY MR. BUSEY: Q August of '19? A It would have been '19.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future? A Not specifically, but healthy enough to return the investment. So it was it was definitely a positive interpretation of what the future would be. Q So as you're probably aware, JEA senior leadership team in May, June and July of 2019 were	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was basically the end of it. BY MR. BUSEY: Q August of '19? A It would have been '19. Q Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future? A Not specifically, but healthy enough to return the investment. So it was it was definitely a positive interpretation of what the future would be. Q So as you're probably aware, JEA senior leadership team in May, June and July of 2019 were making representations to the JEA board and sales were 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was basically the end of it. BY MR. BUSEY: Q August of '19? A It would have been '19. Q Okay. A And that was the end of it. And I didn't I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future? A Not specifically, but healthy enough to return the investment. So it was it was definitely a positive interpretation of what the future would be. Q So as you're probably aware, JEA senior leadership team in May, June and July of 2019 were making representations to the JEA board and sales were going to decline for a number of reasons, including	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was basically the end of it. BY MR. BUSEY: Q August of '19? A It would have been '19. Q Okay. A And that was the end of it. And I didn't I didn't follow up on it. And we just and I answered
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 understand the debt of the utility, a little bit about the contracts and a little bit about the prior efforts and to help literally with the writing of the responses. Q And I don't want to get you in trouble if there's, like, a nondisclosure agreement as part of your contract, so feel free to let me know if I'm overstepping in this question, but do you know if your client did any independent financial projections of JEA's, for example, electric system sales in the future? A I think every company would have done that. Q Do you recall what your company's projection showed in terms of what JEA's health would be in the future? A Not specifically, but healthy enough to return the investment. So it was it was definitely a positive interpretation of what the future would be. Q So as you're probably aware, JEA senior leadership team in May, June and July of 2019 were making representations to the JEA board and sales were 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 connection with the ITN process, were you aware that Sam Mousa was also serving as a consultant? A I became aware in a conversation I saw I talked to Sam maybe a total of two minutes over the last long period of time. In one of those calls, he called, and it was about a 60-second call, he called me with a question on pension. Brian Hughes had asked him a question and he was calling me, asking me the question. And I said to him and I told him that I was associated with this company and that I had heard you had been long-time associated with another company, FP&L. He immediately responded that it hasn't been that long, it's only been since I think he said sometime in August is what I thought he said. And that was basically the end of it. BY MR. BUSEY: Q August of '19? A It would have been '19. Q Okay. A And that was the end of it. And I didn't I

	114	1		116
1	So I think in a roundabout way and I don't	1	Α	I would always refer to the Public Financial
2	even know if that's true. You know what I mean? I	2		nent report that talks about the concept of a
3	don't know if it's short-term or even if he was, but	3	-	onaire as an option. That's all.
4	that was the that was the conversation.	4		Did you ever have any reason to talk with
5	BY MR. RUSSELL:	5		nn about the potential sale or privatization of
6	Q So the conversation never got around to	6	JEA?	
7	answering Sam's question about pension?	7		I 've never had a private conversation with
8	A Oh, yeah. No, I definitely answered the	8	Aaron Za	
9	question about pension. I don't even recall what the	9	BY MR. BI	
10	question was. A financial question about pension, but	10		While Lanny's looking at his notes, I just have
11	it wasn't related to JEA.	11	one quest	
12	Q Okay. That was my question. It was not	12		Did you have any input on the drafting or
13	JEA's	13		e of the February 2018 PFM report?
14	A No, nothing to do with JEA. It was just an	14		I participated in some conversations that was
15	understanding of the ordinance, the statute and pension	15		e not technical in a utility grammatical,
16	not connected	16	-	ubstance because I don't know anything
17	Q Okay.	17		rly about it, but on style, yes.
18	A that I recall at all. Because he didn't	18	BY MR. R	
19	bring up the connection JEA I brought the question	19	Q	We have a few more exhibits, we'll be done. I
20	to him as to, you know	20	think thes	se are quick. We're going back now to Exhibit
21	Q What pension was the question about, the	21	Number 1	. We started at 6.
22	City?	22	Α	Going way back.
23	A It was a pension reform that that we	23		(Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)
24	initiated	24	Q	Do you have those over here perhaps?
25	Q Okay.	25	Α	I have 2. I've got 1.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		-		
	115			117
1	A when Lenny came in.	1	Q	
1 2		1 2		117
	A when Lenny came in.		Α	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some
2	A when Lenny came in.Q During the time you were involved in the	2	A Q	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012.
2 3	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you 	2 3	A Q activities	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the
2 3 4	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? 	2 3 4 5 6	A Q activities	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Q activities was being JEA? A	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q Q	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as A	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as A Q	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as A Q A	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as A Q as A Q was 1	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A as A Q as A Q was I house m	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as A Q as A Q was I house m Q	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be beneficial. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as A Q as A Q was I house m Q A	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is? Who who is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be beneficial. Q Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A as A Q as A Q was I house m Q A Q	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is? Who who is? Sean Miller.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be beneficial. Q Okay. A That was that was it. Never about a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as A Q A was 1 house m Q A Q A Q	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is? Who who is? Sean Miller. Is he one of the lawyers in the General
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be beneficial. Q Okay. A That was that was it. Never about a particular response or anything like that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A Q as A Q A was 1 house m Q A Q A Counsel	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is? Who who is? Sean Miller. Is he one of the lawyers in the General s Office? Not particularly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be beneficial. Q Okay. A That was that was it. Never about a particular response or anything like that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A as A Q A was I house m Q A Counsel' Q	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is? Who who is? Sean Miller. Is he one of the lawyers in the General
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be beneficial. Q Okay. A That was that was it. Never about a particular response or anything like that. Q In those conversations, make sure I understand, you always questioned your belief that that kind of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A as A Q A was 1 house m Q A Q A Counsel' Q Light.	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is? Who who is? Sean Miller. Is he one of the lawyers in the General s Office? Not particularly. He's a representative of Florida Power &
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be beneficial. Q Okay. A That was that was it. Never about a particular response or anything like that. Q In those conversations, make sure I understand, you always questioned your belief that that kind of structure could be beneficial to a City in connection 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A as A Q as A Q A was I house m Q A Counsel Q Light. A	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is? Who who is? Sean Miller. Is he one of the lawyers in the General s Office? Not particularly. He's a representative of Florida Power & Oh, no, no, no, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A when Lenny came in. Q During the time you were involved in the services in connection with the INT ITN, were you aware that Tim Baker was also providing services? A Not specifically. Not I mean, rumors, you know, articles, things like that, but never a specific conversation. I wouldn't have talked to Tim, basically, since I left. Q Have you ever spoken with anybody in the Curry administration about the ITN process? A Not that no, I I I was free at the beginning to talk about I I talked to some people, I couldn't even tell you who, about the concept of concessions. Never about a company, never naming anything, never talking about numbers. And I would always relay it to SMG, you know. There's a there's a concept, the concessionaire that could be beneficial. Q Okay. A That was that was it. Never about a particular response or anything like that. Q In those conversations, make sure I understand, you always questioned your belief that that kind of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q activities was being JEA? A Q A as A Q as A Q A was I house m Q A Counsel Q Light. A	117 Okay. And Exhibit 1 is some 2012. minutes. Yes. Were you aware of the of the City Council Special Committee that g chaired by a Matt Schellenberg concerning I think I was a prosecutor at the time. Okay. So you had no involvement with No. Any involvement or knowledge you had was just No. as a public official? Yeah, it just impressed me. Yeah. No. I was with Angela at the time. And a and a ember. Okay. Do you know who Sean Miller is? Who who is? Sean Miller. Is he one of the lawyers in the General s Office? Not particularly. He's a representative of Florida Power &

		118			120
1	Sean in	which JEA was discussed?	1	Q	that Mayor Curry discussed the
2	А	No, I've never met anybody from FP&L. I never	2	Α	No
3	had dir	nner with anybody, never met anybody, never talked	3	Q	sale of the JEA?
4	to anyb	oody.	4	А	I don't even recall. You had asked me if he
5	Q	Do you have any understanding of why Council	5	had eve	er asked him prior to this last time happening or
6	Member	Schellenberg would have had a representative of	6	someth	ning.
7	Power -	- Florida Power & Light come to talk to this	7	Q	Right.
8	committ	tee?	8	Α	I wouldn't have even known or remember, no.
9	Α	No, but I I've always known that Matt	9	Q	Right.
10	Schelle	nberg was always interested in the potential	10	Α	No. No, I'm not familiar with it, especially
11	pursuit	of selling JEA. He made that quite known.	11	if he ju	ist came into office.
12	Q	Okay. And, to your knowledge, Michael, did	12	Q	Okay. We've already covered the issues on 4
13	Council	Member Schellenberg have any relationship with	13	and 5, j	just so we're clear because we talked about it
14	Florida I	Power & Light?	14	before,	Michael, is the statement that you asked about
15	Α	No, no knowledge.	15	that Mr.	. Petway made when leaving the board. And the
16	Q	Okay. 1. Got that. Let me 3, I don't have	16	issue wa	as whether he suggested said that JEA should
17	it.		17		or suggested something different. And will you
18	Α	The Warren Jones one?	18		what the the Mr. Petway's request to the
19	Q	I've got it out of order, Mike.	19		vas when he left at the meeting?
20	Α	Well, here's Exhibit 3. It's one with Warren	20	Α	Where is it in this?
21		picture	21	Q	It's under 8.
22	Q	Right.	22		(Exhibits 4 and 5 were marked for
23	A	which is this one.	23 24	identific	
24 25	Q	Okay. And this was just put put	24 25	A	Under 8?
25	somethi	ng in context. In Exhibit 3, in this article, it Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25	Q	Yes, sir. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		119			121
1	says tha	at Mayor Curry, in 2015, asked the entire JEA	1		MR. BLODGETT: Page 5, number 8.
2		presign. Are you aware of that event?	2	А	Okay. (Witness reading.)
3	А	I'm aware of it from the press.	3		Okay. Now, what's your question?
4		(Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)	4	Q	And that's consistent with what you recall
5	Q	At that point in time, in 2015?	5	Mr. Petv	way said, the issue was: Did he say it should be
6	А	In '15?	6	sold or (did he say something different than that? And
7	Q	Yes. Weren't you then	7	your sta	atement previously was, I don't think that's
8	Α	In 2015?	8	exactly	what he said. And this entry in the board
9	Q	Yes, sir.	9	minutes	s is more consistent with what you recall
10	Α	When he first came in office?	10	Mr. Petv	way said?
11	Q	That's about right. He was in office because	11	Α	It my understanding was that he felt it was
12	he was,	according to the article, our	12	approp	riate to consider whether what what the
13	Α	Not very long, it was '15. When when in '15	13	future	should be with JEA. And it really it's not
14	was thi	is?	14	a it's	s not outlandish to consider with the changing
15	Q	Let's see.	15	that's h	nappening to the utility, but, yeah, I thought it
16	Α	Well, doesn't matter, I guess.	16	was mo	ore open than that reporter said, that he said
17	Q	He had to be in office to ask the JEA board to	17	to sell ·	to sell it.
18	resign.		18	Q	Okay. And and just for reference, Exhibit 4
19	A	Yeah, because he takes over in July.	19		st of the City council members from 2015 to
20	Q	Right.	20		And are you aware of Mayor Curry or any of his
21	Α	So if it's if it's in '15, he's only been in	21		scussing with City Council members what their
22		e months.	22	•	is were in regards to the privatization of the
23	Q	Right. And the question I have from that was	23 24	JEA?	
	de				
24		have an understanding of why		A	Not specifically. Again, I think Matt's
	do you l A	have an understanding of why No. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25		Not specifically. Again, I think Matt's st was pretty open. I think Gulliford also would Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	122	1	124 CERTIFICATE OF OATH
1	have been considered someone that was seriously	2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DUVAL)
2	interested in the idea of possibly selling JEA. Other	23456	-
3	than that, I don't know of any other potential obvious	5 6	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that MICHAEL WEINSTEIN
4	opinions and I'm not aware of any specific	7 8	personally appeared before me on June 26, 2020, and was duly sworn.
5	conversation.	9	,
6	Q Okay. Did you ever hear any conversation in	10 11	WITNESS my hand and official seal on July 10, 2020.
7	the nature of counting up the City Council votes and	12 13	
8	determining if there were enough votes on City Council	14 15	
9	that would allow JEA to consult with the mayor's staff?	16	
10	A No. And and I wouldn't normally have been	17	
11	in it.	18	
12		19	Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR
	Q Okay.	20	Notary Public, State of Florida
13	A That was more of a fourth floor internal	21	
14	discussion. I think it would have been premature to	22	
15	have that discussion, but I don't know anything about		
16	it.	23 24 25	
17	BY MR. BLODGETT:	25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
18	Q Do you remember if Mayor Curry or anyone in his		
19	administration discussed potential privatization with		
20	Tom Petway before that November 2018 meeting?		
21	A Not that I I have no, not that I'm aware		, 0
22	of. And I never have been in a conversation or a		H D D
23	meeting where Petway and the mayor or the mayor's inner		Alexand Cook
24	circle discussed the idea. No.		Juni & Cook
25	MR. BLODGETT: I think that's it for me.		
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		
	123		125
1	MR. RUSSELL: Thanks, Mike, for coming and	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	talking to us and for all you've done for the City	2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	and the State	_	COUNTY OF DUVAL
J	and the State.	4	
3 4	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great	4 5	COUNTY OF DOVAL
3 4 5			I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I
4	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great	5	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
4 5	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride.	5 6 7 8	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing
4 5 6	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing	5 6 7 8 9	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my
4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with	5 6 7 8 9 10	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing
4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet.	5 6 7 8 9	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes.
4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my
4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: It's been a it's been a great ride. MR. RUSSELL: Thank you for providing us with MR. BUSEY: It's not over with yet. (Witness excused.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of MICHAEL WEINSTEIN; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 123, is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on July 10, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida.

			405 0 405 0	10.1.10.5
\$	1:51 [1] - 1:11	120:22, 121:18	105:6, 105:8,	40:4, 40:5
\$12,500 [1] - 110:2	0	46 [1] - 4:3	105:19, 106:11, 106:16, 106:20,	advisor [2] - 12:6, 31:8
··-,···	2	49 [1] - 4:4 • 4:20 [2] - 1:11, 123:10	106:22, 108:7,	advisors [1] - 109:3
•	2 [5] - 4:19, 11:23,	4:20 [2] - 1:11, 123:10 4th [2] - 68:12	116:5, 116:8	Advisory [2] - 109.3
	31:6, 90:18, 116:25	4 (1[2] - 00.12	ability [6] - 32:15,	11:14
'15 [5] - 10:1, 119:6,	20 [4] - 4:17, 96:6,	5	42:5, 42:25, 43:1,	affairs [1] - 94:25
119:13, 119:21 '17 [5] - 11:8, 11:10,	109:1, 109:8	_	57:1, 110:13	affiliation [2] - 58:11,
15:14, 59:17, 91:7	2005 [1] - 8:6	5 [7] - 3:7, 4:21, 11:23,	able [8] - 52:9, 57:21,	86:17
'18 [6] - 10:1, 10:2,	2012 [1] - 117:2	46:11, 120:13,	59:5, 61:8, 61:9,	aggressive [1] -
10:22, 11:10, 91:1	2015 [7] - 9:17, 9:20,	120:22, 121:1	63:7, 75:11, 100:12	108:13
'19 [5] - 104:4, 109:12,	10:21, 119:1, 119:5, 119:8, 121:19	6	absolutely [4] - 27:13,	ago [4] - 17:21, 26:16,
110:7, 113:20,	2017 [13] - 14:6, 21:20,		52:11, 57:11, 65:17	30:1, 32:20
113:21	30:5, 30:6, 30:23,	6 [15] - 4:3, 11:20,	accelerated [1] -	agree [2] - 90:16,
'75 [1] - 5:17	32:8, 39:24, 40:15,	11:22, 11:24, 36:2,	75:11	102:16
'77 [1] - 5:25	41:23, 42:3, 91:5,	46:10, 46:17, 80:4,	accept [1] - 37:14 acceptance [1] - 71:25	agreement [5] - 30:10,
'90 [1] - 6:23	91:6, 91:12	80:8, 80:20, 81:24,	accepted [1] - 59:13	54:16, 109:16, 110:19, 111:8
'91 [1] - 6:24	2018 [17] - 10:21, 11:5,	81:25, 99:2, 102:12, 116:21	accepting [1] - 37:6	agreements [5] -
	22:25, 23:3, 23:14,	60-second [1] - 113:8	access [8] - 61:8,	62:25, 74:5, 75:1
1	23:15, 23:18, 29:14,	64 [1] - 88:20	61:11, 62:23, 66:5,	ahead [4] - 16:20,
1 [11] - 4:18, 11:23,	46:21, 59:19, 80:13,	67 [1] - 8:10	66:10, 67:3, 67:7,	63:16, 79:1, 105:11
46:11, 80:13, 84:12,	84:12, 88:7, 103:6,		103:13	air [1] - 41:20
116:21, 116:23,	103:8, 116:13, 122:20	7	according [1] - 119:12	air-conditioners [1] -
116:25, 117:1,	2019 [8] - 58:4, 59:21,		accurate [1] - 29:4	41:20
118:16, 125:9	59:22, 78:11, 78:12,	7 [5] - 4:4, 46:7, 46:19,	accurately [1] - 100:8	Airport [1] - 50:6
10 [6] - 4:7, 47:2, 84:9,	109:12, 111:22,	49:20, 49:22 7.6 [1] - 68:25	acknowledged [1] -	airport [19] - 11:16,
84:18, 124:11, 125:18	121:20	7.0 [1] - 00.25	5:3	13:19, 29:25, 30:3,
125.16 100,000 [1] - 73:15	2020 [9] - 1:10, 59:23,	8	acquire [1] - 25:25	30:7, 30:19, 30:20,
101 [1] - 4:12	60:4, 62:2, 74:24,		acronym [1] - 103:15 action [2] - 125:15,	31:19, 31:21, 32:8, 45:25, 47:15, 51:16,
103 [1] - 4:13	124:7, 124:11,	8 [16] - 4:5, 49:23,	125:16	45.25, 47.15, 51.16, 75:17, 75:20, 76:16,
105 [1] - 4:14	125:18	50:2, 53:23, 54:4,	active [2] - 30:21, 64:2	93:12, 102:21
106 [1] - 4:15	23 [2] - 78:12, 78:18	54:19, 55:4, 57:1,	actively [1] - 30:6	airport's [2] - 13:14,
107 [1] - 4:16	24th [2] - 51:20, 93:11	57:20, 79:3, 80:1, 80:2, 120:21,	activities [1] - 117:4	30:22
109 [1] - 4:17	26 [2] - 1:10, 124:7	120:24, 121:1	actual [1] - 90:9	airports [3] - 13:16,
11 [5] - 4:8, 87:4,	27th [2] - 29:14, 107:18	80 [1] - 4:5	adapt [1] - 112:20	32:16, 45:23
87:12, 88:19, 90:19	2nd [2] - 59:21, 59:22	81 [1] - 4:6	added [2] - 37:23,	Akerman [1] - 109:2
116 [1] - 4:18	2110 [2] - 00.21, 00.22	84 [1] - 4:7	38:5	Alan [5] - 95:8, 95:9,
119 [1] - 4:20	3	86,000 [1] - 54:12	addition [1] - 38:3	95:12, 95:16, 95:17
11th [2] - 90:16, 90:22		87 [1] - 4:8	additional [1] - 38:22	alert [1] - 70:24
12 [6] - 4:9, 6:18, 90:2,	3 [9] - 4:20, 11:23,	8th [1] - 103:6	adequate [1] - 60:23	Alliance [3] - 5:11,
90:3, 90:8, 95:25	90:5, 90:18, 118:16, 118:20, 118:25		adjacent [1] - 47:10	10:5, 10:6 allocated [1] - 33:20
120 [1] - 4:21 123 [1] - 125:9	118:20, 118:25, 119:4	9	adjust [1] - 60:22	allow [4] - 42:3, 57:7,
123 [1] - 125.9 13 [4] - 4:10, 96:1,	30 [3] - 13:15, 26:17,	9 [7] - 4:6, 80:12,	administration [12] - 6:12, 16:23, 27:17,	62:23, 122:9
96:3, 96:8	26:20	80:13, 81:3, 81:24,	27:20, 27:23, 28:11,	Allowance [1] - 10:10
14 [3] - 4:11, 97:1,	30th [2] - 60:4, 62:1	81:25, 84:5	87:22, 106:11,	allowed [3] - 13:15,
97:11	32202 [3] - 1:13, 1:19,	90 [1] - 4:9	106:19, 108:6,	58:16, 66:10
15 [3] - 4:12, 101:3,	2:6	904)354-4111 [1] -	115:10, 122:19	allowing [1] - 61:15
101:12	3300 [2] - 1:13, 2:5	1:20	administrations [2] -	alternative [2] - 31:11,
15th [2] - 46:20, 88:7	345 [1] - 1:19	904)791-9103 [1] -	28:13, 28:14	111:25
16 [3] - 4:13, 102:25,	3500 [1] - 13:2	1:20	administrative [2] -	amended [1] - 66:8
103:4	3:30 [1] - 83:21	96 [1] - 4:10	14:1, 14:3	amount [3] - 16:1,
17 [3] - 4:14, 105:3,	3rd [1] - 68:12	97 [1] - 4:11 99 [1] - 25:11	advancing [1] - 112:6	24:2, 112:12
105:10 19 (5) 4:15 57:24	4	99 [1] - 25:11	advantage [1] - 21:10	analysis [1] - 31:9
18 [5] - 4:15, 57:24, 58:2, 106:2, 106:8	4	Α	- advantaged [1] - 56:20	analyze [1] - 61:3 AND [1] - 3:7
19 [3] - 4:16, 107:17,	4 [7] - 4:21, 11:23,		advertise [1] - 40:7	Angela [3] - 8:13,
19[3] - 4.10, 107.17, 107:20	93:25, 94:1, 120:12,	Aaron [11] - 105:5,	advertised [3] - 40:7	8:15, 117:15
101.20			uvertiseu [s] - 40.2,	5.10, 117.10
	1	8	1	

animosity [2] - 71:19,	113:14	В	bidders [20] - 34:9,	boards [1] - 108:10
71:23	Associates [1] - 1:18		34:10, 34:17, 35:7,	bond [3] - 28:2, 64:14,
answer [4] - 40:20,	assume [2] - 42:13,	background [1] -	36:11, 57:7, 64:23,	104:23
67:3, 67:5	47:20	105:21	65:11, 66:19, 67:25,	bonding [1] - 86:18
answered [3] - 92:19,	Atlanta [12] - 60:12,	Baker [2] - 17:4, 115:4	68:5, 68:16, 68:17,	bonds [2] - 86:15,
113:24, 114:8	60:13, 60:16, 67:17,	ball [1] - 55:22	74:6, 80:19, 80:21,	89:7
answering [1] - 114:7	67:18, 67:24, 69:11,	banker [2] - 75:18,	80:22, 81:12, 82:5,	bottom [2] - 47:3,
answers [4] - 69:17,	70:14, 72:20, 74:15,	76:17	84:12	93:23
70:3, 70:25, 107:22	74:16	bankers [4] - 76:16,	bids [5] - 35:7, 37:7,	Bowl [6] - 7:23, 7:25,
appear [1] - 92:25	attempt [1] - 59:15	77:2, 77:3, 77:6	37:14, 40:4, 58:5	8:2, 8:3, 8:5, 27:25
APPEARANCES [1] -	attempts [1] - 59:13	banks [1] - 68:16	big [1] - 13:17	branches [1] - 10:17
2:1	attended [4] - 51:15,	Bar [2] - 6:7, 6:25	billion [8] - 53:23,	brand [1] - 85:21
appeared [1] - 124:7	75:19, 75:25, 117:25	Barnes [3] - 13:7,	54:4, 54:19, 55:4,	break [2] - 83:19
application [1] - 7:23	attending [1] - 50:15	52:13, 52:14	57:1, 57:20, 68:25	Brian [4] - 17:3, 17:4,
applied [2] - 65:10,	attention [1] - 89:15	baseball [1] - 30:14	billions [1] - 41:7	95:5, 113:9
65:15	attitude [1] - 106:25	bases [1] - 38:19	Billy [1] - 101:6	bridge [1] - 20:2
applies [1] - 20:18	attorney [3] - 5:15,	basis [2] - 109:21,	Billy's [4] - 102:2,	bridges [1] - 20.2
	125:13, 125:15	109:23	102:4, 102:7, 102:11	• • • •
apply [2] - 20:21, 60:19	<i>'</i>	bat [1] - 34:7	bit [9] - 26:10, 27:16,	briefly [1] - 6:1
	Attorney's [3] - 6:4,	became [13] - 6:23,	41:2, 41:9, 47:18,	bring [4] - 13:17,
appoint [1] - 105:17	6:14, 8:12	7:2, 7:13, 7:18, 8:2,	41.2, 41.9, 47.18, 103:1, 110:13,	15:20, 85:7, 114:19
appointed [6] - 68:14,	attorneys [1] - 74:6	8:7, 19:20, 23:13,	103.1, 110.13, 111:4	broad [1] - 53:9
105:4, 106:5,	auditor [1] - 101:7	28:11, 60:11, 82:22,		broadly [2] - 52:25,
108:18, 108:19	auditors [2] - 28:15,	113:5	bizarre [4] - 55:14, 62:17, 63:1, 65:17	84:20
appointment [3] -	28:16	becomes [2] - 9:1,		broken [1] - 68:5
105:6, 106:3, 106:11	August [4] - 59:21,	21:11	Black [1] - 94:24	brought [4] - 24:7,
approached [1] -	59:22, 113:17,	bed [1] - 22:17	bled [1] - 58:9	52:11, 83:6, 114:19
19:18	113:20	Bedell [1] - 5:20	blessed [1] - 10:14	budget [2] - 13:3,
appropriate [2] -	Austin [12] - 5:15,	beginning [6] - 16:9,	Blodgett [1] - 2:4	33:23
41:11, 121:12	5:25, 6:3, 6:23, 7:2,		BLODGETT [51] - 3:8,	budgeted [1] - 33:21
approval [2] - 84:11,	7:8, 7:10, 14:18,	17:22, 56:22, 74:21,	11:1, 11:22, 28:23,	building [4] - 20:20,
84:15	26:11, 26:22, 27:9,	78:10, 115:12	33:19, 36:24, 37:1,	25:1, 62:5, 62:12
approved [3] - 37:13,	28:19	behalf [2] - 2:2,	37:2, 39:7, 39:16,	Building [1] - 5:20
78:13, 84:6	Austin's [1] - 26:7	103:23	42:21, 44:5, 44:12,	buildings [1] - 57:21
area [3] - 42:8, 43:1,	authorities [2] - 44:19,	belief [2] - 53:1,	45:6, 46:2, 46:13,	bullet [1] - 94:25
112:10	108:10	115:23	46:18, 49:14, 49:19,	bunch [2] - 10:12,
areas [2] - 112:4,	Authority [4] - 11:17,	beneficial [2] -	49:23, 51:2, 54:10,	112:11
112:6	12:8, 45:23, 47:16	115:18, 115:24	59:21, 63:14, 63:16,	burdensome [1] -
arena [1] - 30:14	authority [1] - 40:24	Bernhard [3] - 54:14,	63:22, 64:2, 64:7,	69:14
arrangement [5] -	authorized [1] - 125:7	73:5, 73:10	65:7, 74:2, 79:4,	BUSEY [23] - 3:7, 5:7,
37:4, 37:5, 37:19,	available [3] - 34:8,	best [7] - 51:17, 56:1,	79:23, 82:3, 86:24,	9:23, 10:24, 11:2,
39:2, 64:15	60:8, 82:24	60:24, 61:2, 61:18,	87:8, 87:10, 88:1,	16:20, 17:8, 18:15,
article [4] - 92:22,	award [1] - 31:10	63:7, 67:7	91:4, 91:25, 92:5,	19:16, 21:12, 23:7,
96:16, 118:25,	awarded [2] - 7:25,	better [3] - 28:1, 92:3,	94:2, 96:15, 96:18,	24:5, 26:1, 26:18,
119:12	47:24	112:17	96:20, 98:4, 98:6,	54:7, 59:20, 61:10,
articles [1] - 115:6	aware [30] - 13:5,	Better [1] - 28:5	110:21, 116:9,	62:6, 70:16, 71:21,
assessed [1] - 112:1	19:21, 29:18, 37:16,	between [8] - 24:19,	121:1, 122:17,	73:7, 113:19, 123:8
asset [5] - 14:22, 57:1,	38:5, 39:19, 42:1,	29:19, 35:22, 35:23,	122:25	Busey [3] - 1:12, 2:3,
57:16, 57:20, 74:4	60:12, 67:20, 68:9,	37:19, 42:5, 42:10,	board [33] - 21:18,	2:4
assets [11] - 31:14,	76:24, 77:12, 78:11,	106:18	21:22, 22:23, 23:16,	business [4] - 25:23,
32:25, 33:3, 33:15,	82:25, 84:25,	beyond [1] - 40:21	29:13, 29:20, 50:22,	57:15, 86:12, 112:7
57:8, 61:4, 66:18,	102:23, 106:4,	bid [27] - 19:6, 19:20,	51:25, 54:1, 78:12,	businesses [1] -
66:20, 81:15, 81:16,	106:6, 106:7,	19:23, 20:1, 20:3,	78:13, 78:18, 87:25,	112:7
115:25	106:17, 111:21,	20:10, 20:11, 21:5,	88:2, 95:10, 95:15,	busy [1] - 16:7
Assets [1] - 103:15	113:3, 113:5, 115:4,	21:6, 21:11, 21:13,	105:5, 105:7,	buy [10] - 13:20, 20:2,
assistance [1] - 16:17	117:3, 119:2, 119:3,	24:2, 24:3, 25:12,	105:14, 105:15,	20:17, 30:20, 53:22,
assistant [1] - 5:15	121:20, 122:4,	25:15, 29:8, 35:2,	105:17, 106:19,	55:19, 56:25, 57:20
assisted [1] - 103:25	122:21	35:14, 35:21, 57:15,	107:17, 108:19,	buyer [2] - 56:16,
associated [5] -		58:4, 68:18, 69:5,	111:23, 119:2,	56:17
28:14, 105:23,		69:8, 104:9, 104:10	119:17, 120:15,	buyers [4] - 76:11,
112:13, 113:13,		bidder [1] - 84:14	120:19, 121:8	76:21, 84:8
112.10, 110.10,				, 0.21, 07.0

buyers' [1] - 69:16	chain [1] - 97:3	clear [1] - 120:13	company [35] - 12:4,	11:16, 33:13, 115:14
		client [22] - 60:5,	18:3, 18:10, 18:22,	concluded [1] -
buying [4] - 41:19,	chaired [2] - 23:12,			
41:20, 57:15	117:5	63:23, 63:24, 64:10,	21:9, 30:11, 35:1,	123:10
buyout [1] - 69:20	chairman [1] - 95:9	64:22, 65:1, 66:17,	41:12, 42:11, 43:17,	conclusion [1] - 23:15
BY [65] - 3:7, 5:7, 9:16,	change [2] - 69:19,	67:22, 67:25, 68:9,	54:8, 54:9, 54:11,	condition [1] - 61:4
9:23, 11:2, 16:21,	112:20	68:18, 68:25, 69:5,	54:15, 54:18, 54:20,	conditioners [1] -
17:8, 17:15, 18:15,	changing [2] - 41:6,	70:24, 71:17, 73:23,	54:22, 55:1, 57:19,	41:20
18:24, 19:16, 20:15,	121:14	74:9, 74:24, 75:10,	58:12, 58:18, 60:15,	cone [6] - 55:12,
21:12, 24:1, 24:5,	characterization [2] -	103:23, 111:11,	61:2, 73:5, 77:22,	55:25, 56:3, 65:8,
25:14, 26:1, 26:6,	15:1, 15:5	112:1	86:16, 87:10, 93:21,	65:10, 74:1
26:19, 28:23, 32:22,	checked [1] - 108:23	client's [2] - 64:9,	105:23, 111:14,	conference [1] - 51:16
33:19, 35:10, 37:2,	Chicago [1] - 7:20	71:20	112:13, 112:16,	confidentiality [1] -
38:16, 39:16, 42:16,	-	clients [2] - 67:15,	113:13, 113:14,	84:16
	chief [4] - 7:3, 13:25,		115:14	
42:21, 43:21, 46:2,	14:1, 14:3	75:4		confirm [1] - 52:9
46:18, 50:1, 54:7,	Children [1] - 8:8	close [3] - 17:5, 77:23,	company's [1] -	confirming [1] - 50:5
60:1, 61:10, 61:24,	Chinese [1] - 44:17	96:4	111:15	cong [1] - 32:15
62:6, 63:14, 63:17,	chose [4] - 36:1,	closest [1] - 73:18	compensation [1] -	Congress [1] - 13:15
64:8, 65:7, 67:13,	••	code [1] - 65:15	109:21	
70:16, 71:15, 73:7,	80:21, 81:2, 93:4	codes [1] - 20:21	compete [3] - 19:7,	congressional [1] -
	chosen [2] - 80:22,		-	32:15
73:16, 74:2, 75:15,	85:23	cohorts [1] - 14:12	20:10, 20:13	connected [6] - 24:11,
79:4, 80:3, 82:1,	Christmas [1] - 7:7	coincidence [2] -	competed [1] - 106:6	24:15, 72:3, 82:15,
82:3, 84:4, 87:3,	Cindy [1] - 109:1	84:9, 85:10	competing [1] - 66:6	114:16, 125:15
87:13, 88:1, 89:12,	circle [1] - 122:24	collateral [1] - 75:1	competition [1] -	connection [29] -
96:24, 110:21,		combined [3] - 47:6,	36:16	17:18, 22:5, 24:19,
112:25, 113:19,	cities [1] - 35:22		competitive [7] -	
114:5, 116:9,	city [2] - 10:20, 16:11	47:8, 98:16	20:24, 34:2, 35:2,	29:19, 37:18, 38:8,
	CITY [1] - 1:2	comfortable [3] -		43:17, 62:4, 64:21,
116:18, 122:17	City [74] - 7:9, 7:11,	35:3, 35:18, 105:17	35:14, 35:20, 77:5,	67:11, 78:14, 78:24,
	7:12, 11:5, 12:6,	coming [15] - 11:15,	110:17	82:6, 84:19, 89:13,
С	12:7, 13:25, 14:12,	13:19, 13:20, 17:24,	competitively [1] -	97:21, 98:23, 98:25,
14 L 57 04	17:1, 19:19, 20:1,	29:23, 29:24, 43:2,	21:5	99:4, 99:10, 104:8,
capital [2] - 57:21,		43:8, 45:7, 48:11,	competitiveness [1] -	104:10, 105:18,
61:9	20:4, 20:5, 20:17,		68:18	
Capital [3] - 54:14,	20:21, 23:12, 23:17,	69:2, 69:3, 80:9,		107:15, 113:3,
73:5, 73:10	30:6, 30:13, 30:21,	112:23, 123:1	competitors [2] -	114:19, 115:3,
capitalizing [1] - 88:9	31:2, 31:14, 32:25,	comment [2] - 22:4,	21:11, 75:21	115:24
	33:3, 33:15, 33:20,	59:17	complaining [1] - 60:5	connector [1] - 108:14
care [1] - 8:19	34:15, 34:18, 34:21,	commission [2] -	complete [2] - 59:23,	cons [2] - 23:3, 23:11
career [4] - 5:15, 6:1,		7:15, 7:19	80:4	consensus [3] - 42:4,
10:15, 16:11	35:23, 37:5, 37:14,			
careful [1] - 24:17	37:18, 37:20, 40:17,	COMMITTEE [1] - 1:3	complicated [1] -	42:17, 42:18
Carla [6] - 5:19, 56:5,	40:23, 41:3, 41:25,	committee [5] - 23:12,	57:16	consequences [2] -
56:6, 56:10, 66:15	43:18, 45:12, 47:7,	23:13, 62:10,	concept [7] - 27:1,	19:24, 19:25
	47:16, 48:24, 53:23,	107:14, 118:8	27:9, 50:25, 85:21,	conservation [1] -
cars [2] - 37:10, 41:19	53:25, 54:2, 54:3,	Committee [2] - 2:2,	115:14, 115:17,	112:6
case [1] - 87:2	54:4, 54:25, 78:1,	117:4	116:2	consider [6] - 27:6,
caster [1] - 90:9			concepts [1] - 70:9	
caved [2] - 63:9, 63:10	78:6, 78:8, 80:14,	common [6] - 37:5,		31:24, 76:11, 76:21,
center [1] - 20:2	80:19, 81:7, 85:1,	41:16, 41:18, 41:22,	concerned [1] -	121:12, 121:14
CEO [9] - 5:10, 10:11,	86:4, 92:25, 99:2,	108:16, 108:17	112:22	consideration [4] -
	100:3, 100:13,	communication [1] -	concerning [1] - 117:5	27:18, 33:4, 33:5,
106:3, 106:12,	100:14, 100:15,	42:10	concerns [1] - 75:5	54:19
106:20, 106:23,	100:22, 101:6,	communications [1] -	concession [5] - 30:3,	considered [4] -
107:22, 108:8,	114:22, 115:24,		30:15, 30:17, 30:19,	14:15, 26:21, 47:9,
108:12		30:22		
CERTIFICATE [2] -	117:4, 121:19,	community [1] - 5:13	54:3	122:1
124:1, 125:1	121:21, 122:7,	commuted [1] - 6:20	concessionaire [14] -	considers [1] - 47:7
	122:8, 123:2	companies [14] -	13:17, 30:10, 31:15,	consistent [2] - 121:4,
certify [3] - 124:6,	City's [9] - 14:7,	11:15, 12:18, 13:12,	31:18, 33:12, 34:14,	121:9
125:6, 125:12	20:25, 39:5, 39:25,	13:19, 13:20, 17:20,	53:24, 54:16, 60:19,	consolidated [1] -
cetera [1] - 112:1	47:5, 80:5, 84:12,	34:1, 36:15, 52:17,	60:20, 74:8, 74:25,	5:13
CFO [14] - 7:8, 7:11,				
7:14, 7:16, 9:5, 9:21,	84:15, 85:23	56:21, 61:15, 73:13,	115:17, 116:3	consolidation [3] -
10:6, 12:25, 13:1,	City-owned [1] - 54:2	86:11, 89:9	concessionaires [2] -	14:14, 26:18, 26:20
14:4, 51:21, 80:14	Clay [3] - 6:5, 9:3,	Company [2] - 11:25,	30:22, 77:18	consult [1] - 122:9
14.4, 01.21, 00.14	78:21	75:19	concessions [3] -	consultant [12] - 22:8,

35:8, 37:6, 41:24,	121:19	105:6, 106:10,	28:20	discusses [1] - 88:7
63:20, 64:11, 94:25,	councilman's [1] -	115:9, 119:1, 120:1,	Delaney's [1] - 28:8	discussing [4] -
109:5, 109:10,	28:17	121:20, 122:18	delegate [1] - 40:24	95:22, 98:1, 105:5,
110:24, 113:2, 113:4	councilmen [1] -	Curry's [3] - 28:10,	delivery [1] - 31:11	121:21
consultants [6] -	56:12	28:21, 87:22	demise [2] - 62:4, 62:8	discussion [10] - 16:2,
17:12, 40:24, 62:18,	councilwoman's [1] -	20.21, 01.22	denied [1] - 61:11	16:5, 46:16, 52:16,
69:4, 109:2	28:17	D	department [1] - 48:14	87:5, 88:12, 106:9,
consulted [1] - 64:10	counsel [2] - 125:13,	U	dependent [1] - 40.14	106:10, 122:14,
consulting [1] - 60:5	125:15	daily [1] - 16:5	104:24	122:15
contemplate [1] -	COUNSEL [1] - 2:1	Daniels [1] - 5:22	described [7] - 50:18,	discussions [7] -
25:16	Counsel's [1] - 117:21	Danielsen [1] - 90:7	56:16, 71:19, 82:12,	14:5, 29:10, 31:22,
context [2] - 69:7,	counties [2] - 6:5,	data [12] - 58:10,	84:20, 89:14, 109:9	48:5, 76:25, 93:5,
118:25	8:11	58:13, 58:16, 66:5,	description [2] - 12:2,	106:24
continued [3] -	counting [1] - 122:7	103:1, 103:6, 103:7,	88:13	displaying [1] - 32:7
110:14, 110:15	country [4] - 13:16,	103:13, 104:3,	designed [3] - 56:16,	dispose [1] - 88:17
contract [17] - 24:18,	15:7, 47:22, 112:4	104:14, 104:19,	69:16, 74:8	disposition [4] - 19:1,
34:25, 35:1, 35:4,	County [3] - 9:3,	104:25	detail [1] - 71:7	31:14, 32:25, 84:21
35:5, 35:14, 35:19,	78:21, 125:19	DATE [1] - 1:10	detailed [2] - 19:9,	disgualified [2] -
35:20, 37:17, 37:21,	COUNTY [2] - 124:3,	date [4] - 15:15, 51:20,	88:18	55:17, 55:24
39:5, 39:8, 41:11,	125:4	84:11, 103:5	determine [3] - 77:8,	disruptions [1] -
54:3, 54:23, 89:4,	couple [6] - 13:1,	DATED [1] - 125:18	determine [3] - 77:8, 77:24, 77:25	111:25
111:9	49:24, 57:20, 91:7,	dated [4] - 46:20,	,	distinct [2] - 29:3,
contracts [2] - 36:6,	109:12, 119:22	80:13, 88:6, 88:21	determined [1] - 77:9 determining [1] -	45:19
111:4	course [1] - 78:14	dates [1] - 57:6	122:8	diversion [1] - 6:16
contractual [1] - 37:19	court [3] - 72:12, 80:1,	days [1] - 94:17	development [2] -	doctorate [2] - 5:18,
contribution [2] -	101:25	deadline [2] - 40:9,		6:13
69:24, 70:2	courtesy [4] - 94:19,	74:23	7:15, 7:19 DIA [2] - 32:2	document [12] - 39:6,
controversial [2] -	94:23, 108:20,	deal [2] - 44:20,	dialog [1] - 75:8	49:13, 79:1, 80:12,
82:22, 82:23	108:23	112:17	different [15] - 13:16,	84:13, 87:14, 88:3,
convention [2] - 20:2,	coverage [1] - 47:11	debt [3] - 54:25, 55:1,	20:23, 31:16, 50:7,	88:20, 89:16, 96:8,
30:14	coverage [1] - 47.11 covered [5] - 6:5,	111:3	74:20, 87:7, 87:8,	98:2, 107:16
conversation [19] -	90:14, 94:14, 96:22,	decades [2] - 12:7,	91:14, 102:5, 104:6,	documents [7] - 46:6,
15:11, 16:1, 16:16,	120:12	43:18	108:20, 112:14,	75:6, 75:11, 80:10,
17:25, 19:5, 22:14,	crazy [1] - 66:14	December [10] - 11:5,	120:17, 121:6	97:4, 99:13, 99:15
24:16, 27:13, 28:7,	create [1] - 74:18	21:20, 30:5, 39:24,	difficult [4] - 60:12,	Doe [1] - 20:3
28:21, 42:13, 113:5,	created [5] - 7:14,	40:15, 59:17, 63:12,	60:18, 63:7, 69:16	dollars' [1] - 41:8
114:4, 114:6, 115:7,	7:17, 62:10, 64:3,	68:11, 110:7	difficulty [2] - 70:5,	Don [1] - 5:22
116:7, 122:5, 122:6,	112:18	decide [1] - 20:5	100:19	done [28] - 11:24,
122:22	creation [2] - 62:24,	decided [2] - 6:18,	dinner [2] - 18:1,	12:22, 12:24, 13:4,
conversations [8] -	74:17	83:15	118:3	28:18, 45:10, 55:20,
16:24, 17:16, 18:25,	creative [1] - 78:16	decides [1] - 20:4	direct [2] - 42:10,	57:6, 58:7, 61:14,
39:18, 106:17,	Cres [2] - 23:5, 107:12	decision [8] - 42:2,	67:19	62:10, 62:11, 63:4,
108:3, 115:22,	Crescimbeni [3] -	61:25, 62:22, 62:25,	DIRECT [1] - 5:6	75:2, 80:18, 81:21,
116:14	23:6, 23:13, 93:2	65:20, 66:1, 83:4,	directed [1] - 52:20	89:21, 90:1, 98:10,
Cook [5] - 1:15, 124:5,	Crescimbeni's [2] -	83:11	direction [4] - 12:22,	99:2, 101:23,
124:19, 125:6,	40:19, 93:3	decision-making [1] -	13:12, 50:23, 108:11	101:24, 102:5,
125:22	Crescimbini [1] -	62:25	director [5] - 6:4, 6:14,	107:9, 111:14,
copy [2] - 41:20, 66:24	107:14	decisions [5] - 62:3,	10:20, 44:9, 80:14	116:19, 123:2
correct [6] - 5:16,	Crescimbini's [1] -	62:16, 62:21, 62:22,	disadvantage [1] -	door [1] - 65:24
51:2, 80:24, 81:1,	62:10	108:22	21:10	down [4] - 14:19, 27:5,
109:7, 110:4	criminal [1] - 6:12	decline [1] - 111:24	discard [1] - 20:7	27:10, 102:1
correctly [1] - 12:14	criminology [2] - 5:18,	declined [1] - 92:25	disconnected [1] -	downstairs [1] - 16:7
cost [1] - 35:19	6:13	definitely [8] - 13:5,	62:14	draft [6] - 25:7, 74:10,
COUNCIL [1] - 1:2	criteria [1] - 81:11	37:21, 52:2, 67:20,	discuss [1] - 99:1	74:19, 74:21, 74:24,
Council [9] - 23:12,	CRR [5] - 1:15, 124:5,	111:19, 112:8, 114:8	discussed [13] -	75:11
92:25, 101:6, 117:4,	124:19, 125:6,	definition [1] - 53:3	15:19, 17:17, 17:19,	drafting [2] - 75:5,
118:5, 118:13,	125:22	degree [4] - 6:11, 6:19, 6:21	17:20, 19:2, 46:20,	116:12
121:21, 122:7, 122:8	current [1] - 104:9	Delaney [5] - 7:13,	82:18, 83:5, 92:24,	Drive [2] - 1:12, 2:5
council [5] - 21:4,	Curry [12] - 9:1, 10:21,	27:16, 27:19, 28:5,	118:1, 120:1,	due [1] - 63:9
28:15, 65:12, 65:16,	16:22, 28:20, 105:4,	21.10, 21.10, 20.0,	122:19, 122:24	duly [2] - 5:3, 124:8
1	I	I	1	

during [8] - 9:12,	113:18, 113:23	EXCELON [1] - 18:17	88:8	34:4, 62:9
26:11, 26:12, 28:7,	ended [10] - 19:9,	except [3] - 6:15,	explored [1] - 78:18	finances [3] - 9:4, 9:8,
40:18, 60:16, 113:1,	22:23, 36:12, 62:4,	70:11, 71:2	expressing [1] - 53:1	111:2
115:2	99:16, 107:8,			
		exchange [1] - 101:4	extent [1] - 18:23	Financial [11] - 11:4,
DUVAL [2] - 124:3,	107:10, 107:12,	excuse [1] - 93:6	extra [2] - 38:20, 38:21	11:14, 11:25, 12:17,
125:4	110:7, 110:17	excused [1] - 123:9	eye [1] - 51:9	22:8, 22:16, 49:9,
Duval [2] - 6:5, 125:18	ends [1] - 20:23	executive [2] - 6:4,		77:17, 81:9, 81:11,
Dykes [8] - 51:22,	energy [2] - 111:25,	6:14	F	116:1
51:23, 79:9, 97:5,	112:18	executives [1] - 73:10	•	financial [23] - 12:7,
97:8, 98:2, 98:25,	engage [1] - 97:19	Exelon [15] - 18:9,	facilities [5] - 25:21,	13:25, 31:8, 33:21,
99:1	engagement [2] -		30:13, 61:16, 67:3,	34:18, 40:3, 41:18,
55.1		18:13, 18:17, 19:4,	67:8	45:12, 47:6, 48:20,
	. 97:6, 98:14	19:5, 19:18, 21:13,	fact [8] - 36:7, 40:21,	, , ,
E	entire [5] - 14:4,	21:23, 24:2, 24:13,	56:5, 77:13, 89:21,	51:18, 52:3, 64:15,
e-mail [8] - 50:2, 97:1,	25:16, 25:17, 25:25,	24:14, 25:4, 25:15,	100:8, 101:15, 106:5	81:6, 82:11, 82:12,
	119:1	29:1, 29:8		83:4, 86:20, 97:10,
97:7, 101:8, 102:3,	entities [5] - 34:11,	exhibit [4] - 11:23,	factors [1] - 112:2	103:11, 111:11,
102:4, 102:8, 103:5	41:3, 85:23, 103:10,	36:2, 96:16, 109:1	fair [1] - 15:5	114:10
e-mails [3] - 93:11,	108:20	Exhibit [58] - 4:3, 4:4,	fall [1] - 14:6	financially [1] - 125:16
97:3, 101:4	entity [12] - 8:1, 26:13,	4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8,	familiar [10] - 11:13,	fine [3] - 13:18, 53:11,
early [1] - 58:17	34:9, 35:7, 36:5,		12:3, 12:20, 25:22,	91:20
easier [1] - 60:19		4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12,	44:14, 64:4, 89:11,	
easily [1] - 86:16	40:14, 48:17, 63:20,	4:13, 4:14, 4:15,	101:8, 103:19,	finish [1] - 75:16
East [1] - 1:19	64:3, 73:11, 77:14,	4:16, 4:17, 4:18,	120:10	finished [1] - 5:24
	85:1	4:19, 4:20, 11:22,		firm [6] - 7:6, 8:20,
economic [2] - 7:15,	entity's [1] - 35:14	11:23, 36:2, 46:7,	far [7] - 15:9, 27:19,	8:21, 24:17, 44:16,
7:19	entries [1] - 94:3	46:17, 46:19, 49:20,	34:22, 64:23, 69:11,	93:13
economy [1] - 47:5	entry [2] - 91:4, 121:8	49:22, 49:23, 50:2,	73:21, 74:19	firms [1] - 11:12
Ed [11] - 5:15, 5:25,	Eric [1] - 107:22	80:2, 80:20, 81:3,	favor [1] - 56:17	first [11] - 9:19, 19:18,
6:3, 6:23, 7:2, 7:8,	especially [1] - 120:10	84:5, 84:18, 87:4,	FAX [1] - 1:20	24:7, 46:13, 47:16,
14:16, 14:17, 14:18,		87:12, 88:18, 90:3,	February [8] - 10:8,	60:3, 68:24, 80:22,
28:2	Esquire [3] - 2:3, 2:3,		80:13, 84:12, 88:6,	
effect [1] - 74:1	2:4	90:8, 96:3, 97:11,	94:17, 103:6, 103:8,	101:5, 104:20,
efficiencies [2] -	essentially [1] - 74:25	99:2, 101:3, 101:12,	116:13	119:10
	established [1] - 96:9	103:4, 105:10,		fit [2] - 70:3, 70:25
54:24, 55:2	et [1] - 112:1	106:2, 106:8,	federal [1] - 13:14	Fitch [1] - 105:1
effort [6] - 19:8, 29:2,	evaluate [1] - 14:23	107:20, 109:8,	fee [7] - 38:18, 38:21,	five [7] - 8:7, 8:11,
62:5, 62:8, 83:14,	evaluated [1] - 48:22	116:20, 116:23,	109:24, 109:25,	8:16, 51:24, 79:8,
108:6	evaluating [3] - 22:7,	117:1, 118:20,	110:1	83:19, 110:10
efforts [5] - 19:4,		118:25, 119:4,	feedback [7] - 68:23,	five-minute [1] - 83:19
28:25, 29:3, 29:10,	27:1, 81:15	121:18	68:25, 69:1, 69:2,	
111:5	evaluation [10] -		70:5, 70:6, 70:7	fixed [1] - 109:24
eight [2] - 21:25, 25:3	31:10, 34:15, 49:4,	exhibits [2] - 45:6,	feedbacks [2] - 68:21,	flat [2] - 38:18, 38:21
- · · ·	80:18, 88:11, 88:19,	116:19		Fleming [1] - 9:3
either [4] - 25:9, 52:8,	88:23, 88:24, 97:6,	Exhibits [2] - 4:21,	69:12	flexibility [2] - 41:2,
64:5, 89:6	97:9	120:22	fellow [1] - 73:4	41:9
electric [6] - 25:17,	evaluators [1] - 48:16	existing [3] - 31:11,	felt [14] - 53:10, 54:5,	floor [10] - 15:23,
25:24, 47:8, 54:13,	evening [1] - 102:8	38:4, 41:11	54:16, 54:23, 59:3,	15:24, 15:25, 16:3,
73:12, 111:12	event [2] - 78:11,	expand [1] - 47:10	59:4, 63:1, 72:19,	16:4, 17:1, 17:3,
elements [1] - 35:18	,	expect [2] - 81:19,	105:16, 108:11,	17:6, 24:22, 122:13
elephant [1] - 26:5	119:2	89:22	108:21, 112:16,	
Emera [1] - 54:12	eventually [1] - 24:20		112:19, 121:11	Florida [18] - 1:13,
employee [2] - 125:13,	everywhere [1] - 15:7	experience [3] -	few [5] - 26:16, 46:7,	1:19, 2:6, 6:7, 6:20,
	evidence [1] - 86:24	65:14, 72:19, 81:14		6:25, 18:6, 19:2,
125:14	ex [1] - 37:8	expertise [7] - 34:5,	75:16, 90:2, 116:19	47:11, 105:23,
employees [7] - 22:21,	exact [1] - 11:11	37:9, 41:3, 41:14,	figure [2] - 32:4, 92:18	105:24, 112:8,
39:17, 53:25, 54:12,	exactly [6] - 39:2,	42:6, 42:7, 55:3	figuring [1] - 27:7	117:22, 118:7,
64:16, 64:19, 73:15	70:21, 70:25, 81:14,	experts [4] - 37:11,	final [8] - 60:25, 61:2,	118:14, 124:6,
employment [1] - 5:9		43:1, 61:3, 61:5	61:18, 63:7, 63:9,	124:19, 125:19
end [17] - 21:17, 54:2,	92:2, 121:8	explain [2] - 19:21,	65:20, 67:7, 72:16	FLORIDA [2] - 124:2,
61:22, 61:23, 64:4,	Examination [1] - 1:14	77:6	finally [1] - 9:5	125:3
66:14, 68:11, 72:4,	EXAMINATION [2] -		finance [7] - 10:20,	
88:20, 97:2, 98:19,	3:7, 5:6	explained [1] - 38:4	19:10, 44:18, 44:20,	fly [1] - 73:14
	example [4] - 13:14,	explanation [1] -		fly-by-the-night [1] -
105:24, 107:11,	34:19, 44:1, 111:12	65:23	48:14, 48:19, 80:14	73:14
107:15, 110:7,	examples [1] - 32:18	explore [2] - 78:16,	Finance [3] - 12:5,	focus [1] - 77:16
			8	<u>i</u>

focused [1] - 28:11	102:22	hand [4] - 46:8, 79:2,	hones [1] - 47:17	inclination [1] -
Foley [4] - 24:12,	garages [6] - 13:21,	80:1, 124:10	honing [1] - 47:13	108:12
24:17, 24:24	31:21, 32:3, 33:1,	hang [1] - 74:7	Hope [4] - 5:11, 10:4,	inclined [1] - 106:14
follow [3] - 67:14,	33:16, 100:24	hang-ups [1] - 74:7	10:6, 10:10	include [1] - 31:17
108:23, 113:24	General [1] - 117:20	happily [1] - 72:2	hoping [1] - 21:14	included [1] - 79:9
following [2] - 72:17,	general [4] - 39:7,	hard [1] - 11:19	hour [3] - 38:18,	including [1] - 111:24
98:7	100:24, 112:9	Harris [2] - 7:6	50:11, 83:24	incorporated [1] -
follows [3] - 5:4,	generally [2] - 45:13,	head [4] - 7:18, 14:10,	hourly [1] - 109:24	100:25
47:15, 47:17	59:8	23:19, 64:2	House [3] - 8:14, 8:15	Incorporated [1] -
football [1] - 24:9	generated [3] - 29:25,	headed [1] - 7:16	house [2] - 42:12,	12:17
footprint [1] - 47:11	32:14, 59:15	heading [1] - 10:4	117:16	incredible [1] - 57:3
FOR [1] - 4:2	generating [1] - 57:23	headlines [1] - 78:25	Howard [3] - 95:8,	independent [2] -
foregoing [1] - 125:8	gentlemen [1] - 80:15	heads [1] - 97:16	95:9, 95:17	108:10, 111:11
forever [1] - 35:9	geographic [1] -	heads-up [1] - 97:16	huge [2] - 73:13,	Independent [2] -
form [2] - 54:15, 88:3	112:10	health [2] - 8:19,	86:12	1:12, 2:5
formal [1] - 27:10	given [13] - 66:18,	111:16	Hughes [2] - 17:4,	indicated [4] - 42:22,
formally [1] - 90:20	66:19, 68:24, 74:12,	healthy [1] - 111:18	113:9	66:17, 79:6, 79:10
formed [1] - 54:11	75:12, 78:4, 87:21,	hear [2] - 106:10,	Hulsey [2] - 1:12, 2:4	indicates [1] - 44:8
formerly [2] - 90:22,	89:21, 99:3, 100:10,	122:6	hundred [1] - 13:1	individuals [1] - 70:8
91:8	103:22, 107:6,	heard [9] - 26:14,		industry [1] - 112:3
Forsyth [1] - 1:19	108:12	26:17, 53:8, 65:14,		information [9] - 58:9,
fortunate [1] - 10:14	Godbold [1] - 5:23	67:22, 71:6, 104:20,	idea [24] - 27:2, 36:19,	58:14, 60:7, 60:11,
forward [7] - 6:2,	Goldman [2] - 79:19,	113:13	47:19, 47:20, 48:3,	63:8, 64:11, 66:11,
27:16, 82:19, 82:21,	80:23	hearing [4] - 28:10,	48:10, 50:25, 55:1,	73:3, 97:24
83:4, 83:6, 83:13	government [10] -	70:11, 103:18,	56:1, 56:25, 60:22,	Infrastructure [1] -
four [7] - 8:14, 36:13,	5:14, 10:16, 13:15,	106:23	62:3, 62:17, 62:20,	103:14
36:15, 36:22, 51:24,	16:11, 34:24, 34:25,	heck [1] - 104:21	69:19, 73:25, 77:22,	initial [4] - 22:15, 66:8,
79:8, 82:5	41:3, 41:18, 45:12, 48:17	Hedquist [1] - 1:18	91:19, 95:22, 100:5,	73:4, 97:14
fourth [8] - 15:24,	governmental [2] -	held [1] - 23:2	104:21, 105:13,	initiated [2] - 24:18,
15:25, 16:3, 16:4,	35:13, 36:5	help [12] - 9:4, 9:7,	122:2, 122:24	114:24
17:1, 17:6, 24:22,	governor [1] - 94:25	9:8, 11:12, 13:12,	ideas [1] - 70:18	Initiative [2] - 11:4, 11:14
122:13 FP&L [2] - 113:15,	grade [1] - 51:11	13:22, 19:21, 35:11,	IDENTIFICATION [1] -	inner [3] - 103:11,
118:2	grammatical [1] -	55:10, 77:7, 110:22, 111:5	4:2	122:23
FPL [2] - 15:10, 18:5	116:15	helped [3] - 53:20,	identification [18] -	input [2] - 81:18,
FPR [5] - 1:15, 124:5,	grant [2] - 5:19, 5:20	53:21, 55:10	46:17, 49:22, 80:2,	116:12
124:19, 125:6,	great [2] - 73:21,	helping [3] - 16:18,	81:3, 84:18, 87:12,	inside [1] - 62:19
125:22	123:4	58:18, 111:2	90:8, 96:3, 97:11,	insight [1] - 81:10
frame [11] - 21:14,	Greg [1] - 94:24	Herschel [12] - 24:8,	101:12, 103:4,	inspect [2] - 66:18,
30:6, 30:7, 30:23,	Greive [4] - 12:25,	24:10, 24:11, 24:19,	105:10, 106:8,	66:20
59:8, 59:14, 60:4,	13:7, 52:13, 80:16	70:7, 70:11, 71:3,	107:20, 109:8,	instance [1] - 35:22
60:17, 61:18, 75:12,	ground [1] - 110:25	72:25	116:23, 119:4, 120:23	instances [1] - 41:23
83:10	group [10] - 44:17,	Herschel's [2] - 24:23,	identified [2] - 58:17,	instead [2] - 41:24,
free [2] - 111:9, 115:11	44:18, 55:17, 66:12,	25:3	96:8	68:12
Friday [1] - 1:10	70:8, 77:2, 87:5,	Hester [1] - 10:18	identify [2] - 58:16,	institution [1] - 58:15
friends [1] - 17:13	89:6, 110:17	high [1] - 71:13	80:21	insufficient [1] - 60:6
front [4] - 72:11,	growing [2] - 112:7,	highlighted [1] - 92:17	imagine [1] - 56:14	INT [1] - 115:3
84:10, 105:3, 107:16	112:8	Hightower [1] - 14:13	immediately [2] -	intent [1] - 34:6
FSU [2] - 5:18, 5:24	growth [2] - 112:4,	hire [5] - 34:6, 74:22,	14:19, 113:15	interest [5] - 22:10,
funds [1] - 33:21	112:5	76:17, 85:24, 97:19	impacts [1] - 112:2	27:4, 32:7, 55:4,
future [7] - 111:13,	guess [9] - 28:25,	hired [13] - 10:6,	implied [1] - 101:19	121:25
111:17, 111:20,	37:3, 49:12, 84:25,	16:18, 36:18, 36:21,	important [2] - 42:23,	interested [16] -
112:2, 112:15,	89:13, 92:25, 107:6,	82:23, 84:22, 84:23,	47:25	11:18, 19:19, 20:6,
112:21, 121:13	108:18, 119:16 Guidi (1) - 7:6	84:24, 84:25, 85:1,	impressed [1] -	20:9, 20:12, 32:6,
	Guidi [1] - 7:6 Gulliford [1] - 121:25	85:8, 89:10, 98:12	117:14	40:7, 57:12, 70:9,
G	Guinioru [1] - 121.23	hiring [4] - 33:25,	impression [2] -	101:4, 112:3,
gain [1] - 55:3	Н	61:3, 108:11	112:15, 112:21	112:10, 118:10, 122:2, 125:16
Gainesville [1] - 6:21		history [1] - 111:1 hold [1] - 71:13	in-person [1] - 67:24	122:2, 125:16 interesting [3] - 24:15,
garage [2] - 31:1,	half [2] - 6:20, 50:11	hole [1] - 55:23	Inc [1] - 103:15	69:5, 70:10
		10000		
1			J	

interim [4] - 106:3,	65:13, 66:19, 67:11,	88:12, 88:19, 88:23,	Kevin [9] - 2:4, 10:24,	37:11, 66:16
106:12, 106:20,	71:17, 113:3, 115:3,	89:5, 89:6, 89:15,	30:10, 32:23, 39:5,	leave [1] - 32:2
106:22	115:10	89:22, 90:23, 92:20,	54:15, 59:20, 64:6,	leaving [1] - 120:15
	115.10			••••
internal [3] - 49:9,		95:16, 97:4, 97:7,	86:23	led [2] - 23:11, 23:12
62:3, 122:13	J	97:22, 98:9, 98:14,	KFA [1] - 10:10	left [9] - 7:5, 7:9, 7:18,
internally [2] - 27:14,		99:18, 99:23,	KHA [1] - 10:7	7:25, 10:23, 66:5,
29:22	JACKSONVILLE [1] -	100:18, 100:24,	kicked [1] - 55:24	110:17, 115:8,
International [1] -	1:2	102:6, 102:13,	Kids [4] - 5:11, 10:4,	120:19
50:6	Jacksonville [19] -	103:1, 103:7,	10:6, 10:10	legal [1] - 74:22
interpretat [1] - 71:24	1:13, 1:19, 2:6, 12:6,	103:11, 103:12,	kind [5] - 16:6, 39:21,	legitimacy [1] - 27:1
	13:25, 15:2, 28:1,	104:16, 104:24,		
interpretation [2] -	28:5, 35:23, 50:5,	105:5, 105:7, 106:4,	105:21, 110:22,	legitimate [2] - 30:2,
102:6, 111:20	80:15, 80:19, 81:7,		115:23	72:22
interpretations [1] -	99:3, 100:3, 100:14,	106:12, 106:19,	kinds [1] - 57:17	Lenny [7] - 9:1, 9:11,
112:22	100:15, 100:23,	106:25, 107:7,	knowing [1] - 15:6	16:14, 28:21, 51:8,
INTERVIEW [1] - 1:6	125:18	107:15, 108:8,	knowledge [7] -	78:3, 115:1
interview [2] - 123:10,		109:3, 109:5,	29:18, 41:14, 75:4,	less [1] - 29:15
125:8	Jaguar [1] - 7:20	109:10, 109:16,	87:21, 117:10,	letter [5] - 25:7, 80:25,
interviews [1] - 43:16	jail [1] - 5:21	110:5, 110:24,	118:12, 118:15	84:6, 98:14, 109:1
	Jake [1] - 5:23	111:21, 111:23,		
investigate [1] - 92:12	January [9] - 46:20,	112:23, 113:2,	knowledgeable [1] -	letters [1] - 97:6
investigators [1] -	51:20, 59:23, 61:22,		5:12	Lex [1] - 10:18
6:16	62:1, 74:24, 75:17,	114:11, 114:14,	known [5] - 21:11,	lieu [1] - 69:24
INVESTIGATORY [1] -		114:19, 116:6,	105:8, 118:9,	lifetime [1] - 59:10
1:3	93:12, 94:2	117:6, 118:1,	118:11, 120:8	Light [4] - 18:6,
Investigatory [1] - 2:2	Jarboe [1] - 5:21	118:11, 119:1,	KPMG [2] - 80:24,	117:23, 118:7,
investment [7] -	JE [1] - 108:7	119:17, 120:3,	89:9	118:14
	JEA [174] - 1:3, 11:17,	120:16, 121:13,	Kyle [5] - 101:6, 102:2,	
68:16, 75:18, 76:16,	12:8, 14:7, 14:8,	121:23, 122:2, 122:9		Liles [3] - 14:18,
77:2, 77:3, 93:12,	15:5, 16:19, 16:25,	JEA's [10] - 26:3, 50:8,	102:4, 102:7, 102:11	14:19, 26:14
111:19	17:22, 19:2, 19:11,	51:9, 74:5, 95:15,		limited [1] - 31:13
investments [1] - 41:8	19:20, 20:2, 21:18,		L	line [7] - 27:5, 27:11,
investors [1] - 55:5	22:4, 22:6, 22:7,	111:12, 111:16,	lastres 02:0 71:01	37:9, 40:20, 42:10,
invitation [5] - 58:4,		112:2, 114:13	lack [2] - 63:8, 71:21	58:1, 84:11
69:8, 103:18, 104:9	22:15, 23:3, 23:14,	Jeff [1] - 73:4	laid [3] - 70:10, 77:17,	list [3] - 16:16, 43:20,
invite [1] - 20:12	23:22, 24:15, 24:18,	Jeff's [1] - 73:8	77:19	121:19
invited [4] - 16:4,	25:16, 26:13, 26:22,	Jeremy [2] - 44:13,	land [1] - 60:21	
•••	27:18, 28:7, 28:16,	45:14	language [2] - 32:24,	listening [2] - 28:6,
19:21, 24:20, 50:14	29:1, 29:13, 29:15,	Jim [1] - 5:21	62:24	70:8
involved [7] - 16:23,	29:19, 34:19, 34:21,	job [2] - 13:1, 106:7	Lanny [2] - 2:3, 61:17	lists [1] - 109:2
47:21, 72:2, 74:17,	35:24, 36:7, 37:13,	Joe [1] - 52:13	Lanny's [1] - 116:10	literally [4] - 40:9,
83:3, 87:11, 115:2	39:18, 47:3, 47:6,		-	61:15, 65:23, 111:5
involvement [3] -	47:14, 47:17, 47:18,	Joey [9] - 12:25, 13:4,	Laquidara [1] - 109:2	live [1] - 9:3
22:12. 117:8. 117:10	48:1, 50:3, 51:18,	13:7, 39:14, 42:19,	larger [1] - 32:17	living [1] - 78:21
involves [1] - 97:5		52:11, 80:16, 100:9,	last [8] - 26:17, 30:2,	lobbyist [2] - 95:1
involving [1] - 47:14	51:24, 52:23, 53:10,	100:10	50:11, 73:8, 93:24,	
-	53:15, 54:10, 55:11,	John [2] - 7:13, 20:3	98:6, 113:7, 120:5	lobbyists [5] - 90:19,
ironically [1] - 60:18	55:15, 57:10, 57:18,	Jones [1] - 118:18	lasts [1] - 72:6	90:22, 90:24, 90:25,
Island [1] - 9:3	58:17, 59:2, 59:22,	JP [9] - 46:19, 47:12,	Laurie [1] - 32:3	91:8
isolated [1] - 88:3	60:16, 61:7, 61:11,	47:21, 75:24, 75:25,	law [7] - 6:19, 6:21,	LOCATION [1] - 1:12
issue [9] - 17:22, 28:2,	62:12, 62:14, 62:19,			logic [1] - 89:20
42:24, 55:1, 86:15,	63:11, 64:2, 64:7,	79:10, 80:23, 84:14,	7:6, 8:20, 8:21,	logical [1] - 15:4
86:18, 89:7, 120:16,	64:11, 64:13, 64:22,	85:4	13:15, 44:16	long-term [2] - 54:23,
121:5	65:11, 65:18, 66:18,	July [6] - 78:12, 78:18,	lawyer [2] - 7:4, 7:5	89:4
issued [9] - 13:24,	66:20, 66:23, 69:3,	111:22, 119:19,	lawyers [1] - 117:20	long-time [1] - 113:14
		124:11, 125:18	lead [1] - 27:11	• • • •
16:14, 29:17, 48:2,	69:4, 69:14, 70:24,	jump [1] - 28:24	leadership [5] - 23:17,	look [11] - 15:4, 15:5,
48:18, 58:5, 59:19,	71:17, 75:6, 76:12,	June [3] - 1:10,	55:15, 77:2, 79:8,	21:18, 22:4, 29:15,
88:24, 100:14	76:17, 77:1, 77:4,	111:22, 124:7	111:22	86:5, 91:23, 92:16,
issues [2] - 104:23,	77:7, 77:12, 77:13,	···· <i>··</i> ··		103:13, 104:11,
120:12	78:3, 78:4, 78:10,	17	leading [1] - 98:18	105:1
IT [1] - 13:2	78:12, 78:14, 78:15,	K	lean [1] - 43:1	looked [5] - 13:18,
items [2] - 20:19,	78:17, 78:18, 79:8,	keep [4] - 20:7, 46:14,	learned [4] - 58:25,	22:8, 25:7, 55:9,
32:24	81:18, 84:13, 84:16,		60:22, 64:20, 65:4	78:8
	84:21, 84:25, 85:22,	51:8	lease [2] - 7:20, 31:13	
ITN [12] - 59:19, 60:3,		kept [3] - 60:24, 61:6,	least [3] - 22:17,	looking [19] - 11:12,
64:21, 65:8, 65:11,	85:25, 87:5, 88:9,	103:17	,	13:22, 25:24, 30:6,

30:8, 31:5, 31:6,	64:15	medium [3] - 13:17,	44:9, 44:22, 46:3,	Morg [1] - 85:4
46:9, 47:10, 53:22,	master's [2] - 6:11,	13:18, 32:16	50:17, 51:14, 60:3,	Morgan [14] - 47:21,
81:14, 93:24, 98:20,	6:12	medium-size [1] -	61:25, 71:16, 109:5,	75:24, 75:25, 79:10,
102:20, 103:10,	materials [1] - 87:5	13:18	118:12, 120:14	80:23, 84:14, 85:8,
107:7, 112:6, 116:10	Matt [2] - 117:5, 118:9	meet [3] - 94:20,	MICHAEL [5] - 1:7,	87:10, 88:5, 88:24,
looks [3] - 14:21, 20:4,	Matt's [1] - 121:24	94:23, 95:6	3:6, 5:2, 124:6,	93:19
84:10	MATTER [1] - 1:3	meeting [54] - 16:4,	125:8	Morgan's [2] - 46:19,
lose [1] - 56:8	matter [3] - 56:5,	21:18, 21:22, 24:12,	middle [2] - 41:13,	47:12
lost [2] - 7:8, 10:12	101:14, 119:16	24:21, 24:23, 29:13,	102:8	Morgans [2] - 89:9,
	matters [1] - 12:7	29:20, 46:4, 50:3,	might [16] - 19:14,	93:19
М	mayor [27] - 6:23, 7:2,	50:5, 50:15, 50:19,	40:2, 43:22, 44:15,	most [7] - 5:12, 31:22,
141	7:13, 9:1, 14:6, 15:1,	51:15, 52:19, 60:16,	44:24, 44:25, 52:7,	41:2, 41:18, 56:13,
ma'am [1] - 49:15	17:5, 17:10, 22:5,	62:13, 68:13, 69:11,	52:8, 52:10, 58:19,	67:24, 104:9
Mace [3] - 51:14,	22:10, 26:17, 51:8,	70:14, 75:17, 75:19,	72:7, 74:14, 74:15,	mostly [1] - 78:25
92:20, 93:11	77:11, 78:3, 89:14,	76:1, 76:12, 76:14,	76:5, 91:25, 101:16	MOU [2] - 37:22, 38:1
Macquarie [3] -	90:25, 91:11, 91:12,	77:1, 78:12, 78:19,	Mike [34] - 5:8, 5:10,	Mousa [2] - 14:1,
103:14, 103:17,		79:6, 79:14, 79:20,	5:12, 11:3, 16:22,	113:4
104:14	91:14, 91:16, 94:23, 105:16, 106:14,	87:6, 87:7, 87:8,	17:16, 24:3, 25:15,	
mail [8] - 50:2, 97:1,	106:18, 108:10,	87:25, 88:2, 92:5,	35:12, 36:25, 42:17,	move [9] - 77:13,
97:7, 101:8, 102:3,	108:21, 122:23	92:6, 94:7, 94:9,	44:8, 50:2, 51:17,	79:24, 82:2, 83:4, 83:6, 83:13, 87:17
102:4, 102:8, 103:5	Mayor [15] - 10:21,	94:10, 94:18, 94:19,	52:15, 53:12, 63:20,	83:6, 83:13, 87:17,
mails [3] - 93:11, 97:3,		95:5, 95:7, 95:9,	72:17, 75:17, 80:7,	88:16, 90:2
101:4	16:22, 26:7, 26:11, 26:22, 27:9, 28:10,	95:12, 95:14, 95:16,	80:11, 81:10, 85:10,	moved [5] - 9:3,
major [1] - 108:21	87:22, 27:9, 28:10, 87:22, 105:4, 105:6,	107:18, 117:25,	87:4, 90:4, 90:15,	74:23, 82:19, 82:21, 96:18
majority [1] - 25:23		120:19, 122:20,	93:24, 96:23, 97:1,	
maker [1] - 66:1	106:10, 119:1,	122:23	97:3, 101:5, 103:7,	moving [2] - 27:16,
manage [2] - 30:15,	120:1, 121:20,	meetings [5] - 60:13,	118:19, 123:1	80:4
41:7	122:18	91:5, 95:17, 95:20,	Mike's [1] - 15:1	MR [135] - 3:7, 3:8,
manageable [1] - 60:7	mayor's [11] - 14:14, 68:15, 90:23, 91:9,	102:19	Miller [6] - 5:19, 7:9,	5:7, 9:16, 9:23,
managed [2] - 25:22,	91:10, 92:24,	Melissa [10] - 51:18,	56:5, 66:15, 117:17,	10:24, 11:1, 11:2,
40:17	106:24, 108:4,	51:21, 51:22, 51:23,	117:19	11:20, 11:22, 16:20,
Management [11] -	108:6, 122:9, 122:23	79:9, 97:4, 97:8,	mind [3] - 26:10, 29:3,	16:21, 17:8, 17:15,
11:25, 12:5, 12:17,	Maze [6] - 43:23,	98:2, 98:25, 99:1	71:8	18:15, 18:24, 19:16,
22:9, 22:16, 49:10,	••	member [6] - 6:7,	mindful [1] - 26:3	20:15, 21:12, 23:5,
62:9, 77:17, 81:9,	43:25, 44:9, 44:22, 46:3, 50:17	6:25, 8:14, 105:15,	minute [2] - 83:19	23:7, 24:1, 24:5,
81:11, 116:2	McClure [1] - 5:22	105:17, 117:16	minutes [6] - 72:6,	25:14, 26:1, 26:6, 26:18, 26:19, 28:23,
management [1] -		Member [2] - 118:6,	92:5, 107:17, 113:6,	
13:3	McElroy [5] - 23:2,	118:13	117:3, 121:9	32:22, 33:19, 35:10,
manager [2] - 73:12	52:16, 52:25, 79:9,	members [14] - 17:1,	MIRA [1] - 103:16	36:22, 36:24, 36:25, 37:1, 37:2, 38:16,
manages [1] - 30:13	95:21	43:11, 51:24, 52:1,	mixed [2] - 100:19,	
managing [3] - 30:16,	McEiroy's [2] - 23:8,	52:3, 65:12, 65:16,	•••	39:4, 39:7, 39:16,
44:9, 53:24	52:15	79:8, 106:18,	100:21 Moolis m 75:10	42:16, 42:21, 43:21,
manner [1] - 38:17	mean [36] - 15:16,	106:19, 108:19,	Moelis [3] - 75:19,	44:5, 44:12, 45:6, 46:2, 46:8, 46:13
March [7] - 10:7, 23:3,	15:18, 17:2, 17:4,	121:19, 121:21	79:12, 93:13	46:2, 46:8, 46:13,
60:4, 61:18, 61:23,	17:10, 30:2, 34:22, 25:11, 27:0, 42:0	memo [1] - 103:5	moments [1] - 26:16	46:14, 46:18, 49:14,
74:23, 91:1	35:11, 37:9, 42:9,	memorandum [1] -	money [9] - 8:20, 8:21,	49:17, 49:19, 49:23,
mark [2] - 49:19, 79:2	43:16, 47:16, 47:19,	38:2	15:4, 27:23, 38:7,	49:24, 50:1, 51:2, 54:7, 54:10, 59:16
marked [25] - 12:12,	48:16, 51:25, 56:23,	mentioned [14] -	42:9, 54:24, 55:2,	54:7, 54:10, 59:16, 59:20, 59:21, 60:1,
36:2, 46:17, 49:22,	57:14, 57:18, 66:2,		112:12	
80:2, 80:12, 80:20,	72:3, 72:9, 72:22,	18:22, 26:16, 29:1, 33:1, 44:24, 63:15,	monitoring [1] - 77:11	61:10, 61:24, 62:6, 63:14, 63:16, 63:17,
81:3, 84:5, 84:18,	73:25, 82:8, 85:4,	65:8, 69:12, 70:4,	month [1] - 91:2	63:22, 64:2, 64:5,
87:12, 90:8, 96:3,	88:15, 91:13, 93:5,	74:9, 77:19, 80:15,	monthly [3] - 38:18,	64:7, 64:8, 65:7,
97:11, 99:2, 101:3,	93:15, 98:10, 98:17,		109:25, 110:1	
101:12, 103:4,	104:24, 109:23,	82:6, 92:23	months [17] - 21:25,	67:13, 70:16, 71:15, 71:21, 73:7, 73:16,
105:10, 106:8,	112:24, 114:2, 115:5	mentors [1] - 8:9	30:4, 53:20, 55:11,	
107:20, 109:8,	meaning [1] - 110:16	met [4] - 95:7, 95:15,	57:20, 57:24, 58:2,	74:2, 75:15, 79:4, 70:23, 70:25, 80:3
107.20, 109.8, 116:23, 119:4,	means [2] - 19:6, 94:6	118:2, 118:3	58:6, 58:7, 91:8,	79:23, 79:25, 80:3, 81:23, 81:25, 82:1
120:22	meant [2] - 11:10,	Miami [2] - 35:1, 35:4	109:12, 110:3,	81:23, 81:25, 82:1, 82:3, 83:18, 83:21
market [2] - 31:9, 41:7	74:21	Miami's [1] - 35:4	110:6, 110:8,	82:3, 83:18, 83:21, 83:24, 84:1, 84:4,
marketing [2] - 47:24,	mechanism [1] - 67:6	Michael [15] - 14:13,	110:10, 119:22	83:24, 84:1, 84:4, 86:22, 86:24, 87:1,
marketing [2] - 47.24,	Medicine [1] - 8:18	43:22, 43:25, 44:3,	Moody's [1] - 105:1	00.22, 00.24, 07.1,

87:3, 87:8, 87:10,	68:14, 75:6	116:10, 125:10	119:10, 119:11,	74:12, 116:3
87:13, 88:1, 89:12,	neighbor [1] - 65:25	nothing [6] - 13:11,	119:17, 120:11	options [5] - 42:14,
91:4, 91:25, 92:5,	nervous [1] - 55:17	34:20, 34:21,	officer [3] - 13:25,	78:13, 78:17, 87:7,
94:2, 94:4, 96:13,	never [55] - 20:14,	104:14, 104:21,	14:1, 14:3	100:25
96:15, 96:17, 96:18,	22:14, 25:6, 25:12,	114:14	official [3] - 67:6,	order [4] - 58:12,
96:19, 96:20, 96:22,	26:14, 27:10, 29:11,	November [14] -	117:13, 124:10	80:10, 80:18, 118:19
96:24, 98:4, 98:6,	30:8, 32:20, 34:6,	10:22, 29:13, 30:5,	officials [2] - 108:18,	ordinance [1] - 114:15
110:21, 112:25,	36:8, 36:21, 46:24,	32:8, 68:11, 90:16,	108:19	organization [5] -
113:19, 114:5,	47:1, 47:23, 48:10,	90:19, 90:22, 91:5,	often [2] - 31:22,	5:11, 7:16, 8:8, 8:18,
116:9, 116:18,	48:12, 55:11, 55:13,	91:6, 91:7, 107:18,	108:13	10:4
121:1, 122:17,	58:12, 59:3, 59:4,	122:20	ON [1] - 1:3	original [1] - 66:13
122:25, 123:1,	66:2, 71:7, 74:18,	November/	on-the-ground [1] -	outcome [1] - 71:9
123:6, 123:8	75:14, 78:8, 82:15,	December [1] -	110:25	outcry [1] - 23:21
multiple [1] - 68:5	82:17, 82:19, 82:21,	30:23	once [4] - 10:5, 15:16,	
multiples [1] - 68:4	82:23, 82:24, 82:25,	nuclear [1] - 25:21	19:20, 62:13	outlandish [1] - 121:14
must [3] - 98:9, 100:1,	83:6, 87:20, 106:15,	number [8] - 34:1,	One [2] - 1:12, 2:5	
105:16	114:6, 115:6,	36:13, 53:20, 55:10,	one [74] - 17:20,	outright [1] - 33:15 outside [1] - 17:12
100.10	115:14, 115:15,	78:13, 93:19,	17:24, 18:22, 19:14,	
N	115:20, 116:7,	111:24, 121:1	17:24, 18:22, 19:14, 19:18, 22:2, 22:3,	overstatement [1] -
11	117:25, 118:2,	Number [9] - 11:20,	19:18, 22:2, 22:3, 22:12, 25:10, 29:3,	92:16
name [21] - 5:8, 7:9,	118:3, 122:22	11:22, 46:10, 80:12,	22:12, 25:10, 29:3, 32:1, 32:11, 32:24,	overstepping [1] -
12:4, 18:11, 43:13,	New [6] - 43:14, 44:1,	80:13, 84:9, 97:1,	33:10, 34:17, 38:18,	111:10
43:22, 44:10, 44:12,	44:3, 45:9, 86:14,	102:12, 116:21	33:10, 34:17, 38:18, 39:9, 39:10, 42:14,	own [6] - 22:7, 54:3,
44:14, 49:14, 52:15,	89:7	numbered [1] - 80:12	43:12, 44:4, 45:6,	68:7, 74:11, 74:13,
54:8, 63:22, 64:3,	new [13] - 5:21, 7:4,	numbered [1] - 30.12 numbers [1] - 115:15	46:6, 46:9, 48:17,	86:1
64:6, 73:4, 73:8,	10:4, 20:2, 20:20,	numerous [1] - 89:10	40.0, 40.9, 40.17, 53:20, 65:9, 66:13,	owned [9] - 15:8,
93:18, 93:20, 93:21,	31:11, 54:15, 54:18,	numerous [1] - 09.10	66:14, 67:2, 68:9,	52:17, 53:2, 53:5,
103:14	66:11, 66:12, 85:21,	•	68:13, 68:14, 68:15,	53:6, 53:7, 53:14,
named [1] - 54:15	86:17	0	68:23, 69:12, 71:17,	53:16, 54:2
names [10] - 32:6,	newly [1] - 112:17	OATH [1] - 124:1	73:10, 74:3, 74:7,	owner [1] - 14:25
32:19, 43:10, 43:19,	news [2] - 90:4, 90:9	oath [1] - 5:4	75:7, 75:21, 77:22,	owners [1] - 7:24
45:15, 76:2, 76:8,		obligation [1] - 26:3		owns [2] - 54:13,
45:15, 76:2, 76:8, 79:18, 85:6, 103:17	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24,	obligation [1] - 26:3 observe [6] - 22:6,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21,	57:19
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,	observe [6] - 22:6,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7,	57:19
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,	-	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21,	
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1,	57:19 P
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24 NFL [1] - 7:24	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13,	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24 NFL [1] - 7:24 Niedfeldt [2] - 44:13,	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8,	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24 NFL [1] - 7:24 Niedfeldt [2] - 44:13, 45:14	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24 NFL [1] - 7:24 Niedfeldt [2] - 44:13, 45:14 night [2] - 73:14,	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24 NFL [1] - 7:24 Niedfeldt [2] - 44:13, 45:14 night [2] - 73:14, 101:14	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] -	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24 NFL [1] - 7:24 Niedfeldt [2] - 44:13, 45:14 night [2] - 73:14, 101:14 non [1] - 8:1	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24 NFL [1] - 7:24 Niedfeldt [2] - 44:13, 45:14 night [2] - 73:14, 101:14 non [1] - 8:1 nondisclosure [2] -	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20	next [9] - 49:12, 65:24, 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, 107:16 next-door [1] - 65:24 NFL [1] - 7:24 Niedfeldt [2] - 44:13, 45:14 night [2] - 73:14, 101:14 non [1] - 8:1 nondisclosure [2] - 62:25, 111:8	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20 needed [7] - 25:8,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24, \\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, \\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, \\ 107:16 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24 \\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24 \\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13, \\ 45:14 \\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14, \\ 101:14 \\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1 \\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - \\ 62:25, 111:8 \\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22 \\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] -	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24, \\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, \\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, \\ 107:16 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24 \\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24 \\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13, \\ 45:14 \\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14, \\ 101:14 \\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1 \\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - \\ 62:25, 111:8 \\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22 \\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20 needed [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24, \\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, \\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, \\ 107:16 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24 \\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24 \\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13, \\ 45:14 \\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14, \\ 101:14 \\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1 \\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - \\ 62:25, 111:8 \\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22 \\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] \\ - 8:1 \\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20 needed [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24, \\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, \\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, \\ 107:16 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24 \\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24 \\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13, \\ 45:14 \\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14, \\ 101:14 \\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1 \\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - \\ 62:25, 111:8 \\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22 \\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] \\ - 8:1 \\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{observe} \ [6] - 22:6, \\ 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, \\ 51:11 \\ \textbf{observer} \ [1] - 62:4 \\ \textbf{observing} \ [1] - 97:17 \\ \textbf{obvious} \ [1] - 122:3 \\ \textbf{occurred} \ [1] - 122:3 \\ \textbf{occurred} \ [1] - 106:18 \\ \textbf{odd} \ [1] - 84:9 \\ \textbf{OF} \ [7] - 1:6, 2:1, \\ 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, \\ 125:3, 125:4 \\ \textbf{Off-the-record} \ [1] - \\ 46:16 \\ \textbf{offer} \ [8] - 26:12, 30:2, \\ 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, \\ \end{array}$	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20 needed [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24, \\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, \\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, \\ 107:16 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24 \\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24 \\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13, \\ 45:14 \\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14, \\ 101:14 \\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1 \\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - \\ 62:25, 111:8 \\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22 \\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] \\ - 8:1 \\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19 \\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6 \\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20 needed [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9 negative [2] - 22:22,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24, \\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, \\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, \\ 107:16 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24 \\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24 \\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13, \\ 45:14 \\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14, \\ 101:14 \\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1 \\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - \\ 62:25, 111:8 \\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22 \\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] \\ - 8:1 \\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19 \\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6 \\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9 \\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20 needed [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9 negative [2] - 22:22, 23:15	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24,\\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,\\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,\\ 107:16\\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24\\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24\\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13,\\ 45:14\\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14,\\ 101:14\\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1\\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - 62:25, 111:8\\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22\\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1]\\ - 8:1\\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6\\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9\\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8\\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{79:18, 85:6, 103:17} \\ \textbf{naming [1] - 115:15} \\ \textbf{Nassau [1] - 6:5} \\ \textbf{nature [1] - 122:7} \\ \textbf{NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 \\ \textbf{NDAs [1] - 66:12} \\ \textbf{necessarily [3] - } \\ \textbf{30:18, 72:17, 89:9} \\ \textbf{need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20} \\ \textbf{needed [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9} \\ \textbf{negative [2] - 22:22, 23:15} \\ \textbf{negatively [3] - 59:13, \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24,\\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,\\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,\\ 107:16\\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24\\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24\\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13,\\ 45:14\\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14,\\ 101:14\\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1\\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - 62:25, 111:8\\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22\\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1]\\ - 8:1\\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6\\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9\\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8\\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20, \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offering [3] - 56:9,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12, 71:13, 102:12, 102:16	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{79:18, 85:6, 103:17} \\ \textbf{naming [1] - 115:15} \\ \textbf{Nassau [1] - 6:5} \\ \textbf{nature [1] - 122:7} \\ \textbf{NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13,} \\ \textbf{66:2, 66:4, 66:8,} \\ \textbf{66:9, 66:11, 74:1} \\ \textbf{NDAs [1] - 66:12} \\ \textbf{necessarily [3] -} \\ \textbf{30:18, 72:17, 89:9} \\ \textbf{need [6] - 15:2, 34:5,} \\ \textbf{56:11, 61:2, 71:1,} \\ \textbf{91:20} \\ \textbf{needed [7] - 25:8,} \\ \textbf{34:2, 34:6, 34:8,} \\ \textbf{34:14, 38:4, 58:9} \\ \textbf{negative [2] - 22:22,} \\ \textbf{23:15} \\ \textbf{negatively [3] - 59:13,} \\ \textbf{70:12, 70:17} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24, \\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, \\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, \\ 107:16 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24 \\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24 \\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13, \\ 45:14 \\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14, \\ 101:14 \\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1 \\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - \\ 62:25, 111:8 \\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22 \\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] \\ - 8:1 \\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19 \\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6 \\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9 \\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8 \\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20, \\ 107:5, 108:9 \\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offering [3] - 56:9, 78:15, 112:24	$78:14, 79:5, 79:21, \\81:21, 82:4, 83:7, \\85:7, 88:22, 91:21, \\92:17, 92:22, 96:1, \\96:25, 100:9, \\100:16, 100:22, \\100:25, 102:7, \\102:25, 103:17, \\112:8, 113:7, \\116:11, 117:20, \\118:18, 118:20, \\118:23 \\ \textbf{ones} [5] - 32:17, \\37:12, 45:25, 96:6 \\ \textbf{open} [3] - 40:8, \\121:16, 121:25 \\ \textbf{operation} [1] - 25:17 \\ \textbf{opinion} [7] - 53:9, \\53:15, 53:17, 57:12, \\71:13, 102:12, \\102:16 \\ \textbf{opinion's} [1] - 71:11 \\ \end{cases}$	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23 paraphrasing [1] - 29:14
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{79:18, 85:6, 103:17} \\ \textbf{naming [1] - 115:15} \\ \textbf{Nassau [1] - 6:5} \\ \textbf{nature [1] - 122:7} \\ \textbf{NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13,} \\ \textbf{66:2, 66:4, 66:8,} \\ \textbf{66:9, 66:11, 74:1} \\ \textbf{NDAs [1] - 66:12} \\ \textbf{necessarily [3] -} \\ \textbf{30:18, 72:17, 89:9} \\ \textbf{need [6] - 15:2, 34:5,} \\ \textbf{56:11, 61:2, 71:1,} \\ \textbf{91:20} \\ \textbf{needed [7] - 25:8,} \\ \textbf{34:2, 34:6, 34:8,} \\ \textbf{34:14, 38:4, 58:9} \\ \textbf{negative [2] - 22:22,} \\ \textbf{23:15} \\ \textbf{negatively [3] - 59:13,} \\ \textbf{70:12, 70:17} \\ \textbf{negotiate [1] - 69:8} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24,\\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,\\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,\\ 107:16\\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24\\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24\\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13,\\ 45:14\\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14,\\ 101:14\\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1\\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - 62:25, 111:8\\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22\\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6\\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9\\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8\\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20,\\ 107:5, 108:9\\ \textbf{normally} [5] - 48:18,\\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offering [3] - 56:9, 78:15, 112:24 offers [3] - 33:7, 63:9, 82:20	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12, 71:13, 102:12, 102:16 opinion's [1] - 71:11 opinions [3] - 53:13,	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23 paraphrasing [1] - 29:14 parent [1] - 18:10
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{79:18, 85:6, 103:17} \\ \textbf{naming [1] - 115:15} \\ \textbf{Nassau [1] - 6:5} \\ \textbf{nature [1] - 122:7} \\ \textbf{NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13,} \\ \textbf{66:2, 66:4, 66:8,} \\ \textbf{66:9, 66:11, 74:1} \\ \textbf{NDAs [1] - 66:12} \\ \textbf{necessarily [3] -} \\ \textbf{30:18, 72:17, 89:9} \\ \textbf{need [6] - 15:2, 34:5,} \\ \textbf{56:11, 61:2, 71:1,} \\ \textbf{91:20} \\ \textbf{needed [7] - 25:8,} \\ \textbf{34:2, 34:6, 34:8,} \\ \textbf{34:14, 38:4, 58:9} \\ \textbf{negative [2] - 22:22,} \\ \textbf{23:15} \\ \textbf{negatively [3] - 59:13,} \\ \textbf{70:12, 70:17} \\ \textbf{negotiate [1] - 69:8} \\ \textbf{negotiated [1] - 7:19} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24,\\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,\\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,\\ 107:16\\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24\\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24\\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13,\\ 45:14\\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14,\\ 101:14\\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1\\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - 62:25, 111:8\\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22\\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6\\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9\\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8\\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20,\\ 107:5, 108:9\\ \textbf{normally} [5] - 48:18,\\ 105:2, 108:21,\\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offering [3] - 56:9, 78:15, 112:24 offers [3] - 33:7, 63:9,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12, 71:13, 102:12, 102:16 opinion's [1] - 71:11 opinions [3] - 53:13, 102:10, 122:4	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23 paraphrasing [1] - 29:14 parent [1] - 18:10 park [1] - 30:14
$\begin{array}{c} 79:18, 85:6, 103:17\\ \textbf{naming} [1] - 115:15\\ \textbf{Nassau} [1] - 6:5\\ \textbf{nature} [1] - 122:7\\ \textbf{NDA} [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1\\ \textbf{NDAs} [1] - 66:12\\ \textbf{necessarily} [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9\\ \textbf{need} [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20\\ \textbf{needed} [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9\\ \textbf{negative} [2] - 22:22, 23:15\\ \textbf{negatively} [3] - 59:13, 70:12, 70:17\\ \textbf{negotiate} [1] - 69:8\\ \textbf{negotiated} [1] - 7:19\\ \textbf{negotiation} [4] - \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24,\\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,\\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,\\ 107:16\\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24\\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24\\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13,\\ 45:14\\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14,\\ 101:14\\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1\\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - 62:25, 111:8\\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22\\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1]\\ - 8:1\\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6\\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9\\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8\\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20,\\ 107:5, 108:9\\ \textbf{normally} [5] - 48:18,\\ 105:2, 108:21,\\ 108:25, 122:10\\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offering [3] - 56:9, 78:15, 112:24 offers [3] - 33:7, 63:9, 82:20 Office [4] - 6:4, 6:14, 8:13, 117:21	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12, 71:13, 102:12, 102:16 opinion's [1] - 71:11 opinions [3] - 53:13, 102:10, 122:4 opportunities [1] -	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 parer [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23 paraphrasing [1] - 29:14 parent [1] - 18:10 park [1] - 30:14 parking [8] - 13:20,
$\begin{array}{l} 79:18, 85:6, 103:17\\ \textbf{naming [1]} - 115:15\\ \textbf{Nassau [1]} - 6:5\\ \textbf{nature [1]} - 122:7\\ \textbf{NDA [8]} - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1\\ \textbf{NDAs [1]} - 66:12\\ \textbf{necessarily [3]} - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9\\ \textbf{need [6]} - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20\\ \textbf{needed [7]} - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9\\ \textbf{negative [2]} - 22:22, 23:15\\ \textbf{negatively [3]} - 59:13, 70:12, 70:17\\ \textbf{negotiate [1]} - 69:8\\ \textbf{negotiated [1]} - 7:19\\ \textbf{negotiation [4]} - 31:10, 67:10, 67:16, \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24, \\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14, \\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25, \\ 107:16 \\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24 \\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24 \\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13, \\ 45:14 \\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14, \\ 101:14 \\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1 \\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - \\ 62:25, 111:8 \\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22 \\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1] \\ - 8:1 \\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19 \\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6 \\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9 \\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20, \\ 107:5, 108:9 \\ \textbf{normally} [5] - 48:18, \\ 105:2, 122:10 \\ \textbf{northeast} [1] - 112:8 \\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offering [3] - 56:9, 78:15, 112:24 offers [3] - 33:7, 63:9, 82:20 Office [4] - 6:4, 6:14, 8:13, 117:21 office [15] - 6:15, 9:19,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12, 71:13, 102:12, 102:16 opinion's [1] - 71:11 opinions [3] - 53:13, 102:10, 122:4 opportunities [1] - 102:20	P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23 paraphrasing [1] - 29:14 parent [1] - 18:10 park [1] - 30:14 parking [8] - 13:20, 30:24, 31:1, 31:20,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20 needed [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9 negatively [3] - 59:13, 70:12, 70:17 negotiate [1] - 69:8 negotiated [1] - 7:19 negotiation [4] - 31:10, 67:10, 67:16, 68:17	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24,\\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,\\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,\\ 107:16\\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24\\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24\\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13,\\ 45:14\\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14,\\ 101:14\\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1\\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - 62:25, 111:8\\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22\\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1]\\ - 8:1\\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6\\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9\\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8\\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20,\\ 107:5, 108:9\\ \textbf{normally} [5] - 48:18,\\ 105:2, 108:21,\\ 108:25, 122:10\\ \textbf{northeast} [1] - 112:8\\ \textbf{Notary} [3] - 1:15,\\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offering [3] - 56:9, 78:15, 112:24 offers [3] - 33:7, 63:9, 82:20 Office [4] - 6:4, 6:14, 8:13, 117:21	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12, 71:13, 102:12, 102:16 opinion's [1] - 71:11 opinions [3] - 53:13, 102:10, 122:4 opportunities [1] - 102:20 opportunity [4] - 31:9,	P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23 paraphrasing [1] - 29:14 parent [1] - 18:10 park [1] - 30:14 parking [8] - 13:20, 30:24, 31:1, 31:20, 31:21, 32:1, 32:3,
79:18, 85:6, 103:17 naming [1] - 115:15 Nassau [1] - 6:5 nature [1] - 122:7 NDA [8] - 56:6, 56:13, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 74:1 NDAs [1] - 66:12 necessarily [3] - 30:18, 72:17, 89:9 need [6] - 15:2, 34:5, 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, 91:20 needed [7] - 25:8, 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:14, 38:4, 58:9 negativel [2] - 22:22, 23:15 negativel [3] - 59:13, 70:12, 70:17 negotiate [1] - 69:8 negotiated [1] - 7:19 negotiation [4] - 31:10, 67:10, 67:16, 68:17 negotiations [3] -	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24,\\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,\\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,\\ 107:16\\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24\\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24\\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13,\\ 45:14\\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14,\\ 101:14\\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1\\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - 62:25, 111:8\\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22\\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1]\\ - 8:1\\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6\\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9\\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8\\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20,\\ 107:5, 108:9\\ \textbf{normally} [5] - 48:18,\\ 105:2, 108:21,\\ 108:25, 122:10\\ \textbf{northeast} [1] - 112:8\\ \textbf{Notary} [3] - 1:15,\\ 124:5, 124:19\\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offers [3] - 36:9, 78:15, 112:24 offers [3] - 33:7, 63:9, 82:20 Office [4] - 6:4, 6:14, 8:13, 117:21 office [15] - 6:15, 9:19, 15:23, 24:23, 25:3,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12, 71:13, 102:12, 102:16 opinion's [1] - 71:11 opinions [3] - 53:13, 102:10, 122:4 opportunities [1] - 102:20 opportunity [4] - 31:9, 66:18, 66:20, 74:4	57:19 P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23 paraphrasing [1] - 29:14 parent [1] - 18:10 park [1] - 30:14 parking [8] - 13:20, 30:24, 31:1, 31:20, 31:21, 32:1, 32:3, 33:1
$\begin{array}{l} 79:18, 85:6, 103:17\\ \textbf{naming [1]} - 115:15\\ \textbf{Nassau [1]} - 6:5\\ \textbf{nature [1]} - 122:7\\ \textbf{NDA [8]} - 56:6, 56:13, \\ 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, \\ 66:9, 66:11, 74:1\\ \textbf{NDAs [1]} - 66:12\\ \textbf{necessarily [3]} - \\ 30:18, 72:17, 89:9\\ \textbf{need [6]} - 15:2, 34:5, \\ 56:11, 61:2, 71:1, \\ 91:20\\ \textbf{needed [7]} - 25:8, \\ 34:2, 34:6, 34:8, \\ 34:14, 38:4, 58:9\\ \textbf{negative [2]} - 22:22, \\ 23:15\\ \textbf{negatively [3]} - 59:13, \\ 70:12, 70:17\\ \textbf{negotiate [1]} - 69:8\\ \textbf{negotiated [1]} - 7:19\\ \textbf{negotiation [4]} - \\ 31:10, 67:10, 67:16, \\ 68:17\\ \textbf{negotiations [3]} - \\ 67:20, 67:23, 110:19\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{next} [9] - 49:12, 65:24,\\ 80:11, 87:4, 94:14,\\ 96:1, 96:25, 102:25,\\ 107:16\\ \textbf{next-door} [1] - 65:24\\ \textbf{NFL} [1] - 7:24\\ \textbf{Niedfeldt} [2] - 44:13,\\ 45:14\\ \textbf{night} [2] - 73:14,\\ 101:14\\ \textbf{non} [1] - 8:1\\ \textbf{nondisclosure} [2] - 62:25, 111:8\\ \textbf{none} [1] - 31:22\\ \textbf{nongovernmental} [1]\\ - 8:1\\ \textbf{noninsured} [1] - 8:19\\ \textbf{nonlawyer} [1] - 6:6\\ \textbf{nonprofit} [1] - 8:9\\ \textbf{nonspecific} [1] - 39:8\\ \textbf{normal} [3] - 48:20,\\ 107:5, 108:9\\ \textbf{normally} [5] - 48:18,\\ 105:2, 108:21,\\ 108:25, 122:10\\ \textbf{northeast} [1] - 112:8\\ \textbf{Notary} [3] - 1:15,\\ \end{array}$	observe [6] - 22:6, 22:10, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11 observer [1] - 62:4 observing [1] - 97:17 obvious [1] - 122:3 occurred [1] - 106:18 odd [1] - 84:9 OF [7] - 1:6, 2:1, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 125:3, 125:4 Off-the-record [1] - 46:16 offer [8] - 26:12, 30:2, 36:7, 54:15, 55:21, 63:8, 70:9, 73:21 offered [3] - 48:15, 82:15, 112:24 offering [3] - 56:9, 78:15, 112:24 offers [3] - 33:7, 63:9, 82:20 Office [4] - 6:4, 6:14, 8:13, 117:21 office [15] - 6:15, 9:19, 15:23, 24:23, 25:3, 68:15, 90:23, 91:9,	78:14, 79:5, 79:21, 81:21, 82:4, 83:7, 85:7, 88:22, 91:21, 92:17, 92:22, 96:1, 96:25, 100:9, 100:16, 100:22, 100:25, 102:7, 102:25, 103:17, 112:8, 113:7, 116:11, 117:20, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23 ones [5] - 32:17, 37:12, 45:25, 96:6 open [3] - 40:8, 121:16, 121:25 operation [1] - 25:17 opinion [7] - 53:9, 53:15, 53:17, 57:12, 71:13, 102:12, 102:16 opinion's [1] - 71:11 opinions [3] - 53:13, 102:10, 122:4 opportunities [1] - 102:20 opportunity [4] - 31:9,	P p.m [3] - 1:11, 123:10 P3 [1] - 47:8 package [1] - 84:9 Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2 page [13] - 31:6, 47:2, 88:20, 90:4, 90:18, 93:24, 93:25, 94:1, 98:7, 101:6, 121:1 pages [1] - 125:9 paid [11] - 34:11, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:3, 89:22, 109:14 paper [1] - 41:21 paragraphs [1] - 93:23 paranoid [1] - 55:23 paraphrasing [1] - 29:14 parent [1] - 18:10 park [1] - 30:14 parking [8] - 13:20, 30:24, 31:1, 31:20, 31:21, 32:1, 32:3,

		T		
60:13, 73:13, 95:8,	100:20	phone [2] - 39:14,	26:23, 27:11, 30:22,	53:6, 53:7, 53:14,
101:4, 101:5, 107:5,	perfect [2] - 13:14,	72:5	31:11, 47:13, 47:20,	53:16
108:5, 110:16, 111:8	74:6	phonetically [1] -	66:25, 77:4, 103:18,	privatization [8] -
participants [1] -	perfectly [1] - 105:24	18:19	116:5, 118:10,	11:13, 27:18, 30:9,
65:11	performance [1] -	pick [1] - 80:18	122:3, 122:19	78:17, 106:25,
participate [2] - 67:10,	112:2	-	potentially [7] - 19:3,	116:5, 121:22,
67:21	performed [2] - 38:8,	picking [2] - 81:6, 81:8	25:24, 29:1, 33:8,	122:19
participated [3] -	49:8		92:11, 102:21,	privatizing [3] - 29:15,
48:10, 107:1, 116:14	perhaps [3] - 43:22,	picture [1] - 118:21	110:19	30:7, 88:8
participating [1] -	84:8, 116:24	piece [1] - 6:16	Power [11] - 18:6,	privilege [1] - 53:23
46:3		pieces [2] - 57:16,	109:3, 109:6,	process [51] - 12:18,
participation [4] -	period [14] - 7:15, 8:22, 26:12, 40:8,	60:21	109:10, 109:17,	19:22, 20:13, 20:17,
12:23, 64:12, 64:22,	56:9, 57:21, 58:8,	piggyback [10] -	110:5, 113:2,	20:18, 20:24, 22:13,
64:23	59:24, 62:1, 75:2,	34:25, 35:4, 35:11, 35:13, 35:20, 35:25,	117:22, 118:7,	22:23, 24:6, 25:4,
particular [5] - 39:2,	95:11, 112:14,		118:14	26:2, 27:11, 34:2,
42:11, 56:17, 56:19,	113:1, 113:7	36:1, 36:6, 36:7, 85:20	PPM [2] - 60:5, 63:20	34:5, 34:22, 35:19,
115:21	periodically [1] -		PPP [6] - 54:10, 64:2,	37:6, 37:15, 39:22,
particularly [2] -	15:12	piggybacked [1] -	64:7, 71:17, 110:5,	40:12, 40:16, 40:17,
116:17, 117:21	permanent [1] -	85:13 pile [2] - 11:20, 80:11	110:24	40:12, 40:10, 40:17, 40:22, 40:25, 49:4,
parties [2] - 32:7,	107:22	,	practice [2] - 108:16,	49:6, 49:8, 49:10,
125:13	permission [1] - 22:24	pissed [3] - 101:11,	108:17	57:10, 59:23, 62:17,
parties' [1] - 125:14	person [6] - 50:17,	101:16, 101:18	precisely [1] - 16:13	63:9, 63:11, 64:21,
Partners [1] - 125.14 Partners [9] - 54:14,	67:24, 68:13, 73:2,	place [2] - 15:4, 64:12	predetermined [1] -	65:9, 65:11, 65:13,
73:5, 73:10, 109:3,	78:21	places [1] - 112:9	71:10	65:15, 66:3, 66:22,
109:6, 109:11,	person-to-person [1]	plan [3] - 53:25, 64:12,	preexisting [2] -	77:5, 78:10, 83:16,
109:17, 110:5, 113:2	- 68:13	64:24	37:19, 37:21	85:5, 88:8, 110:14,
partnerships [1] -	personally [2] - 53:12,	planning [1] - 31:9	premature [1] - 122:14	110:15, 113:3,
31:13	124:7	plants [1] - 57:23	premise [3] - 102:1,	115:10
party [3] - 7:7, 37:6,	perspective [2] - 29:9,	player [1] - 24:9	102:10, 102:12	procurement [7] -
41:24	85:10	plug [1] - 63:11	preparation [1] -	13:3, 20:18, 20:21,
		plus [1] - 80:10		
	\mathbf{p}_{0}		Q7·18	37.5.05.15.00.1.
passed [1] - 13:15	pervasive [1] - 108:13	point [5] - 27:8, 77:8,	97:18 prepared (1) - 74:5	37:5, 65:15, 86:1, 86:5
past [1] - 26:14	Petway [15] - 19:9,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5	prepared [1] - 74:5	86:5
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population 's [1] -	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] -	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] -	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18,
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12,
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] -
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16,
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:32 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16, 57:19
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23,
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13, 8:19, 10:12, 13:1,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 45:11, 45:20, 48:11,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10 possible [3] - 26:15,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7 price [1] - 69:6	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23, 70:1
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13, 8:19, 10:12, 13:1, 16:3, 17:25, 18:1,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 45:11, 45:20, 48:11, 48:22, 49:9, 50:17,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10 possible [3] - 26:15, 39:10, 104:11	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7 price [1] - 69:6 prioritization [2] -	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projections [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:15 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23, 70:1 proposal [2] - 11:4,
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13, 8:19, 10:12, 13:1, 16:3, 17:25, 18:1, 23:10, 27:14, 30:19,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 45:11, 45:20, 48:11, 48:22, 49:9, 50:17, 57:23, 57:25, 88:23,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10 possible [3] - 26:15, 39:10, 104:11 possibly [5] - 50:25,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7 price [1] - 69:6 prioritization [2] - 19:1, 49:11	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projections [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:15 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 propertiss [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23, 70:1 proposal [2] - 11:4, 71:20
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13, 8:19, 10:12, 13:1, 16:3, 17:25, 18:1, 23:10, 27:14, 30:19, 36:9, 36:10, 40:4,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 45:11, 45:20, 48:11, 48:22, 49:9, 50:17, 57:23, 57:25, 88:23, 89:4, 92:21, 96:9, 96:14, 96:21, 97:12, 97:21, 98:11, 98:21,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10 possible [3] - 26:15, 39:10, 104:11 possibly [5] - 50:25, 65:20, 77:24, 97:15,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7 price [1] - 69:6 prioritization [2] - 19:1, 49:11 prioritize [1] - 29:1	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 propertiss [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23, 70:1 proposal [2] - 11:4, 71:20 pros [2] - 23:3, 23:11
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13, 8:19, 10:12, 13:1, 16:3, 17:25, 18:1, 23:10, 27:14, 30:19, 36:9, 36:10, 40:4, 42:19, 48:19, 56:11,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 45:11, 45:20, 48:11, 48:22, 49:9, 50:17, 57:23, 57:25, 88:23, 89:4, 92:21, 96:9, 96:14, 96:21, 97:12, 97:21, 98:11, 98:21, 100:12, 100:22,	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10 possible [3] - 26:15, 39:10, 104:11 possibly [5] - 50:25, 65:20, 77:24, 97:15, 122:2	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7 price [1] - 69:6 prioritization [2] - 19:1, 49:11 prioritize [1] - 29:1 private [10] - 15:8,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23, 70:1 proposal [2] - 11:4, 71:20 pros [2] - 23:3, 23:11 prosecution [1] - 6:16
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13, 8:19, 10:12, 13:1, 16:3, 17:25, 18:1, 23:10, 27:14, 30:19, 36:9, 36:10, 40:4, 42:19, 48:19, 56:11, 65:21, 72:18, 76:19,	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 45:11, 45:20, 48:11, 48:22, 49:9, 50:17, 57:23, 57:25, 88:23, 89:4, 92:21, 96:9, 96:14, 96:21, 97:12, 97:21, 98:11, 98:21, 100:12, 100:22, 100:24, 116:13	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10 possible [3] - 26:15, 39:10, 104:11 possibly [5] - 50:25, 65:20, 77:24, 97:15, 122:2 potential [23] - 14:7,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7 price [1] - 69:6 prioritization [2] - 19:1, 49:11 prioritize [1] - 29:1 private [10] - 15:8, 15:9, 30:9, 31:13,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23, 70:1 proposal [2] - 11:4, 71:20 pros [2] - 23:3, 23:11 prosecution [1] - 6:16 prosecutor [3] - 8:13,
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13, 8:19, 10:12, 13:1, 16:3, 17:25, 18:1, 23:10, 27:14, 30:19, 36:9, 36:10, 40:4, 42:19, 48:19, 56:11, 65:21, 72:18, 76:19, 94:10, 94:23, 115:13	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 45:11, 45:20, 48:11, 48:22, 49:9, 50:17, 57:23, 57:25, 88:23, 89:4, 92:21, 96:9, 96:14, 96:21, 97:12, 97:21, 98:11, 98:21, 100:12, 100:22, 100:24, 116:13 phenomenal [1] -	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10 possible [3] - 26:15, 39:10, 104:11 possibly [5] - 50:25, 65:20, 77:24, 97:15, 122:2 potential [23] - 14:7, 14:22, 16:18, 16:24,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7 price [1] - 69:6 prioritization [2] - 19:1, 49:11 prioritize [1] - 29:1 private [10] - 15:8, 15:9, 30:9, 31:13, 52:17, 58:13, 58:15,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23, 70:1 proposal [2] - 11:4, 71:20 pros [2] - 23:3, 23:11 prosecution [1] - 6:16 prosecutor [3] - 8:13, 8:16, 117:7
past [1] - 26:14 path [1] - 64:9 Paul [13] - 23:2, 23:7, 52:5, 52:6, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 79:9, 95:12, 95:14, 95:19, 95:21 pay [10] - 30:15, 30:16, 34:12, 34:15, 34:18, 89:14, 97:19 payment [1] - 34:22 penny [1] - 34:3 pension [14] - 9:8, 13:3, 42:9, 53:25, 69:19, 69:20, 69:21, 113:9, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 people [22] - 5:13, 8:19, 10:12, 13:1, 16:3, 17:25, 18:1, 23:10, 27:14, 30:19, 36:9, 36:10, 40:4, 42:19, 48:19, 56:11, 65:21, 72:18, 76:19, 94:10, 94:23, 115:13 perceived [1] - 68:17	Petway [15] - 19:9, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 22:3, 29:2, 29:13, 59:16, 104:10, 120:15, 121:5, 121:10, 122:20, 122:23 petway's [1] - 120:18 Petway's [2] - 29:19, 59:17 PFM [49] - 12:5, 35:6, 37:4, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:7, 38:17, 39:6, 39:14, 39:18, 40:1, 40:12, 40:14, 40:16, 40:17, 40:25, 41:12, 42:3, 42:7, 42:20, 43:10, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 45:11, 45:20, 48:11, 48:22, 49:9, 50:17, 57:23, 57:25, 88:23, 89:4, 92:21, 96:9, 96:14, 96:21, 97:12, 97:21, 98:11, 98:21, 100:12, 100:22, 100:24, 116:13	77:13, 94:25, 119:5 Poor [1] - 105:1 pop [1] - 17:6 population's [1] - 112:7 Port [4] - 11:17, 12:8, 45:23, 47:15 port [3] - 33:9, 44:19, 102:22 position [2] - 52:23, 112:17 positions [2] - 6:2, 121:22 positive [5] - 70:12, 108:12, 111:20, 112:15, 112:21 positively [1] - 106:14 possibility [5] - 55:14, 59:1, 59:3, 95:22, 103:10 possible [3] - 26:15, 39:10, 104:11 possibly [5] - 50:25, 65:20, 77:24, 97:15, 122:2 potential [23] - 14:7,	prepared [1] - 74:5 preparing [1] - 77:14 present [1] - 51:13 presentation [1] - 70:18 presentations [1] - 43:15 presented [3] - 7:24, 25:12, 62:9 president [4] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17 press [7] - 64:20, 65:4, 78:22, 78:23, 101:14, 102:9, 119:3 pretty [10] - 19:9, 26:23, 33:5, 43:17, 52:23, 63:1, 68:12, 78:15, 92:9, 121:25 previously [1] - 121:7 price [1] - 69:6 prioritization [2] - 19:1, 49:11 prioritize [1] - 29:1 private [10] - 15:8, 15:9, 30:9, 31:13, 52:17, 58:13, 58:15, 103:10, 112:19,	86:5 produced [1] - 84:13 product [1] - 98:11 production [1] - 88:5 program [4] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:13, 8:9 prohibited [2] - 65:12, 73:24 project [1] - 43:11 projection [1] - 111:15 projections [1] - 111:11 projects [1] - 31:12 promoted [1] - 25:20 pronounce [1] - 75:19 properly [1] - 53:10 properties [2] - 57:16, 57:19 property [2] - 69:23, 70:1 proposal [2] - 11:4, 71:20 pros [2] - 23:3, 23:11 prosecution [1] - 6:16 prosecutor [3] - 8:13, 8:16, 117:7 prospective [5] - 29:5,

				
protect [1] - 89:8	pursue [3] - 50:23,	66:15, 74:4, 90:17,	59:24, 61:19, 62:20,	representative [4] -
provide [7] - 31:8,	50:25, 82:23	99:5, 101:10,	91:5	68:15, 71:16,
53:22, 77:4, 82:11,	pursuing [1] - 16:17	101:17, 101:21	reform [1] - 114:23	117:22, 118:6
82:12, 82:17, 98:7		reading [9] - 78:25,	regard [2] - 13:22,	
, ,	pursuit [1] - 118:11	• • •	• • • •	representatives [5] -
provided [5] - 34:10,	pushed [1] - 61:6	91:22, 94:5, 94:16,	71:13	39:17, 76:18, 79:11,
34:11, 82:6, 110:5,	put [19] - 10:24, 11:7,	98:15, 99:25,	regarding [3] - 14:7,	79:12, 79:20
110:23	12:13, 14:18, 20:10,	101:22, 102:7, 121:2	46:4, 50:6	Representatives [1] -
provides [1] - 8:19	22:16, 25:9, 29:8,	reads [1] - 102:4	regards [1] - 121:22	8:15
providing [5] - 88:11,	32:15, 40:8, 46:10,	ready [2] - 90:2, 103:9	relate [2] - 68:20,	representing [3] -
89:15, 109:19,	48:15, 59:14, 64:12,	real [3] - 27:4, 58:14,	69:25	24:13, 24:14, 42:20
115:4, 123:6	65:18, 66:4, 72:14,	79:6	related [5] - 69:9,	request [17] - 11:3,
pub [1] - 53:5	118:24	Real [1] - 103:14	102:12, 106:3,	28:17, 28:18, 61:1,
Public [20] - 1:15,	putting [3] - 72:11,	really [16] - 9:2, 10:8,	107:4, 114:11	97:4, 97:5, 98:2,
11:25, 12:5, 12:17,	73:11, 73:24	19:4, 21:10, 24:24,	relates [1] - 69:22	98:3, 98:5, 98:8,
	75.11, 75.24			
22:8, 22:16, 34:4,	•	27:10, 43:9, 52:7,	relating [5] - 31:8,	98:20, 99:11, 99:15,
49:9, 62:8, 77:17,	Q	55:25, 57:12, 65:21,	33:22, 70:7, 104:4,	99:18, 100:18,
81:9, 81:11, 109:3,	qualified [2] - 36:15,	75:8, 103:19,	104:23	104:11, 120:18
109:6, 109:10,	36:16	105:25, 110:18,	relationship [2] - 38:4,	requested [1] - 48:18
109:17, 113:2,		121:13	118:13	requesting [1] - 98:9
116:1, 124:6, 124:19	qualify [1] - 34:1	reason [6] - 18:22,	relative [2] - 125:12,	require [2] - 55:5,
public [43] - 12:3,	questionable [1] -	53:21, 58:11, 99:7,	125:14	69:19
19:10, 20:7, 20:11,	60:21	105:16, 116:4	relatively [2] - 30:1,	required [6] - 41:4,
22:21, 22:22, 23:2,	questioned [2] -	reasonable [5] -	103:19	56:6, 58:5, 59:2,
23:10, 23:21, 31:13,	40:20, 115:23	14:22, 15:17, 58:1,	relay [1] - 115:16	59:11, 69:20
39:23, 40:1, 40:18,	questioning [1] - 39:5	59:3, 59:4	relayed [1] - 70:19	requirements [1] -
40:21, 44:17, 44:20,	questions [19] - 46:7,	reasons [3] - 102:5,	rely [1] - 37:12	55:12
47:19, 53:1, 53:2,	49:25, 52:20, 55:19,	105:13, 111:24	remember [27] - 11:3,	resign [2] - 119:2,
53:3, 55:13, 56:10,	56:18, 66:22, 66:23,	recalled [1] - 93:16		119:18
56:14, 58:14, 67:5,	69:15, 69:22, 70:4,	receive [2] - 54:18,	12:11, 12:13, 12:14,	
69:25, 78:15, 81:5,	74:3, 75:16, 79:6,	••	14:19, 15:13, 16:13,	resolution [1] - 105:4
	88:22, 90:3, 92:8,	64:11	18:21, 24:2, 24:16,	resources [1] - 15:2
81:15, 96:11, 96:12,	95:24, 96:13, 96:21	received [3] - 48:24,	25:2, 28:6, 31:25,	respect [1] - 26:21
97:4, 97:10, 98:8,	quick [2] - 79:6,	71:2, 88:3	32:6, 36:22, 42:2,	respond [2] - 66:24,
99:4, 100:15, 105:2,	116:20	receives [1] - 48:13	50:14, 70:5, 79:19,	82:20
105:22, 109:4,	quicker [2] - 112:20	receiving [1] - 72:1	83:3, 83:10, 94:9,	responded [7] - 36:9,
112:18, 117:13	-	recent [3] - 30:1, 32:2,	95:14, 95:16, 120:8,	36:10, 41:5, 70:11,
publication [1] - 40:24	quickest [1] - 42:15	88:5	122:18	70:17, 100:22,
publications [2] -	quickly [5] - 57:7,	recently [2] - 103:20,	Renaissance [1] -	113:15
40:2, 40:3	60:8, 61:5, 61:6,	103:22	28:3	responder [2] - 67:1,
publicized [1] - 40:21	61:14	receptivity [1] - 71:21	replacement [1] - 9:9	103:18
publicly [4] - 53:5,	quickness [2] - 41:5,	Recess [1] - 84:3	report [25] - 19:10,	responders [10] -
53:14, 53:16, 59:6	42:22	recognize [2] - 43:19,	22:9, 44:4, 45:2,	29:5, 49:11, 53:20,
publish [1] - 42:3	quiet [1] - 58:19	43:22	45:3, 45:4, 45:5,	62:23, 63:6, 65:19,
published [2] - 40:15,	quite [4] - 15:22,	recollection [1] - 79:7	57:24, 62:9, 68:19,	66:22, 66:25, 67:5,
41:24	25:22, 69:14, 118:11	recommend [2] -	77:17, 77:19, 90:10,	74:10
publishing [1] - 39:22	quote [2] - 47:4, 92:2	91:24, 99:15	90:11, 90:12, 96:14,	responding [2] -
pulled [1] - 63:11			96:21, 97:10, 97:12,	28:16, 99:16
purchase [14] - 19:20,	R	recommended [2] -	97:18, 97:22, 116:2,	response [10] - 46:19,
20:18, 20:19, 20:20,		91:23, 92:2	116:13, 125:7	
25:16, 26:13, 30:18,	race [1] - 69:7	record [4] - 20:7,		47:12, 69:9, 69:17,
	raise [2] - 15:3, 75:4	46:16, 99:4, 125:9	reported [4] - 65:9,	69:18, 70:19, 85:22,
32:3, 37:10, 55:21,	ran [1] - 8:13	records [1] - 98:8	68:16, 81:8, 96:11	88:6, 102:2, 115:21
60:19, 74:5	Randall [6] - 13:4,	refer [2] - 22:19, 116:1	reporter [3] - 80:1,	responses [5] - 48:12,
purchased [1] - 33:10	13:6, 13:7, 42:19,	reference [1] - 121:18	102:1, 121:16	48:13, 48:24, 49:5,
purchaser [1] - 17:21	52:13, 52:14	referenced [2] - 49:3,	REPORTER [1] -	111:6
purchasers [2] -	rates [1] - 54:1	106:2	49:16	responsibilities [1] -
30:24, 31:1	rather [1] - 53:5	referendum [2] - 59:2,	REPORTER'S [1] -	15:22
purchases [2] - 17:17,	ratings [2] - 89:8,	65:22	125:1	responsibility [2] -
17:19	104:25	referred [3] - 59:18,	reports [1] - 96:9	10:3, 78:4
purchasing [2] - 32:7,	re [1] - 40:11	61:18, 94:24	represent [1] - 95:1	responsible [2] - 6:15,
60:23	reach [1] - 73:2	referring [8] - 23:18,	representations [1] -	13:2
purpose [1] - 31:7	read [9] - 12:19, 47:4,	48:9, 57:4, 58:4,	111:23	responsive [1] - 99:3
	1000 [8] - 12.18, 41.4,	, , , ,		
L	1	1	1	

rest [1] - 92:18	RPR [5] - 1:15, 124:5,	101:14, 113:5	102:8	sign [1] - 58:16
restrictions [1] - 57:17	124:19, 125:6,	scale [1] - 47:6	sentence [1] - 57:9	signed [1] - 37:17
restrictive [1] - 86:7	125:22	schedule [1] - 69:13	separate [4] - 29:10,	signing [1] - 84:15
result [1] - 74:15	Rudy [1] - 5:22	Schellenberg [4] -	85:15, 88:19, 88:24	silence [6] - 55:12,
results [3] - 63:5,	rules [2] - 86:3, 86:5	117:5, 118:6,	separately [1] - 95:8	55:25, 56:3, 65:8,
63:6, 81:5	rumor [1] - 26:14	118:10, 118:13	September [1] - 10:22	65:10, 65:15
retained [1] - 88:23	rumors [1] - 115:5	scholarship [1] - 8:9	serious [1] - 28:12	silo [2] - 50:18, 92:21
retainer [1] - 86:12	run [1] - 7:13	school [1] - 6:19	seriously [5] - 27:6,	silos [2] - 45:19, 45:21
retaining [2] - 37:14	running [1] - 53:11	scope [1] - 39:12	28:6, 30:8, 56:1,	similar [3] - 31:12,
retention [1] - 77:3	Russ [3] - 14:18,	scorers [1] - 48:16	122:1	86:3, 86:7
retire [1] - 8:25	14:19, 26:14	scratch [1] - 74:25	serve [1] - 105:14	similarly [1] - 81:17
		screening [1] - 12:18	service [1] - 47:10	site [1] - 75:1
retired [7] - 8:16, 8:22,	Russell [1] - 2:3 RUSSELL [61] - 3:7,	seal [1] - 124:10	services [21] - 31:8,	
9:22, 9:25, 10:3,	9:16, 11:20, 16:21,		31:12, 33:22, 34:10,	sitting [1] - 56:1
10:7, 78:21	17:15, 18:24, 20:15,	Sean [3] - 117:17,	34:11, 34:18, 38:8,	situated [1] - 53:11
return [1] - 111:18	23:5, 24:1, 25:14,	117:19, 118:1	39:12, 77:4, 82:6,	six [2] - 21:25, 25:3
review [1] - 31:9	26:6, 26:19, 32:22,	second [1] - 7:14 secret [2] - 58:12,	82:11, 82:12, 82:17,	size [2] - 13:18, 32:16
RFP [66] - 10:24, 12:2,			83:5, 109:14,	sizes [1] - 13:16
12:14, 13:10, 13:11,	35:10, 36:22, 36:25, 38:16, 39:4, 42:16,	58:18	109:19, 109:22,	small [2] - 13:17, 34:1
16:14, 19:11, 29:2,	43:21, 46:8, 46:14,	section [2] - 31:7,	110:5, 110:23,	smaller [1] - 32:17
29:16, 29:20, 31:5,	43.21, 46.8, 46.14, 49:17, 49:24, 50:1,	47:3	115:3, 115:4	SMG [3] - 30:10,
33:21, 33:25, 34:18,	49.17, 49.24, 50.1, 59:16, 60:1, 61:24,	sector [1] - 56:14	Services [2] - 11:5,	30:11, 115:16
36:2, 37:15, 37:18,	63:17, 64:5, 64:8,	Securities [1] - 84:14	11:14	Smith [2] - 1:12, 2:4
38:9, 39:8, 39:18,	67:13, 71:15, 73:16,	see [18] - 16:9, 17:6,		sold [5] - 47:22, 77:9,
39:21, 40:15, 40:25,	75:15, 79:25, 80:3,	39:23, 43:19, 57:2,	serving [2] - 113:1, 113:4	120:17, 121:6
41:23, 42:3, 42:23,	81:23, 81:25, 82:1,	61:9, 67:8, 67:22,		solely [2] - 102:6,
42:24, 43:11, 46:4,	83:18, 83:21, 83:24,	78:5, 86:5, 86:24,	session [2] - 68:3, 68:10	102:13
46:20, 46:22, 47:12,	84:1, 84:4, 86:22,	87:1, 90:14, 91:14,	sessions [6] - 67:10,	solicitation [2] - 25:4,
48:2, 48:16, 48:18,	87:1, 87:3, 87:13,	91:20, 95:7, 101:2,	•••	31:10
48:20, 48:24, 49:5,	89:12, 94:4, 96:13,	119:15	67:18, 67:24, 68:1, 68:2, 68:5	solicitations [6] -
50:6, 50:7, 50:10, 53:21, 55:13, 55:18,	96:17, 96:19, 96:22,	seeing [1] - 97:17		29:23, 43:2, 43:6,
55:19, 80:19, 80:23,	96:24, 112:25,	seem [1] - 79:10	set [7] - 24:11, 43:15, 44:20, 54:1, 103:2,	102:22, 102:23
82:5, 82:7, 82:9,	114:5, 116:18,	select [2] - 35:7, 81:12		solicited [1] - 31:23
82:13, 82:18, 83:5,	123:1, 123:6	selected [5] - 35:6,	104:17, 105:1	someone [7] - 32:2,
84:12, 84:15, 85:23,	120.1, 120.0	82:9, 105:14,	setting [1] - 103:7	38:6, 56:25, 69:3,
97:6, 98:9, 99:1,	S	106:22, 110:18	severe [1] - 66:6	69:4, 83:7, 122:1
100:13, 100:14,	3	selection [6] - 49:4,	Shelby [1] - 90:6	sometime [1] - 113:16
100:15, 100:23,	Sachs [2] - 79:19,	81:18, 106:20,	shellacking [4] -	somewhat [2] - 40:7,
102:5, 102:12	80:23	107:22, 107:24,	22:15, 22:20, 23:20,	82:22
RFPs [2] - 39:22,	salary [1] - 38:19	108:8	59:18	somewhere [2] -
48:13	sale [25] - 14:7, 16:25,	sell [18] - 20:22, 20:25,	shocked [3] - 81:13,	40:19, 105:23
ride [1] - 123:5	23:14, 26:21, 26:24,	23:22, 34:13, 57:25,	81:17, 89:19	sorry [5] - 28:24,
risk [1] - 13:2	27:12, 27:18, 28:7,	59:5, 66:1, 77:8,	short [4] - 58:8, 60:7,	49:14, 80:8, 104:5,
	31:13, 33:4, 33:15,	77:10, 77:15, 77:25,	95:6, 114:3	107:11
roadwork [1] - 20:19	33:18, 47:8, 47:13,	88:16, 91:24, 92:10,	short-term [1] - 114:3	sort [6] - 16:8, 23:21,
Robert [1] - 7:6	48:2, 48:3, 74:4,	92:11, 92:14, 121:17	shortened [1] - 59:24	34:14, 84:9, 86:11,
robustness [1] - 47:5	77:4, 95:23, 102:6,	selling [9] - 21:19,	shorter [1] - 75:11	98:16
role [3] - 13:9, 17:9,	102:13, 104:11,	23:3, 27:4, 51:1,	showed [1] - 111:16	sounded [1] - 78:15
109:10	116:5, 120:3	59:2, 88:9, 92:21,	shown [1] - 87:6	sounds [4] - 23:6,
room [13] - 26:5,	sales [2] - 111:12,	118:11, 122:2	shows [3] - 84:14,	28:9, 29:2, 99:9
51:16, 58:10, 58:13, 58:17, 66:5, 103:1	111:23	send [3] - 25:9, 40:6,	97:1, 97:3	source [2] - 27:24,
58:17, 66:5, 103:1, 103:6, 103:7	Sam [10] - 14:1, 14:6,	100:12	shrink [1] - 62:1	47:7
103:6, 103:7, 103:13, 104:3	16:13, 24:11, 24:19,	sending [1] - 97:15	shrinkage [1] - 61:19	sources [2] - 84:19,
103:13, 104:3,	25:7, 92:25, 93:4,	sends [1] - 97:5	shrunk [3] - 60:10,	111:25
104:14, 104:19	113:4, 113:6	senior [6] - 52:1, 52:3,	61:17, 66:12	South [1] - 105:23
rooms [1] - 104:25	Sam's [3] - 95:17,	55:15, 77:1, 79:8,	side [11] - 25:21,	south [1] - 107:14
Rosner [1] - 7:6	95:21, 114:7	111:21	25:22, 25:24, 41:18,	speaking [1] - 59:9
roughly [1] - 10:21	sat [2] - 102:21,	sense [3] - 6:20, 13:1,	42:11, 44:21, 45:1,	spearheading [1] -
round [1] - 55:22	102:24	53:9	45:9, 45:11, 45:12,	43:11
roundabout [1] -		a a malther set (0.0	50.0	
	saw [7] - 25:6, 48:12,	sensitive [1] - 43:6	52:3	SPECIAL [1] - 1:3
114:1	saw [7] - 25:6, 48:12, 56:5, 95:7, 101:11,	sensitive [1] - 43:6 sent [3] - 99:8, 99:22,	52:3 sides [1] - 41:19	SPECIAL [1] - 1:3 Special [2] - 2:2,

117:4	54:4, 56:8, 66:4,	surrounded [1] - 15:9	third [4] - 15:23,	48:23, 80:16
specific [4] - 16:16,	66:5, 69:25, 70:1,	Susan [1] - 7:9	22:12, 37:6, 41:24	treasury [5] - 13:3,
40:9, 115:6, 122:4	70:2, 73:23, 74:1,			-
		suspect [1] - 16:15	third-party [2] - 37:6,	33:24, 41:1, 42:11
specifically [7] - 75:5,	77:24, 94:10, 102:16	suspicion [1] - 63:3	41:24	tremendous [2] -
81:13, 101:10,	stint [1] - 9:9	sworn [2] - 5:3, 124:8	thousands [1] - 57:16	16:1, 112:11
106:13, 111:18,	Stock [1] - 8:8	system [3] - 31:20,	three [19] - 9:9, 9:21,	trouble [1] - 111:7
115:5, 121:24	stood [1] - 83:15	47:8, 111:12	10:1, 14:9, 19:4,	true [6] - 26:22, 55:7,
speed [1] - 62:22	stop [1] - 23:17	systems [2] - 112:18	19:12, 19:14, 19:17,	86:22, 101:20,
spell [2] - 18:16, 73:8	stopped [1] - 64:22		27:14, 28:25, 29:3,	114:2, 125:9
spend [1] - 112:11	stories [1] - 105:22	т	29:10, 29:16, 36:13,	truncate [1] - 62:1
spent [1] - 34:3	story [4] - 55:11,		44:2, 68:21, 76:6,	truncated [1] - 58:3
spoken [1] - 115:9	73:19, 73:24, 90:4	table [1] - 56:2	76:8, 79:16	truth [1] - 5:3
	, ,	TAKEN [1] - 1:10	three-year [1] - 9:9	
sporing [1] - 78:13	strategic [2] - 47:8,	talks [3] - 31:7, 32:24,	throughout [4] - 14:9,	try [3] - 22:15, 31:6,
square [1] - 55:23	47:9	116:2	· · · ·	73:3
squeeze [1] - 55:22	Strategic [1] - 11:4	task [2] - 89:14, 107:6	15:17, 16:11, 47:22	trying [9] - 55:22,
stability [1] - 47:6	strategy [1] - 16:2		throw [2] - 19:4, 33:9	59:22, 70:3, 71:8,
stadium [1] - 30:14	Street [4] - 1:19,	taxed [1] - 70:1	tie [1] - 28:7	72:14, 77:24, 85:14,
staff [11] - 6:17, 7:3,	43:15, 89:7, 104:25	taxes [2] - 15:3, 69:23	Tim [4] - 17:3, 17:4,	92:17, 98:24
8:10, 51:25, 53:24,	strictly [1] - 88:16	team [14] - 7:21,	115:4, 115:7	turn [2] - 47:2, 90:4
74:22, 92:24,	strike [1] - 24:10	23:17, 48:24, 51:18,	TIME [1] - 1:11	turned [2] - 9:9, 42:23
106:24, 108:4,	strong [6] - 26:23,	55:15, 62:9, 68:17,	timing [7] - 29:12,	TV [2] - 90:11, 90:12
121:21, 122:9	33:5, 43:17, 53:15,	73:11, 73:13, 73:14,	55:20, 56:19, 56:20,	two [31] - 6:20, 17:20,
Standard [1] - 105:1	71:23, 92:9	79:7, 79:8, 81:6,	56:24, 57:2, 57:4	19:3, 27:14, 28:12,
Stanley [8] - 75:25,	structure [2] - 18:25,	111:22	title [1] - 11:11	28:21, 31:21, 44:2,
79:11, 80:23, 85:8,		technical [1] - 116:15	today [3] - 85:21,	45:19, 52:19, 66:13,
	115:24	technique [1] - 116:15	109:4, 109:9	43.19, 32.19, 00.13, 66:16, 69:11, 73:13,
87:10, 88:5, 88:24,	stuck [1] - 32:20	technology [1] -		
93:20	student [1] - 10:15	111:25	together [3] - 54:14,	79:5, 89:9, 90:19,
start [1] - 90:20	study [5] - 22:9, 23:14,	Teco [1] - 54:13	73:11, 73:14	90:22, 90:25, 91:8,
started [8] - 5:14,	28:15, 28:16, 84:21	template [1] - 74:4	Tom [6] - 19:9, 29:1,	93:23, 94:15, 94:17,
9:12, 19:8, 22:4,	style [1] - 116:17		29:13, 29:19, 59:15,	95:7, 95:12, 98:16,
66:3, 66:7, 86:16,	submit [3] - 19:22,	ten [5] - 13:16, 32:16,	122:20	110:3, 110:8,
116:21	40:4, 66:23	72:6, 83:19, 110:17	took [4] - 10:3, 27:10,	110:17, 113:6
starting [1] - 5:14	submitted [3] - 21:9,	ten-minute [1] - 83:19	55:25, 58:10	two-and-a-half [1] -
starts [1] - 21:6	24:4, 68:24	tenure [1] - 26:7	top [1] - 94:3	6:20
STATE [2] - 124:2,	submitting [1] - 19:19	term [5] - 7:14, 54:23,	topic [7] - 14:11,	tying [1] - 72:24
125:3	subpoena [1] - 88:6	89:4, 104:20, 114:3	15:12, 15:16, 15:17,	type [1] - 71:20
state [2] - 5:15, 36:6	substance [2] -	terms [7] - 20:17,	15:20, 16:10	typical [1] - 40:23
State [6] - 6:4, 6:14,	116:13, 116:16	29:12, 56:15, 71:19,	total [1] - 113:6	typically [2] - 40:25,
8:12, 123:3, 124:6,		105:21, 107:6,	totally [1] - 62:14	48:13
	substantial [3] -	111:16	-	40.10
124:19	15:23, 47:7, 76:2	terrie [1] - 1:15	touches [1] - 72:16	11
statement [4] - 21:17,	successful [6] -	Terrie [11] - 46:8,	towards [5] - 47:3,	U
29:19, 120:14, 121:7	34:17, 80:18, 80:22,	49:16, 49:17, 49:18,	66:14, 71:19, 94:3,	ultimately [4] - 23:13,
statewide [1] - 8:10	81:12, 84:11, 84:14	49:19, 79:2, 81:25,	106:25	29:8, 34:10, 99:9
statute [1] - 114:15	SUEZ [1] - 54:11	124:5, 124:19,	town [1] - 62:15	unbelievable [5] -
stay [5] - 7:4, 53:25,	suggested [4] - 29:14,	125:6, 125:22	train [2] - 21:7, 99:24	56:4, 56:7, 56:13,
54:1, 78:14	29:15, 120:16,		transaction [2] -	57:11, 57:13
stayed [1] - 7:14	120:17	testified [2] - 5:4, 93:5	47:13, 84:20	under [6] - 6:6, 33:4,
stays [1] - 69:21	suggesting [1] - 85:12	testify [2] - 72:11, 93:3	transaction's [1] -	57:18, 80:19,
stenographic [1] -	Suite [2] - 1:13, 2:5	testimony [1] - 93:4	84:20	120:21, 120:24
125:10	suited [1] - 77:7	that'll [1] - 83:25	transcript [2] - 90:4,	
stenographically [1] -	suits [1] - 25:4	THE [14] - 5:5, 44:14,	125:9	undergraduate [1] -
125:7	Super [6] - 7:23, 7:25,	46:12, 49:16, 49:18,	transition [1] - 9:13	6:11
step [2] - 88:8	8:2, 8:3, 8:5, 27:25	49:21, 81:24, 83:20,	transmission [1] -	understood [6] -
step-by-step [1] - 88:8	support [5] - 6:17, 9:2,	83:22, 83:25, 84:2,	57:22	16:19, 38:15, 83:2,
Stephen [1] - 2:3		87:9, 92:7, 123:4	travel [1] - 44:3	83:8, 83:17, 91:18
	106:15, 108:7	theirs [1] - 86:6	traveled [5] - 43:14,	undertook [1] - 78:10
stepped [2] - 52:5	supposed [1] - 92:8	themselves [1] - 25:20		underway [1] - 92:22
steps [1] - 27:10	surmise [1] - 103:9	they've [2] - 10:12,	43:25, 44:15, 44:25,	unheard [1] - 57:23
sticker [1] - 46:10	surprised [6] - 22:7,	39:1	45:9	unions [1] - 22:21
still [17] - 12:9, 19:6,	49:1, 51:9, 79:22,	thinks [1] - 52:18	treasurer [6] - 12:24,	unit [1] - 64:12
54:1, 54:2, 54:3,	86:10, 89:5		37:24, 41:1, 48:19,	

unless [2] - 41:11,	views [1] - 52:17	WITNESS [15] - 3:5,	106:22, 116:5, 116:8
100:16	Vinyard [2] - 24:10,	5:5, 44:14, 46:12,	Zahn's [5] - 105:20,
unsol [1] - 19:22	24:11	49:18, 49:21, 81:24,	106:3, 106:24,
unsolicited [10] -	visit [3] - 57:8, 90:23,	83:20, 83:22, 83:25,	107:22, 108:7
19:6, 19:20, 19:23,	92:20	84:2, 87:9, 92:7,	zone [1] - 55:12
20:1, 20:3, 20:11,	visiting [1] - 93:12	123:4, 124:10	zoom [1] - 31:6
21:5, 21:13, 25:12,	Vogtle [1] - 26:3	woman [1] - 7:9	
33:7	Volunteers [1] - 8:18	word [2] - 53:4, 65:3	
unusual [6] - 15:19,	vote [1] - 65:25	work-related [1] -	
16:10, 17:2, 17:5,	voted [1] - 78:18	107:4	
93:20, 93:21	votes [2] - 122:7,	workings [3] - 49:9,	
up [47] - 7:16, 10:4,	,	103:11	
10:25, 14:11, 14:12,	122:8	works [7] - 20:13,	
	147		
15:12, 15:16, 15:20,	W	35:22, 39:25, 40:1,	
16:10, 17:6, 17:24,	wait [3] - 60:10, 63:21	44:17, 44:18, 44:19	
19:9, 20:23, 22:14,	walk [8] - 39:21, 57:1,	workshop [3] - 23:2,	
22:23, 24:11, 24:20,	57:21, 60:8, 60:11,	23:8, 23:10	
26:10, 36:12, 43:15,	61:8, 61:15, 110:22	worse [1] - 66:3	
44:20, 45:7, 52:15,		worth [3] - 41:8,	
62:22, 64:21, 67:14,	walked [1] - 25:6	77:25, 78:6	
68:6, 69:1, 69:6,	Walker [2] - 24:8	worthless [1] - 71:12	
72:17, 75:16, 77:12,	walking [1] - 67:2	wow [2] - 55:6, 96:2	
83:6, 83:19, 97:16,	Wall [3] - 43:15, 89:7,	write [3] - 74:11,	
98:18, 99:16, 103:2,	104:24	74:12, 102:1	
103:7, 104:17,	walled [1] - 44:17	writing [1] - 111:5	
105:1, 110:13,	wants [4] - 15:2,	written [6] - 55:18,	
110:17, 110:20,	20:19, 20:21	55:19, 56:16, 80:25,	
113:24, 114:19,	Warren [2] - 118:18,	90:6, 109:16	
122:7	118:20		
ups [1] - 74:7	wastewater [1] - 47:9	wrote [3] - 45:1, 45:8	
utilities [14] - 44:18,	Water [1] - 54:12	X	
47:10, 47:21, 52:17,	water [6] - 25:17,	X	
	••	XLIX [1] - 8:4	
53:1, 53:3, 53:5,	25:20, 25:22, 47:9,	Xterra [1] - 18:10	
53:13, 54:13, 57:17,	73:12, 112:18		
57:22, 92:21,	ways [2] - 77:20, 87:2	XXXIX [3] - 8:4, 8:5	
100:24, 112:9	Weaver [2] - 7:20,	V	
utility [16] - 25:16,	7:22	Y	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8		
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16,	7:22	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23 value [7] - 13:13,	7:22 website $[1] - 40:8$ weeks $[1] - 29:16$ Weinstein $[2] - 5:10$, 72:18 WEINSTEIN $[5] - 1:7$, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird $[1] - 58:14$ well-suited $[1] - 77:7$ whole $[9] - 23:14$, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14,	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23	7:22 website $[1] - 40:8$ weeks $[1] - 29:16$ Weinstein $[2] - 5:10$, 72:18 WEINSTEIN $[5] - 1:7$, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird $[1] - 58:14$ well-suited $[1] - 77:7$ whole $[9] - 23:14$, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23 value [7] - 13:13,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 Vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23 value [7] - 13:13, 16:19, 16:25, 28:16,	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing [1] - 71:18	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 Vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23 value [7] - 13:13, 16:19, 16:25, 28:16, 47:7, 47:9, 81:15	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing [1] - 71:18 winners [1] - 82:9	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23 value [7] - 13:13, 16:19, 16:25, 28:16, 47:7, 47:9, 81:15 valuing [1] - 77:14 vari [1] - 77:20	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing [1] - 71:18 winners [1] - 82:9 winning [2] - 34:10,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23 value [7] - 13:13, 16:19, 16:25, 28:16, 47:7, 47:9, 81:15 valuing [1] - 77:14 vari [1] - 77:20 variable [1] - 77:20	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing [1] - 71:18 winners [1] - 82:9 winning [2] - 34:10, 82:5	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23 value [7] - 13:13, 16:19, 16:25, 28:16, 47:7, 47:9, 81:15 valuing [1] - 77:14 vari [1] - 77:20 variable [1] - 77:20 variations [1] - 87:7	7:22 website $[1] - 40:8$ weeks $[1] - 29:16$ Weinstein $[2] - 5:10$, 72:18 WEINSTEIN $[5] - 1:7$, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird $[1] - 58:14$ well-suited $[1] - 77:7$ whole $[9] - 23:14$, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing $[1] - 71:18$ winners $[1] - 82:9$ winning $[2] - 34:10$, 82:5 wise $[1] - 43:16$	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9 YUKNIS [1] - 73:9	
utility [16] - 25:16, 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, 112:5, 112:19, 116:15, 121:15 V vague [1] - 27:9 valuation [4] - 97:9, 98:9, 100:15, 100:23 value [7] - 13:13, 16:19, 16:25, 28:16, 47:7, 47:9, 81:15 valuing [1] - 77:14 vari [1] - 77:20 variable [1] - 77:20 variations [1] - 87:7 variety [1] - 16:17	7:22 website $[1] - 40:8$ weeks $[1] - 29:16$ Weinstein $[2] - 5:10$, 72:18 WEINSTEIN $[5] - 1:7$, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird $[1] - 58:14$ well-suited $[1] - 77:7$ whole $[9] - 23:14$, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing $[1] - 71:18$ winners $[1] - 82:9$ winning $[2] - 34:10$, 82:5 wise $[1] - 43:16$ Witness $[8] - 91:22$,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9 YUKNIS [1] - 73:9 Zahn [12] - 105:5,	
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	7:22 website $[1] - 40:8$ weeks $[1] - 29:16$ Weinstein $[2] - 5:10$, 72:18 WEINSTEIN $[5] - 1:7$, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird $[1] - 58:14$ well-suited $[1] - 77:7$ whole $[9] - 23:14$, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing $[1] - 71:18$ winners $[1] - 82:9$ winning $[2] - 34:10$, 82:5 wise $[1] - 43:16$ Witness $[8] - 91:22$, 94:5, 94:16, 98:15,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9 YUKNIS [1] - 73:9 Z Zahn [12] - 105:5, 105:6, 105:8,	
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing [1] - 71:18 winners [1] - 82:9 winning [2] - 34:10, 82:5 wise [1] - 43:16 Witness [8] - 91:22, 94:5, 94:16, 98:15, 99:25, 101:22,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9 YUKNIS [1] - 73:9 Zahn [12] - 105:5, 105:6, 105:8, 105:14, 105:19,	
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	7:22 website $[1] - 40:8$ weeks $[1] - 29:16$ Weinstein $[2] - 5:10$, 72:18 WEINSTEIN $[5] - 1:7$, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird $[1] - 58:14$ well-suited $[1] - 77:7$ whole $[9] - 23:14$, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing $[1] - 71:18$ winners $[1] - 82:9$ winning $[2] - 34:10$, 82:5 wise $[1] - 43:16$ Witness $[8] - 91:22$, 94:5, 94:16, 98:15,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9 YUKNIS [1] - 73:9 Zahn [12] - 105:5, 105:6, 105:8, 105:14, 105:19, 106:5, 106:11,	
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing [1] - 71:18 winners [1] - 82:9 winning [2] - 34:10, 82:5 wise [1] - 43:16 Witness [8] - 91:22, 94:5, 94:16, 98:15, 99:25, 101:22,	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9 YUKNIS [1] - 73:9 Zahn [12] - 105:5, 105:6, 105:8, 105:14, 105:19,	
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{utility [16] - 25:16,} \\ 44:25, 45:9, 50:18, \\ 53:4, 56:17, 100:16, \\ 111:1, 111:2, 111:3, \\ 112:5, 112:19, \\ 116:15, 121:15 \\ \hline \\ $	7:22 website [1] - 40:8 weeks [1] - 29:16 Weinstein [2] - 5:10, 72:18 WEINSTEIN [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 5:2, 124:6, 125:8 weird [1] - 58:14 well-suited [1] - 77:7 whole [9] - 23:14, 57:10, 63:8, 69:19, 82:22, 83:16, 86:4, 107:12, 112:11 willing [1] - 71:18 winners [1] - 82:9 winning [2] - 34:10, 82:5 wise [1] - 43:16 Witness [8] - 91:22, 94:5, 94:16, 98:15, 99:25, 101:22, 121:2, 123:9	year [9] - 6:22, 7:4, 7:5, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:24, 21:24, 110:6 years [20] - 6:18, 6:21, 8:7, 8:11, 8:14, 8:16, 9:22, 14:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:13, 26:17, 26:21, 28:18, 29:9, 30:1, 32:20, 37:22 York [6] - 43:14, 44:1, 44:3, 45:10, 86:14, 89:7 young [2] - 8:23, 8:25 Yuknis [2] - 73:4, 73:9 YUKNIS [1] - 73:9 Zahn [12] - 105:5, 105:6, 105:8, 105:14, 105:19, 106:5, 106:11,	