1		JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL
2	SPECIAL	INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MATTER
3		
4		
5		INTERVIEW OF
6		PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE
7		
8	DATE TAKEN:	Tuesday, November 17, 2020
9	TIME:	2:04 p.m. to 5:04 p.m.
10	LOCATION:	Smith Hulsey & Busey
11		One Independent Drive Suite 3300
12		Jacksonville, Florida 32202
13	Examir	nation of the witness taken before:
14	Terrie L. (Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, and a Notary Public
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		Hedquist and Associates 345 East Forsyth Street
22	(Jacksonville, Florida 32202 904)354-4111 FAX (904)791-9103
23	(304/334-4111 IAA (304/731-3103
24		
25		

[]		2			4
1	APPEARANCES OF COU		1	Greive's Exhibit 9	4 64
2	On behalf of Special Investigatory Com	mittee	2	Greive's Exhibit 10	66
3	E. Lanny Russell, Esquire		3	Greive's Exhibits 11, 12 and 13	67
	Kevin Blodgett, Ésquire		4	Greive's Exhibit 14	70
4	Smith, Hulsey & Busey One Independent Drive		5	Greive's Exhibit 15	73
5	Suite 3300		6	Greive's Exhibit 16	78
6	Jacksonville, Florida 32202		7	Greive's Exhibit 17	78
	On behalf of the Witness		8	Greive's Exhibit 18	79
7	Niels P. Murphy, Esquire		9	Greive's Exhibit 19	79
8	Murphy & Anderson, P.A.		10	Greive's Exhibit 20	81
9	1501 San Marco Blvd. Jacksonville, FL 32207		11	Greive's Exhibit 21	84
			12	Greive's Exhibit 22	90
10			13	Greive's Exhibit 24	96
11			14		
12			15		
			16		
13			17		
14			18		
15 16			19		
17			20		
18 19			21		
20			22		
21 22			23		
23			24		
24 25			25		
	Hedquist & Associates Reporter			Hedquist & Associates Reporter	
		3			5
1	I N D E X		1	STIPULATION	
2			2	It was stipulated and agreed by a	
3	ΕΧΑΜΙΝΑΤΙΟΝ		3	counsel for the respective parties, and	
4 5	WITNESS		4	that the reading and signing of the de witness was not waived.	eposition by the
6	PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE	age	6		
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RUSS	ELL 5	7	PATRICK JOSEPH G	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION DT MR. R035		8	acknowledged having been duly swor	
9			9	and testified upon his oath as follows	
10			10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
11			11	DIRECT EXAMINAT	ION
12			12	BY MR. RUSSELL:	
13			13	Q Would you state your full nar	ne, please, sir?
14			14	A Patrick Joseph Greive.	
15	EXHIBITS		15	Q And where are you employed	!?
16	FOR IDENTIFICATION	page	16	A City of Jacksonville.	
17	Greive's Exhibit A	6	17	Q And what is your current pos	ition with the City
18	Greive's Exhibit 1	16	18	of Jacksonville?	
19	Greive's Exhibit 2	19	19	A Director of finance and ad	ministration, CFO.
20	Greive's Exhibit 3	27	20	MR. RUSSELL: Okay. I'm go	oing to go ahead and
21	Greive's Exhibit 4	48	21	attach, Terrie, as Exhibit A, this is	a letter from
22	Greive's Exhibit 5	51	22	the Office of Mayor Lenny Curry,	dated November 16,
23	Greive's Exhibit 6	53	23	2020. And this letter relates fr	om Brian Hughes,
24	Greive's Exhibit 7	55	24	signed by Brian Hughes, who is th	ne chief
25	Greive's Exhibit 8	57	25	administrator officer, deals with t	he rights
1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters,	Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Report	ers, Inc.

	6		8
1	Mr. Greive has in connection with his Garrity	1	A Yeah, October 1st, 2018, is when I was
2	Rights.	2	appointed as chief financial officer.
3	So this will be interviewee's Exhibit A.	3	Q Can you describe your duties to me as treasurer
4	(Greive's Exhibit A was marked for	4	for the City of Jacksonville?
5	identification.)	5	A So as treasurer, you oversee all of the City's
6	MR. MURPHY: Yeah, just for the record, he's	6	cash, banking investments, underwriting, pension plans,
7	appearing pursuant to his Garrity Rights, you know,	7	the general employees' and correctional officers'
8	including use and derivative use immunity and the	8	pension plans. And you serve as staff to the police and
9	nondisclosure allegations therein.	9	fire pension fund also. And are responsible for cash
10	BY MR. RUSSELL:	10	disbursements and management of of City funds.
11	Q Would you take a few moments Joey; is that	11	Q And as treasurer, who did you report to?
12	right?	12	A The CFO.
13	A Yeah.	13	Q Mike?
14	Q Little awkward on the record, but it seems	14	A Mike Weinstein.
15	appropriate here to try to make this more like an	15	Q He was probably the CFO during the entire time
16	interview than an inquisition. Tell me, please, a	16	you were treasurer or was he
17	little bit about your professional background, including	17	A No, because I was appointed treasurer under
18	your education.	18	Mayor Brown, which would have been Ronnie Belton at the
19	A Sure. I graduated Florida State University in	19	time. He was CFO for the first couple of years of my
20	2006, went to work for Merrill Lynch at Deerwood Park	20	treasurer my time as treasurer.
21	Boulevard in Jacksonville from 2006 to 2010. Held a	21	Q And as treasurer, who were your direct reports,
22	variety of different investment advisory roles and	22	do you recall?
23	ultimately became a, you know, manager of a team of	23	A I had debt manager, the investment manager. We
24	financial advisors that worked at the office. I held	24	had a kind of chief accountant within the treasury. I
25	the Series 7/66, 9/10 designation or licenses and	25	forget her exact title. And a pension administrator.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 9
1	also held the CFA and CFP certificates during that	1	At one point we had a compliance officer, but that was
2	time.	2	moved under the CFO. So that would have been my direct
3	And then in 2010, the chief investment officer	3	reports and, obviously, they had reports as well.
4	post of the City of Jacksonville opened up. And I had	4	Within the treasury, we had a total of roughly 12
5	been on the CFA Society of Jacksonville board so I heard	5	employees.
6	about it through my contacts there. And, fortunately,	6	Q As treasurer, did you have any responsibility
7	was selected under Mayor Peyton to come in as chief	7	in connection with any types of RFPs for the City of
8	investment officer and served four years under	8	Jacksonville?
9	Mayor Brown, a few of them as treasurer.	9	A Yes.
10	And was retained as treasurer under Mayor Curry	10	Q Describe that responsibility, please.
11	until Mike Weinstein's departure, when I was,	11	A So as treasurer, you would handle the RFPs for
12	fortunately, appointed as his successor and CFO for the	12	anything related to the duties that that I mentioned
13	City of Jacksonville.	13	earlier, which would have been the pension plan,
14	Q Do you know what year you became treasurer?	14	investment managers. We do RFPs through our investment
15	A That would have been 2012 or '13.	15	consultant.
16	Q Okay. And that was while the mayor was	16	We do underwriting and debt management RFPs,
17	Mayor Brown?	17	whether it's PFM or investment banks, those type of
18	A Yes.	18	people.
19 20	Q Okay. You said 2017?	19	The City's general bank, which has been Wells
20	 A Treasurer would have been 2012 or '13 O Okay 	20 21	Fargo, but we just did an RFP, actually, for that as well.
21	Q Okay.A through September 30th of 2018.	21	So anything that's banking, underwriting,
22	 Q Through 9 and it's on 9/30/2018 that 	22	debt management, investment banking, you know, any of
24	Mr. Weinstein retired and you then became the chief	24	that would have been handled through my office for the
25	financial officer?	25	CFO.
_	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
ł			· · · ·

1		10	1		10
1	0	10	4	interest	in the outcome. It wasn't the treasurer's
1	Q	So if the City was going to request consulting	1		in the outcome. It wasn't the treasurer's
2		vestment banks regarding the potential	2		nent, it was actually three members of the mayor's
3		ation and disposition of city assets, your	3		o became involved in that.
4		surer would have been responsible for issuing	4	A	Right. Once it was deemed that they were
5	that RFF		5		ed out, right.
6	Α	The treasurer and working through the CFO	6	Q	And as chief financial officer, who do you
7	Q	Okay.	7	report to	
8	Α	for the CFO is responsible for that, yes.	8	Α	I report to the chief administrator officer,
9	Q	Okay. And when you became the chief financial	9		as Sam Mousa originally and is now Brian Hughes.
10		n October of 2018, what did your	10	Q	Do you recall when you first met Sam Mousa?
11		ibilities what were your responsibilities then	11	Α	I don't recall the exact time. It would have
12	generall -	,	12		hen he became CAO.
13	Α	So at that point you've got several different	13	Q	And at that time do you recall if you were then
14		ns. You've got the treasury, accounting, budget,	14		er or were you CFO?
15		inagement, fleet, IT and procurement. And the	15	Α	I would have been treasurer at that time.
16		of grants and compliance is a subcomponent of the	16	Q	So sometime I don't know the year you became
17	departr		17		ner. It was at least a couple years into Curry's
18	Q	Treasury, budget, risk management. Did you say	18		term as mayor?
19	fleet?		19	Α	I seem to recall Sam being there.
20	Α	Fleet. So all the City's cars and trucks	20	Q	There from the beginning, though?
21	Q	Yeah.	21	Α	From the beginning
22	Α	police cars, fire trucks.	22	Q	From the beginning of Curry.
23	Q	I think you had one more.	23	Α	of Curry.
24	Α	IT, procurement.	24	Q	Oh, that makes sense. Okay. We had trouble
25	Q	IT and procurement?	25	figuring	this out once before. That would have been
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		11			13
1	Α	Procurement.	1	sometin	ne in 2016 when he became first time Curry
2	Q	Okay. In connection with your the chief	2	Α	So 2015.
3	financial	l officer's role in procurement, did you have	3	Q	2015, July of 2015?
4		g to do with the ITN that was issued for	4	Α	Right.
5	prospect	tive bidders on JEA?	5	Q	Okay.
6	Α	No.	6	Α	The election.
7	Q	Why not?	7	Q	So July of 2015 Mousa became the chief
8	Α	On JEA?	8	adminis	trative officer. And as the chief administrative
9	Q	Because it was a JEA project is why	9		n July of 2015, you were reporting to Sam as
10	Α	Right.	10	his repo	rt?
11	Q	That's the reason?	11	Α	In 2015, I was reporting to Mike Weinstein.
12	Α	Yeah, yeah.	12	Q	Mike Weinstein?
13	Q	I understand. Okay. That clarifies that for	13	Α	Because I was treasurer
14	me. You	u would not have any of these roles with any of	14	Q	And he was
15	the inde	pendent agencies in the City	15	Α	reporting to the CFO. CFO would have been
16	Α	No.	16	reporti	ng to Sam at that time.
17	Q	they would have their own internal people	17	Q	I see. All right. CAO.
18	who wou	uld deal with those issues?	18		Okay. Did you ever have any interaction with
19	Α	Correct.	19	Sam Mo	usa prior to his becoming CAO?
20	Q	Okay. Unless they were for some reason	20	Α	No.
21	disqualif	fied?	21	Q	You didn't know him personally?
22	Α	Explain that.	22	Α	Nope.
23	Q	Because of a conflict of interest, it actually	23	Q	Did you ever have any business as a
24	happene	ed in this matter, JEA personnel couldn't	24		ntative of the City, I understand you would have
25	participa	ate in the ITN because senior leadership had an	25	been the	e CFO because Sam has left chief you would
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	14		16
1	have been CFO because Sam has left, did you ever have	1	MR. MURPHY: I'm okay.
2	any interaction with Sam Mousa after he left the City of	2	(Recess taken.)
3	Jacksonville in a business capacity?	3	BY MR. RUSSELL:
4	A I've had a handful of phone calls with Sam	4	Q Do you know Tim Baker?
5	related to various clients that he would have	5	A I know him. I've met him once, I believe.
6	represented on the development side of his operation.	6	Q Okay. Have you ever had any interaction with
7	Q Can you explain what I don't understand what	7	Mr. Baker in connection with your role as treasurer or
8	the	8	CFO with the City of Jacksonville?
9	A So	9	A No.
10	Q development side of Sam's operation is.	10	Q The meeting, was it just somebody introduced
11	A So	11	you on a personal level or was it something else?
12	Q He's a consultant for the clients?	12	A I ran into him in James Weldon Johnson Park and
13	A Consultant for clients.	13	said hi to him and shook his hand, before coronavirus.
14	Q Right.	14	Q Okay. If you could look at what we've marked
15	A He he would call occasionally and ask where	15	as Exhibit 1 and this is the minutes from the November
16	something was in the process, whether it's some form	16	28th, 2017
17	that was submitted by someone or a permit or or	17	MR. MURPHY: Excuse me. Do you have any copies
18	something like that. Just checking checking on	18	for me?
19	things.	19	MR. RUSSELL: Yes, we've got a whole set right
20	Q Okay. Are you aware as to whether or not Sam	20	there. He's got it.
21	Mousa was a consultant for Florida Power & Light?	21	(Greive's Exhibit 1 was marked for
22 23	A No, I don't know that	22 23	identification.) BY MR. RUSSELL:
23 24	Q Okay.A or recall that.	23	
24 25	 A or recall that. Q He's never consulted with you in connection 	24	 Q Let me go ahead and start over. These are the minutes from the JEA board
25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	15		17
1	A No.	1	meeting on November 28th, 2017. And in the document, on
2	Q with his capacity as a consultant for	2	page 4, it has the chair's report. And in the chair's
3	Florida Power & Light?	3	report there's Mr. Petway speaking. And Mr. Petway is
4	Did he ever speak with you in connection with	4	let me find the questions, two questions. There we
5	anything at 1EA2	5	go.
	anything at JEA?		
6	A No.	6	Mr. Petway asked that people consider whether
6 7		6 7	Mr. Petway asked that people consider whether Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would
	A No.	_	
7	A No.Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you	7	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would
7 8	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in 	7 8	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other
7 8 9 10 11	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? 	7 8 9	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of
7 8 9 10 11 12	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either 	7 8 9 10 11 12	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that
7 8 9 10 11	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any 	7 8 9 10 11	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Michael So there were 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Mr. Weinstein, since you never talked to him, there were no e-mails exchanged with Mr. Weinstein about his 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City? A Not that I recall.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Mr. Weinstein, since you never talked to him, there were no e-mails exchanged with Mr. Weinstein about his consulting? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City? A Not that I recall. Q And, therefore, you don't know whether anybody
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Mr. Weinstein, since you never talked to him, there were no e-mails exchanged with Mr. Weinstein about his consulting? A No, there were not. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City? A Not that I recall. Q And, therefore, you don't know whether anybody in the administration had any input into the comments
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Mr. Weinstein, since you never talked to him, there were no e-mails exchanged with Mr. Weinstein about his consulting? A No, there were not. Q Okay. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City? A Not that I recall. Q And, therefore, you don't know whether anybody in the administration had any input into the comments that Mr. Petway made at the board meeting and concerning
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Mr. Weinstein, since you never talked to him, there were no e-mails exchanged with Mr. Weinstein about his consulting? A No, there were not. Q Okay. A Yeah. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City? A Not that I recall. Q And, therefore, you don't know whether anybody in the administration had any input into the comments that Mr. Petway made at the board meeting and concerning the sale of the privatization of JEA?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Mr. Weinstein, since you never talked to him, there were no e-mails exchanged with Mr. Weinstein about his consulting? A No, there were not. Q Okay. A Yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Somebody looks like you got 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City? A Not that I recall. Q And, therefore, you don't know whether anybody in the administration had any input into the comments that Mr. Petway made at the board meeting and concerning the sale of the privatization of JEA? A No.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Mr. Weinstein, since you never talked to him, there were no e-mails exchanged with Mr. Weinstein about his consulting? A No, there were not. Q Okay. A Yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Somebody looks like you got water or something. I tried to ask you. Do you 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City? A Not that I recall. Q And, therefore, you don't know whether anybody in the administration had any input into the comments that Mr. Petway made at the board meeting and concerning the sale of the privatization of JEA? A No. Q Maybe I didn't ask this exactly right. During
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A No. Q Since Michael Weinstein left the City, have you ever had any involvement with Michael Weinstein in connection with his capacity as a consultant? A No. I don't recall any involvement with either of them related to JEA. Q In connection with your communications with Sam Mousa about his clients, did you ever exchange any e-mails? A Yes. Q So the answer to that question with Mr. Weinstein, since you never talked to him, there were no e-mails exchanged with Mr. Weinstein about his consulting? A No, there were not. Q Okay. A Yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Somebody looks like you got 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Jacksonville citizens and the Jacksonville sales would be better served if JEA was private. And the other issue that came up was whether JEA and the City of Jacksonville should consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA. That's a paraphrase of what was said there. But the question I have for you is very simple. In connection with Mr. Petway's comments, did you ever hear any discussion within the Curry administration about the benefits of the privatization of JEA to the citizens of the City? A Not that I recall. Q And, therefore, you don't know whether anybody in the administration had any input into the comments that Mr. Petway made at the board meeting and concerning the sale of the privatization of JEA? A No.

	18		20
1	within the administration of City of Jacksonville about	1	identification.)
2	the sale or privatization of JEA?	2	Q In connection with your understanding, you
3	A I don't recall any specific conversations	3	never developed an understanding as to, in 2017, what
4	during that during that time period.	4	the Mayor's attitude was about privatization of JEA?
5	Q Do you ever recall in connection with an	5	A In 2017, I would not have had an understanding
6	issuance of of an RFP in December of 2018 for	6	of what the Mayor's goals or visions were
7	financial consultants, any discussion of JEA in	7	Q Did that change
8	connection with that RFP?	8	A in that area.
9	A The discussions that were had in connection	9	Q change in 2018?
10	with issuing our strategic initiatives RFP pertained to	10	A Not likely, but I don't I don't recall
11	the potential assistance that we would need in	11	accurately, given the time time lines that are
12	connection with any City-owned asset, whether it be an	12	involved, being a couple of years ago.
13	airport, parking, utility, anything that would come up.	13	Q Are you able to, not actually putting a date on
14	Q Okay. So	14	it, able to say that Mayor Curry's attitude towards
15	A There was there was no specific goal in mind	15	privatization of JEA became known to you at some point
16	when issuing that RFP. It was that if we if any	16	in time?
17	conversations were advanced in the community about any	17	A Well, I guess to be to be clear, I'd have to
18	City-owned asset, we would need to have a bench of firms	18	state that I've never had a discussion with the Mayor
19	that we deemed qualified to assist us to pull from with	19	about his ideology related to privatization of assets so
20	the subsequent RFP to assist us in evaluating anything	20	I couldn't confidently, you know, answer that question
21	that's brought up in the community.	21	for you. I don't know more than what, you know
22	Q Okay. So although the RFP in 2018, I'm sure	22	Q You've read a lot in the paper?
23	we'll get to this in a few moments, wasn't specifically	23	A Read a lot in the paper, like, what you're
24	directed towards any citizen any City asset, it was,	24	showing me now.
25	in fact, broad enough to cover the JEA's an asset of	25	Q Okay.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	19		21
1	the City?	1	A And, you know, other articles out there.
2	A As an asset of the City, correct.	2	Q In the RFP that was actually in the issued
3	MR. BLODGETT: Just to clarify, it's December	3	in December 2017, were any assets ever considered for
4	2017 RFP.	4	privatization or other disposition under that RFP, other
5	MR. RUSSELL: I'm sorry. 2017 actually is the	5	than JEA?
6	date. Thank you, Kevin.	6	A So, again, that RFP was done to cover any
7	BY MR. RUSSELL:	7	potential assets the City owns. I was aware at the time
8	Q Let me show you Exhibit 2. Make sure I can	8	that people had contemplated the community had
9	find the quote. This is a quote that's attributed to	9	contemplated at various times in history privatization
10	Brian Hughes. It's in the Sunshine State News. It's on	10	of City assets, whether it be our airport, which I had
11	the second page. And it reads the sentence before is	11	fielded phone calls before about, contemplating
12	talking about JEA privatization and what Mr. Hughes is	12	potential, you know, management contracts in exchange
13	quoted as saying, It's very real. There will soon be a	13	for an upfront lump sum. There have been discussions in
14	serious look at it. Curry's political advisor, Brian	14	the past about potentially doing a public private
15	Hughes, told Sunshine State News about the idea. Quote,	15	partnership on on parking in the community.
16	and he's attributed it again to Brian Hughes, Lenny is a	16	And JEA, itself, had come up many years prior.
17	former accountant, who is an entrepreneur. He's a free	17	Councils of the past have looked into JEA. It's a
18	market Republican and it's consistent with his ideology.	18	City-owned asset so any any City-owned asset could
19	I said understand "it's" referring to the potential	19	have been covered by that RFP. And we had contemplated
20	privatization of JEA.	20	that RFP for, you know, a considerable, you know, period
21			
1 ~ .	Do you have any knowledge of, Joey, whether	21	of time.
22		21 22	of time. Q When you say we had contemplated that RFP for a
	Do you have any knowledge of, Joey, whether		
22	Do you have any knowledge of, Joey, whether this statement about Mr the Mayor's attitude	22	Q When you say we had contemplated that RFP for a
22 23	Do you have any knowledge of, Joey, whether this statement about Mr the Mayor's attitude concerning the privatization of JEA is correct?	22 23	Q When you say we had contemplated that RFP for a considerable period of time, who are you referring to in

	22	1	24
1	with us about it.	1	Q Okay. The RFP team, as I recall, who got hired
2	Q Okay. As to the RFP, there was a request for	2	in response to that RFP, was Goldman Sachs what were
3	consultants to respond to the RFP. Were any of the	3	the other two? I'm drawing a blank.
4	consultants who responded to the RFP ever tasked with	4	MR. BLODGETT: Morgan Stanley, JP Morgan and
5	considering the privatization of any of those things you	5	then KPMG.
6	just mentioned? Were they given a specific contract,	6	Q Let me just rephrase the whole question.
7	say that will help us evaluate the privatization of	7	Who were the four consultants who were
8	parking in the City of Jacksonville?	8	responded to the RFP and were their response was
9	A No. And that's an important point, is that	9	accepted by the City?
10	that RFP was not to hire anybody. It was to create a	10	A My recollection was that the four were JP
11	bench or a team that we could pull from if and when any	11	Morgan, Morgan Stanley and I can't recall if it's
12	type of privatization or, you know, exploration of the	12	Goldman Sachs or Citi Group and then KPMG.
13	City-owned asset were to be advanced by any party. We	13	MR. BLODGETT: It was Goldman
14	could then pull from that team to assist us in	14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	evaluating whatever had been presented by any party	15	MR. BLODGETT: just to clarify.
16	because we don't have the fire power in-house to analyze	16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	big deals.	17	BY MR. RUSSELL:
18	We have several smart people on our staff, but	18	Q Okay. And did any of those consultants work
19	privatization or exploration of any City-owned assets	19	with JEA on its privatization, to your knowledge?
20	would be, you know, beneficial to have someone else	20	A I wouldn't be in position to know that.
21	accessible to us. And, again, it's a sweep. Nobody was	21	Q Okay. So you don't know whether Goldman Sachs
22		22	or JP Morgan worked with JEA on this privatization?
22	actually hired from that list by the City. Q Okay. I quess that's what I wanted to hear in	22	
23 24	Q Okay. I guess that's what I wanted to hear in the end.	23 24	
24 25	In fact, from the RFP, the City never took	24 25	I've heard. But, again, I I haven't read it enough to know confidently who who they hired.
23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	Heuquisi & Associates Reporters, inc.		neuquisi à Associates Reporters, inc.
	00		25
4	23	1	25
1	anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on	1	Q Do you know if the Curry administration had
2	anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset?	2	Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA
	anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No.	2 3	Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018?
2 3 4	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it 	2 3 4	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that.
2 3 4 5	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization 	2 3 4 5	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 vr 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privitation of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 vr 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have beer private or could hire some consultants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have beer private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have beer private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have beer private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have beer private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking grages, did the City actually do any analysis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge? A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently that that RFP was used to hire anybody. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge? A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently that that RFP was used to hire anybody. Q And you can't say confidently that it was not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge? A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it was Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently that that RFP was used to hire anybody. Q And you can't say confidently that it was not used to hire anybody, can you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge? A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it was Q Okay. A but I know the City or DIA has looked into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently that that RFP was used to hire anybody. Q And you can't say confidently that it was not used to hire anybody, can you? A I just don't have knowledge of of what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A NO. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge. A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it was Q Okay. A but I know the City or DIA has looked into parking. And we had fielded phone calls during or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently that that RFP was used to hire anybody. Q And you can't say confidently that it was not used to hire anybody, can you? A I just don't have knowledge of of what Q Okay. So 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge? A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it was Q Okay. A but I know the City or DIA has looked into parking. And we had fielded phone calls during or before that time frame related to the airport.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently that that RFP was used to hire anybody. Q And you can't say confidently that it was not used to hire anybody, can you? A I just don't have knowledge of of what Q Okay. So A Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge? A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it was Q Okay. A but I know the City or DIA has looked into parking. And we had fielded phone calls during or before that time frame related to the airport. Q Okay. Do you know if the administration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently that that RFP was used to hire anybody. Q And you can't say confidently that it was not used to hire anybody, can you? A I just don't have knowledge of of what Q Okay. So A Yeah. Q you can't and you cannot, either one? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge? A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it was Q Okay. A but I know the City or DIA has looked into parking. And we had fielded phone calls during or before that time frame related to the airport. Q Okay. Do you know if the administration actually did studies of the potential privatization of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 anybody off the bench and asked them to do any work on any privatization of a City asset? A No. Q Did JEA ever use that RFP and piggyback off it to hire consultants to assist JEA in the privatization of JEA? A So to be clear in answering that question, I have no knowledge of that until recently reading some of the, you know, the work that you've been doing and some of the statements that have come out, you know, during this exploration, but to my knowledge, that RFP was not the genesis or the foundation or the framework or anything for any hiring of any advisors or consultants. Q Okay. Do you know actually one way or another whether that RFP was, in fact, used by JEA to hire consultants? A Sitting here today, I cannot state confidently that that RFP was used to hire anybody. Q And you can't say confidently that it was not used to hire anybody, can you? A I just don't have knowledge of of what Q Okay. So A Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3	 Q Do you know if the Curry administration had been exploring the potential privatization in of JEA in 2017 or 2018? A I don't recall that. Q Okay. A No. Q You mentioned some other City assets that could have been private or could hire some consultants pursuant to the December 27th RFP. Do you know if the City actually considered, in 2017 or 2018, privatizing any of the City's assets? A So clarify considering. Q Right. To extent that you you mentioned parking garages, did the City actually do any analysis of privatizing parking garages in 2017 or 2018, to your knowledge? A So to my knowledge, I don't recall what year it was Q Okay. A but I know the City or DIA has looked into parking. And we had fielded phone calls during or before that time frame related to the airport. Q Okay. Do you know if the administration

	26		28
1	A No.	1	explore any potential City-owned asset transaction.
2	Q I know they didn't hire consultants as a result	2	And, again, we had also gotten a phone call about the
3	of the RFP. Did they ever internally do any study about	3	airport. I don't recall exactly the time frame, but
4	privatization of the airport?	4	parking had come up as a topic.
5	A Not to not to my knowledge or recollection.	5	So his guidance to us was issue the RFP as
6	Q And for the other example you gave, City	6	broad as possible to capture analysis of any and all
7	garages, potential partnership or privatization, you	7	City-owned assets.
8	think DIA might have done that, but you're not aware of	8	Q Okay. And that would have occurred, you said,
9	the City itself doing any study of potential	9	in the months few months preceding December 20th,
10	privatization of parking garages?	10	2017, was when this discussion with Mike began?
11	A DIA is a subcomponent of the City.	11	A In that general time frame, yes.
12	Q Just like JEA?	12	Q Okay. I understand.
13	A So I don't know how to answer that. I would	13	In terms of the actual preparation of the RFP,
14	have to defer to an attorney on the legal similarities	14	who did the drafting of this document, do you know?
15	of DIA and JEA. There's I know there's a difference	15	A I don't recall if it was me or Randall or both.
16	between independent authorities and independent	16	It may have been both in working with our consultant at
17	agencies. You might have to consult an attorney to get	17	PFM for a template for drafting.
18	into that.	18	Q Sorry. Was that the name of a particular
19	Q Okay. I understand the point.	19	person at PFM?
20	A Okay.	20	A I don't recall the particular person we would
21	Q Are you aware of you mentioned people	21	have been working with at the time.
22	communicating with you and about potential	22	Q Okay.
23	privatization of the airport concessions, I guess what	23	A We had a team.
24	you'd say, or City garages. Are you aware of any	24	Q Okay. And in the preparation of this document,
25	communications from any potential purchasers of JEA with	25	did it go through several drafts before it became a
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
-	27		29
1	either you or the administration?	1	final document?
2	A No, I'm not aware of any of that.	2	A I don't recall that.
3	Q Were you are you aware of any communications	3	Q Okay. Do you recall giving it back to Mike
4	between Florida Power & Light and anybody in the	4	Weinstein to look at before it was finalized and asked
5	administration concerning JEA?	5	Mike Weinstein to approve it?
6	A No.	6	A I don't recall whether or not I don't
7	Q This is Exhibit 3 finally. This is the RFP, if	7	remember the process flow for any final reviews that we
8	I can get the date right.	8	would have had before putting it out.
9	A Okay.	9	Q And if I understand, this RFP was actually
10	(Greive's Exhibit 3 was marked for	10	issued by you in connection with that, correct?
11	identification.)	11	A So I don't recall who the person would have
12	Q How did you first learn that the City was going	12	been that issued it. However, we issued it through the
13	to issue this RFP?	13	treasury and pension procurement procedures that are
14	A So like I mentioned earlier, for the several	14	authorized under local municipal code and adopted by the
15	months prior to this, it would periodically come up as a	15	director of finance CFO.
16	topic in discussions with our then CFO, Mike Weinstein.	16	Q Do you know, is the section of the Jacksonville
17	And closer to this time period, came to be the exact	17	code that you're referring to Section 126.313?
18	time line, he asked us to prepare and put out this RFP.	18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. As best as you recall, I understand it's	19	Q Okay.
20	now more than two years ago, what was it that Mike	20	MR. MURPHY: You guys got an extra copy of
21	Weinstein actually asked you in connection with this	21	that?
22		22	MR. BLODGETT: I do.
1	RFP? Did he give you guidance?	~~	
23		23	BY MR. RUSSELL:
23 24	RFP? Did he give you guidance?		
	RFP? Did he give you guidance?A The guidance that I recall receiving from Mike	23	BY MR. RUSSELL:

	30		32
1	full paragraph that says, Any procurement under this	1	A It's
2	section shall include as much competition as practically	2	Q Was it more 20?
3	possible under the circumstances.	3	A Well, that's a pretty good over/under number,
4	Are you aware of what was done with this RFP to	4	SO.
5	meet that requirement?	5	Q About
6	A Yes.	6	A I can't tell you if it was more or less than
7	Q What was that?	7	20, but it was it was several.
8	A Much like we do with all of our investment	8	Q Okay. Would you say it was in the range of 20
9	banking RFPs, given that these are the same firms that	9	then?
10	would typically respond to investment banking RFP, we	10	MR. MURPHY: Don't guess.
11	went through PFM to issue it to any and all known firms	11	A That's that's, you know, relative. I don't
12	that could provide such services.	12	know that I can you know, what's what's close to
13	So we had them send it to all of the firms that	13	20 to one person might be not be close to 20. I don't
14	were known to them to be potentially in a position to	14	want you to give under oath, I don't want to give you
15	provide for strategic initiatives financial advisory	15	a number that's incorrect.
16	services, which was several several firms.	16	Q Okay. What's your best approximation of what
17	Q Was the RFP published on the City's website?	17	the number was? More than 4?
18	A No. And we're not required to.	18	A More than 10 and less than 100.
19	Q Okay. Is that something that although you	19	Q Okay. That helps a lot. Thank you. Okay.
20	say not required in this instance, is that something	20	Oh, for this Exhibit 3, the RFP, do you know if
21	that's typically done with City RFPs, is it's published	21	JEA had any input into the preparation and drafting of
22	on the City's website they are published on it?	22	this RFP?
23	A So procurement for the City. Most City RFPs,	23	A To my knowledge, they had no input in the
24	to answer your specific question, would go through some	24	drafting of this RFP.
25	type of posting on our website. However, specifically	25	Q Okay. I guess my question was specifically
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	related to investments, banking, cash, debt, as	1	about drafting, you said you didn't know they had any
2	authorized under 126.313, we have a separate set of	2	input in drafting. Did they have any other input in the
3	procurement policies and procedures that we follow that	3	preparation of this document, anybody from JEA, that you
	do not require that and do not follow that at that time.	4	know of?
5	It's since been modified to where we now notify PSEC.	5	A To my knowledge, JEA had no interaction related
6	As a result of this, we now notify PSEC at the outset	6	to issuing this RFP.
7	and then at the conclusion.	7	Q During your tenure as treasurer for the City of
8	However, at this time, this was standard	8	Jacksonville, did you issue other RFPs for consultants?
9	practice with all our investment banking RFPs to go	9	A Yes.
10	through our consultant to advertise to the broad base of	10	Q How many?
11	banks that would be qualified to provide the service.	11	A Several.
12	But, again, it's a narrow universe of people who can do	12	Q And were those do you recall the purposes
13	this kind of work so it's sent directly to all the known	13	those consultants were being asked to respond to an RFP?
14	firms who can do this.	14	What were the purposes of the RFP? What kind of
15	Q Do you know who which firms PFM sent this	15	consultants were you after?
16	RFP to?	16	A So the types of consultants that I oversaw the
17	A So I don't recall sitting here today, but I do	17	issuance of RFPs for, as treasurer, would have been
18	recall it being an extensive list of all those who	18	paying agents, trustees, bond registrars, investment
19	whose names we recognize in the industry, you know,	19	banks, banking services, other professional services as
20	whether it Bank of America, Merrill Lynch, Citi Group,	20	necessary, you know, in connection with our our work
21	JP Morgan, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, you know, you		in the treasury.
22	named the big investment banks and they would have been		Q I want to make sure I understand the process by
23	sent this RFP.	23	which this RFP, this is Exhibit 3, got issued. The
24	Q So in terms of a number, can you approximate	24	process that you described, there was first discussions
25	how many notantial firms this DED was sent to?		botwoon you and Mike Wainstain shout this DED And T
25	how many potential firms this RFP was sent to? Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	25	between you and Mike Weinstein about this RFP. And I Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	34		36
1	don't remember, did Mike Weinstein actually direct you	1	A After its issuance, we had conversations with
2	to go ahead and prepare it?	2	the council auditor's office. Do not recall if the
3	A Yes.	3	council members were in on any of those conversations.
4	Q And then what are the steps to come thereafter	4	Yeah.
5	before the RFP was given to PFM and PFM gave it out to	5	Q Okay. You described a number of people who had
6	some number of potential respondents?	6	hands on this thing. It was yourself, Randall Barnes,
7		7	perhaps Mike Weinstein. Are you aware of any other City
		8	
8 9	flow related to this RFP. Once directed by the CFO, we would draft it in treasury, work with our consultant to	9	employees that had any input into creation and circulation of this RFP?
10		10	
	make sure that it captures what we're attempting to		A No.
11	capture and then release the RFP.	11	Q Your discussions with the city council auditor
12	Q Is there anybody that had to look at it and	12	about this RFP, can you tell me about those, please?
13	finally approve it, other than perhaps yourself? Was	13	A The then council auditor, Kyle Billy, sent an
14	that were you the final authority on this being	14	e-mail, which I believe you have in your in your
15	issued?	15	files, asking about this RFP. And Mike Weinstein sent
16	A So, again, don't recall the specific work flow.	16	responses to Kyle Billy.
17	Typically, we kept Mike Weinstein very much in the loop	17	Q Did you personally have any interaction or
18	and sought his sign-off and approval on the majority of	18	conversations with the city council's auditors about
19	RFPs that we would issue.	19	this RFP?
20	Q Okay.	20	A Given the time line we're talking about, I
21	A Whether he reviewed the document itself in its	21	cannot recall specifically whether or not we had any
22	entirety, I don't recall. I don't know.	22	discussions between between me and them.
23	Q Okay. You described, during your tenure as	23	Q Okay. As I recall, and you may recall,
24	treasurer of the City, that there were several other	24	Mr. Weinstein was pretty upset that the city council was
25	RFPs that you were involved in issuing. Were any of	25	often suggesting that this RFP could apply to JEA. Do
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	35		37
1	those RFPs published on the City's website?	1	you know why Mr. Weinstein was so upset about that, when
2	A I seem to recall some of our RFPs we would	2	you just sat here and testified that it was broad enough
3	choose to have published on the City's website, but I	3	to apply could to apply to JEA?
4	cannot recall the specific RFPs that we would have	4	A So I certainly don't want to speculate as to
5	chosen to do that with.	5	Mr. Weinstein's personal beliefs or feelings, but to the
6	Q Sure. Do you recall the criteria that was used	6	point you just made, we were very clear along the way
7	to make a decision as to whether one would be published	7	that it was a broadly focused RFP. And the insinuation
8	and the other wouldn't?	8	that it was related to or specific to one type of
9	A To re to answer your question, I don't	9	transaction was frustrating.
10	recall the specific criteria that was used in any	10	Q Okay. So you are you you took it that
11	specific RFP, but, generally speaking, time frame,	11	what the city council's auditor was saying was that this
12	whether whether or not we thought there were any	12	was specific to JEA and didn't apply to other broadly
13	local companies who would be looking for a certain	13	based transactions? I mean, all the City let me
14	contract and would be benefited by seeing it on the	14	ask a better question.
15	City's website. But, no, I can't I can't recall all	15	If all the city council auditor did was say
16	the criteria that we would use to	16	this document was broad enough to apply to JEA, why
17	Q Okay.	17	would that make you or anybody mad?
18	A make that decision.	18	MR. MURPHY: I I don't think that's what the
19	Q Did you ever have any conversation with any JEA	19	e-mail said. If you want to show him the e-mail,
20	employees about this RFP?	20	that is definitely not what it says.
21	A Not that I recall.	21	MR. RUSSELL: I don't have it.
22	Q Did you ever speak to anybody on the city	22	THE WITNESS: Do you have a copy of the
22	council about this RFP?	22	e-mail?
23 24	A Not prior to its issuance its issuance.	23	MR. MURPHY: It does not say, oh, this is broad
24 25		24 25	
25	Q Okay.	20	enough and could include the JEA. In fact, it says
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	<u> </u>	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	38	1	40
1	specifically this was basically designed for the JEA	1	implication that this was done for JEA.
2	process. That's a much different question.	2	Q Uh-huh.
3		3	A And that's not the case. Similar to
	MR. RUSSELL: I didn't recall that language. I		
4	remind you that the witness was saying the	4	Mr. Billy's sentence 3, first half.
5	document	5	Q Sentence 3, first half. I don't understand
6	MR. MURPHY: Well, I don't I don't want you	6	what you mean.
7	to misrepresent a document that you haven't shown	7	A The RFP appears to request financial advisory
8	him.	8	services that would be needed to solicit bids to
9	MR. RUSSELL: Okay.	9	purchase JEA.
10	MR. MURPHY: So let's show him the e-mail or	10	Q Okay. That's one of the things that it could
11	ask him not leading questions when you haven't	11	request. It doesn't say that's all it requests, does
12	established, as he's an adverse witness.	12	it?
13	BY MR. RUSSELL:	13	A So your implication, you're driving a
14	Q What do you recall about the e-mail?	14	narrative. You're not asking any question. You're
15	A So rather than sit here and try and recall	15	driving
16	it, I know it's part of the case file. If you'd like	16	Q I'm trying to find out if the e-mail is
17	to take a quick break to find it, that might be	17	consistent with your prior testimony that the RFP was
18	beneficial.	18	broad enough to cover JEA.
19	MR. RUSSELL: Let's go to a stopping point and	19	A As I've stated many times and I'll state again,
20	I'll decide if I want to come back to that or not.	20	this RFP was specifically broad to cover any and all
21	THE WITNESS: Okay. I wouldn't mind hitting	21	City assets.
22	the restroom.	22	Q Okay.
23	(Recess taken.)	23	A There's no reference in the RFP to JEA
24	BY MR. RUSSELL:	24	specifically.
25	Q Have you had a chance to read Kyle Billy's	25	Q Or any specific City asset?
23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	23	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	39		41
4		4	
1	e-mail, which we were previously discussing, of February	1	A Or any specific City asset, correct.Q But as you said, it's broad enough to cover all
2	21st, 2018?	3	Q But as you said, it's broad enough to cover all of it.
	A I'm about a third of the way through, if you		
4	give me just one more minute.	4	In the procurement process, I guess you have to
5	Q Sure.	5	deal with occasionally, are you familiar with the
6	 A Okay. C Deep Kula Billutin his a mail state that the 	6	concept cone of silence?
7	Q Does Kyle Billy in his e-mail state that the	7	A I am now.
8	RFP is exclusively related to JEA?	8	Q Okay. Back in 2017 or 2018 when you were
9	MR. MURPHY: Those exact words?	9	working on this RFP, you weren't, in fact
10	MR. RUSSELL: Yeah.	10	A No.
11	MR. MURPHY: So does the I mean, the e-mail	11	Q familiar?
12 13	speaks for itself, Lanny. I mean, does the e-mail	12	How did you become familiar with the idea of
	say those words? I mean, we can all sit here and	13	the cone of silence, just through what you read in the
14	read it. Let's get to the point.	14	newspapers?
15	MR. RUSSELL: I am.	15	A Correct.
16	MR. MURPHY: Okay.	16	Q Okay. Also in connection with procurement,
17	BY MR. RUSSELL:	17	are you familiar with the concept of ex-parte
18	Q In connection with what we've just acknowledged	18	communications?
19	the e-mail says, your prior testimony was the RFP was	19	 A State that again. Cure In connection with the procurement.
20	broad enough to cover JEA; is that right?	20	Q Sure. In connection with the procurement
21	A To be clear about my prior testimony, the RFP	21	process, are you familiar with a concept of ex-parte
22	for strategic initiatives financial advisory services	22	communications being forbidden during certain periods of
23	was broad to encompass any City-owned asset.	23	time?
24	Q Okay. JEA	24	MR. MURPHY: What do you mean by exparte?
25	 A Your continuous reference to JEA is an Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 	25	MR. RUSSELL: Means the prospective bidder Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

		1	
	42		44
1	reaches out to the treasury department and wants to	1	Q Okay. Do you know if it comes from Section
2	talk about the RFP.	2	126.313 of the ordinance code?
3	BY MR. RUSSELL:	3	A So without reading it, I can't answer that
4	Q There's a period of time, I understand, when	4	Q Okay.
5	that's prohibited and it's ex-parte communications. Are	5	A affirmatively.
6	you familiar with that pros requirement of the	6	Q I was just trying to find out what your
7	procurement code?	7	knowledge was, that's all.
8	A So I'm not an attorney so I'm not familiar with	8	A Yeah, yeah.
9	legal definitions. However, I am aware that there are	9	Q Okay. Look at page 3 of the RFP, if you would,
10	prohibitions against discussing a live and active RFP on	10	please. In the RFP, the responses to submissions by the
11	the street with a bidder on	11	respondents go to Jeremy Niedfeldt. And it shows him as
12	Q All right.	12	the director of PFM Financial Advisors, LLC LLC, and
13	A that RFP.	13	Public Financial Management, Inc.
14	Q In connection with this RFP, that never	14	Do you know what the relationship between those
15	happened with you	15	two entities are?
16	A Correct.	16	A I don't know the legal structure between the
17	Q that they tried to talk to you?	17	two offhand. I don't recall.
18	A No, that is correct.	18	Q Do you know why both of them were put into this
19	Q And are you aware of anybody else that any	19	RFP that you helped author?
20	respondents or prospective respondents tried to talk	20	A Without understanding the legal structure
21	to?	21	between the two from that time period, again, I may have
22	A No, no respondents or potential respondents	22	known at the time, but I don't recall now what the
23	spoke with me or my team, to my knowledge, in connection	23	structure is between the two. So don't know why they
24	with this RFP during the time period that it was	24	would have put that in their signature.
25	advertised.	25	Q Do you know which of those entities or both of
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	43		45
1	Q Do you know if anybody in the Curry	1	the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had
2	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued?	2	
	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is	-	the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had
2 3 4	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So	2 3 4	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question.
2 3 4 5	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration.	2 3 4 5	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under
2 3 4 5 6	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or	2 3 4 5 6	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want to get a water and ask him a question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM entities shown on Page 3 was paid for its services in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want to get a water and ask him a question. (Recess taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM entities shown on Page 3 was paid for its services in regards to this RFP by the City of Jacksonville?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want to get a water and ask him a question. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM entities shown on Page 3 was paid for its services in regards to this RFP by the City of Jacksonville?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want to get a water and ask him a question. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q The concept we were talking about, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM entities shown on Page 3 was paid for its services in regards to this RFP by the City of Jacksonville? A So, again, I'd have to go back and look at the contract with PFM, between PFM and the City, to see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want to get a water and ask him a question. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q The concept we were talking about, the prohibition against talking to City employees when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM entities shown on Page 3 was paid for its services in regards to this RFP by the City of Jacksonville? A So, again, I'd have to go back and look at the contract with PFM, between PFM and the City, to see which legal entity is under contract for the purposes of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want to get a water and ask him a question. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q The concept we were talking about, the prohibition against talking to City employees when there's an active RFP in place, do you know where that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM entities shown on Page 3 was paid for its services in regards to this RFP by the City of Jacksonville? A So, again, I'd have to go back and look at the contract with PFM, between PFM and the City, to see which legal entity is under contract for the purposes of billing us for their services. And, again, what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want to get a water and ask him a question. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q The concept we were talking about, the prohibition against talking to City employees when there's an active RFP in place, do you know where that prohibition comes from? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM entities shown on Page 3 was paid for its services in regards to this RFP by the City of Jacksonville? A So, again, I'd have to go back and look at the contract with PFM, between PFM and the City, to see which legal entity is under contract for the purposes of billing us for their services. And, again, what the legal structure is between the two of them and which one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	administration knew about this RFP before it was issued? I guess Weinstein is A So Q technically within the administration. A for clarification, any City appointee or City employee is a member of the then administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. So I was a part of that, a member of the administration. Mike Weinstein, you know, also would have been a member of the administration as we ran the department. And those are the three who were actively engaged in preparing and submitting this RFP. Whether or not anybody else knew, I don't know. Q You didn't talk to anybody else about it? A I didn't talk to anybody else about this, other than Mike Weinstein. MR. RUSSELL: Take just a short break. I want to get a water and ask him a question. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q The concept we were talking about, the prohibition against talking to City employees when there's an active RFP in place, do you know where that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the entities that the City of Jacksonville actually had under contract as an advisor at the time of this RFP? A Without going back and looking at our contract, I can't answer that question. Q Do you know if one of these entities was under contract to advise JEA in December of 2017? A I don't know, sitting here today, which of these legal entities or both would have been contracted with JEA, other than know the general knowledge that PFM serves as financial advisor to many entities inclusive of the City and JEA. Q And when you say PFM, is it one of the specific entities listed here or do you know which one? A No, I don't know. I'd have to look at the contracts to see which one is the parent company or what the legal structure is. Q Do you know if one of the PFM Financial PFM entities shown on Page 3 was paid for its services in regards to this RFP by the City of Jacksonville? A So, again, I'd have to go back and look at the contract with PFM, between PFM and the City, to see which legal entity is under contract for the purposes of billing us for their services. And, again, what the

		46		48
1	Q	Do you know if the City actually paid anybody	1	which all of these firms were in that general category.
2	in conne	ection with this RFP for their services?	2	(Greive's Exhibit 4 was marked for
3	Α	So I'd have to go back and look at sitting	3	identification.)
4	here to	day, no. 1 I cannot recall if we had them	4	Q Yeah.
5	under r	retainer for annual consulting work, which would	5	A So we we followed our standard process with
6	have be	een inclusive of this or if they would have billed	6	having PFM advertise that for us and so in the e-mail it
7	us sepa	arately for their work in connection with this	7	appeared.
8	RFP.		8	Q This is this is the solicitation we
9	Q	Okay.	9	talked about earlier, you still don't remember how many
10	Α	It's been too long.	10	people this went to, did you ever see a list of some
11	Q	Do you have any knowledge as to whether JEA	11	kind or learn the entities that this solicitation was
12	paid eitl	ner of these entities for their work in	12	actually provided to?
13	connect	ion with this RFP?	13	A At one point I seem to recall seeing or hearing
14	Α	No, I wouldn't be in position to know that.	14	about that broad list between 10 and 100 that we
15	Q	Would you expect that to have happened?	15	discussed earlier.
16	Α	No, because this RFP was to build a team that	16	Q Okay. Yeah. Did PFM select the potential
17	could t	nen be called on for a future transaction and we	17	respondents that you were describing or were they given
18	were n	ot a party in my office, say, in contemplation of	18	names by the City of Jacksonville to send it to, the
19	that.		19	RFP?
20	Q	Other than, as an outside consultant, PFM	20	A So I do not recall whether or not we provided
21	excuse	me, any of these listed here, did any other	21	any names, don't remember doing that. But, again, PFM
22	outsider	s, outside of the employment of the City of	22	would have been situated to know all of the potential
23	Jackson	ville, have any role in the preparation of this	23	firms that that could be interested in this so it
24	RFP?		24	would have been predominantly their list. But, again, I
25	Α	Not to my knowledge.	25	don't know for certain, sitting here today, so I can't
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		47		49
1	Q	Make sure I understand your testimony. You	1	certify to that.
2	-	't know whether the PFM entity was paid pursuant	2	Q Did JEA, to your knowledge, have any role in
3		ainer or paid pursuant to a specific engagement	3	determining who would receive this R RFP?
4	for this		4	A No, not to my knowledge.
5		MR. MURPHY: Or paid at all.	5	
6				Q Okay. I guess if a potential respondent was
-		MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get	6	included, the list that received this RFP, they would
7		MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he	6 7	included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would
8	Α	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all.	6 7 8	included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP?
8 9	A Q	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he	6 7 8 9	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again.
8 9 10	A Q other?	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the	6 7 8 9 10	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better.
8 9 10 11	A Q other? A	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated	6 7 8 9 10 11	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay.
8 9 10 11 12	A Q other? A Q	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP
8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q other? A Q A	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q other? A Q A Q	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q other? A Q A Q This Ext	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q other? A Q A Q This Ext	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q other? A Q A Q This Ext I can't t	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q other? A Q A Q This Ext I can't t	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why I issuing this e-mail as opposed to the City of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM. Q Do you know about the let me see if I can
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q other? A Q A Q This Ext I can't t was PFN Jackson	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why issuing this e-mail as opposed to the City of ville?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM. Q Do you know about the let me see if I can ask this appropriately.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q other? A Q A Q This Ext I can't t Was PFN Jackson A	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why I issuing this e-mail as opposed to the City of ville? So as previously discussed, we routinely used	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM. Q Do you know about the let me see if I can ask this appropriately. Do you know if JEA created a data room in 2018
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q other? A Q A Q This Exh I can't t Was PFN Jackson A PFM to	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why I issuing this e-mail as opposed to the City of ville? So as previously discussed, we routinely used coordinate and advertise and reach out to all	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM. Q Do you know about the let me see if I can ask this appropriately.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q other? A Q A Q This Ext I can't t Was PFN Jackson A PFM to interes	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why issuing this e-mail as opposed to the City of ville? So as previously discussed, we routinely used coordinate and advertise and reach out to all ted parties who they know to be in the business	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM. Q Do you know about the let me see if I can ask this appropriately. Do you know if JEA created a data room in 2018 which contained data relating to the potential privatization of JEA?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q other? A Q A Q This Ext I can't t Vas PFN Jackson A PFM to interes that we	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why I issuing this e-mail as opposed to the City of ville? So as previously discussed, we routinely used coordinate and advertise and reach out to all	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM. Q Do you know about the let me see if I can ask this appropriately. Do you know if JEA created a data room in 2018 which contained data relating to the potential privatization of JEA?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q other? A Q A Q This Exh I can't t Vas PFN Jackson A PFM to interes that we procure	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why issuing this e-mail as opposed to the City of ville? So as previously discussed, we routinely used coordinate and advertise and reach out to all ted parties who they know to be in the business are seeking as a part of our treasury pension ement procedures, especially as it relates to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM. Q Do you know about the let me see if I can ask this appropriately. Do you know if JEA created a data room in 2018 which contained data relating to the potential privatization of JEA? A So I've come to learn that through your work and what's been reported to the paper, but at the time,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q other? A Q A Q This Exh I can't t Vas PFN Jackson A PFM to interes that we procure	MR. RUSSELL: Or paid at all. I said we'll get he Or paid at all. You don't know one way of that, one way or the As I stated Okay. it's been too long, correct. I understand. I have this e-mail excuse me. hibit 4 is an e-mail from TylerCalderone@PFM.com. ell which entity it is either. The question is actually fairly simple. Why I issuing this e-mail as opposed to the City of ville? So as previously discussed, we routinely used coordinate and advertise and reach out to all ted parties who they know to be in the business are seeking as a part of our treasury pension	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 included, the list that received this RFP, they would that the person not excluded on the list would have no way of knowing of this RFP? A Say that again. Q I'm sorry. I'll try to say it better. A Okay. Q The only way anybody could know about this RFP was if you were on the list that PFM sent the RFP to? A Correct. Q Let's look at A Similar to our other RFPs that we administered through PFM. Q Do you know about the let me see if I can ask this appropriately. Do you know if JEA created a data room in 2018 which contained data relating to the potential privatization of JEA? A So I've come to learn that through your work

	50	1	50
4	50	4	52 52
1	Q Okay. Did you did anybody in your area have	1	A Correct.
2	access to that data room in the treasury department?	2	Q Okay. Who did you your team work with at
3	A I have come to learn of that in recent months,	3	PFM, the individuals, in connection with the RFP? You
4	but at the time that this RFP was put out, A, they did	4	told me Jeremy Niedfeldt.
5	not at the time, based on what I know today. And I	5	A So Jeremy Niedfeldt. Based on this e-mail,
6	didn't come to learn of that until more recently,	6	Tyler Calderone. And David Moore may or may not have
7	that that at any point during this entire process	7	been involved. At what level, I don't know what he
8	that that, you know, anyone on my team would have had	8	would have been involved in something like that. He's
9	access to that that room.	9	kind of a higher level, new guy at PFM so I don't know
10	Q I'm sorry. I just didn't understand your	10	how in the weeds he would have been with our RFP.
11	answer, the last part. You've learned subsequent to	11	Q Do you know when this firewall between the two
12	the events that somebody in the treasury department had	12	PFM entities were created, that entity working for the
13	access to this data room?	13	City and the entity working for JEA?
14	A So my understanding is that as a not during	14	A So my understanding is that there's, much like
15	this RFP period, but many, many months later, when, as	15	in a law firm, when you have two attorneys representing
16	you pointed out earlier, members of JEA were deemed	16	other sides, there's there's fire walls. And based
17	conflicted from the negotiations and three members of	17	on my understanding, the fire walls are just generally
18	the Mayor's administration were appointed to serve on	18	always in place. And the we have an assigned team
19	that team, that that team was granted access to a data	19	who works with us and any other entity who employs PFM
20	room. I don't know what that data room looks like. I	20	would have their own team that works with them.
21	haven't seen it, but I have heard there's as a part	21	Q Did the work that Mr. Mace was doing as
22	of that process, upon their appointment, they received	22	described in Exhibit 5 have anything to do with the RFP
23	access.	23	we've been talking about?
24	Q Okay. We have Exhibit 5. And this is a JEA	24	A Not to my knowledge.
25	payment request that's dated 9/19/2018. And attached to	25	Q Are you aware that the work that Mr. Mace was
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	51		53
1	this a list of allowand . And if you look and at the ana	1	deine with 100 withmetals, some to the exection and
	it is a list of charges. And if you looked at the one		doing with JEA ultimately came to the creation and
2	Michael Mace, on that page	2	presentation to the city council on a report on the
2 3		-	
	Michael Mace, on that page	2	presentation to the city council on a report on the
	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir.	2	presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA?
3 4	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for	2 3 4	presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was
3 4 5	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter.
3 4 5 6	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know	2 3 4 5 6	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the
3 4 5 6 7	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3?
3 4 5 6 7 8	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board? A I see that on this piece of paper. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board? A I see that on this piece of paper. Q Was Michael Mace doing any work for the City of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review. Do you know what Michael Mace was discussing with bankers and what data he was discussing at that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board? A I see that on this piece of paper. Q Was Michael Mace doing any work for the City of Jacksonville in 2017 or 2018? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review. Do you know what Michael Mace was discussing with bankers and what data he was discussing at that time?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board? A I see that on this piece of paper. Q Was Michael Mace doing any work for the City of Jacksonville in 2017 or 2018? A Not to my knowledge. He's in a separate area 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review. Do you know what Michael Mace was discussing with bankers and what data he was discussing at that time? A No. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 6, please.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board? A I see that on this piece of paper. Q Was Michael Mace doing any work for the City of Jacksonville in 2017 or 2018? A Not to my knowledge. He's in a separate area of PFM. Q Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review. Do you know what Michael Mace was discussing with bankers and what data he was discussing at that time? A No. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 6, please. A You have my copy? It was attached.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board? A I see that on this piece of paper. Q Was Michael Mace doing any work for the City of Jacksonville in 2017 or 2018? A Not to my knowledge. He's in a separate area of PFM. Q Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review. Do you know what Michael Mace was discussing with bankers and what data he was discussing at that time? A No. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 6, please. A You have my copy? It was attached. (Greive's Exhibit 6 was marked for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board? A I see that on this piece of paper. Q Was Michael Mace doing any work for the City of Jacksonville in 2017 or 2018? A Not to my knowledge. He's in a separate area of PFM. Q Okay. A They put up the fire wall between the two sides. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review. Do you know what Michael Mace was discussing with bankers and what data he was discussing at that time? A No. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 6, please. A You have my copy? It was attached. (Greive's Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Michael Mace, on that page A Yes, sir. (Greive's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) Q that we've highlighted there. Do you know who Michael Mace is? A Yes. Q Who is Michael Mace? A He is the financial advisor from PFM to the JEA. Is or was. I don't know what his current status is. Q And do you see the entry next to Michael Mace, that on December 20th, 2017, he has a two-hour time entry for discussing the potential JEA evaluation for board? A I see that on this piece of paper. Q Was Michael Mace doing any work for the City of Jacksonville in 2017 or 2018? A Not to my knowledge. He's in a separate area of PFM. Q Okay. A They put up the fire wall between the two 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 presentation to the city council on a report on the evaluation of JEA? A I recall that meeting and that Michael Mace was the presenter. Q Did that report have any relationship to the RFP, which is Exhibit 4 Exhibit 3? A Not that I can recall. Without the without the report in front of me and thoroughly reviewing it, I can't tell you definitively. Q Okay. Sorry. If you look again at the page you're on, please. Just three up from the bottom. I didn't highlight it, but it's also an entry of time by Michael Mace. It's on January 18th, 2018. It's five and a half hours. And it says, Eval, project banker discussions and data review. Do you know what Michael Mace was discussing with bankers and what data he was discussing at that time? A No. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 6, please. A You have my copy? It was attached. (Greive's Exhibit 6 was marked for

	54	1	56
1	of your prior testimony, I don't have a lot to ask about	1	language of the e-mail speaks for itself and that it's
2	this. This is a document we received and it's Tim Baker	2	factually accurate, you know, that he does not go
3	communicating with Florida Power & Light, saying, on	3	through the effort of disputing things in David's
4	July 1, 2019, that he's terminating the contract that he	4	e-mail, but he, likewise, does not confirm.
_		5	
5	had with Florida Power & Light Company.		Q Okay. And I think you said you don't recall if
6	And based on what you previously testified, Tim	6	you responded or not?
7	Baker had no involvement in the RFP, I understand?	7	A No.
8	A Not to my knowledge.	8	Q We've never seen it.
9	Q Do you know if Tim Baker knew about the RFP	9	A No.
10	even if he didn't have any involvement in it?	10	Q Okay. And do you know you said we got these
11	A I would not have been in a position to know	11	documents through a public records request. Did you
12	that. There was no conversations with Tim yeah, Tim	12	see see the public records request that you responded
13	Baker about any of this.	13	to from Smith Hulsey Busey?
14	Q I'm not sure if I asked you this question. Are	14	A So I don't know who the request came from, but
15	you aware of any consultants performing work related to	15	there's a very voluminous public records request right
16	JEA, any FPL consultants, performing work related to JEA	16	around the same time that y'all became involved, but it
17	in 2017 or 2018?	17	may not have been you. I don't know who it was for, but
18	A I was not aware, till very recently in reading	18	there was a very voluminous public records request that
19	some of your your work, about any of that.	19	was done related to our e-mails.
20	Q Okay. Okay. If you could look at 7, which you	20	Q Did you actually see the public records
21	already have. And that's an e-mail from Randall Barnes	21	request?
22	to David Moore and you. And as I understand the e-mail,	22	A I seem to recall seeing the request, but we
23	Mr. Moore is writing to you and he says, I saw an	23	don't get to know who it's from.
24	article on a blog, something talking about privatization	24	Q I'm really perplexed with this. I don't know.
25	of JEA. Obviously, there's a lot of work to be done and	25	We didn't send a public record.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	55		57
1	the RFP on the street relates to this.	1	MR. MURPHY: That's what I was mentioning to
2	And you didn't respond. We never saw it. Do	2	you on the phone, that he already went through all
2 3	And you didn't respond. We never saw it. Do you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore?	2 3	you on the phone, that he already went through all the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah.
3	you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore?	3	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah.
3 4	you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore?A I recall receiving it and that it was part of	3 4	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us.
3 4 5	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we 	3 4 5	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting.
3 4 5 6	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and 	3 4 5 6	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL:
3 4 5 6 7	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this 	3 4 5 6 7	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request
3 4 5 6 7 8	you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through	3 4 5 6 7 8	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. Q Did you see Randall Barnes' response to this 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't have any documents that relate to you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. Q Did you see Randall Barnes' response to this e-mail from David Moore? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't have any documents that relate to you. I guess I need we should be up to 8 now.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. Q Did you see Randall Barnes' response to this e-mail from David Moore? A I same answer as the last in that I 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't have any documents that relate to you. I guess I need we should be up to 8 now. This is a response to the RFP that came from Morgan
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. Q Did you see Randall Barnes' response to this e-mail from David Moore? A I same same answer as the last in that I recall through having done the public records 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't have any documents that relate to you. I guess I need we should be up to 8 now. This is a response to the RFP that came from Morgan Stanley, Exhibit 8. Are you familiar with this with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. Q Did you see Randall Barnes' response to this e-mail from David Moore? A I same answer as the last in that I recall that through having done the public records request related to this. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't have any documents that relate to you. I guess I need we should be up to 8 now. This is a response to the RFP that came from Morgan Stanley, Exhibit 8. Are you familiar with this with the document?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. Q Did you see Randall Barnes' response to this e-mail from David Moore? A I same same answer as the last in that I recall that through having done the public records request related to this. Q And in his response, Mr. Barnes doesn't dispute 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't have any documents that relate to you. I guess I need we should be up to 8 now. This is a response to the RFP that came from Morgan Stanley, Exhibit 8. Are you familiar with this with the document? A I mean, it's been too long. I don't remember
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. Q Did you see Randall Barnes' response to this e-mail from David Moore? A I same same answer as the last in that I recall that through having done the public records request related to this. Q And in his response, Mr. Barnes doesn't dispute the statement in Mr. Moore's e-mail that the RFP relates 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't have any documents that relate to you. I guess I need we should be up to 8 now. This is a response to the RFP that came from Morgan Stanley, Exhibit 8. Are you familiar with this with the document? A I mean, it's been too long. I don't remember this document.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 you recall receiving this e-mail from David Moore? A I recall receiving it and that it was part of our public records request related to this case that we had to go back and look through and generate and produce, which I'm assuming this is how you have this today. So from that aspect, you know, I recall through that research that I would have gotten that. (Greive's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) Q Sure. But you we don't have a response from you. A No. Q Do you recall if you responded? A No. Q Did you see Randall Barnes' response to this e-mail from David Moore? A I same same answer as the last in that I recall that through having done the public records request related to this. Q And in his response, Mr. Barnes doesn't dispute the statement in Mr. Moore's e-mail that the RFP relates to JEA. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the e-mails and gave them to somebody, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: Not to us. THE WITNESS: Interesting. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q And you're sure it was a public records request and not perhaps just a generic request from the Office of General Counsel that you gathered documents? A I'm fairly confident it was a public records request. Q I think that was a document we need. So we've never seen such a document. We don't know where those documents or seen such a request and we don't have any of those documents. We don't have a unless we got it in connection with a JEA production, we don't have any documents that relate to you. I guess I need we should be up to 8 now. This is a response to the RFP that came from Morgan Stanley, Exhibit 8. Are you familiar with this with the document? A I mean, it's been too long. I don't remember this document. (Greive's Exhibit 8 was marked for

	58		60
1	Q Okay. The document refers to utility a number	1	A So to to clarify
2	of times. I think it's actually something over 20. And	2	Q Sure.
3	it also lists several people with utility experience.	3	A on that last one, I seem to recall some
4	Do you know why Morgan Stanley, in this	4	communications where there were questions as to whether
5	document, included those people in that term	5	or not this was related to, you know, like you said
6	"utilities"?	6	earlier, the initiative on the street. And the
7	A No, I don't know why Morgan Stanley chose to	7	responses were, no, this is a broad RFP. And those were
8	focus on them. I mean, I see many other and	8	in the public records request that we that I
9	that's that's using your conclusion that they they	9	mentioned to you earlier.
10	focused on that without reading this thoroughly. I	10	Q In connection with preparing gathering the
11	mean, I also seen on page 11 several references to	11	documents responsive to the public records request, did
12	parking, wastewater, ports, roads, lotteries, other	12	you personally gather some documents to give to the
13	P3s.	13	in response to the public records request?
14	Q Okay. Do you know if Morgan Stanley was told	14	A The way it works is we work through our IT
15	by anybody that the potent a potential privatization	15	group to pull any and all communications that could fit.
16	entity under the RFP could be JEA?	16	We didn't have to review and determine what records are
17	A I'm not aware of whether or not they were	17	responsive to the request, like, anything that contains
18	told	18	the search terms or, you know, the items requested in
19	Q Okay.	19	the RFP.
20	A that that could be.	20	Q Okay. Did you personally
21	Q Who controlled what information was given out	21	A Yes, yes.
22	to the RFP respondents in connection with the RFP?	22	Q I didn't mean to step on you.
23	Since PFM was administering it, were they controlling	23	A Yes.
24	what information that the respondents could obtain in	24	Q You personally were involved in that process.
25	preparing the response?	25	Did you actually see the RFP items that were
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	59		61
1	A So going back to my earlier comment, given the	1	requested?
2	length of time that's passed, I don't recall the specific work flow of, you know, any information or	3	 A Did I see the RFP items that were requested? Q Yeah. Public records request has to ask
4	information flow between the City, PFM, any potential	4	A Yes.
5	respondents.	5	Q for describe the documents
6	Q Okay. You personally didn't respond to anybody	6	A Yes.
7	about the RFP in regards to any question they may have	7	Q do you recall seeing that?
8	in connection with preparing the response?	8	A Yes.
9	A Not that I recall. And, again, it's been a	9	Q Okay. Then your IT people used search terms to
10	while.	10	help gather responsive documents and you looked at those
11	Q Okay. And do you recall anybody in your area	11	documents to make sure, in fact, they were responsive?
12	or department responding to any inquiries by potential	12	A Yes.
13	respondents?	13	Q Okay. Was that only you or was that other
14	A So not not that I recall, just given the	14	people in the treasury department?
15	length of time that's passed.	15	A So I don't recall if Randall was involved in
16	Q And you've never seen or recall seeing, I think	16	reviewing his e-mails, I can't state. Just given the
17	that was the way I've asked, a writing that was a	17	amount of time that's passed since the public records
18	question from a respondent, that you were provided a	18	request, I can't recall specifically who looked at what,
19	copy of, asking a question about the RFP?	19	but I do know that I was involved in reviewing the
20	A Say that one more time.	20	large you know, the first round that we then have to
21	Q Did you ever see a writing in which a potential	21	review and determine you know, look at what is
22	respondent asked somebody for information concerning the	22	responsive to the request. Because you pick up when
23	RFP?	23	you run those, you pick up all kinds of marketing data
24	A Not that I recall.	24	and just people e-mailing us stuff from any of these
25	Q Okay.	25	firms related to, you know, anything. Investment market
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	62		64
1	updates, debt market updates, things like that, we have	1	Wannemacher to William Pedersen with Morgan Stanley.
2	to filter those out to then give you a true response	2	And he's providing Mr Mr. Wannemacher is
3	because it cost them, the requestor, money to, you know,	3	providing William Pedersen with an ten-year pro forma on
4	pay for the copies and our time.	4	our IRP case on electric.
5	Q In connection with the documents that you're	5	At this time was Morgan Stanley one of the
6	required to inspect and determine they were responsive,	6	respondents to the RFP, which we marked as Exhibit 3?
7	did you look at documents that had a relationship to	7	A So I do not recall by memory. Looking at the
8	you, personally that you had some involvement in or you	8	proposal deadline on this issue in connection to this
9	just got the bulk of the documents because you were the	9	financial advisory RFP of January 15th, that RFP would
10	head of the department?	10	have concluded for submission purposes at that time.
11	A I can't recall specifically.	11	And I don't recall whether or not sitting here today,
12	Q Okay. And you thought, you know, Randall also	12	whether or not
13	looked at some. Do you know how Randall was chosen to	13	(Greive's Exhibit 9 was marked for
14	look Randall Barnes was chosen to look at some of the	14	identification.)
15	responsive potentially responsive documents?	15	MR. BLODGETT: Just to clarify, Morgan
16	A So, again, I can't state unequivocally under	16	Stanley's response is one of the exhibits we looked
17	oath that he did review them because I don't recall	17	at.
18	specifically whether or not he was involved in reviewing	18	THE WITNESS: They're they're one of the
19	the e-mails, but the document production generated	19	four that we talked about earlier?
20	e-mails that had Mike Weinstein, Randall Barnes and my	20	MR. BLODGETT: Well, Morgan yes. And then
21	name as the e-mail addresses that these were coming from	21	Morgan Stanley's response is one of the exhibits we
22	and to.	22	looked at. The date is on the response.
23	Q Okay. When the documents were withered out,	23	MR. RUSSELL: That we've already marked.
24	the nonresponsive documents were taken away somehow by	24	THE WITNESS: So so
25	some people there, do you know what the volume of the	25	MR. BLODGETT: It's right there.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	63		65
1	documents was that was actually given by your department	1	MR. RUSSELL: It's 15. It's in there.
2	in response to the RFP?	2	A So based on Exhibit 8, the submission date of
4	A I'd have to go back to my earlier under	4	January 16th and the date of this e-mail that you've put
4 5	oath, it's tough to guess a number, but it was it was several communications, e-mails.	4 5	in front of me, which this is the first time I'm seeing this e-mail
6	Q And, again, I'm just trying to get a range.	6	Q Right.
7	Less than 100?	7	 A the answer to your question would have been
8	A By order of magnitude, I'd have to go with my	8	yes.
9	10 to 100	9	Q Do you know if they had been chosen on that
10	Q Okay.	10	date of this e-mail, January 28th, as a successful
11	A that I gave earlier.	11	respondent?
12	Q Yeah.	12	A I don't recall whether or not I don't recall
13	A But, you know, I could be wrong. Just I hate	13	the date that we made our selections and notifications
14	to I don't want to be wrong under oath.	14	to the, quote, winners of the strategic initiatives RFP,
15	Q Okay. That helps us affix a range. And what	15	which, again, we've built a bench that we can call on in
16	I'm trying to understand is you used 100, you think	16	the future, if needed.
17	that's the maximum, no more than 100	17	Q Do you know why Morgan Stanley was receiving
18	A Right.	18	financial information concerning JEA providing yeah,
19	Q different responses?	19	why Morgan Stanley was asking for and being provided
20	A Again, that that would be my best guess,	20	financial information concerning JEA on January 22nd,
21	under 100.	21	2018?
1		1	
22	Q And and I'm sure that's not precise. I'm	22	A No.
22 23	Q And and I'm sure that's not precise. I'm just trying to get a parameter of what we're talking	22 23	A No.Q Do you know if this request had anything to do
23	just trying to get a parameter of what we're talking	23	Q Do you know if this request had anything to do

	66		68
1	Q Look at Exhibit 10, please. And this is a	1	A Exhibit 11?
2	First Coast News article, dated March 2018. I just want	2	Q Yes.
3	to ask you some questions about some of the statements	3	MR. BLODGETT: 11, 12 and 13, all are
4	that are made in this news article. The first bullet	4	THE WITNESS: 11, 12 and 13.
5	point that we've highlighted there basically says that	5	MR. BLODGETT: are a series of e-mails.
6	Michael Mace, PFM, that we talked about before, Michael	6	A Not that I recall Exhibit 11. Where is the
7	Weinstein, who was the CFO at this period of time, and	7	meeting referenced in Exhibit 12? Was that referring
8	senior leadership team of Moelis & Company investment	8	back to the Exhibit 11 meeting?
9	bank visited JEA on January 23rd, 2018. Were you	9	Q Yes.
10	present at this meeting?	10	A Sono.
11	(Greive's Exhibit 10 was marked for	11	And Exhibit 13 appears to be the same meeting,
12	identification.)	12	around the same date, I don't recall being at that.
13	A No.	13	Q Do you recall being present at a meeting at a
14	Q Do you know who also attended the meeting,	14	hotel outside of near the airport that related to
15	other than described in the article?	15	JEA?
16	A No.	16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you know the investment banking firm called	17	Q Do you recall on what date that meeting
18	Moelis?	18	occurred?
19	A I do now, but didn't at the time.	19	A Don't recall the date, but I seem to recall it
20	Q Okay. You also didn't know why they were at	20	being mid to late February, based on the review and
21	the meeting, do you?	21	preparation for this meeting.
22	A No.	22	Q How did you come to what hotel was it, do
23	Q Do you have anything knowledge about what	23	you remember?
24	was discussed at that meeting?	24	A I don't remember the exact name of the hotel,
25	A No.	25	but it was up near the airport.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	67		69
1	Q You ever talk to Mike Weinstein about it?	1	Q SpringHill perhaps? If you don't know, you
2	A No.	2	don't know.
3	Q Okay. And after they left the first meeting	3	A Yeah, I don't know. It was off to the left of
4	that's described here, do you know anything about the	4	Airport Road when you're driving to the airport.
5	second meeting that occurred with the mayor?	5	Q How did you come to attend that meeting?
6	 A Can you point to that bullet point? A Yeah I mean right there on the better 	6	A I don't recall specifically, but I I know that Mike asked me to be there at the airport meeting,
8	Q Yeah. I mean, right there on the bottom, Immediately after the meeting, the Moelis team heads	8	at the hotel meeting near the airport.
9	over to City Hall for a meeting with Mayor Curry,	9	Q Do you recall besides you, I guess Mike
10	according to Curry's calendar.	10	Weinstein was there. Who else was at the meeting?
11	And my question I have: Do you know anything	11	A So from the City side, we had Mike Weinstein,
12	about that meeting, who was there, what was it about,	12	myself and Randall Barnes, that's all I recall from the
13	anything?	13	City side.
14	A No.	14	From the JEA side, I recall Ryan Wannemacher
15	Q Okay. Okay. Exhibits 11, 12 and 13 are all	15	being there. I seem to recall Joe Orfano being there.
16	related to each other and they relate to meetings at the	16	And also Melissa Dykes. Beyond that, it's been so long,
17	Jacksonville International Airport, on January 24th,	17	I can't remember any other attendees.
18	amongst JEA, City employees and investment banks.	18	Q Do you recall if anybody from Moelis Bank was
19	The did you attend any of the meetings that were	19	there?
20	scheduled in connection with these e-mails, do you	20	A No, I don't remember that name being present.
21	recall?	21	Q Do you recall if Michael Mace from PFM was
22	A Which e-mails?	22	there?
23	(Greive's Exhibits 11, 12 and 13 were marked	23	A I don't recall him being there. But, again,
24	for identification.)	24	it's been so long, I don't know.
25	Q The	25	Q Okay. Okay. And you don't recall having
1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	70	1	72
1	anything to do with a meeting prior to this meeting in	1	MR. MURPHY: He's not agreeing to waive any
2	February concerning JEA at a hotel near the airport?	2	attorney/client privilege
3	A No, that was one meeting and that was it.	3	MR. RUSSELL: Right.
4	Q Okay. Okay. And I understand the earlier	4	MR. MURPHY: but you represent the City of
5	meeting was at the hotel was not at the hotel, it was	5	Jacksonville and you are sitting here waiving the
6	at the airport. Same answer, it was at the airport	6	privilege between Greenberg Trauig and the City of
7	hotel, you didn't attend it?	7	Jacksonville. I just want to make sure that we're
8	A No, I only attended one, the meeting.	8	clear about that since you're asking him questions
9	Q Okay. Okay. Look Exhibit 14, please. It's an	9	about it and you've attached a document that's
10	e-mail exchange between PFM and the City, which is	10	clearly an attorney/client communication.
11	Randall Barnes, and Greenberg Trauig regarding the	11	MR. RUSSELL: Not under Federal records law,
12	effect on privatization of JEA bonds.	12	it's not in there.
13	Do you know why Randall Barnes was having this	13	MR. MURPHY: Just want to make sure to the
14	exchange of information with Greenberg Trauig?	14	extent that there is attorney/client communication,
15	A Give me a moment to re-read this e-mail.	15	you are waiving that, not Mr. Greive.
16	(Greive's Exhibit 14 was marked for	16	MR. BLODGETT: Just so you know, the process
17	identification.)	17	is, when we're done with this transcript, OGC's
18	Q Sure.	18	going to review it for exemptions and privileges and
19	A I seem to recall we were looking into it, we,	19	things like that.
20	as in Randall, to get an understanding of why JEA would	20	MR. MURPHY: Okay.
21	have been looking into this mechanism within their	21	MR. RUSSELL: So if anything
22	recent bond issue to understand.	22	MR. MURPHY: I just want to make sure he
23	Q And the event that causes the question is the	23	doesn't get in trouble for somehow waiving
24	potential privatization of JEA?	24	privilege.
25	A So I I don't know the answer to that, other	25	MR. RUSSELL: That's a fair question. Kevin's
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	74		70
1	71	1	73
1	than the government had recently removed the ability to	1	answer is precisely right.
2	than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind	2	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay.
2 3	than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any	2 3	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I
2 3 4	than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or	2 3 4	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged
2 3 4 5	than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their	2 3 4 5	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that
2 3 4	than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied.	2 3 4	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC
2 3 4 5 6	than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research
2 3 4 5 6 7	than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a privatization? That's why I thought this communication 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: But since it didn't relate to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a privatization? That's why I thought this communication related to potential privatization of JEA and its impact 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: But since it didn't relate to okay. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q 15. This is a letter, dated February 1, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens he asked the question, Randall Barnes, what happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a privatization? That's why I thought this communication related to potential privatization of JEA and its impact on the bonds. A Yeah. I don't know of any. I don't recall. MR. RUSSELL: Just so I'm clear, you guys are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: But since it didn't relate to okay. BY MR. RUSSELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens he asked the question, Randall Barnes, what happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a privatization? That's why I thought this communication related to potential privatization of JEA and its impact on the bonds. A Yeah. I don't know of any. I don't recall. MR. RUSSELL: Just so I'm clear, you guys are waiving attorney/client privilege, then, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: But since it didn't relate to okay. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q 15. This is a letter, dated February 1, that you sent to Mike Weinstein? A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens he asked the question, Randall Barnes, what happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a privatization? That's why I thought this communication related to potential privatization of JEA and its impact on the bonds. A Yeah. I don't know of any. I don't recall. MR. RUSSELL: Just so I'm clear, you guys are waiving attorney/client privilege, then, communications with lawyers because this is a public 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: But since it didn't relate to okay. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q 15. This is a letter, dated February 1, that you sent to Mike Weinstein? A Okay. (Greive's Exhibit 15 was marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens he asked the question, Randall Barnes, what happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a privatization? That's why I thought this communication related to potential privatization of JEA and its impact on the bonds. A Yeah. I don't know of any. I don't recall. MR. RUSSELL: Just so I'm clear, you guys are waiving attorney/client privilege, then, communications with lawyers because this is a public transcript? I just want to be clear about that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: But since it didn't relate to okay. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q 15. This is a letter, dated February 1, that you sent to Mike Weinstein? A Okay. (Greive's Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens he asked the question, Randall Barnes, what happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a privatization? That's why I thought this communication related to potential privatization of JEA and its impact on the bonds. A Yeah. I don't know of any. I don't recall. MR. RUSSELL: Just so I'm clear, you guys are waiving attorney/client privilege, then, communications with lawyers because this is a public transcript? I just want to be clear about that. It's you waiving it, not him. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: But since it didn't relate to okay. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q 15. This is a letter, dated February 1, that you sent to Mike Weinstein? A Okay. (Greive's Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) Q And in this letter, you identify the four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 than the government had recently removed the ability to advance refund bonds. And if you don't have some kind of way to diffuse or pay off those bonds for any multitude of reasons, whether it's refinancing or restructuring debt, repositioning of the curve, their hands would have been tied. Q Okay. A But I don't I don't know the answer to your question. Q The reason I thought it related to privatization, and potentially the other things that you just mentioned, is in Randall Barnes' e-mail on Thursday, January 25th, to David Moore. What happens he asked the question, Randall Barnes, what happens to the tax exempt debt that's not currently callable in a privatization? That's why I thought this communication related to potential privatization of JEA and its impact on the bonds. A Yeah. I don't know of any. I don't recall. MR. RUSSELL: Just so I'm clear, you guys are waiving attorney/client privilege, then, communications with lawyers because this is a public transcript? I just want to be clear about that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	answer is precisely right. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: I don't think it's privileged. I don't think the communications would be privileged under public records law, but we don't take that responsibility. OGC MR. MURPHY: Yeah, I haven't done that research so I just wanted to make sure, you know MR. RUSSELL: Even now, OGC has looked at the document before it got here. MR. MURPHY: Okay. MR. RUSSELL: But we'll need to double-check so thank you. MR. MURPHY: That that's something that I wasn't make sure, yeah. MR. RUSSELL: But since it didn't relate to okay. BY MR. RUSSELL: Q 15. This is a letter, dated February 1, that you sent to Mike Weinstein? A Okay. (Greive's Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)

	74	1	76
1	December 2018.	1	Q And I think I understand from your prior
2	A Okay.	2	testimony that of all those selected, none of these four
3	Q Who selected these as the winners?	3	entities ever performed any work pursuant to the RFP?
4	A My recollection was that Randall and I were the	4	A Correct.
5	two scorers of this RFP.	5	Q They were chosen, but never given any work.
6	Q Did PFM, as the consultant for the RFP, have	6	A Correct.
7	any input into the selection, do you recall?	7	Q Do you know, was there a particular reason why
8	A No. The only thing that PFM did for us was	8	these entities were never assigned any work concerning
9	as they do for many of our big, you know,	9	privatization?
10	multi-respondent RFPs, is compile all the responses into	10	A We during the time period in which the RFP
11	understandable matrices to highlight the responses in	11	was good for, we did not receive anything in the form
12	each category. Since there's generally, you know,	12	that would have required their analysis, such would have
13	several criteria and several responses to each criteria,	13	had to come in the form of a proposal from DIA or the
14	they synthesize it all for us so that we can more	14	airport or any anything.
15	quickly work.	15	Q Did the RFP have a specific term, such as these
16	Q And the four winners, if that's the right word,	16	winners were chosen for just don't remember?
17	were they selected sometime shortly before your letter?	17	A Yeah, I don't I'd have to read the RFP.
18	A I don't recall the date we we made our	18	MR. RUSSELL: Do you know?
19	selection, but the formal selection is the notification	19	MR. BLODGETT: No.
20	of the CFO.	20	BY MR. RUSSELL:
21	Q Okay. And the criteria that was used to select	21	Q Okay. We don't think it does, but you
22	these winners, is there can you describe that to me?	22	didn't find one?
23	Was it written? What is it you did to select the	23	A No.
24	winners?	24	Q Okay. What happens with an RFP like that when
25	A So if you look at page 6 of Exhibit 3, section	25	there's no termination date, the City can just
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	75		77
1	B8 or section 8	1	unilaterally terminate whenever they want?
2	Q Right.	2	A So this gets at the fundamental understanding
3	A Viii, we've got the	3	of how we operate with our investment banks for debt
4	Q Tell me the page it's on again, please.	4	issuance and for this example. And that we create a
5	A Oh, page 6.	5	team that we have assessed as qualified firms that could
6	Q Thank you.	6	be called upon, if need be. We didn't end up calling on
7	A We've got the weightings that we assigned to	7	any of these because the services weren't needed.
8	the various criteria and their response.	8	
9	·		We, likewise, if the City doesn't issue any
	Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal	9	We, likewise, if the City doesn't issue any debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we
10		9 10	
10 11	Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal		debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we
11 12	Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say?	10 11 12	debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using
11	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet 	10 11 12 13	debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria.
11 12 13 14	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you 	10 11 12 13 14	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.)
11 12 13 14 15	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. 	10 11 12 13 14 15	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL:
11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay.</pre>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this A Sorry. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial services. Do you know if JEA ever called on any of
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this A Sorry. Q is this do you remember the number of 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial services. Do you know if JEA ever called on any of those four four financial services for JEA?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this A Sorry. Q is this do you remember the number of them? 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial services. Do you know if JEA ever called on any of those four four financial services for JEA? A So the answer is no, I I don't recall. I
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this A Sorry. Q is this do you remember the number of them? A So so I don't recall, but it appears, from 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial services. Do you know if JEA ever called on any of those four four financial services for JEA? A So the answer is no, I I don't recall. I don't know how any of that would have worked
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this A Sorry. Q is this do you remember the number of them? A So so I don't recall, but it appears, from this document, that we scored seven of them. Now, 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial services. Do you know if JEA ever called on any of those four four financial services for JEA? A So the answer is no, I I don't recall. I don't know how any of that would have worked Q Okay.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this A Sorry. Q is this do you remember the number of them? A So so I don't recall, but it appears, from this document, that we scored seven of them. Now, whether everybody who we sent the RFP to responded 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial services. Do you know if JEA ever called on any of those four four financial services for JEA? A So the answer is no, I I don't recall. I don't know how any of that would have worked Q Okay. A with JEA.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this A Sorry. Q is this do you remember the number of them? A So so I don't recall, but it appears, from this document, that we scored seven of them. Now, whether everybody who we sent the RFP to responded Q Right. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: D You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial services. Do you know if JEA ever called on any of those four four financial services for JEA? A So the answer is no, I I don't recall. I don't know how any of that would have worked Q Okay. A with JEA. Q Do you have Exhibit 16 there? Excuse me.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q And this scoring, was it put down in informal writing, does it say? A My recollection is that we had an excel sheet that would have been used to generate the chart that you see on Exhibit 15, then we combine the two scores, the two scores in each category, and apply the weights thereto. Q And do you recall how many bidders you scored on this day or when you did the scoring? Is this A Sorry. Q is this do you remember the number of them? A So so I don't recall, but it appears, from this document, that we scored seven of them. Now, whether everybody who we sent the RFP to responded 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 debt, we wouldn't call upon any of the banks that we select for underwriting RFP in the same manner, using the same criteria. Q Okay. MR. RUSSELL: Break for about five minutes. (Recess taken.) BY MR. RUSSELL: Q You said that the City never called on any of the four members of the RFP to perform financial services. Do you know if JEA ever called on any of those four four financial services for JEA? A So the answer is no, I I don't recall. I don't know how any of that would have worked Q Okay. A with JEA.

[78		80
1	know wl	no Jody Brooks is?	1	BY MR. RUSSELL:
2	A	Yes.	2	Q Are you familiar with the portion of the
3		(Greive's Exhibit 16 was marked for	3	document that's been handed to you that's a list of
4	identific		4	questions called Bank Evaluation Questions or I think
5	Q	She's the chief financial officer of JEA?	5	they are? Have you seen this document before?
6	A	Yeah, yeah.	6	A It's been so long I can't confidently state
7	Q	And Melissa Dykes?	7	that this was the document, but I seem to recall there
8	A	Yes.	8	being a document similar to this in connection with the
9	Q	And Jody is sending to Melissa and Michael Mace	9	one meeting that I was at.
10		a nondisclosure and confidentiality agreement.	10	Q Okay. You would recall if you had someone
11		's sending it out on February 1st. Do you know	11	preparing these questions?
12		insaction this disclosure agreement related to?	12	A No.
13	Α	l've never seen this	13	Q Okay. You
14	Q	Okay.	14	A No, in fact, I
15			15	Q You don't?
16	A Q	nondisclosure agreement, so, no. The next exhibit, Exhibit 17, e-mail from	16	 A I would recall that I would not have had a
17		Mace to Jason Gredell and Kevin Plunkett. Do	17	
18			18	written script.
19		w who Jason	19	Q Thank you for a better answer than my question.
20	Α	I don't know who either of those people are. (Greive's Exhibit 17 was marked for	20	•
20	idontifi	cation.)	20	 A Yes, yes. O Okay. In what contaxt do you think you might
21		Pardon me?	22	Q Okay. In what context do you think you might
22	Q			have seen this document previously?
23 24	A	I don't know who either of those people are.	23	A So like I just stated, there was a document
24 25	Q	Okay. You don't know they're employees of	24 25	similar to this. Again, cannot state under oath this was the document.
25	Morgan	Stanley?	25	
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	_	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
1	А	79 No.	1	Q Right.
1	Q	Okay. Which Morgan Stanley's employees do you	2	
3		ere involved in responding to the RFP that they	3	A In connection with the one meeting I was at at the hotel, near the airport, where the firms were
4		the winner of?	4	presented to JEA that Mike, Randall and I were present.
5	A	I don't it's been so long, I don't know.	5	Q Was it a document similar to this one used in
6	Q	Okay. Exhibit 18 is an e-mail. Do you know	6	connection with the candidates you evaluated at the
7		Toporek Toporek is?	7	meeting you attended at the airport in February?
8	A who shi	I've never heard that name.	8	A Again, I I can't just given the time
9	~	(Greive's Exhibit 18 was marked for	9	that's passed, I can't unequivocally state that this was
10	identific		10	the document or similar to the document. I don't
11	Q	Okay. You don't know she's an employee of	11	remember what the the scoring process looked like
12		n Sachs?	12	during that meeting.
13	A	No.	13	Q Did you ever have any evaluation or other
14	Q	Okay. Thank you. Okay. There's a lot of	14	connection with Plant Vogtle and what Plant Vogtle may
15		nts. I have very few questions about them.	15	mean to the potential sale of JEA?
16			16	
17	A Q	Okay. We wanted to be complete. It's Exhibit 19.	17	A Not that I recall.Q Okay. Exhibit 19 is also a lot of documents,
18	Q		18	
	idontific	(Greive's Exhibit 19 was marked for		but I have a few questions about a part of it.
19 20	identific	,	19 20	A Okay.
		MR. BLODGETT: These are going to be the two		(Greive's Exhibit 20 was marked for
21	pag	es that matter.	21	identification.)
22		MR. RUSSELL: That's the full exhibit?	22	Q I'm going to show you the part that we get
23 24		MR. BLODGETT: Right, attachments to the	23 24	requested.
24	e-m		24 25	MR. MURPHY: You mean 20?
25			1 2 3	
1		THE WITNESS: Got you. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	20	THE WITNESS: Right. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

		82	1		84
1		MR. RUSSELL: Give him 20 then.	1	at JEA?	
2		MR. MURPHY: Thank you.	2	Α	The only thing I know of the PUP plan is what I
3	BY MR.	, RUSSELL:	3	read in	the newspaper
4	Q	Exhibit 20, why don't why don't you take a	4	Q	Yeah.
5	moment	t and look at it.	5	Α	and through your reports.
6	Α	Okay.	6	Q	Okay. All right. And Exhibit 20, did you
7	Q	Have you seen Exhibit 20 before?	7	had no i	role in preparing that document, did you?
8	Α	Not that I recall, but then it's been so long I	8	А	No.
9	don't k		9	Q	Okay.
10	Q	You mentioned you were familiar with Michael	10	Α	Not that I recall.
11	Mace pr	reparing a report	11	Q	Do you know if Mr. Weinstein assisted in the
12	Α	Uh-huh.	12	prepara	tion of this document?
13	Q	that was presented to city council?	13	Α	I don't I don't know if he was involved or
14	Α	Right.	14	not.	
15	Q	At the time you recall that you saw the report,	15	Q	Do you know who paid for the preparation of
16	when yo	ou saw the details of it?	16	this doc	ument, whether it was the City or JEA?
17	Α	Are you implying this is the document that was	17	А	No.
18	presen	ted to city council?	18	Q	Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 21, please. The
19	Q	Yes.	19	time on	this, we'll use a point of reference.
20	Α	If this is the document that was presented to	20	Α	Oh, 21?
21	city cou	uncil, then I do remember seeing this document.	21		(Greive's Exhibit 21 was marked for
22		MR. RUSSELL: My implication is correct, isn't	22	identific	ation.)
23	it?		23	Q	There's an entry under February 5th, perhaps
24		MR. BLODGETT: Yes.	24	you just	take a moment and just read that entry, I have
25		MR. RUSSELL: Okay. Thank you.	25	some qu	estions about it.
		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		83			85
1	BY MR.	RUSSELL:	1	Q	Did anyone in the Curry administration around
2	Q	Do you know what the purpose of the document	2	the time	e period of February 5th discuss with you
3	was?		3	preparir	g emergency legislation to authorize the sale of
4	Α	No, to present to city council.	4	JEA?	
5	Q	Was it trying to advocate okay. Was it	5	Α	No.
6		ing a certain event should occur at city	6	Q	Are you aware of any such discussions occurring
7	council?		7	within tl	ne administration?
8	Α	I wouldn't be in a position to know what their	8	Α	Not to my knowledge.
9	0	vere with this document.	9	Q	Did you go to the meeting on February 14th of
10	Q	Look at page 19 of the document, please.	10	the city	
11	A		11	Α	Was that the meeting where Michael Mace
12	Q	Okay. The document here is talking about net	12	•	ted the report?
13		Is to the City of Jacksonville and the range of	13	Q	Yes.
14		roceeds.	14	A	Yes, I was at that meeting.
15	A	Okay.	15	Q	In connection with that meeting, were you aware
16 17	Q T'll at lo	And this may be something you're not aware of,	16 17		lan that wasn't completed to try to present
18		ast find out. Are you aware that at some time, the senior leadership team of JEA stopped	18	sale of J	ncy legislation to the city council regarding the
19		at the net proceeds from the sale and started	19	A	Not that I recall.
20	-	at net book value to determine the value of the	20	Q	Okay. Okay. The meeting of the city council
20	-	tion? Is that something you're familiar with?	20		ruary the 14th and you recall attending that. Do
22	A	No.	22		all that it was perhaps the next day, on February
23	Q	Okay. Do you know how you've heard of the	23		hich the meeting at the SpringHill Suites near
24		n. Did you ever look at or understand or do	24		ort occurred?
25		g in connection with the Participation Unit Plan	25	A	Yes.
	,	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1 ··· ············

	86		88
1	Q Okay. Was there more than one meeting on that	1	A Yeah. No no, I don't recall what the you
2	day at the SpringHill Suites?	2	know, being it's been a couple of years, I don't recall
3	A Not to my knowledge.	3	what we were scoring them to ultimately do for JEA.
4	Q All right. Who do you recall being you may	4	Q Did it have anything to do with potential
5	have said this before, but I was at the wrong meeting,	5	privatization, to your recollection?
6	who do you recall being at the SpringHill Suites	6	A It's hard to answer definitively under oath. I
7	meeting, which appears to be on February 15th, 2018?	7	think that's been a couple of years. I think the term
8	A Yeah, my	8	privatization could, you know, mean many things. I
9	MR. MURPHY: Just so we're clear, he he	9	don't I hate to say it, you know, without
10	doesn't know that it was the SpringHill Suites,	10	specifically recalling.
11	so	11	Q Well, other than a potential sale of
12	MR. RUSSELL: Okay. I'm sorry.	12	privatization, perhaps a joint venture, what would an
13	MR. MURPHY: you're kind of making that	13	investment bank of Morgan Stanley's stature be doing for
14	presumption.	14	JEA?
15	BY MR. RUSSELL:	15	A I think, you know, as I stated, it was a
16	Q So the hotel near the airport, that's fine.	16	consultant to assist JEA. What exactly for, I I hate
17	MR. MURPHY: Yeah, fair enough.	17	to venture a guess without going back and reading the
18	A I think I've been consistent in saying the	18	documents from the meeting.
19	meeting that I was at at the hotel near the airport,	19	Q Okay. Did you take any notes at that meeting?
20	which was once, one meeting, as I stated earlier, was,	20	A Not that I recall. I seem to recall there
21	to my knowledge, Mike Weinstein, Randall Barnes and	21	being a a scoring grid that we submitted, but I
22	myself from the City. And the representatives that I	22	don't I don't have that. I wouldn't, you know I
23	recall being there from JEA were Ryan Wannemacher,	23	don't recall what what all we we wrote down or
24	Melissa Dykes, I believe Joe Orfano and then Julie I	24	took notes, if any.
25	think her name was Adamson or Adams, Julie.	25	Q Who's the "we" who submitted the scoring grid?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	87		89
1	MR. BLODGETT: Does Crawford sound	1	A So I was asked to be a scorer from the City
2	THE WITNESS: Crawford. Perfect. BY MR. RUSSELL:	2	side. And I do not know specifically who on the JEA side were scorers from that list of attendees that I
4	Q And I think you recall you didn't recall any	4	gave you. Whether it was Wannemacher, Orfano or Dykes
5	consultants being at the meeting?	5	or Julie, I don't know who among them was the were
6	A I don't recall any consultants as in PFM. The	6	the scorers. I can't I can't you know, it's been
7	presenters were at the meeting that we were reviewing	7	a couple years. I can't tell you definitively today.
8	and scoring.	8	Q Do you recall how many of you participated in
9	Q Okay. And who were those presenters?	9	the scoring?
10	A I don't recall the all the firms.	10	A No.
11	Q Were they investment banks?	11	Q Okay. Did the scoring happen after all the
12	A They were investment banks, yes.	12	presentations were done?
13	Q What were you interviewing those presenters to	13	A So I don't recall whether we scored and
14	do?	14	submitted along the way, after each one was complete or
15	A So I don't recall the exact charge that it was	15	if we waited until the end. Yeah, I can't I can't
16	going into, but the City was invited as a potentially	16	answer that a couple
17	interested party in the outcome of that exploration and	17	Q Who did you
18	it was for a consultant to assist JEA	18	A years later.
19	Q In doing	19	Q You said you submitted your scoring sheets, how
20	A was my understanding.	20	did who did you give them to, do you recall?
21	Q Assist JEA in doing what?	21	A I don't recall who specifically we gave them to
22	A I don't know the exact charge that they were	22	at JEA.
23	laid out for. I don't recall at this time.	23	Q Okay. And in issuing the notes, just to be
24	Q Do you know the exact charge I'm sorry, I	24	clear, were you given handouts at the meeting?
~ -		25	A I don't recall whether they simply presented or
25	don't mean to step on you. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		 A I don't recall whether they simply presented or Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	90			92
1	handed us a presentation book to follow along with, so I	1	Q	Okay.
2	can't answer that definitively.	2	Α	If if we made any type of selection, which,
3	Q Okay. Would you look at Exhibit 22, please?	3	you kno	ow, I I said and I'll say again, I don't recall
4	If I've got the right number.	4	what th	ne process was for scoring or selection that day,
5	A Okay.	5	you kno	ow, given that it's been a couple of years. But
6	(Greive's Exhibit 22 was marked for	6	we nev	er left that room. We were in the room.
7	identification.)	7	Q	And the
8	Q And that says it's JEA discussion materials, it	8	Α	If we concluded that process with some type of
9	has the date, I think we've agreed this meeting occurred	9	selectio	on, that's not something I remember.
10	February 15, 2018, and the document appears to be	10	Q	Right. My understanding, that was part of the
11	prepared by Morgan Stanley.	11	same m	eeting. And I understand that only three people
12	Do you recall receiving this document at the	12	participa	ated in the selection. So I don't know who
13	February 15th, 2018, meeting?	13	left. You	u could have stayed in the room and everybody
14	A I don't recall this one specifically.	14	else left	or you could have stayed in the room with
15	Q Do you recall how many companies made	15	everybo	dy, but only three people actually participated
16	presentations?	16	in the se	election and you don't recall that?
17	A Again, going back to the it's difficult to	17	Α	No. It's been too long.
18	venture a guess, you know, after a significant period of	18	Q	Okay. And I think you told me that you never
19	time has passed, but by order of magnitude, it was three	19	had any	discussion with Mayor Curry about the potential
20	or four.	20	privatiza	ation of JEA?
21	Q Okay. And if you look at page 5 of the	21	Α	No.
22	document you have there, which is the Morgan Stanley	22	Q	Okay. And, therefore, the two winners of the
23	document of the date of that meeting. And it says on	23	selectior	n process that occurred on February 15th, do you
24	the top bullet point, Given our vast experience and	24	recall wh	no they were?
25	expertise, we believe Morgan Stanley is uniquely	25	Α	You said two winners?
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
	91		_	93
1	qualified to execute the potential privatization of JEA.	1	Q	Yeah. Was it was it three? How many did
2	Does that refresh your recollection about what	2	you cho	
3	the consultants were being hired to do?A Somewhat.	3	A Q	I don't know.
5	A Somewhat.Q So you have now some recollection that might	5	A	Okay. I'm just asking.
6	have concerned privatization in some form?	6	Q	I thought there was two, might have been three,
7	A Again, it's tough to answer this question under	7		hat I'm trying to find out. Do you recall how
8	oath, but potentially.	8		ere chosen?
9	Q Do you know why the meeting that ended up being	9	Α	Let me re-clarify. Are you state your
10	occurring at SpringHill was conducted not on JEA	10		n again.
11	premises?	11	Q	Sure. We know February 15th
12	A Nope.	12	А	Okay.
13	Q Okay. My understanding is after this meeting,	13	Q	there were a number of presenters
14	throughout the day, and I'm not trying to put words in	14	Α	Uh-huh.
15	your mouth, I'm trying to refresh your recollection,	15	Q	and a number of presenters were chosen to
16	that the group of three people were then designated to	16	get the	work for JEA. How many presenters were chosen?
17	complete the forms and select the three winners or	17	Α	I I don't recall. There was more than one
18	the winners of the presentation that day. And I thought	18	that wa	is chosen?
19	it was Michael Mace. And that the three people who went	19	Q	Okay.
20	out separately after the meeting to make the selection	20	Α	That's a question.
21	was Joe Orfano, who you know, Michael Mace and yourself.	21	Q	More than one, that's the best you can do?
22	Do you have any recollection of you three going	22	Α	No, no, I'm asking you.
23	off and making a selection of the from the presenters	23	Q	Oh.
24	of who would be awarded the JEA work?	24	Α	It was more than it was more than one?
25	A No, if there was no going off.	25	Q	Sure. Yeah, there was more than one, we
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

		94	96
1	understand.	1	had any direct understanding of Mayor Curry's attitude
2	A No, I don't know. I don't recall.	2	towards the privatization of JEA?
3	Q Okay. Do you recall the one who was	chosen? 3	A Correct.
4	MR. MURPHY: That's not fair. Yeah, th	e one 4	Q Exhibit 24. All right. I'm going to show you
5	who was chosen, only like there was one, he	just 5	at the end. This is an e-mail discussing the resolution
6	said	6	of the MEAG issues in connection with JEA. And you're
7	MR. RUSSELL: Oh, I'm sorry.	7	copied, I believe, on this document.
8	BY MR. RUSSELL:	8	A Can you please point to that?
9	Q You recall one. What's the one you rec	all? 9	(Greive's Exhibit 24 was marked for
10	A Yeah. I I hate to guess under oa	th. 10	identification.)
11	Q You just don't remember?	11	Q Yeah. I'm sorry. I'll find it. It says the
12	MR. MURPHY: If you don't recall, you o	lon't 12	treasurer, but I don't see his name.
13	recall.	13	MR. MURPHY: Orfano is treasurer of JEA.
14	A Yeah.	14	MR. BLODGETT: I do not think he is copied on
15	Q And you don't recall what, in fact, was	one of 15	this.
16	the ones chosen at this February 15th meeting a	so a 16	MR. RUSSELL: Okay.
17	winner in connection with the response to the De	cember 17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall this.
18	2018 RFP from the City?	18	BY MR. RUSSELL:
19	A No, would that be I don't remem		Q Well, let me ask the question then. Do you
20	at that meeting at the hotel meeting at th		recall having any involvement with the assessment of the
21	so I don't know if they overlapped. It would		resolution of the issues concerning the MEAG agreement
22	surprising in that there's only so many firm		between JEA and MEAG?
23	this kind of work, but I I don't want to ve		A I mean, to the extent that after the case was
24	guess.	24	settled between the parties, I got a phone call
25	Q One of the winners of the RFP in 2018,	I think 25	notifying us of that, which was important because the
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	95	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 97
1	you did identify, I think I showed you was Morga		City was downgraded due to the litigation. So when the
2	Stanley.	2	litigation was settled, JEA notified us and that was
3	A Okay.	3	well received news. And, ultimately, Moody's
4	Q Does that help your recollection of who	was 4	re-upgraded us or removed their three-notch downgrade,
5	chosen at this meeting?	5	restored two of the notches, but that was all after the
6	A My recollection of Morgan Stanley's	involvement 6	fact.
7	has been refreshed through recent news art	icles and your 7	Q Right. Other than the MEAG issue, are you
8	work, not from memory of what occurred at	the date of 8	aware of JEA being downgraded for any other reasons?
9	that meeting.	9	A I have read the reports related to JEA's
10	Q And I'm sorry if I asked this before, do	you 10	downgrades, as I've read the reports related to the
11	remember I think the answer's no, but let me	just 11	City's downgrades because that's a critical component of
12	confirm it who was actually doing the selection	12	understanding and communicating with the bond markets.
13	besides yourself?	13	And in those reports, there's a multitude of factors
14	A No, only that, like I said, it was one		discussed, but the primary reason for the downgrade was
15	people at JEA was on the score you know		the litigation.
16	of the people at JEA were a part of the scori		Q Did you ever discuss the INT process with any
17	but I don't know who else they had.	17	of the three members of the administration who
18	Q Okay. This is an article based on yo		participated in that? Stephanie Burch
19	prior testimony, you're not going to know the an		 A Who were the three? 2 Use asing to tall user. Standardia Reach?
20	but let's just confirm it did you ever discuss th	e 20 21	Q I'm going to tell you. Stephanie Burch?A No.
21 22	privatization of JEA with Mayor Curry?	21	A No.
22	A No.Q And so you have no idea and what w		Q Randall Barnes?A No. We kept a firewall between us during that
23	article August 12th, 2020, whether oh, this		time.
25	comes from an earlier time. So at no time have		Q And who made that decision?
_ _ •		/	
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

	98		100
1	A We collectively decided it was best to not talk	1	Then the new replacement tax would kick into
2	to each other about anything related to the ITN.	2	replacement, it's a you know, residents, citizens
3	Q We is with the	3	feel no different amount of pay when they buy things.
4	A Correct. Never once did he share with me any	4	And that new pension liability surtax, is what
5	piece of information in connection with the ITN and that	5	it's called under state legislature, would be allocated
6	was by design, we chose to keep it that way.	6	to paying off our pension system.
7	Q In connection with this process, we've been	7	Q Okay. And I asked that question, I didn't know
8	talking today about the potential privatization, did you	8	there was a hole left still left to be filled with
9	ever develop an opinion as to whether privatization of	9	JEA proceeds for potential liability.
10	JEA was a good idea?	10	A So the concept at the time was that rather than
11	A My assessments were not decided in any way to	11	waiting until 2027 or 20 2030 when this new tax was
12	advocate for or against the thoughts that or the	12	going to kick in, we would pay it off today. And, you
13	analysis we did was, basically, if something like this	13	know, many of you are smart in this room and know that
14	were to occur and if the City were to receive some	14	paying something off today is a lot cheaper than paying
15	amount of money, what would the City do with that money?	15	it off in the future, assuming our 7 percent investment
16	And that was pay down debt, pay off our pension and	16	return in the pension system. It's a dramatic discount
17	establish a capital fund to pay for future capital needs	17	to pay it off today and then the citizens could, you
18	of the City so we could remain debt fee. That was my	18	know, not have to pay as much under that other half
19	extent of the analysis on the JEA financial matters.	19	penny and save a lot.
20	Q That analysis of the service a sum of money	20	Q Okay. And this analysis that you talked about,
21	to perform that analysis, assume that a certain amount	21	do you recall when approximately when it begin
22	would be realized?	22	began?
23	A The sum that I recall using was 3 billion net	23	A I don't recall the exact time period, but it
24	to the City, which I pulled from previously available	24	was well after everything was very much established in
25	reports and then, ultimately, I believe we were	25	the in the media and the city council, you know.
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
		-	
	00		101
1	99	1	0 And did it
1	confirmed in using that number on the conservative side	1	Q And did it
2	confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city	2	Q And did itA There's nothing prior to any of this public
2 3	confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than	2 3	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that.
2 3 4	confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went	2 3 4	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in
2 3 4 5	confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for	2 3 4 5	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money
2 3 4 5 6	confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects?
2 3 4 5 6 7	confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how this happened. Didn't we I mean, Jacksonville, so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would have been, just I don't think there's a date certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how this happened. Didn't we I mean, Jacksonville, so didn't we, in Jacksonville, undertake a plan to pay off 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would have been, just I don't think there's a date certain that we said, okay, we're not going to, you know, think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how this happened. Didn't we I mean, Jacksonville, so didn't we, in Jacksonville, undertake a plan to pay off the pension debt by a sales tax increase? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would have been, just I don't think there's a date certain that we said, okay, we're not going to, you know, think about this anymore. I think it just became irrelevant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how this happened. Didn't we I mean, Jacksonville, so didn't we, in Jacksonville, undertake a plan to pay off the pension debt by a sales tax increase? A We extended an existing half penny under the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would have been, just I don't think there's a date certain that we said, okay, we're not going to, you know, think about this anymore. I think it just became irrelevant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how this happened. Didn't we I mean, Jacksonville, so didn't we, in Jacksonville, undertake a plan to pay off the pension debt by a sales tax increase? A We extended an existing half penny under the BJP program, that is set to expire at 2030 or when the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would have been, just I don't think there's a date certain that we said, okay, we're not going to, you know, think about this anymore. I think it just became irrelevant after these discussions that you've been involved with had progressed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how this happened. Didn't we I mean, Jacksonville, so didn't we, in Jacksonville, undertake a plan to pay off the pension debt by a sales tax increase? A We extended an existing half penny under the BJP program, that is set to expire at 2030 or when the debt is paid off, whichever comes sooner. Our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would have been, just I don't think there's a date certain that we said, okay, we're not going to, you know, think about this anymore. I think it just became irrelevant after these discussions that you've been involved with had progressed. Q Okay. And the date I was looking for is when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how this happened. Didn't we I mean, Jacksonville, so didn't we, in Jacksonville, undertake a plan to pay off the pension debt by a sales tax increase? A We extended an existing half penny under the BJP program, that is set to expire at 2030 or when the debt is paid off, whichever comes sooner. Our projections show that it'll likely come sooner, 2027 or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would have been, just I don't think there's a date certain that we said, okay, we're not going to, you know, think about this anymore. I think it just became irrelevant after these discussions that you've been involved with had progressed. Q Okay. And the date I was looking for is when the sale potential for the sale was terminated in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 confirmed in using that number on the conservative side after Michael Mace presented his analysis to city council showing potentially, I think, even more than that. He has a net number with the City, but we went with 3 billion just to be on the conservative side for discussions analysis. Q And you told us these things that you considered using those net proceeds for was infrastructure? A So the three concepts that, you know, me and Randall, down in the finance department, had kind of been kicking around were paying off all the City's debt so we'd be debt free, paying off our pension liability and establishing a capital improvement reserve of some kind to fund future capital needs instead of having to issue new debt. Q Okay. Was there I'm trying to remember how this happened. Didn't we I mean, Jacksonville, so didn't we, in Jacksonville, undertake a plan to pay off the pension debt by a sales tax increase? A We extended an existing half penny under the BJP program, that is set to expire at 2030 or when the debt is paid off, whichever comes sooner. Our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q And did it A There's nothing prior to any of this public you know, public meetings and stuff that ended that. Q Is there an event that caused it to end in which you stopped talking about spending the JEA money for these projects? A So, again, these these were just concepts and ideas that we were kicking around. As the City's finance department, if we didn't have an answer for what would the City do if it received some kind of lump sum of cash, you know, I'd be derelict in my responsibility. So we had to have some kind of idea, which was it was just kicking around ideas and thoughts. Q Sure. But there came a point in time when there was no longer any need to talk about what would happen to the JEA money, what it would be used for? A Yeah. I don't I don't know when that would have been, just I don't think there's a date certain that we said, okay, we're not going to, you know, think about this anymore. I think it just became irrelevant after these discussions that you've been involved with had progressed. Q Okay. And the date I was looking for is when

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 up and said stop it and it was over by the end of January. A So when the mayor says nothing is going to happen related to JEA, that is definitely a day you can point to as when I would not have been modeling anything anymore. Q Okay. And these concepts you were kicking around with Randall Barnes, did you ever talk to anybody else in the City about the use of the JEA proceeds for those purposes? A We were asked by council members, just other people in general, you know, what would the City do with with money, if it received it as a part of anything like this. And those were the concepts that we shared with them, anybody who asked. Q I think the ITN process began that Randall participated in, it was in August, wasn't it? MR. BLODGETT: It was issued August 2nd, 2019. Q All right. Do you recall in connection with the discussion you were having with Randall Barnes about the potential use of these proceeds, did it happen before Randall Barnes participated in the ITN? A I don't recall the the time line on when we started talking about that, but to be clear and to state 	123456789011234567 111234567 111234567 1112345 11235 11255 112555 112555 112555 112555 11255	Lerrificate of OATH STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DUVAL) I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that PATRICK JOSEPH GREI VE personally appeared before me on November 17, 2020, and was duly sworn. WITNESS my hand and official seal on December 3, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida.
25	again, just as as definitive as I can, Randall Barnes Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	 never shared with me any information with the ITN, including a potential purchase price from any of the presenters that that were, you know, presenting to him up in Atlanta. And I never, to this day, have talked to him about that number. In fact, the only number I've seen is from Carla Miller when she put it out in her when she released her notes and it went to the the press. Q I was not trying to make an implication of anything. I was trying to get a date. A Just to be clear, I don't want anybody to read between the lines. MR. RUSSELL: We need to go off the record for a few minutes, if we could. (Off-the-record discussion.) MR. RUSSELL: Read and sign? MR. MURPHY: Yeah. (Witness excused.) (The interview was concluded at 5:04 p.m.) 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	105 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF DUVAL I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the interview of PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 1 is a true record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED on December 3, 2020.
23 24 25		24 25	Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

		106
1	December 3, 2020	100
2	PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE c/o Niels P. Murphy, Esquire	
3	Murphy & Anderson, P.A. 1501 San Marco Blvd.	
4	Jacksonville, FL 32207	
5	In Re: November 17, 2020, JACKSONVILLE CITY SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA	COUNCIL
6	Dear Sir:	IILK
7	This letter is to advise that the transcript for the	
8	above-referenced deposition has been completed a available for review. Please make arrangements for	
9	and sign or sign below to waive review of this	Ji leau
10	transcript.	
11	It is suggested that the review of this transcript l completed within 30 days of your receipt of this led	ter,
12	as considered reasonable under Federal Rules*, ho there is no Florida Statute to this regard.	Jwever,
13	The original of this transcript has been forwarded	
14	the ordering parties and your errata, once received will be forwarded to all ordering parties for inclusion	
15	in the transcript.	
16	Sincerely,	
47	Juni & Cook	
17	Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR Hedquist & Associates, Inc.	
18	cc: E. Lanny Russell, Esquire Niels P. Murphy, Esquire	
19	Weissen	
20	Waiver:	
21	I,, hereby waive the reading & of my deposition transcript.	x signing
22		
23	Deponent Signature Date	
24 25		
	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.	
		107
1 2	E R R A T A S H E E T DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG	
		ES
2	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA	ES
2 3 4	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE	ES
2 3 4 5	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON	GES TTER
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON	GES TTER
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON	GES TTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MAY INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	SES TTER N
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON	SES TTER N
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	SES TTER N
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MA INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	SES TTER N
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MAY INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	SES TTER N
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MAY INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	SES TTER N
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MAY INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	SES TTER N
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MAY INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	SES TTER N
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANG In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MAY INTERVIEW OF PATRICK JOSEPH GREIVE TAKEN - November 17, 2020 PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON 	SES TTER N

	82:1, 82:4, 82:7,	104:11, 105:19,	90 [1] - 4:12	advisor [4] - 19:14,
	84:6, 100:11	106:1	904)354-4111 [1] -	45:2, 45:10, 51:10
'13 [2] - 7:15, 7:20	2006 [2] - 6:20, 6:21	30 [1] - 106:11	1:22	advisors [2] - 6:24,
	2010 [2] - 6:21, 7:3	30th [1] - 7:22	904)791-9103 [1] -	23:13
1	2010 [2] - 7:15, 7:20	32202 [3] - 1:12, 1:22,	1:22	Advisors [1] - 44:12
-	2015 [6] - 13:2, 13:3,	2:5	96 [1] - 4:13	advisory [5] - 6:22,
1 [6] - 3:18, 16:15,	13:7, 13:9, 13:11	32207 [2] - 2:9, 106:4	30 [1] - 4.10	30:15, 39:22, 40:7,
16:21, 54:4, 73:19,	2016 [1] - 13:1	3300 [2] - 1:11, 2:5	٨	64:9
105:10	2017 [18] - 7:19, 16:16,	345 [1] - 1:21	A	advocate [2] - 83:5,
10 [6] - 4:2, 32:18,	17:1, 17:25, 19:4,	343 [1]-1.21	ability [1] - 71:1	98:12
48:14, 63:9, 66:1,	19:5, 20:3, 20:5,	4	able [2] - 20:13, 20:14	advocating [1] - 83:6
66:11	21:3, 25:3, 25:10,	4	above-referenced [1]	affirmatively [1] - 44:5
10,000 [1] - 63:24	25:15, 28:10, 41:8,	4 [6] - 3:21, 17:2,	- 106:8	affix [1] - 63:15
100 [8] - 32:18, 48:14,	45:6, 51:14, 51:19,	32:17, 47:15, 48:2,	accepted [1] - 24:9	
63:7, 63:9, 63:16,	54:17	53:7	access [5] - 50:2,	agencies [2] - 11:15,
63:17, 63:21, 63:24	2018 [25] - 7:22, 8:1,	48 [1] - 3:21	50:9, 50:13, 50:19,	26:17
107 [1] - 105:10			50:23	agents [1] - 33:18
11 [9] - 4:3, 58:11,	10:10, 17:25, 18:6,	5	accessible [1] - 22:21	ago [2] - 20:12, 27:20
67:15, 67:23, 68:1,	18:22, 20:9, 25:3,		according [1] - 67:10	agreed [2] - 5:2, 90:9
68:3, 68:4, 68:6,	25:10, 25:15, 39:2,	5 [6] - 3:7, 3:22, 50:24,	accountant [2] - 8:24,	agreeing [1] - 72:1
68:8	41:8, 49:20, 51:19,	51:4, 52:22, 90:21	19:17	agreement [4] - 78:10,
12 [7] - 4:3, 9:4, 67:15,	53:14, 54:17, 65:21,	51 [1] - 3:22	accounting [1] - 10:14	78:12, 78:15, 96:21
67:23, 68:3, 68:4,	66:2, 66:9, 74:1,	53 [1] - 3:23	accurate [1] - 56:2	ahead [3] - 5:20,
68:7	86:7, 90:10, 90:13,	55 [1] - 3:24	accurately [1] - 20:11	16:24, 34:2
126.313 [3] - 29:17,	94:18, 94:25	57 [1] - 3:25	acknowledged [2] -	Airport [2] - 67:17,
31:2, 44:2	2019 [2] - 54:4, 102:18	5:04 [2] - 1:9, 103:19	5:8, 39:18	69:4
12th [1] - 95:24	2020 [9] - 1:8, 5:23,	5th [2] - 84:23, 85:2	action [2] - 105:16,	airport [22] - 18:13,
13 [6] - 4:3, 67:15,	95:24, 104:8,		105:17	21:10, 25:22, 25:25,
67:23, 68:3, 68:4,	104:11, 105:19,	6	active [2] - 42:10,	26:4, 26:23, 28:3,
68:11	106:1, 106:5, 107:5	0	43:23	68:14, 68:25, 69:4,
14 [3] - 4:4, 70:9,	2027 [2] - 99:24,	6 [6] - 3:17, 3:23,	actively [1] - 43:11	69:7, 69:8, 70:2,
70:16	100:11	53:21, 53:23, 74:25,		70:6, 76:14, 81:3,
14th [2] - 85:9, 85:21	2028 [1] - 99:25	75:5	actual [1] - 28:13	81:7, 85:24, 86:16,
15 [7] - 4:5, 65:1,	2030 [2] - 99:22,	64 [1] - 4:1	Adams [1] - 86:25	86:19, 94:20
73:19, 73:22, 75:13,	100:11	66 [1] - 4:2	Adamson [1] - 86:25	allegations [1] - 6:9
85:23, 90:10	20th [2] - 28:9, 51:14	67 [1] - 4:3	addresses [1] - 62:21	allocated [1] - 100:5
1501 [2] - 2:8, 106:3	21 [4] - 4:11, 84:18,	_	administered [1] -	America [1] - 31:20
15th [6] - 64:9, 86:7,	84:20, 84:21	7	49:16	amount [4] - 61:17,
90:13, 92:23, 93:11,	21st [1] - 39:2	7 [4] - 3:24, 54:20,	administering [1] -	98:15, 98:21, 100:3
94:16	22 [3] - 4:12, 90:3,	55:10, 100:15	58:23	analysis [11] - 25:14,
16 [5] - 3:18, 4:6, 5:22,	90:6	7/66 [1] - 6:25	administration [17] -	27:25, 28:6, 76:12,
77:24, 78:3	22nd [1] - 65:20	70 [1] - 4:4	5:19, 17:15, 17:20,	98:13, 98:19, 98:20,
16th [1] - 65:3	23rd [1] - 66:9	73 [1] - 4:5	18:1, 25:1, 25:23,	98:21, 99:2, 99:6,
17 [7] - 1:8, 4:7, 78:16,	24 [3] - 4:13, 96:4,	78 [2] - 4:6, 4:7	27:1, 27:5, 43:2,	100:20
78:20, 104:8, 106:5,	96:9	79 [2] - 4:8, 4:9	43:5, 43:7, 43:8,	analyze [1] - 22:16
107:5	24th [1] - 67:17	1 3 [2] - 4.0, 4.3	43:10, 50:18, 85:1,	Anderson [2] - 2:8,
17th [1] - 65:24	25th [1] - 71:13	0	85:7, 97:17	106:3
18 [3] - 4:8, 79:6, 79:9	27 [1] - 3:20	8	administrative [2] -	annual [1] - 46:5
18th [1] - 53:14	27th [1] - 25:9	8 [6] - 3:25, 57:18,	13:8	answer [22] - 15:16,
19 [6] - 3:19, 4:9,	28th [3] - 16:16, 17:1,	57:20, 57:24, 65:2,	administrator [3] -	20:20, 26:13, 30:24,
79:17, 79:18, 81:17,	65:10	75:1	5:25, 8:25, 12:8	35:9, 44:3, 45:4,
83:10	2:04 [1] - 1:9	81 [1] - 4:10	adopted [1] - 29:14	50:11, 55:19, 65:7,
1st [2] - 8:1, 78:11	2nd [1] - 102:18	84 [1] - 4:11	advance [1] - 71:2	70:6, 70:25, 71:8,
10t [2] - 0.1, 70.11			advanced [2] - 18:17,	73:1, 77:20, 80:18,
2	3	9	22:13	88:6, 89:16, 90:2,
2			adverse [1] - 38:12	91:7, 95:19, 101:9
2 [3] - 3:19, 19:8,	3 [17] - 3:20, 27:7,	9 [4] - 4:1, 7:23, 63:25,	advertise [3] - 31:10,	answer's [1] - 95:11
19:25	27:10, 32:20, 33:23,	64:13	47:21, 48:6	answering [1] - 23:7
20 [14] - 4:10, 32:2,	40:4, 40:5, 44:9,	9/10 [1] - 6:25	advertised [1] - 42:25	APPEARANCES [1] -
32:7, 32:8, 32:13,	45:18, 53:7, 64:6,	9/19/2018 [1] - 50:25	advise [2] - 45:6,	2:1
58:2, 81:20, 81:24,	74:25, 98:23, 99:5,	9/30/2018 [1] - 7:23	106:7	appeared [2] - 48:7,
L				

104:7	79:23	30:10, 31:1, 31:9,	75:16	Busey [3] - 1:10, 2:4,
appearing [1] - 6:7	attempting [1] - 34:10	33:19, 47:25, 66:17	bids [1] - 40:8	56:13
apply [6] - 36:25, 37:3,	attend [3] - 67:19,	banks [10] - 9:17,	big [3] - 22:17, 31:22,	business [3] - 13:23,
37:12, 37:16, 75:14	69:5, 70:7	10:2, 31:11, 31:22,	74:9	14:3, 47:22
appointed [4] - 7:12,	attended [3] - 66:14,	33:19, 67:18, 77:3,	billed [1] - 46:6	buy [1] - 100:3
8:2, 8:17, 50:18	70:8, 81:7	77:9, 87:11, 87:12	billing [1] - 45:23	BY [24] - 3:7, 5:12,
appointee [1] - 43:6	attendees [2] - 69:17,	Barnes [15] - 36:6,	billion [2] - 98:23,	6:10, 16:3, 16:23,
appointment [1] -	89:3	54:21, 55:22, 62:14,	99:5	19:7, 24:17, 29:23,
50:22	attending [1] - 85:21	62:20, 69:12, 70:11,	Billy [3] - 36:13,	38:13, 38:24, 39:17,
appropriate [1] - 6:15	attitude [4] - 19:22,	70:13, 71:14, 86:21,	36:16, 39:7	42:3, 43:20, 57:6,
	20:4, 20:14, 96:1	97:22, 102:8,	Billy's [2] - 38:25, 40:4	73:18, 76:20, 77:15,
appropriately [1] - 49:19	attorney [5] - 26:14,	102:20, 102:22,	bit [1] - 6:17	80:1, 82:3, 83:1,
	26:17, 42:8, 105:14,	102:25		86:15, 87:3, 94:8,
approval [1] - 34:18	105:16	Barnes' [2] - 55:17,	BJP [1] - 99:22	96:18
approve [2] - 29:5,		71:12	blank [1] - 24:3	30.10
34:13	attorney/client [4] -	base [1] - 31:10	Blodgett [1] - 2:3	С
approximate [1] -	71:21, 72:2, 72:10,	based [8] - 37:13,	BLODGETT [18] -	C
31:24	72:14	50:5, 52:5, 52:16,	19:3, 24:4, 24:13,	c/o [1] - 106:2
approximation [1] -	attorneys [1] - 52:15	54:6, 65:2, 68:20,	24:15, 29:22, 64:15,	Calderone [1] - 52:6
32:16	attributed [2] - 19:9,	95:18	64:20, 64:25, 68:3,	calendar [1] - 67:10
area [4] - 20:8, 50:1,	19:16	became [14] - 6:23,	68:5, 72:16, 76:19,	callable [1] - 71:15
51:20, 59:11	auditor [4] - 36:11,	7:14, 7:24, 10:9,	79:20, 79:23, 82:24,	candidates [1] - 81:6
arrangements [1] -	36:13, 37:11, 37:15	12:3, 12:12, 12:16,	87:1, 96:14, 102:18	cannot [6] - 23:17,
106:8	auditor's [1] - 36:2	13:1, 13:7, 20:15,	blog [1] - 54:24	23:24, 35:4, 36:21,
article [6] - 54:24,	auditors [1] - 36:18	28:25, 56:16, 79:4,	Blvd [2] - 2:8, 106:3	46:4, 80:24
66:2, 66:4, 66:15,	August [3] - 95:24,	101:20	board [5] - 7:5, 16:25,	CAO [4] - 12:12,
95:18, 95:24	102:17, 102:18	become [1] - 41:12	17:21, 51:16, 101:25	12:17, 13:17, 13:19
articles [2] - 21:1,	author [1] - 44:19	becoming [1] - 13:19	bond [3] - 33:18,	capabilities [1] -
95:7	authorities [1] - 26:16	began [3] - 28:10,	70:22, 97:12	27:25
aspect [1] - 55:8	authority [1] - 34:14	100:22, 102:16	bonds [4] - 70:12,	capacity [3] - 14:3,
assessed [1] - 77:5	authorize [1] - 85:3	begin [1] - 100:21	71:2, 71:3, 71:18	15:2, 15:9
assessment [1] -	authorized [3] - 29:14,	beginning [3] - 12:20,	book [2] - 83:20, 90:1	capital [4] - 98:17,
96:20	31:2, 105:7	12:21, 12:22	bottom [3] - 29:25,	99:14, 99:15
assessments [1] -	available [2] - 98:24,		53:12, 67:7	capture [2] - 28:6,
98:11	106:8	behalf [2] - 2:2, 2:6	Boulevard [1] - 6:21	34:11
asset [13] - 18:12,	awarded [1] - 91:24	beliefs [1] - 37:5	break [3] - 38:17,	captures [1] - 34:10
18:18, 18:24, 18:25,	aware [21] - 14:20,	below [1] - 106:9	43:17, 77:13	care [1] - 15:25
19:2, 21:18, 22:13,	17:25, 21:7, 26:8,	Belton [1] - 8:18	Brian [6] - 5:23, 5:24,	Carla [1] - 103:7
23:2, 28:1, 39:23,	26:21, 26:24, 27:2,	bench [4] - 18:18,	12:9, 19:10, 19:14,	cars [2] - 10:20, 10:22
40:25, 41:1	27:3, 30:4, 36:7,	22:11, 23:1, 65:15	19:16	case [5] - 38:16, 40:3,
assets [10] - 10:3,	42:9, 42:19, 52:25,	beneficial [2] - 22:20,	broad [13] - 18:25,	55:5, 64:4, 96:23
20:19, 21:3, 21:7,	54:15, 54:18, 58:17,	38:18	28:6, 31:10, 37:2,	cash [4] - 8:6, 8:9,
21:10, 22:19, 25:7,	83:16, 83:17, 85:6,	benefited [1] - 35:14	37:16, 37:24, 39:20,	31:1, 101:11
25:11, 28:7, 40:21	85:15, 97:8	benefits [2] - 17:10,	39:23, 40:18, 40:20,	category [3] - 48:1,
assigned [3] - 52:18,	awkward [1] - 6:14	17:16	41:2, 48:14, 60:7	74:12, 75:14
75:7, 76:8	_	best [5] - 27:19, 32:16,	broadly [2] - 37:7,	caused [1] - 101:4
assist [7] - 18:19,	В	63:20, 93:21, 98:1	37:12	causes [1] - 70:23
18:20, 22:14, 23:5,	B8 [1] - 75:1	better [4] - 17:8,	Brooks [2] - 77:25,	cc [2] - 106:18, 107:20
87:18, 87:21, 88:16	background [1] - 6:17	37:14, 49:10, 80:18	78:1	certain [6] - 35:13,
assistance [1] - 18:11	Baker [6] - 16:4, 16:7,	between [23] - 5:2,	brought [1] - 18:21	41:22, 48:25, 83:6,
assisted [1] - 84:11	54:2, 54:7, 54:9,	26:16, 27:4, 33:25,	Brown [3] - 7:9, 7:17,	98:21, 101:18
Associates [2] - 1:21,	54:13	36:22, 44:14, 44:16,	8:18	certainly [1] - 37:4
106:17	bank [3] - 9:19, 66:9,	44:21, 44:23, 45:21,	budget [2] - 10:14,	CERTIFICATE [2] -
assume [1] - 98:21	88:13	45:24, 48:14, 51:23, 51:25, 52:11, 50:4	10:18	104:1, 105:1
assuming [2] - 55:7,	Bank [3] - 31:20,	51:25, 52:11, 59:4,	build [1] - 46:16	certificates [1] - 7:1
100:15	69:18, 80:4	70:10, 72:6, 96:22,	built [1] - 65:15	certify [4] - 49:1,
Atlanta [1] - 103:4	banker [1] - 53:15	96:24, 97:23, 103:12	bulk [1] - 62:9	104:6, 105:6, 105:13
attach [1] - 5:21	bankers [1] - 53:18	beyond [1] - 69:16	bullet [3] - 66:4, 67:6,	CFA [2] - 7:1, 7:5
attached [3] - 50:25,	banking [10] - 8:6,	bidder [2] - 41:25,	90:24	CFO [21] - 5:19, 7:12,
53:22, 72:9	9:22, 9:23, 30:9,	42:11	Burch [2] - 97:18,	8:12, 8:15, 8:19, 9:2,
attachments [1] -				
	9.22, 9.23, 30.9,	bidders [2] - 11:5,	97:20	0.12, 0.13, 0.13, 0.2,

9:25, 10:6, 10:8,	45:19, 45:21, 46:1,	communication [3] -	16:7, 17:14, 18:5,	45:15
12:14, 13:15, 13:25,	46:22, 47:18, 48:18,	71:16, 72:10, 72:14	18:8, 18:9, 18:12,	controlled [1] - 58:21
14:1, 16:8, 21:25,	51:18, 51:25, 52:13,	communications [11]	20:2, 27:21, 29:10,	controlling [1] - 58:23
27:16, 29:15, 34:8,	59:4, 67:9, 67:18,	- 15:12, 26:25, 27:3,	33:20, 39:18, 41:16,	conversation [1] -
66:7, 74:20	69:11, 69:13, 70:10,	41:18, 41:22, 42:5,	41:20, 42:14, 42:23,	35:19
CFP [1] - 7:1	72:4, 72:6, 76:25,	60:4, 60:15, 63:5,	46:2, 46:7, 46:13,	conversations [7] -
chair's [2] - 17:2	77:8, 77:16, 83:13,	71:22, 73:4	52:3, 57:16, 58:22,	17:25, 18:3, 18:17,
chance [1] - 38:25	84:16, 86:22, 87:16,	community [4] -	59:8, 60:10, 62:5,	
	89:1, 94:18, 97:1,	• • • •	64:8, 67:20, 80:8,	36:1, 36:3, 36:18,
change [2] - 20:7,	98:14, 98:15, 98:18,	18:17, 18:21, 21:8,	81:2, 81:6, 81:14,	54:12
20:9	98:24, 99:4, 101:10,	21:15	83:25, 85:15, 94:17,	Cook [7] - 1:14, 104:5,
CHANGE/REASON		companies [2] -		104:19, 105:6,
[1] - 107:6	102:9, 102:12	35:13, 90:15	96:6, 98:5, 98:7,	105:23, 106:17,
CHANGES [1] - 107:2	CITY [3] - 1:1, 106:5,	company [2] - 45:15,	102:19	107:20
charge [3] - 87:15,	107:2	45:25	conservative [2] -	coordinate [1] - 47:21
87:22, 87:24	city [18] - 10:3, 35:22,	Company [2] - 54:5,	99:1, 99:5	copied [2] - 96:7,
charges [1] - 51:1	36:11, 36:18, 36:24,	66:8	consider [2] - 17:6,	96:14
chart [1] - 75:12	37:11, 37:15, 53:2,	competition [1] - 30:2	17:10	copies [2] - 16:17,
cheaper [1] - 100:14	82:13, 82:18, 82:21,	compile [1] - 74:10	considerable [2] -	62:4
check [1] - 73:12	83:4, 83:6, 85:10,	complete [3] - 79:17,	21:20, 21:23	copy [4] - 29:20,
checking [2] - 14:18	85:17, 85:20, 99:2,	89:14, 91:17	considered [4] - 21:3,	37:22, 53:22, 59:19
chief [14] - 5:24, 7:3,	100:25	completed [3] - 85:16,	25:10, 99:8, 106:11	coronavirus [1] -
7:7, 7:24, 8:2, 8:24,	City's [12] - 8:5, 9:19,	106:8, 106:11	considering [2] - 22:5,	16:13
10:9, 11:2, 12:6,	10:20, 25:11, 30:17,	compliance [2] - 9:1,	25:12	correct [16] - 11:19,
12:8, 13:7, 13:8,	30:22, 35:1, 35:3,	10:16	consistent [3] - 19:18,	19:2, 19:23, 29:10,
13:25, 78:5	35:15, 97:11, 99:12,	component [1] - 97:11	40:17, 86:18	41:1, 41:15, 42:16,
choose [2] - 35:3,	101:8	concept [5] - 41:6,	consult [1] - 26:17	42:18, 47:13, 49:14,
93:2	City-owned [9] -	41:17, 41:21, 43:21,	consultant [13] - 9:15,	52:1, 76:4, 76:6,
chose [2] - 58:7, 98:6	18:12, 18:18, 21:18,	100:10	14:12, 14:13, 14:21,	82:22, 96:3, 98:4
chosen [14] - 35:5,	22:13, 22:19, 28:1,	concepts [4] - 99:10,	15:2, 15:9, 28:16,	correctional [1] - 8:7
	28:7, 39:23	101:7, 102:7, 102:14	31:10, 34:9, 46:20,	cost [1] - 62:3
62:13, 62:14, 65:9,	clarification [1] - 43:6	concerned [1] - 91:6	74:6, 87:18, 88:16	council [19] - 35:23,
76:5, 76:16, 93:8,	clarifies [1] - 11:13		consultants [19] -	36:2, 36:3, 36:11,
93:15, 93:16, 93:18,	clarify [6] - 19:3,	concerning [9] -	18:7, 22:3, 22:4,	
94:3, 94:5, 94:16,	24:15, 25:12, 60:1,	17:21, 19:23, 27:5,	23:5, 23:13, 23:16,	36:13, 36:24, 37:15,
95:5	64:15, 93:9	59:22, 65:18, 65:20,	24:7, 24:18, 25:8,	53:2, 82:13, 82:18,
circulation [1] - 36:9	clear [11] - 20:17,	70:2, 76:8, 96:21	26:2, 33:8, 33:13,	82:21, 83:4, 83:7,
circumstances [1] -	23:7, 37:6, 39:21,	concessions [1] -	33:15, 33:16, 54:15,	85:10, 85:17, 85:20,
30:3	71:20, 71:23, 72:8,	26:23	54:16, 87:5, 87:6,	99:3, 100:25, 102:11
Citi [2] - 24:12, 31:20		concluded [3] - 64:10,		COUNCIL [3] - 1:1,
citizen [1] - 18:24	86:9, 89:24, 102:24, 103:11	92:8, 103:19	91:3	106:5, 107:2
citizens [4] - 17:7,		conclusion [2] - 31:7,	consulted [1] - 14:25	council's [2] - 36:18,
17:17, 100:2, 100:17	clearly [1] - 72:10	58:9	consulting [3] - 10:1,	37:11
City [94] - 5:16, 5:17,	clients [4] - 14:5,	conducted [1] - 91:10	15:19, 46:5	councils [1] - 21:17
7:4, 7:13, 8:4, 8:10,	14:12, 14:13, 15:13	cone [2] - 41:6, 41:13	contacts [1] - 7:6	counsel [3] - 5:3,
9:7, 10:1, 11:15,	close [2] - 32:12,	confident [1] - 57:10	contained [1] - 49:21	105:14, 105:16
13:24, 14:2, 15:7,	32:13	confidentiality [1] -	contains [1] - 60:17	Counsel [1] - 57:9
16:8, 17:9, 17:17,	closer [1] - 27:17	78:10	contemplated [4] -	COUNSEL [1] - 2:1
18:1, 18:12, 18:18,	Coast [1] - 66:2	confidently [5] -	21:8, 21:9, 21:19,	COUNTY [2] - 104:3,
18:24, 19:1, 19:2,	code [4] - 29:14,	20:20, 23:17, 23:19,	21:22	105:4
21:7, 21:10, 21:18,	29:17, 42:7, 44:2	24:25, 80:6	contemplating [1] -	County [1] - 104:11
22:8, 22:13, 22:19,	collectively [1] - 98:1	confirm [3] - 56:4,	21:11	couple [8] - 8:19,
22:22, 22:25, 23:2,	combine [1] - 75:13	95:12, 95:20	contemplation [1] -	12:17, 20:12, 88:2,
24:9, 25:7, 25:10,	coming [1] - 62:21	confirmed [1] - 99:1	46:18	88:7, 89:7, 89:16,
25:14, 25:20, 26:6,	comment [1] - 59:1	conflict [1] - 11:23	context [1] - 80:21	92:5
26:9, 26:11, 26:24,	comments [2] - 17:14,	conflicted [2] - 12:5,	continuous [1] - 39:25	cover [6] - 18:25, 21:6,
27:12, 28:1, 28:7,	17:20	50:17	contract [8] - 22:6,	39:20, 40:18, 40:20,
30:21, 30:23, 33:7,	COMMITTEE [3] - 1:2,	connected [1] -	35:14, 45:2, 45:3,	41:2
34:24, 36:7, 37:13,	106:5, 107:3	105:16	45:6, 45:21, 45:22,	covered [1] - 21:19
39:23, 40:21, 40:25,	Committee [1] - 2:2		54:4	Crawford [1] - 87:1
41:1, 43:6, 43:7,	communicating [3] -	connection [44] - 6:1,	contracted [1] - 45:8	crawford [1] - 87:2
43:22, 45:1, 45:11,	26:22, 54:3, 97:12	9:7, 11:2, 14:25, 15:4, 15:0, 15:12	contracts [2] - 21:12,	create [2] - 22:10, 77:4
,,,,	, 01.0, 07.12	15:4, 15:9, 15:12,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UICALC [2] - 22. IU, 11.4
	I			

created [2] - 49:20,	28:9, 45:6, 51:14,	34:1, 96:1	30:4, 30:21, 40:1,	East [1] - 1:21
52:12	65:24, 74:1, 94:17,	directed [2] - 18:24,	54:25, 55:20, 56:19,	education [1] - 6:18
creation [2] - 36:8,	104:11, 105:19,	34:8	72:17, 73:7, 89:12	effect [1] - 70:12
53:1	106:1	directly [1] - 31:13	double [1] - 73:12	effort [1] - 56:3
criteria [8] - 35:6,	decide [1] - 38:20	director [3] - 5:19,	double-check [1] -	either [8] - 12:17,
35:10, 35:16, 74:13,	decided [2] - 98:1,	29:15, 44:12	73:12	
74:21, 75:8, 77:11	98:11		down [5] - 29:25, 75:9,	15:10, 23:24, 27:1,
		disbursements [1] -		46:12, 47:16, 78:19,
critical [1] - 97:11	decision [3] - 35:7,	8:10	88:23, 98:16, 99:11	78:23
CRR [7] - 1:14, 104:5,	35:18, 97:25	disclosure [1] - 78:12	downgrade [2] - 97:4,	election [1] - 13:6
104:19, 105:6,	declare [1] - 107:16	discount [1] - 100:16	97:14	electric [1] - 64:4
105:23, 106:17,	deemed [3] - 12:4,	discuss [3] - 85:2,	downgraded [2] -	emergency [2] - 85:3,
107:20	18:19, 50:16	95:20, 97:16	97:1, 97:8	85:17
current [2] - 5:17,	Deerwood [1] - 6:20	discussed [4] - 47:20,	downgrades [2] -	employed [1] - 5:15
51:11	defer [1] - 26:14	48:15, 66:24, 97:14	97:10, 97:11	employee [4] - 43:7,
Curry [12] - 5:22, 7:10,	definitely [2] - 37:20,	discussing [7] -	draft [1] - 34:9	79:11, 105:14,
12:22, 12:23, 13:1,	102:4	27:24, 39:1, 42:10,	drafting [6] - 28:14,	105:15
17:15, 25:1, 43:1,	definitions [1] - 42:9	51:15, 53:17, 53:18,	28:17, 32:21, 32:24,	employees [7] - 9:5,
67:9, 85:1, 92:19,	definitive [1] - 102:25	96:5	33:1, 33:2	35:20, 36:8, 43:22,
95:21	definitively [4] -	discussion [8] -	drafts [1] - 28:25	67:18, 78:24, 79:2
Curry's [5] - 12:17,	53:10, 88:6, 89:7,	17:15, 18:7, 20:18,	dramatic [1] - 100:16	employees' [1] - 8:7
19:14, 20:14, 67:10,	90:2	28:10, 90:8, 92:19,	drawing [1] - 24:3	employment [1] -
96:1	department [12] -	102:20, 103:15	Drive [2] - 1:11, 2:4	46:22
curve [1] - 71:5	10:17, 12:2, 42:1,	discussions [11] -	driving [3] - 40:13,	employs [1] - 52:19
	43:10, 50:2, 50:12,	18:9, 21:13, 21:25,	40:15, 69:4	encompass [1] -
D	59:12, 61:14, 62:10,	27:16, 33:24, 36:11,	due [1] - 97:1	39:23
	63:1, 99:11, 101:9	36:22, 53:16, 85:6,	duly [2] - 5:8, 104:8	end [6] - 22:24, 77:6,
data [9] - 49:20, 49:21,	departure [1] - 7:11	99:6, 101:21	during [16] - 7:1, 8:15,	89:15, 96:5, 101:4,
50:2, 50:13, 50:19,	Deponent [1] - 106:23	disposition [2] - 10:3,	••••	102:1
50:20, 53:16, 53:18,	-	21:4	17:24, 18:4, 23:10,	
61:23	deposition [3] - 5:4,		25:21, 33:7, 34:23,	ended [2] - 91:9,
DATE [1] - 1:8	106:8, 106:21	dispute [1] - 55:22	41:22, 42:24, 50:7,	101:3
date [19] - 19:6, 20:13,	derelict [1] - 101:11	disputing [1] - 56:3	50:14, 76:10, 81:12,	engaged [1] - 43:11
27:8, 64:22, 65:2,	derivative [1] - 6:8	disqualified [1] -	97:23	engagement [1] - 47:3
65:3, 65:10, 65:13,	describe [4] - 8:3,	11:21	duties [2] - 8:3, 9:12	ENTER [1] - 107:2
68:12, 68:17, 68:19,	9:10, 61:5, 74:22	divisions [1] - 10:14	DUVAL [2] - 104:3,	entire [2] - 8:15, 50:7
74:18, 76:25, 90:9,	described [6] - 33:24,	DO [1] - 107:2	105:4	entirety [1] - 34:22
90:23, 95:8, 101:18,	34:23, 36:5, 52:22,	document [46] - 17:1,	Duval [1] - 104:11	entities [13] - 44:15,
101:23, 103:10	66:15, 67:4	28:14, 28:24, 29:1,	Dykes [4] - 69:16,	44:25, 45:1, 45:5,
Date [2] - 106:23,	describing [1] - 48:17	33:3, 34:21, 37:16,	78:7, 86:24, 89:4	45:8, 45:10, 45:13,
107:19	design [1] - 98:6	38:5, 38:7, 54:2,		45:18, 46:12, 48:11,
dated [4] - 5:22,	designated [1] - 91:16	57:12, 57:13, 57:21,	E	52:12, 76:3, 76:8
50:25, 66:2, 73:19	designation [1] - 6:25	57:23, 58:1, 58:5,		entity [7] - 45:22, 47:2,
DATED [1] - 105:19	designed [1] - 38:1	62:19, 72:9, 73:10,	e-mail [38] - 36:14,	47:16, 52:12, 52:13,
David [5] - 52:6,	details [1] - 82:16	75:22, 80:3, 80:5,	37:19, 37:23, 38:10,	52:19, 58:16
54:22, 55:3, 55:18,	determine [4] - 60:16,	80:7, 80:8, 80:22,	38:14, 39:1, 39:7, 20:11, 20:12, 20:10	entrepreneur [1] -
71:13	61:21, 62:6, 83:20	80:23, 80:25, 81:5,	39:11, 39:12, 39:19,	19:17
David's [1] - 56:3	determining [1] - 49:3	81:10, 82:17, 82:20,	40:16, 47:14, 47:15,	entry [5] - 51:13,
	develop [1] - 98:9	82:21, 83:2, 83:9,	47:18, 48:6, 52:5,	51:15, 53:13, 84:23,
days [1] - 106:11	developed [1] - 20:3	83:10, 83:12, 84:7,	54:21, 54:22, 55:3,	84:24
deadline [1] - 64:8	development [2] -	84:12, 84:16, 90:10,	55:18, 55:23, 55:25,	errata [1] - 106:13
deal [2] - 11:18, 41:5	14:6, 14:10	90:12, 90:22, 90:23,	56:1, 56:4, 62:21,	especially [1] - 47:24
deals [2] - 5:25, 22:17	DIA [5] - 25:20, 26:8,	96:7, 107:17	63:25, 65:3, 65:5,	Esquire [8] - 2:3, 2:3,
Dear [1] - 106:6	26:11, 26:15, 76:13	documents [20] -	65:10, 70:10, 70:15,	2:7, 106:2, 106:18,
debt [17] - 8:23, 9:16,	difference [1] - 26:15	56:11, 57:9, 57:14,	71:12, 77:25, 78:16,	106:18, 107:21,
9:23, 31:1, 47:25,	different [5] - 6:22,	57:15, 57:17, 60:11,	79:6, 79:24, 96:5	107:21
62:1, 71:5, 71:15,		60:12, 61:5, 61:10,	e-mailing [1] - 61:24	establish [1] - 98:17
77:3, 77:9, 98:16,	10:13, 38:2, 63:19,	61:11, 62:5, 62:7,	e-mails [11] - 15:14,	established [2] -
98:18, 99:12, 99:13,	100:3	62:9, 62:15, 62:23,	15:18, 56:19, 57:3,	
99:16, 99:20, 99:23	difficult [1] - 90:17		61:16, 62:19, 62:20,	38:12, 100:24
, ,		62:24, 63:1, 79:15,		ootobliching w
December [13] - 18:6,	diffuse [1] - 71:3	62:24, 63:1, 79:15, 81:17, 88:18	63:5, 67:20, 67:22,	establishing [1] -
	diffuse [1] - 71:3 DIRECT [2] - 3:7, 5:11	81:17, 88:18		99:14
December [13] - 18:6,	diffuse [1] - 71:3		63:5, 67:20, 67:22,	• • •

-				
evaluate [1] - 22:7	77:24, 78:3, 78:16,	few [7] - 6:11, 7:9,	34:16, 59:3, 59:4	gathering [1] - 60:10
evaluated [1] - 81:6	78:20, 79:6, 79:9,	18:23, 28:9, 79:15,	focus [1] - 58:8	general [6] - 8:7, 9:19,
evaluating [2] - 18:20,	79:17, 79:18, 81:17,	81:18, 103:14	focused [2] - 37:7,	28:11, 45:9, 48:1,
22:15	81:20, 82:7, 84:6,	fielded [2] - 21:11,	58:10	102:12
	84:18, 84:21, 90:3,	25:21		General [1] - 57:9
evaluation [3] - 51:15,	90:6, 96:9	-	follow [3] - 31:3, 31:4, 90:1	
53:3, 81:13		figuring [1] - 12:25		generally [4] - 10:12,
Evaluation [1] - 80:4	exhibits [3] - 64:16,	file [1] - 38:16	followed [2] - 48:5,	35:11, 52:17, 74:12
event [3] - 70:23, 83:6,	64:21, 67:15	files [1] - 36:15	101:25	generate [2] - 55:6,
101:4	Exhibits [2] - 4:3,	filled [1] - 100:8	follows [1] - 5:9	75:12
events [1] - 50:12	67:23	filter [1] - 62:2	FOR [1] - 3:16	generated [1] - 62:19
ex [4] - 41:17, 41:21,	existing [1] - 99:21	final [3] - 29:1, 29:7,	forbidden [1] - 41:22	generic [1] - 57:8
41:24, 42:5	expect [1] - 46:15	34:14	foregoing [2] - 105:9,	genesis [1] - 23:12
ex-parte [3] - 41:17,	experience [2] - 58:3,	finalized [1] - 29:4	107:17	given [16] - 20:11,
41:21, 42:5	90:24	finally [2] - 27:7, 34:13	forget [1] - 8:25	22:6, 30:9, 34:5,
exact [9] - 8:25, 12:11,	expertise [1] - 90:25	finance [4] - 5:19,	form [4] - 14:16,	36:20, 48:17, 53:25,
27:17, 39:9, 68:24,	expire [1] - 99:22	29:15, 99:11, 101:9	76:11, 76:13, 91:6	58:21, 59:1, 59:14,
87:15, 87:22, 87:24,	explain [2] - 11:22,	Financial [3] - 44:12,	forma [1] - 64:3	61:16, 63:1, 76:5,
100:23	14:7	44:13, 45:17	formal [1] - 74:19	81:8, 89:24, 92:5
exactly [3] - 17:24,	exploration [4] -	financial [21] - 6:24,	former [1] - 19:17	Given [1] - 90:24
28:3, 88:16	22:12, 22:19, 23:11,	7:25, 8:2, 10:9, 11:3,	forms [1] - 91:17	goal [1] - 18:15
Examination [1] - 1:13	87:17	12:6, 17:10, 18:7,	Forsyth [1] - 1:21	goals [2] - 20:6, 83:9
EXAMINATION [2] -	explore [1] - 28:1	27:25, 30:15, 39:22,	fortunately [2] - 7:6,	Goldman [6] - 24:2,
3:7, 5:11	exploring [1] - 25:2	40:7, 45:10, 51:10,	7:12	24:12, 24:13, 24:21,
example [2] - 26:6,	extended [1] - 99:21	64:9, 65:18, 65:20,	forwarded [2] -	31:21, 79:12
77:4	extensive [1] - 31:18	77:17, 77:19, 78:5,	106:13, 106:14	government [1] - 71:1
excel [1] - 75:11	extent [4] - 25:13,	98:19	foundation [1] - 23:12	graduated [1] - 6:19
exchange [4] - 15:13,	72:14, 96:23, 98:19	financially [1] - 105:17	four [11] - 7:8, 24:7,	granted [1] - 50:19
21:12, 70:10, 70:14	extra [1] - 29:20	fine [1] - 86:16	24:10, 64:19, 73:24,	grants [1] - 10:16
exchanged [1] - 15:18		fire [6] - 8:9, 10:22,	74:16, 76:2, 77:17,	Gredell [1] - 78:17
excluded [1] - 49:7	F	22:16, 51:23, 52:16,	77:19, 90:20	Greenberg [3] - 70:11,
exclusively [1] - 39:8	_	52:17	FPL [1] - 54:16	70:14, 72:6
excuse [5] - 16:17,	fact [11] - 18:25,	firewall [2] - 52:11,	FPR [7] - 1:14, 104:5,	GREIVE [8] - 1:6, 3:6,
46:21, 47:14, 77:24,	22:25, 23:15, 37:25,	97:23	104:19, 105:6,	5:7, 104:7, 105:8,
77:25	41:9, 43:25, 61:11,	firm [2] - 52:15, 66:17	105:23, 106:17,	106:2, 107:4, 107:19
excused [1] - 103:18	80:14, 94:15, 97:6,	firms [15] - 18:18,	107:20	Greive [3] - 5:14, 6:1,
execute [1] - 91:1	103:6	30:9, 30:11, 30:13,	frame [4] - 25:22,	72:15
exempt [1] - 71:15	factors [1] - 97:13	30:16, 31:14, 31:15,	28:3, 28:11, 35:11	Greive's [44] - 3:17,
exemptions [1] -	facts [1] - 107:17	31:25, 48:1, 48:23,	framework [1] - 23:12	3:18, 3:19, 3:20,
72:18	factually [1] - 56:2	61:25, 77:5, 81:3,	free [2] - 19:17, 99:13	3:21, 3:22, 3:23,
exhibit [4] - 78:16,	fair [3] - 72:25, 86:17,	87:10, 94:22	front [2] - 53:9, 65:4	3:24, 3:25, 4:1, 4:2,
79:22, 82:4, 96:4	94:4	First [1] - 66:2	frustrating [1] - 37:9	4:3, 4:4, 4:5, 4:6,
	fairly [2] - 47:17, 57:10	first [12] - 8:19, 12:10,		4:7, 4:8, 4:9, 4:10,
Exhibit [77] - 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20,	familiar [11] - 41:5,	13:1, 27:12, 29:25,	full [3] - 5:13, 30:1,	4:11, 4:12, 4:13, 6:4,
3:21, 3:22, 3:23,	41:11, 41:12, 41:17,	33:24, 40:4, 40:5,	79:22 fund [3] - 8:9, 98:17,	16:21, 19:25, 27:10,
3:24, 3:25, 4:1, 4:2,	41:21, 42:6, 42:8,	61:20, 65:4, 66:4,	99:15	48:2, 51:4, 53:23,
4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 4:7,	57:20, 80:2, 82:10,	67:3		55:10, 57:24, 64:13,
4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11,	83:21	fit [1] - 60:15	fundamental [1] - 77:2	66:11, 67:23, 70:16,
4:12, 4:13, 5:21, 6:3,	Fargo [1] - 9:20	five [2] - 53:14, 77:13	funds [1] - 8:10	73:22, 78:3, 78:20,
	FAX [1] - 1:22	FL [2] - 2:9, 106:4	future [5] - 46:17,	79:9, 79:18, 81:20,
6:4, 16:15, 16:21, 19:8, 19:25, 27:7,	February [17] - 39:1,	fleet [3] - 10:15, 10:19,	65:16, 98:17, 99:15,	84:21, 90:6, 96:9
, , ,	68:20, 70:2, 73:19,	10:20	100:15	grid [2] - 88:21, 88:25
27:10, 32:20, 33:23, 47:15, 48:2, 50:24	78:11, 81:7, 84:23,	Florida [13] - 1:12,		Group [2] - 24:12,
47:15, 48:2, 50:24, 51:4, 52:22, 53:7,	85:2, 85:9, 85:21,	1:22, 2:5, 6:19,	G	31:20
	85:22, 86:7, 90:10,		garages [5] - 25:14,	group [2] - 60:15,
53:21, 53:23, 55:10, 57:20, 57:24, 63:25	90:13, 92:23, 93:11,	14:21, 15:3, 27:4, 54:3, 54:5, 104:6	25:15, 26:7, 26:10,	91:16
57:20, 57:24, 63:25, 64:6, 64:13, 65:2,	94:16	54:3, 54:5, 104:6,	26:24	guess [16] - 20:17,
66:1, 66:11, 68:1,	Federal [2] - 72:11,	104:11, 104:19, 106:12	Garrity [2] - 6:1, 6:7	22:23, 26:23, 32:10,
	106:11		gather [2] - 60:12,	
68:6, 68:7, 68:8, 68:11, 70:0, 70:16	fee [1] - 98:18	FLORIDA [2] - 104:2,	61:10	32:25, 41:4, 43:3,
68:11, 70:9, 70:16, 73:22, 74:25, 75:13	feelings [1] - 37:5	105:3	gathered [1] - 57:9	49:5, 57:18, 63:4, 63:20, 69:9, 88:17
73:22, 74:25, 75:13,		flow [5] - 29:7, 34:8,	Junio ou [1] - 01.0	63:20, 69:9, 88:17,

r				
90:18, 94:10, 94:24	hours [1] - 53:15	informal [1] - 75:9	involvement [7] -	15:5, 15:11, 16:25,
guidance [3] - 27:22,	house [2] - 22:16,	information [10] -	15:8, 15:10, 54:7,	17:8, 17:9, 17:11,
27:23, 28:5	27:25	58:21, 58:24, 59:3,	54:10, 62:8, 95:6,	17:16, 17:22, 18:2,
guy [1] - 52:9	Hughes [7] - 5:23,	59:4, 59:22, 65:18,	96:20	18:7, 19:12, 19:20,
guys [2] - 29:20, 71:20	5:24, 12:9, 19:10,	65:20, 70:14, 98:5,	IRP [1] - 64:4	19:23, 20:4, 20:15,
guys [2] - 23.20, 71.20	19:12, 19:15, 19:16	103:1	irrelevant [1] - 101:20	21:5, 21:16, 21:17,
		infrastructure [1] -	issuance [6] - 18:6,	23:4, 23:5, 23:6,
н	Hulsey [3] - 1:10, 2:4, 56:13	99:9	33:17, 35:24, 36:1,	23:15, 24:19, 24:22,
half [5] - 40:4, 40:5,	50.15	initiative [1] - 60:6		25:2, 26:12, 26:15,
53:15, 99:21, 100:18			77:4	26:25, 27:5, 32:21,
Hall [1] - 67:9	•	initiatives [4] - 18:10,	issue [11] - 17:9,	33:3, 33:5, 35:19,
hand [2] - 16:13,	idea [5] - 19:15, 41:12,	30:15, 39:22, 65:14	27:13, 28:5, 30:11,	36:25, 37:3, 37:12,
104:10	95:23, 98:10, 101:12	input [7] - 17:20,	33:8, 34:19, 64:8,	37:16, 37:25, 38:1,
handed [2] - 80:3,	ideas [2] - 101:8,	32:21, 32:23, 33:2,	70:22, 77:8, 97:7,	39:8, 39:20, 39:24,
90:1	101:13	36:8, 74:7	99:16	39:8, 39:20, 39:24, 39:25, 40:1, 40:9,
handful [1] - 14:4	identification [22] -	inquiries [1] - 59:12	issued [11] - 11:4,	
handle [1] - 9:11	6:5, 16:22, 20:1,	inquisition [1] - 6:16	21:2, 29:10, 29:12,	40:18, 40:23, 45:6,
handled [1] - 9:24	27:11, 48:3, 51:5,	insinuation [1] - 37:7	33:23, 34:15, 43:2,	45:9, 45:11, 46:11,
handouts [1] - 89:24	53:24, 55:11, 57:25,	inspect [1] - 62:6	65:24, 73:25, 102:18	49:2, 49:20, 49:22,
	64:14, 66:12, 67:24,	instance [1] - 30:20	issues [3] - 11:18,	50:16, 50:24, 51:11,
hands [2] - 36:6, 71:6	70:17, 73:23, 78:4,	instead [1] - 99:15	96:6, 96:21	51:15, 51:25, 52:13,
hard [1] - 88:6	78:21, 79:10, 79:19,	INT [1] - 97:16	issuing [7] - 10:4,	53:1, 53:3, 54:16,
hate [4] - 63:13, 88:9,	81:21, 84:22, 90:7,	interaction [5] - 13:18,	18:10, 18:16, 33:6,	54:25, 55:24, 57:16,
88:16, 94:10	96:10	14:2, 16:6, 33:5,	34:25, 47:18, 89:23	58:16, 65:18, 65:20,
head [1] - 62:10	IDENTIFICATION [1] -	36:17	IT [5] - 10:15, 10:24,	66:9, 67:18, 68:15,
heads [1] - 67:8	3:16	interest [2] - 11:23,	10:25, 60:14, 61:9	69:14, 70:2, 70:12,
hear [2] - 17:15, 22:23	identify [2] - 73:24,	12:1	it'll [1] - 99:24	70:20, 70:24, 71:17,
heard [5] - 7:5, 24:24,	95:1	interested [4] - 47:22,	items [3] - 60:18,	77:18, 77:19, 77:23,
50:21, 79:8, 83:23	ideology [2] - 19:18,	48:23, 87:17, 105:17	60:25, 61:2	78:5, 81:4, 81:15,
hearing [1] - 48:13	20:19	interesting [1] - 57:5	ITN [7] - 11:4, 11:25,	83:18, 84:1, 84:16,
Hedquist [2] - 1:21,	Immediately [1] - 67:8	internal [1] - 11:17	98:2, 98:5, 102:16,	85:4, 85:18, 86:23,
106:17	immunity [1] - 6:8	internally [1] - 26:3	102:22, 103:1	87:18, 87:21, 88:3,
held [3] - 6:21, 6:24,	impact [1] - 71:17	International [1] -	itself [5] - 21:16, 26:9,	88:14, 88:16, 89:2, 80:22, 00:8, 01:1
7:1	implication [4] - 40:1,	67:17	34:21, 39:12, 56:1	89:22, 90:8, 91:1,
help [3] - 22:7, 61:10,		interview [3] - 6:16,		91:10, 91:24, 92:20, 93:16, 95:15, 95:16,
95:4	40:13, 82:22, 103:9	103:19, 105:8	J	95:21, 96:2, 96:6,
helped [1] - 44:19	implying [1] - 82:17	INTERVIEW [2] - 1:5,	JACKSONVILLE [3] -	96:13, 96:22, 97:2,
helps [2] - 32:19,	important [2] - 22:9, 96:25	107:4	1:1, 106:5, 107:2	90.13, 90.22, 97.2, 97:8, 98:10, 98:19,
63:15		interviewee's [1] - 6:3	Jacksonville [35] -	100:9, 101:5,
hereby [1] - 106:21	improvement [1] -	interviewing [1] -	1:12, 1:22, 2:5, 2:9,	101:16, 101:25,
hi [1] - 16:13	99:14	87:13		
higher [1] - 52:9	in-house [2] - 22:16,	introduced [1] - 16:10	5:16, 5:18, 6:21, 7:4, 7:5, 7:13, 8:4, 9:8,	102:4, 102:9, 106:5, 107:3
highlight [2] - 53:13,	27:25	INVESTIGATORY [3] -	14:3, 16:8, 17:7,	JEA's [2] - 18:25, 97:9
74:11	Inc [2] - 44:13, 106:17	1:2, 106:5, 107:3	17:10, 18:1, 22:8,	Jeremy [3] - 44:11,
highlighted [2] - 51:6,	include [2] - 30:2,	Investigatory [1] - 2:2	29:16, 33:8, 45:1,	52:4, 52:5
66:5	37:25	investment [24] - 6:22,	45:19, 46:23, 47:19,	Jill [1] - 79:7
hire [7] - 22:10, 23:5,	included [2] - 49:6,	7:3, 7:8, 8:23, 9:14,	48:18, 51:19, 67:17,	Jody [3] - 77:25, 78:1,
23:15, 23:18, 23:20,	58:5	9:17, 9:23, 10:2,	72:5, 72:7, 83:13,	78:9
25:8, 26:2	including [3] - 6:8,	30:8, 30:10, 31:9,	99:18, 99:19,	Joe [3] - 69:15, 86:24,
hired [4] - 22:22, 24:1,	6:17, 103:2	31:22, 33:18, 47:25,	104:11, 106:4	91:21
24:25, 91:3	inclusion [1] - 106:14	61:25, 66:8, 66:17,	James [1] - 16:12	Joey [2] - 6:11, 19:21
hiring [1] - 23:13	inclusive [2] - 45:11,	67:18, 77:3, 87:11,	January [9] - 53:14,	Joby [2] - 6.11, 19.21 Johnson [1] - 16:12
history [1] - 21:9	46:6	87:12, 88:13, 100:15	64:9, 65:3, 65:10,	
hitting [1] - 38:21	incorrect [1] - 32:15	investments [3] - 8:6,	65:20, 66:9, 67:17,	joint [1] - 88:12
hole [1] - 100:8	increase [1] - 99:20	31:1, 47:25	71:13, 102:2	Joseph [1] - 5:14
hotel [12] - 68:14,	Independent [2] -	invited [1] - 87:16	Jason [2] - 78:17,	JOSEPH [8] - 1:6, 3:6,
68:22, 68:24, 69:8,	1:11, 2:4	involved [13] - 12:3,	78:18	5:7, 104:6, 105:8, 106:2, 107:4, 107:10
70:2, 70:5, 70:7,	independent [3] -	20:12, 34:25, 52:7,	JE [1] - 71:25	106:2, 107:4, 107:19
81:3, 86:16, 86:19,	11:15, 26:16	52:8, 56:16, 60:24,	JEA [128] - 1:2, 11:5,	JP [4] - 24:4, 24:10,
94:20	individuals [1] - 52:3	61:15, 61:19, 62:18,	11:8, 11:9, 11:24,	24:22, 31:21
hour [1] - 51:14	industry [1] - 31:19	79:3, 84:13, 101:21	11.0, 11.0, 11.24,	Julie [3] - 86:24,
				1

	least [2] - 12:17, 83:17 left [11] - 13:25, 14:1, 14:2, 15:7, 67:3,	61:18, 62:13, 64:16, 64:22, 73:9, 81:11	53:23, 55:10, 57:24, 64:6, 64:13, 64:23, 66:11, 67:23, 70:16,	91:20, 92:11, 94:16, 94:20, 95:5, 95:9
13:9, 54:4	14:2, 15:7, 67:3,			94:20, 95:5, 95:9
		la alvin a un 05.40	66.11 67.02 70.16	
К		looking [8] - 35:13,	00.11, 07.23, 70.10,	meetings [3] - 67:16,
K	69:3, 92:6, 92:13,	45:3, 64:7, 70:19,	73:22, 78:3, 78:20,	67:19, 101:3
	92:14, 100:8	70:21, 83:19, 83:20,	79:9, 79:18, 81:20,	Melissa [4] - 69:16,
	legal [8] - 26:14, 42:9,	101:23	84:21, 90:6, 96:9	78:7, 78:9, 86:24
keep [1] - 98:6	44:16, 44:20, 45:8,	looks [2] - 15:23,	market [3] - 19:18,	member [3] - 43:7,
kept [2] - 34:17, 97:23	45:16, 45:22, 45:24	50:20	61:25, 62:1	43:8, 43:9
Kevin [3] - 2:3, 19:6,	legislation [2] - 85:3,	loop [1] - 34:17	marketing [1] - 61:23	members [7] - 12:2,
78:17 Kasislam 70.05	85:17	lotteries [1] - 58:12	markets [1] - 97:12	36:3, 50:16, 50:17,
Kevin's [1] - 72:25	legislature [1] - 100:5	lump [2] - 21:13,	materials [1] - 90:8	77:17, 97:17, 102:11
kick [2] - 100:1,	length [2] - 59:2,	101:10	matrices [1] - 74:11	memory [2] - 64:7,
100:12	59:15	Lynch [2] - 6:20, 31:20	MATTER [3] - 1:2,	95:8
kicking [4] - 99:12,	Lenny [2] - 5:22, 19:16		106:5, 107:3	mentioned [9] - 9:12,
101:8, 101:13, 102:7	less [3] - 32:6, 32:18,	Μ	matter [2] - 11:24,	22:6, 25:7, 25:13,
kind [12] - 8:24, 31:13,	63:7	Mace [19] - 51:2, 51:7,	79:21	26:21, 27:14, 60:9,
33:14, 48:11, 52:9, 71:2, 86:13, 94:23,	letter [7] - 5:21, 5:23,	51:9, 51:13, 51:18,	matters [1] - 98:19	71:12, 82:10
99:11, 99:15,	73:19, 73:24, 74:17,	52:21, 52:25, 53:4,	maximum [1] - 63:17	mentioning [1] - 57:1
101.10 101.12	106:7, 106:11	53:14, 53:17, 66:6,	mayor [5] - 7:16,	Merrill [2] - 6:20,
kinds [1] - 61:23	level [3] - 16:11, 52:7,	69:21, 78:9, 78:17,	12:18, 67:5, 101:25,	31:20
knowing $[4] = 10.8$	52:9	82:11, 85:11, 91:19,	102:3	met [2] - 12:10, 16:5
knowledge [25] -	liability [3] - 99:13,	91:21, 99:2	Mayor [12] - 5:22, 7:7,	Michael [20] - 15:7,
10.21 22.8 22.11	100:4, 100:9	mad [1] - 37:17	7:9, 7:10, 7:17, 8:18,	15:8, 51:2, 51:7,
22.21 24.10 25.16	licenses [1] - 6:25	magnitude [2] - 63:8,	20:14, 20:18, 67:9,	51:9, 51:13, 51:18,
25:17, 26:5, 32:23,	Light [5] - 14:21, 15:3,	90:19	92:19, 95:21, 96:1	53:4, 53:14, 53:17,
33.5 42.23 44.7	27:4, 54:3, 54:5	mail [38] - 36:14,	mayor's [1] - 12:2	66:6, 69:21, 78:9,
45:9, 46:11, 46:25,	likely [2] - 20:10,	37:19, 37:23, 38:10,	Mayor's [4] - 19:22,	78:17, 82:10, 85:11,
49.2 49.4 49.25	99:24	38:14, 39:1, 39:7,	20:4, 20:6, 50:18	91:19, 91:21, 99:2
51:20, 52:24, 54:8,	likewise [2] - 56:4,	39:11, 39:12, 39:19,	MEAG [4] - 96:6,	mid [1] - 68:20
66.23 85.8 86.3	77:8	40:16, 47:14, 47:15,	96:21, 96:22, 97:7	might [7] - 26:8,
86·21	LINE [1] - 107:6	47:18, 48:6, 52:5,	mean [18] - 37:13,	26:17, 32:13, 38:17,
known [5] - 20:15,	line [3] - 27:18, 36:20,	54:21, 54:22, 55:3,	39:11, 39:12, 39:13,	80:21, 91:5, 93:6
30:11, 30:14, 31:13,	102:23	55:18, 55:23, 55:25,	40:6, 41:24, 55:25,	Mike [27] - 7:11, 8:13, 8:14, 13:11, 13:12,
44:22	lines [2] - 20:11,	56:1, 56:4, 62:21,	57:22, 58:8, 58:11, 60:22, 67:7, 81:15,	21:25, 27:16, 27:20,
KPMG [2] - 24:5,	103:12	63:25, 65:3, 65:5,	81:24, 87:25, 88:8,	27:23, 28:10, 29:3,
24:12	list [11] - 22:22, 31:18,	65:10, 70:10, 70:15,	96:23, 99:18	29:5, 33:25, 34:1,
Kyle [4] - 36:13, 36:16,	48:10, 48:14, 48:24,	71:12, 77:25, 78:16,	means [1] - 41:25	34:17, 36:7, 36:15,
38:25, 39:7	49:6, 49:7, 49:13, 51:1, 80:3, 89:3	79:6, 79:24, 96:5	mechanism [1] -	43:9, 43:16, 62:20,
	listed [2] - 45:13,	mailing [1] - 61:24	70:21	67:1, 69:7, 69:9,
L	46:21	mails [11] - 15:14,	media [1] - 100:25	69:11, 73:20, 81:4,
laid [1] - 87:23	lists [1] - 58:3	15:18, 56:19, 57:3,	meet [1] - 30:5	86:21
	litigation [3] - 97:1,	61:16, 62:19, 62:20,	meeting [60] - 16:10,	Miller [1] - 103:7
56:1	97:2, 97:15	63:5, 67:20, 67:22,	17:1, 17:21, 53:4,	mind [2] - 18:15,
	live [1] - 42:10	68:5	66:10, 66:14, 66:21,	38:21
	LLC [2] - 44:12	majority [1] - 34:18	66:24, 67:3, 67:5,	minute [1] - 39:4
	local [2] - 29:14, 35:13	management [6] -	67:8, 67:9, 67:12,	minutes [4] - 16:15,
	LOCATION [1] - 1:10	8:10, 9:16, 9:23,	68:7, 68:8, 68:11,	16:25, 77:13, 103:14
,	look [25] - 16:14,	10:15, 10:18, 21:12	68:13, 68:17, 68:21,	misrepresent [1] -
late [1] - 68:20	19:14, 29:4, 34:12,	Management [1] - 44:13	69:5, 69:7, 69:8,	38:7
law [3] - 52:15, 72:11,	44:9, 45:14, 45:20,	44.13 manager [3] - 6:23,	69:10, 70:1, 70:3,	modeling [1] - 102:5
73:5	46:3, 49:15, 53:11,	8:23	70:5, 70:8, 80:9,	modified [1] - 31:5
lawyers [1] - 71:22	53:21, 54:20, 55:6,	o.25 managers [1] - 9:14	81:2, 81:7, 81:12,	Moelis [4] - 66:8,
leadership [3] - 11:25,	61:21, 62:7, 62:14,	managers [1] - 9.14 manner [1] - 77:10	85:9, 85:11, 85:14,	66:18, 67:8, 69:18
66:8, 83:18	66:1, 74:25, 82:5,	March [1] - 66:2	85:15, 85:20, 85:23,	moment [3] - 70:15,
leading [1] - 38:11	83:10, 83:24, 84:18,	Marco [2] - 2:8, 106:3	86:1, 86:5, 86:7,	82:5, 84:24
learn [5] - 27:12,	90:3, 90:21	marked [25] - 6:4,	86:19, 86:20, 87:5,	moments [2] - 6:11,
	Look [1] - 70:9	16:14, 16:21, 19:25,	87:7, 88:18, 88:19,	18:23
	looked [10] - 21:17,	27:10, 48:2, 51:4,	89:24, 90:9, 90:13,	money [7] - 62:3,
learned [1] - 50:11	25:20, 51:1, 61:10,	21.10, 1 0.2, J1.4,	90:23, 91:9, 91:13,	98:15, 98:20, 101:5,

101:16, 102:13	103:13, 103:16,	92:18, 98:4, 103:1,	occasionally [2] -	106:14
months [5] - 27:15,	103:17	103:5	14:15, 41:5	ordinance [1] - 44:2
28:9, 50:3, 50:15	multi [1] - 74:10	new [5] - 52:9, 99:16,	occur [2] - 83:6, 98:14	Orfano [5] - 69:15,
				••
Moody's [1] - 97:3	multi-respondent [1] -	100:1, 100:4, 100:11	occurred [7] - 28:8,	86:24, 89:4, 91:21,
Moore [6] - 52:6,	74:10	news [3] - 66:4, 95:7,	67:5, 68:18, 85:24,	96:13
54:22, 54:23, 55:3,	multitude [2] - 71:4,	97:3	90:9, 92:23, 95:8	original [1] - 106:13
55:18, 71:13	97:13	News [3] - 19:10,	occurring [2] - 85:6,	originally [1] - 12:9
Moore's [1] - 55:23	municipal [1] - 29:14	19:15, 66:2	91:10	outcome [2] - 12:1,
Morgan [26] - 24:4,	MURPHY [33] - 6:6,	newspaper [1] - 84:3	October [2] - 8:1,	87:17
24:11, 24:22, 31:21,	16:1, 16:17, 29:20,	newspapers [1] -	10:10	outset [1] - 31:6
57:19, 58:4, 58:7,	32:10, 37:18, 37:24,	41:14	OF [8] - 1:5, 2:1,	outside [3] - 46:20,
58:14, 64:1, 64:5,	38:6, 38:10, 39:9,	next [3] - 51:13, 78:16,	104:1, 104:2, 104:3,	46:22, 68:14
64:15, 64:20, 64:21,	39:11, 39:16, 41:24,	85:22	105:3, 105:4, 107:4	outsiders [1] - 46:22
65:17, 65:19, 78:25,	47:5, 57:1, 72:1,	Niedfeldt [3] - 44:11,	Off-the-record [1] -	over/under [1] - 32:3
79:2, 88:13, 90:11,	72:4, 72:13, 72:20,	52:4, 52:5	103:15	overlapped [1] - 94:21
90:22, 90:25, 95:1,	72:22, 73:2, 73:7,	Niels [4] - 2:7, 106:2,	offhand [1] - 44:17	oversaw [1] - 33:16
95:6	73:11, 73:14, 81:24,	106:18, 107:21	office [5] - 6:24, 9:24,	oversee [1] - 8:5
most [1] - 30:23	82:2, 86:9, 86:13,	nobody [1] - 22:21	10:16, 36:2, 46:18	
Mousa [7] - 12:9,	86:17, 94:4, 94:12,	-		own [2] - 11:17, 52:20
	96:13, 103:17	nondisclosure [3] -	Office [2] - 5:22, 57:8	owned [9] - 18:12,
12:10, 13:7, 13:19,	Murphy [6] - 2:7, 2:8,	6:9, 78:10, 78:15	officer [12] - 5:25, 7:3,	18:18, 21:18, 22:13,
14:2, 14:21, 15:13		none [1] - 76:2	7:8, 7:25, 8:2, 9:1,	22:19, 28:1, 28:7,
mouth [1] - 91:15	106:2, 106:3,	nonresponsive [1] -	10:10, 12:6, 12:8,	39:23
moved [1] - 9:2	106:18, 107:21	62:24	13:8, 13:9, 78:5	owns [1] - 21:7
MR [112] - 3:7, 5:12,		NOT [1] - 107:2	officer's [1] - 11:3	
5:20, 6:6, 6:10,	N	Notary [3] - 1:14,	officers' [1] - 8:7	Р
15:23, 16:1, 16:3,	name [8] - 5:13, 28:18,	104:5, 104:19	official [1] - 104:10	
16:17, 16:19, 16:23,	62:21, 68:24, 69:20,	notch [1] - 97:4	often [1] - 36:25	P.A [2] - 2:8, 106:3
19:3, 19:5, 19:7,	79:8, 86:25, 96:12	notches [1] - 97:5	OGC [2] - 73:6, 73:9	p.m [3] - 1:9, 103:19
24:4, 24:13, 24:15,		notes [5] - 88:19,	OGC's [1] - 72:17	P3s [1] - 58:13
24:17, 29:20, 29:22,	named [1] - 31:22	88:24, 89:23, 103:8,	ON [4] - 1:2, 106:5,	PAGE [1] - 107:6
29:23, 32:10, 37:18,	names [3] - 31:19,	105:11	107:2, 107:3	Page [2] - 3:5, 45:18
37:21, 37:24, 38:3,	48:18, 48:21	nothing [2] - 101:2,	once [7] - 12:4, 12:25,	page [12] - 3:16, 17:2,
38:6, 38:9, 38:10,	narrative [1] - 40:14	102:3	16:5, 34:8, 86:20,	19:11, 44:9, 51:2,
38:13, 38:19, 38:24,	narrow [1] - 31:12	notification [1] - 74:19	98:4, 106:13	53:11, 58:11, 74:25,
39:9, 39:10, 39:11,	near [8] - 68:14,			75:4, 75:5, 83:10,
39:15, 39:16, 39:17,	68:25, 69:8, 70:2,	notifications [1] -	one [49] - 9:1, 10:23,	90:21
41:24, 41:25, 42:3,	81:3, 85:23, 86:16,	65:13	23:14, 23:24, 29:24,	pages [2] - 79:21,
43:17, 43:20, 47:5,	86:19	notified [1] - 97:2	32:13, 35:7, 37:8,	
1 1 1				10510
47.6 57.1 57.4	necessary [1] - 33:20	notify [2] - 31:5, 31:6	39:4, 40:10, 45:5,	105:10 paid (10) - 45:18 46:1
47:6, 57:1, 57:4, 57:6, 64:15, 64:20	necessary [1] - 33:20 need [8] - 18:11,	notifying [1] - 96:25	45:12, 45:13, 45:15,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20,	need [8] - 18:11,	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18,	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20,	need [8] - 18:11,	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8,	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25 needs [2] - 98:17,	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25 needs [2] - 98:17, 99:15	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:22, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25 needs [2] - 98:17, 99:15 negotiations [1] -	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25 needs [2] - 98:17, 99:15 negotiations [1] - 50:17	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25 needs [2] - 98:17, 99:15 negotiations [1] - 50:17 Neils [1] - 15:25	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25 needs [2] - 98:17, 99:15 negotiations [1] - 50:17 Neils [1] - 15:25 net [6] - 83:12, 83:19,	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 95:14, 95:15	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24, 82:1, 82:2, 82:3,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25 needs [2] - 98:17, 99:15 negotiations [1] - 50:17 Neils [1] - 15:25 net [6] - 83:12, 83:19, 83:20, 98:23, 99:4,	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16	paid [10] - $45:18, 46:1,$ 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - $20:22,$ 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - $30:1$ parameter [1] - $63:23$ paraphrase [1] - $17:12$ pardon [1] - $78:22$ parent [2] - $45:15,$ 45:25 Park [2] - $6:20, 16:12$
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24,	need [8] - 18:11, 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, 103:13 needed [3] - 40:8, 65:16, 77:7 needing [1] - 27:25 needs [2] - 98:17, 99:15 negotiations [1] - 50:17 Neils [1] - 15:25 net [6] - 83:12, 83:19, 83:20, 98:23, 99:4, 99:8	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16 opened [1] - 7:4	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{paid} \left[10\right] - 45:18, 46:1, \\ 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, \\ 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, \\ 84:15, 99:23 \\ \textbf{paper} \left[4\right] - 20:22, \\ 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 \\ \textbf{paragraph} \left[1\right] - 30:1 \\ \textbf{parameter} \left[1\right] - 63:23 \\ \textbf{paraphrase} \left[1\right] - 17:12 \\ \textbf{pardon} \left[1\right] - 78:22 \\ \textbf{parent} \left[2\right] - 45:15, \\ 45:25 \\ \textbf{Park} \left[2\right] - 6:20, 16:12 \\ \textbf{parking} \left[9\right] - 18:13, \\ \end{array}$
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24, 82:1, 82:2, 82:3,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{need} [8] - 18:11, \\ 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, \\ 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, \\ 103:13 \\ \textbf{needed} [3] - 40:8, \\ 65:16, 77:7 \\ \textbf{needing} [1] - 27:25 \\ \textbf{needs} [2] - 98:17, \\ 99:15 \\ \textbf{negotiations} [1] - \\ 50:17 \\ \textbf{Neils} [1] - 15:25 \\ \textbf{net} [6] - 83:12, 83:19, \\ 83:20, 98:23, 99:4, \\ 99:8 \\ \textbf{never} [20] - 14:25, \end{array}$	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6 OATH [1] - 104:1	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16 opened [1] - 7:4 operate [1] - 77:3	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25 Park [2] - 6:20, 16:12 parking [9] - 18:13, 21:15, 22:8, 25:14,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24, 82:1, 82:2, 82:3, 82:22, 82:24, 82:25,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{need} [8] - 18:11, \\ 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, \\ 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, \\ 103:13 \\ \textbf{needed} [3] - 40:8, \\ 65:16, 77:7 \\ \textbf{needing} [1] - 27:25 \\ \textbf{needs} [2] - 98:17, \\ 99:15 \\ \textbf{negotiations} [1] - \\ 50:17 \\ \textbf{Neils} [1] - 15:25 \\ \textbf{net} [6] - 83:12, 83:19, \\ 83:20, 98:23, 99:4, \\ 99:8 \\ \textbf{never} [20] - 14:25, \\ 15:17, 20:3, 20:18, \\ \end{array}$	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6 OATH [1] - 104:1 oath [9] - 5:9, 32:14,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16 opened [1] - 7:3 operation [2] - 14:6,	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25 Park [2] - 6:20, 16:12 parking [9] - 18:13, 21:15, 22:8, 25:14, 25:15, 25:21, 26:10, 28:4, 58:12
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24, 82:1, 82:2, 82:3, 82:22, 82:24, 82:25, 83:1, 86:9, 86:12,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{need} [8] - 18:11, \\ 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, \\ 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, \\ 103:13 \\ \textbf{needed} [3] - 40:8, \\ 65:16, 77:7 \\ \textbf{needing} [1] - 27:25 \\ \textbf{needs} [2] - 98:17, \\ 99:15 \\ \textbf{negotiations} [1] - \\ 50:17 \\ \textbf{Neils} [1] - 15:25 \\ \textbf{net} [6] - 83:12, 83:19, \\ 83:20, 98:23, 99:4, \\ 99:8 \\ \textbf{never} [20] - 14:25, \end{array}$	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6 O OATH [1] - 104:1 oath [9] - 5:9, 32:14, 62:17, 63:4, 63:14,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16 opened [1] - 7:4 operate [1] - 77:3 operation [2] - 14:6, 14:10	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25 Park [2] - 6:20, 16:12 parking [9] - 18:13, 21:15, 22:8, 25:14, 25:15, 25:21, 26:10, 28:4, 58:12 part [11] - 38:16, 43:8,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24, 82:1, 82:2, 82:3, 82:22, 82:24, 82:25, 83:1, 86:9, 86:12, 86:13, 86:15, 86:17,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{need} [8] - 18:11, \\ 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, \\ 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, \\ 103:13 \\ \textbf{needed} [3] - 40:8, \\ 65:16, 77:7 \\ \textbf{needing} [1] - 27:25 \\ \textbf{needs} [2] - 98:17, \\ 99:15 \\ \textbf{negotiations} [1] - \\ 50:17 \\ \textbf{Neils} [1] - 15:25 \\ \textbf{net} [6] - 83:12, 83:19, \\ 83:20, 98:23, 99:4, \\ 99:8 \\ \textbf{never} [20] - 14:25, \\ 15:17, 20:3, 20:18, \\ \end{array}$	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6 O OATH [1] - 104:1 oath [9] - 5:9, 32:14, 62:17, 63:4, 63:14, 80:24, 88:6, 91:8,	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16 opened [1] - 7:3 operation [2] - 14:6, 14:10 opinion [1] - 98:9	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25 Park [2] - 6:20, 16:12 parking [9] - 18:13, 21:15, 22:8, 25:14, 25:15, 25:21, 26:10, 28:4, 58:12 part [11] - 38:16, 43:8, 47:23, 50:11, 50:21,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24, 82:1, 82:2, 82:3, 82:22, 82:24, 82:25, 83:1, 86:9, 86:12, 86:13, 86:15, 86:17, 87:1, 87:3, 94:4,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{need} [8] - 18:11, \\ 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, \\ 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, \\ 103:13 \\ \textbf{needed} [3] - 40:8, \\ 65:16, 77:7 \\ \textbf{needing} [1] - 27:25 \\ \textbf{needs} [2] - 98:17, \\ 99:15 \\ \textbf{negotiations} [1] - \\ 50:17 \\ \textbf{Neils} [1] - 15:25 \\ \textbf{net} [6] - 83:12, 83:19, \\ 83:20, 98:23, 99:4, \\ 99:8 \\ \textbf{never} [20] - 14:25, \\ 15:17, 20:3, 20:18, \\ 22:25, 42:14, 55:2, \\ \end{array}$	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6 O OATH [1] - 104:1 oath [9] - 5:9, 32:14, 62:17, 63:4, 63:14, 80:24, 88:6, 91:8, 94:10 obtain [1] - 58:24	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16 openad [1] - 7:4 operate [1] - 77:3 operation [2] - 14:6, 14:10 opinion [1] - 98:9 opposed [1] - 47:18	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25 Park [2] - 6:20, 16:12 parking [9] - 18:13, 21:15, 22:8, 25:14, 25:15, 25:21, 26:10, 28:4, 58:12 part [11] - 38:16, 43:8, 47:23, 50:11, 50:21, 55:4, 81:18, 81:22,
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24, 82:1, 82:2, 82:3, 82:22, 82:24, 82:25, 83:1, 86:9, 86:12, 86:13, 86:15, 86:17, 87:1, 87:3, 94:4, 94:7, 94:8, 94:12,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{need} [8] - 18:11, \\ 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, \\ 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, \\ 103:13 \\ \textbf{needed} [3] - 40:8, \\ 65:16, 77:7 \\ \textbf{needing} [1] - 27:25 \\ \textbf{needs} [2] - 98:17, \\ 99:15 \\ \textbf{negotiations} [1] - \\ 50:17 \\ \textbf{Neils} [1] - 15:25 \\ \textbf{net} [6] - 83:12, 83:19, \\ 83:20, 98:23, 99:4, \\ 99:8 \\ \textbf{never} [20] - 14:25, \\ 15:17, 20:3, 20:18, \\ 22:25, 42:14, 55:2, \\ 56:8, 57:13, 59:16, \\ \end{array}$	notifying [1] - 96:25 November [7] - 1:8, 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 NUMBER [2] - 107:6 number [16] - 31:24, 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, 99:4, 103:6 O OATH [1] - 104:1 oath [9] - 5:9, 32:14, 62:17, 63:4, 63:14, 80:24, 88:6, 91:8, 94:10	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16 opened [1] - 77:3 operation [2] - 14:6, 14:10 opinion [1] - 98:9 opposed [1] - 47:18 order [2] - 63:8, 90:19	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25 Park [2] - 6:20, 16:12 parking [9] - 18:13, 21:15, 22:8, 25:14, 25:15, 25:21, 26:10, 28:4, 58:12 part [11] - 38:16, 43:8, 47:23, 50:11, 50:21, 55:4, 81:18, 81:22, 92:10, 95:16, 102:13
57:6, 64:15, 64:20, 64:23, 64:25, 65:1, 68:3, 68:5, 71:20, 71:25, 72:1, 72:3, 72:4, 72:11, 72:13, 72:22, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:14, 73:16, 73:18, 76:18, 76:19, 76:20, 77:13, 77:15, 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, 80:1, 81:24, 82:1, 82:2, 82:3, 82:22, 82:24, 82:25, 83:1, 86:9, 86:12, 86:13, 86:15, 86:17, 87:1, 87:3, 94:4, 94:7, 94:8, 94:12, 96:13, 96:14, 96:16,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{need} [8] - 18:11, \\ 18:18, 57:12, 57:18, \\ 73:12, 77:6, 101:15, \\ 103:13 \\ \textbf{needed} [3] - 40:8, \\ 65:16, 77:7 \\ \textbf{needing} [1] - 27:25 \\ \textbf{needs} [2] - 98:17, \\ 99:15 \\ \textbf{negotiations} [1] - \\ 50:17 \\ \textbf{Neils} [1] - 15:25 \\ \textbf{net} [6] - 83:12, 83:19, \\ 83:20, 98:23, 99:4, \\ 99:8 \\ \textbf{never} [20] - 14:25, \\ 15:17, 20:3, 20:18, \\ 22:25, 42:14, 55:2, \\ 56:8, 57:13, 59:16, \\ 76:5, 76:8, 77:16, \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{notifying [1] - 96:25} \\ \textbf{November [7] - 1:8,} \\ 5:22, 16:15, 17:1, \\ 104:8, 106:5, 107:5 \\ \textbf{NUMBER [2] - 107:6} \\ \textbf{number [16] - 31:24,} \\ 32:3, 32:15, 32:17, \\ 34:6, 36:5, 58:1, \\ 63:4, 75:19, 90:4, \\ 93:13, 93:15, 99:1, \\ 99:4, 103:6 \\ \hline \textbf{O} \\ \textbf{OATH [1] - 104:1} \\ \textbf{oath [9] - 5:9, 32:14,} \\ 62:17, 63:4, 63:14, \\ 80:24, 88:6, 91:8, \\ 94:10 \\ \textbf{obtain [1] - 58:24} \\ \textbf{obviously [2] - 9:3,} \\ \end{array}$	45:12, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:24, 47:9, 48:13, 51:1, 59:20, 60:3, 64:5, 64:16, 64:18, 64:21, 70:3, 70:8, 76:22, 80:9, 81:2, 81:5, 86:1, 86:20, 89:14, 90:14, 93:17, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 94:5, 94:9, 94:15, 94:25, 95:14, 95:15 One [2] - 1:11, 2:4 ones [1] - 94:16 openad [1] - 7:4 operate [1] - 77:3 operation [2] - 14:6, 14:10 opinion [1] - 98:9 opposed [1] - 47:18	paid [10] - 45:18, 46:1, 46:12, 47:2, 47:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 84:15, 99:23 paper [4] - 20:22, 20:23, 49:24, 51:17 paragraph [1] - 30:1 parameter [1] - 63:23 paraphrase [1] - 17:12 pardon [1] - 78:22 parent [2] - 45:15, 45:25 Park [2] - 6:20, 16:12 parking [9] - 18:13, 21:15, 22:8, 25:14, 25:15, 25:21, 26:10, 28:4, 58:12 part [11] - 38:16, 43:8, 47:23, 50:11, 50:21, 55:4, 81:18, 81:22,

			1	
41:21, 41:24, 42:5	54:15, 54:16	20:15, 22:9, 26:19,	68:13, 69:20, 81:4,	48:5, 50:7, 50:22,
participate [1] - 11:25	perhaps [7] - 34:13,	37:6, 38:19, 39:14,	83:4, 85:16	60:24, 72:16, 81:11,
participated [6] - 89:8,	36:7, 57:8, 69:1,	48:13, 50:7, 66:5,	presentation [3] -	92:4, 92:8, 92:23,
92:12, 92:15, 97:18,	84:23, 85:22, 88:12	67:6, 83:18, 84:19,	53:2, 90:1, 91:18	97:16, 98:7, 102:16
102:17, 102:22	period [14] - 18:4,	90:24, 96:8, 101:14,	presentations [2] -	procurement [15] -
Participation [1] -	21:20, 21:23, 27:17,	102:5	89:12, 90:16	10:15, 10:24, 10:25,
		pointed [1] - 50:16	,	11:1, 11:3, 29:13,
83:25	27:24, 42:4, 42:24,		presented [8] - 22:15,	
particular [3] - 28:18,	44:21, 50:15, 66:7,	police [2] - 8:8, 10:22	81:4, 82:13, 82:18,	29:24, 30:1, 30:23,
28:20, 76:7	76:10, 85:2, 90:18,	policies [1] - 31:3	82:20, 85:12, 89:25,	31:3, 41:4, 41:16, 41:20, 42:7, 47:24
parties [6] - 5:3,	100:23	political [1] - 19:14	99:2	produce [1] - 55:7
47:22, 96:24,	periodically [1] - 27:15	portion [1] - 80:2	presenter [1] - 53:5	production [2] -
105:14, 106:13,		ports [1] - 58:12	presenters [8] - 87:7,	57:16, 62:19
106:14	periods [1] - 41:22	position [6] - 5:17,	87:9, 87:13, 91:23,	
parties' [1] - 105:15	perjury [1] - 107:16	24:20, 30:14, 46:14,	93:13, 93:15, 93:16,	professional [2] -
partnership [2] -	permit [1] - 14:17	54:11, 83:8	103:3	6:17, 33:19
21:15, 26:7	perplexed [1] - 56:24	possible [2] - 28:6,	presenting [1] - 103:3	program [1] - 99:22
party [4] - 22:13,	person [5] - 28:19,	30:3	press [1] - 103:8	progressed [1] -
22:15, 46:18, 87:17	28:20, 29:11, 32:13,	post [1] - 7:4	presumption [1] -	101:22
passed [5] - 59:2,	49:7	posting [1] - 30:25	86:14	prohibited [1] - 42:5
59:15, 61:17, 81:9,	personal [2] - 16:11,	potent [1] - 58:15	pretty [2] - 32:3, 36:24	prohibition [2] -
90:19	37:5	potential [36] - 10:2,	previously [5] - 39:1,	43:22, 43:24
past [2] - 21:14, 21:17	personally [8] - 13:21,	18:11, 19:19, 21:7,	47:20, 54:6, 80:22,	prohibitions [1] -
PATRICK [8] - 1:6,	36:17, 59:6, 60:12,	21:12, 25:2, 25:24,	98:24	42:10
3:6, 5:7, 104:6,	60:20, 60:24, 62:8,	26:7, 26:9, 26:22,	price [1] - 103:2	project [2] - 11:9,
105:8, 106:2, 107:4,	104:7	26:25, 28:1, 31:25,	primary [1] - 97:14	53:15
107:19	personnel [1] - 11:24	34:6, 42:22, 48:16,	private [3] - 17:8,	projections [1] - 99:24
Patrick [1] - 5:14	pertained [1] - 18:10	48:22, 49:5, 49:21,	21:14, 25:8	projects [1] - 101:6
pay [10] - 62:4, 71:3,	petway [1] - 17:3	51:15, 58:15, 59:4,	privatization [46] -	proposal [2] - 64:8,
98:16, 98:17, 99:19,	Petway [3] - 17:3,	59:12, 59:21, 70:24,	10:3, 17:11, 17:16,	76:13
100:3, 100:12,	17:6, 17:21	71:17, 81:15, 88:4,	17:22, 18:2, 19:12,	pros [1] - 42:6
100:17, 100:18	petway's [1] - 17:14	88:11, 91:1, 92:19,	19:20, 19:23, 20:4,	prospective [3] - 11:5,
paying [6] - 33:18,	Peyton [1] - 7:7	98:8, 100:9, 101:24,	20:15, 20:19, 21:4,	41:25, 42:20
99:12, 99:13, 100:6,	PFM [38] - 9:17, 28:17,	102:21, 103:2	21:9, 22:5, 22:7,	provide [3] - 30:12,
100:14	28:19, 30:11, 31:15,	potentially [7] - 21:14,	22:12, 22:19, 23:2,	30:15, 31:11
payment [1] - 50:25	34:5, 44:12, 45:10,	30:14, 62:15, 71:11,	23:5, 24:19, 24:22,	provided [4] - 48:12,
Pedersen [2] - 64:1,	45:12, 45:17, 45:21,	87:16, 91:8, 99:3	25:2, 25:24, 26:4,	48:20, 59:18, 65:19
64:3	46:20, 47:2, 47:18,	Power [5] - 14:21,	26:7, 26:10, 26:23,	providing [3] - 64:2,
penalties [1] - 107:16	47:21, 48:6, 48:16,	15:3, 27:4, 54:3,	49:22, 54:24, 58:15,	64:3, 65:18
penny [2] - 99:21,	48:21, 49:13, 49:17,	54:5	70:12, 70:24, 71:11,	PSEC [2] - 31:5, 31:6
100:19	51:10, 51:21, 52:3,	power [1] - 22:16	71:16, 71:17, 76:9,	Public [4] - 1:14,
pension [13] - 8:6, 8:8,	52:9, 52:12, 52:19,	practically [1] - 30:2	88:5, 88:8, 88:12,	44:13, 104:6, 104:19
8:9, 8:25, 9:13,	58:23, 59:4, 66:6,	practice [1] - 31:9	91:1, 91:6, 92:20,	public [20] - 21:14,
29:13, 47:23, 98:16,	69:21, 70:10, 74:6,	preceding [1] - 28:9	95:21, 96:2, 98:8,	55:5, 55:20, 56:11,
99:13, 99:20, 100:4,	74:8, 78:10, 87:6	precise [1] - 63:22	98:9	56:12, 56:15, 56:18,
100:6, 100:16	phone [6] - 14:4,	precisely [1] - 73:1	privatizing [2] - 25:10, 25:15	56:20, 56:25, 57:7,
people [24] - 9:18,	21:11, 25:21, 28:2,	predominantly [1] -		57:10, 60:8, 60:11,
11:17, 17:6, 21:8,	57:2, 96:24	48:24	privilege [4] - 71:21,	60:13, 61:3, 61:17,
22:18, 26:21, 31:12,	pick [2] - 61:22, 61:23	premises [1] - 91:11	72:2, 72:6, 72:24	71:22, 73:5, 101:2,
36:5, 48:10, 58:3,	piece [2] - 51:17, 98:5	preparation [8] -	privileged [2] - 73:3,	101:3
58:5, 61:9, 61:14,	piggyback [1] - 23:4	28:13, 28:24, 32:21,	73:4	Public-State [1] -
61:24, 62:25, 78:19,	place [2] - 43:23,	33:3, 46:23, 68:21,	privileges [1] - 72:18	104:19
78:23, 91:16, 91:19,	52:18	84:12, 84:15	pro [1] - 64:3	published [6] - 30:17,
92:11, 92:15, 95:15,	plain [1] - 55:25	prepare [2] - 27:18,	procedures [3] -	30:21, 30:22, 35:1,
95:16, 102:12	Plan [1] - 83:25	34:2	29:13, 31:3, 47:24	35:3, 35:7
percent [1] - 100:15	plan [5] - 9:13, 83:24,	prepared [1] - 90:11	proceeds [7] - 83:13,	pull [4] - 18:19, 22:11,
perfect [1] - 87:2	84:2, 85:16, 99:19	preparing [8] - 43:12,	83:14, 83:19, 99:8,	22:14, 60:15
perform [2] - 77:17,	plans [2] - 8:6, 8:8	58:25, 59:8, 60:10,	100:9, 102:9, 102:21	pulled [1] - 98:24
98:21	Plant [2] - 81:14	80:11, 82:11, 84:7,	process [19] - 14:16,	PUP [2] - 83:24, 84:2
performed [1] - 76:3	Plunkett [1] - 78:17	85:3	29:7, 33:22, 33:24,	purchase [2] - 40:9,
performing [2] -	point [19] - 9:1, 10:13,	present [6] - 66:10,	38:2, 41:4, 41:21,	103:2
1			1	

purchasers [1] - 26:25	107:16	refreshed [1] - 95:7	13:24	74:10, 74:11, 74:13
purpose [1] - 83:2	reading [7] - 5:4, 23:8,	refund [1] - 71:2	representatives [1] -	responsibilities [2] -
purposes [5] - 33:12,	44:3, 54:18, 58:10,	regard [1] - 106:12	86:22	10:11
33:14, 45:22, 64:10,	88:17, 106:21	regarding [3] - 10:2,	represented [1] - 14:6	responsibility [4] -
102:10	reads [1] - 19:11	70:11, 85:17	representing [1] -	9:6, 9:10, 73:6,
pursuant [5] - 6:7,	real [1] - 19:13	regards [2] - 45:19,	52:15	101:11
25:9, 47:2, 47:3,	realized [1] - 98:22	59:7	Republican [1] - 19:18	responsible [3] - 8:9,
76:3	really [1] - 56:24		request [26] - 10:1,	10:4, 10:8
put [9] - 27:18, 44:18,	reason [5] - 11:11,	registrars [1] - 33:18 relate [3] - 57:17,	22:2, 40:7, 40:11,	responsive [8] -
44:24, 50:4, 51:23,	,			60:11, 60:17, 61:10,
	11:20, 71:10, 76:7,	67:16, 73:16	50:25, 55:5, 55:21, 56:11, 56:12, 56:14,	61:11, 61:22, 62:6,
65:3, 75:9, 91:14, 103:7	97:14	related [26] - 9:12,	56:15, 56:18, 56:21,	62:15
putting [2] - 20:13,	reasonable [1] - 106:11	14:5, 15:11, 20:19,	56:22, 57:7, 57:8,	restored [1] - 97:5
29:8		25:22, 31:1, 33:5,	57:11, 57:14, 60:8,	restroom [1] - 38:22
29.0	reasons [2] - 71:4, 97:8	34:8, 37:8, 39:8,	60:11, 60:13, 60:17,	
0		54:15, 54:16, 55:5,	61:3, 61:18, 61:22,	restructuring [1] - 71:5
Q	recalling [1] - 88:10	55:21, 56:19, 60:5, 61:25, 67:16, 68:14,	65:23	result [2] - 26:2, 31:6
qualified [4] - 18:19,	receipt [1] - 106:11		requested [5] - 60:18,	
31:11, 77:5, 91:1	receive [3] - 49:3,	71:10, 71:17, 78:12, 97:9, 97:10, 98:2,	61:1, 61:2, 81:23,	retained [1] - 7:10
questions [11] - 17:4,	76:11, 98:14	102:4	105:9	retainer [2] - 46:5,
38:11, 60:4, 66:3,	received [7] - 49:6,	relates [4] - 5:23,	requestor [1] - 62:3	47:3
72:8, 79:15, 80:4,	50:22, 54:2, 97:3,	47:24, 55:1, 55:23	requests [1] - 40:11	retired [1] - 7:24
80:11, 81:18, 84:25	101:10, 102:13,	relating [1] - 49:21	require [1] - 31:4	return [1] - 100:16
Questions [1] - 80:4	106:13	••••	required [4] - 30:18,	review [10] - 53:16,
quick [1] - 38:17	receiving [5] - 27:23,	relationship [3] - 44:14, 53:6, 62:7	30:20, 62:6, 76:12	60:16, 61:21, 62:17,
quickly [1] - 74:15	55:3, 55:4, 65:17,		requirement [2] -	68:20, 72:18, 105:8,
quote [5] - 19:9,	90:12	relative [3] - 32:11,	30:5, 42:6	106:8, 106:9, 106:10
19:15, 65:14, 95:24	recent [3] - 50:3,	105:13, 105:15 release [1] - 34:11	requirements [1] -	reviewed [1] - 34:21
quoted [1] - 19:13	70:22, 95:7	released [1] - 103:8	29:24	reviewing [5] - 53:9,
-	recently [4] - 23:8, 50:6, 54:18, 71:1	remain [1] - 98:18	research [2] - 55:9,	61:16, 61:19, 62:18, 87:7
R			73:7	
	recess [1] - 16:2	remember [18] - 29:7,	reserve [1] - 99:14	reviews [1] - 29:7
ran [2] - 16:12, 43:10	Recess [3] - 38:23,	34:1, 48:9, 48:21,	1eserve [1] - 99.14	RFP [135] - 9:20, 10:5,
	12.10 77.11	57.00 60.00 60.04	residents (4) 100.2	
Randall [25] - 28:15,	43:19, 77:14	57:22, 68:23, 68:24,	residents [1] - 100:2	18:6, 18:8, 18:10,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17,	recognize [1] - 31:19	69:17, 69:20, 75:19,	resolution [2] - 96:5,	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] -	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21,	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19,	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3,	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2,	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1,	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2, 59:6	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2, 59:6 responded [6] - 22:4,	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2, 59:6 responded [6] - 22:4, 24:8, 55:15, 56:6,	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] -	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2, 59:6 responded [6] - 22:4, 24:8, 55:15, 56:6, 56:12, 75:23	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2, 59:6 responded [6] - 22:4, 24:8, 55:15, 56:6, 56:12, 75:23 respondent [5] - 49:5,	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11,	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2, 59:6 responded [6] - 22:4, 24:8, 55:15, 56:6, 56:12, 75:23 respondent [5] - 49:5, 59:18, 59:22, 65:11,	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{recognize} \ [1] - 31:19 \\ \textbf{recollection} \ [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, \\ 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, \\ 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, \\ 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 \\ \textbf{record} \ [6] - 6:6, 6:14, \\ 56:25, 103:13, \\ 103:15, 105:10 \\ \textbf{records} \ [17] - 55:5, \\ 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, \\ 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, \\ \end{array}$	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10,	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2, 59:6 responded [6] - 22:4, 24:8, 55:15, 56:6, 56:12, 75:23 respondent [5] - 49:5, 59:18, 59:22, 65:11, 74:10	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2,	resolution [2] - 96:5, 96:21 respective [1] - 5:3 respond [5] - 22:3, 30:10, 33:13, 55:2, 59:6 responded [6] - 22:4, 24:8, 55:15, 56:6, 56:12, 75:23 respondent [5] - 49:5, 59:18, 59:22, 65:11, 74:10 respondents [12] -	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, 60:11, 60:13, 60:16,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{resolution} \ [2] - 96:5,\\ 96:21\\ \textbf{respective} \ [1] - 5:3\\ \textbf{respond} \ [5] - 22:3,\\ 30:10, \ 33:13, \ 55:2,\\ 59:6\\ \textbf{responded} \ [6] - 22:4,\\ 24:8, \ 55:15, \ 56:6,\\ 56:12, \ 75:23\\ \textbf{respondent} \ [5] - 49:5,\\ 59:18, \ 59:22, \ 65:11,\\ 74:10\\ \textbf{respondents} \ [12] -\\ 34:6, \ 42:20, \ 42:22,\\ \end{array}$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15,	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, 60:11, 60:13, 60:16, 61:3, 61:17, 72:11,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{resolution} \ [2] - 96:5, \\ 96:21 \\ \textbf{respective} \ [1] - 5:3 \\ \textbf{respond} \ [5] - 22:3, \\ 30:10, \ 33:13, \ 55:2, \\ 59:6 \\ \textbf{responded} \ [6] - 22:4, \\ 24:8, \ 55:15, \ 56:6, \\ 56:12, \ 75:23 \\ \textbf{respondent} \ [5] - 49:5, \\ 59:18, \ 59:22, \ 65:11, \\ 74:10 \\ \textbf{respondents} \ [12] - \\ 34:6, \ 42:20, \ 42:22, \\ 44:11, \ 48:17, \ 58:22, \end{array}$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:14, 33:23, 33:25,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, 60:11, 60:13, 60:16, 61:3, 61:17, 72:11, 73:5	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, 60:11, 60:13, 60:16, 61:3, 61:17, 72:11, 73:5 reference [3] - 39:25,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] -	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{resolution} \ [2] - 96:5,\\ 96:21\\ \textbf{respective} \ [1] - 5:3\\ \textbf{respond} \ [5] - 22:3,\\ 30:10, 33:13, 55:2,\\ 59:6\\ \textbf{responded} \ [6] - 22:4,\\ 24:8, 55:15, 56:6,\\ 56:12, 75:23\\ \textbf{respondent} \ [5] - 49:5,\\ 59:18, 59:22, 65:11,\\ 74:10\\ \textbf{respondents} \ [12] - \\ 34:6, 42:20, 42:22,\\ 44:11, 48:17, 58:22,\\ 58:24, 59:5, 59:13,\\ 64:6\\ \end{array}$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:14, 33:23, 33:25, 34:5, 34:8, 34:11,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, 60:11, 60:13, 60:16, 61:3, 61:17, 72:11, 73:5 reference [3] - 39:25, 40:23, 84:19	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:14, 33:23, 33:25, 34:5, 34:8, 34:11, 35:11, 35:20, 35:23,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, 60:11, 60:13, 60:16, 61:3, 61:17, 72:11, 73:5 reference [3] - 39:25, 40:23, 84:19 referenced [2] - 68:7,	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$18:6, 18:8, 18:10,\\18:16, 18:20, 18:22,\\19:4, 21:2, 21:4,\\21:6, 21:19, 21:20,\\21:22, 22:2, 22:3,\\22:4, 22:10, 22:25,\\23:4, 23:11, 23:15,\\23:18, 24:1, 24:2,\\24:8, 25:9, 26:3,\\27:7, 27:13, 27:18,\\27:22, 28:5, 28:13,\\29:9, 30:4, 30:10,\\30:17, 31:16, 31:23,\\31:25, 32:20, 32:22,\\32:24, 33:6, 33:13,\\33:14, 33:23, 33:25,\\34:5, 34:8, 34:11,\\35:11, 35:20, 35:23,\\36:9, 36:12, 36:15,\\\end{array}$
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4 reach [1] - 47:21	recognize [1] - 31:19 recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - 6:6, 6:14, 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, 60:11, 60:13, 60:16, 61:3, 61:17, 72:11, 73:5 reference [3] - 39:25, 40:23, 84:19 referenced [2] - 68:7, 106:8	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9, 13:11, 13:15, 13:16	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$18:6, 18:8, 18:10, \\18:16, 18:20, 18:22, \\19:4, 21:2, 21:4, \\21:6, 21:19, 21:20, \\21:22, 22:2, 22:3, \\22:4, 22:10, 22:25, \\23:4, 23:11, 23:15, \\23:18, 24:1, 24:2, \\24:8, 25:9, 26:3, \\27:7, 27:13, 27:18, \\27:22, 28:5, 28:13, \\29:9, 30:4, 30:10, \\30:17, 31:16, 31:23, \\31:25, 32:20, 32:22, \\32:24, 33:6, 33:13, \\33:14, 33:23, 33:25, \\34:5, 34:8, 34:11, \\35:11, 35:20, 35:23, \\36:9, 36:12, 36:15, \\36:19, 36:25, 37:7, \\120, 21:20, 21:20, 21:20, \\30:21, 21:20, 21:20, \\30:21, 21:20, 21:20, \\30:21, 21:20, 35:23, \\36:9, 36:12, 36:15, \\36:19, 36:25, 37:7, \\120, 21:20, 21:20, \\31:20, 31:20, 31:20, 31:20, \\31:20, 31:20, 31:20, 31:20, 31:20, \\31:20, 31$
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4 reach [1] - 47:21 reaches [1] - 42:1	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{recognize} [1] - 31:19\\ \textbf{recollection} [12] - \\ 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, \\ 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, \\ 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, \\ 91:22, 95:4, 95:6\\ \textbf{record} [6] - 6:6, 6:14, \\ 56:25, 103:13, \\ 103:15, 105:10\\ \textbf{records} [17] - 55:5, \\ 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, \\ 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, \\ 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, \\ 60:11, 60:13, 60:16, \\ 61:3, 61:17, 72:11, \\ 73:5\\ \textbf{reference} [3] - 39:25, \\ 40:23, 84:19\\ \textbf{references} [1] - 58:11\\ \end{array}$	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9, 13:11, 13:15, 13:16 reports [8] - 8:21, 9:3,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$18:6, 18:8, 18:10,\\18:16, 18:20, 18:22,\\19:4, 21:2, 21:4,\\21:6, 21:19, 21:20,\\21:22, 22:2, 22:3,\\22:4, 22:10, 22:25,\\23:4, 23:11, 23:15,\\23:18, 24:1, 24:2,\\24:8, 25:9, 26:3,\\27:7, 27:13, 27:18,\\27:22, 28:5, 28:13,\\29:9, 30:4, 30:10,\\30:17, 31:16, 31:23,\\31:25, 32:20, 32:22,\\32:24, 33:6, 33:13,\\33:14, 33:23, 33:25,\\34:5, 34:8, 34:11,\\35:11, 35:20, 35:23,\\36:9, 36:12, 36:15,\\36:19, 36:25, 37:7,\\39:8, 39:19, 39:21,\\10:20, 21:20, 21:20,\\10:20, 21:20, 21:20,\\10:20, 21:20,\\21:2$
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4 reach [1] - 47:21 reaches [1] - 42:1 read [16] - 20:22,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9, 13:11, 13:15, 13:16 reports [8] - 8:21, 9:3, 84:5, 97:9, 97:10,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:14, 33:23, 33:25, 34:5, 34:8, 34:11, 35:11, 35:20, 35:23, 36:9, 36:12, 36:15, 36:19, 36:25, 37:7, 39:8, 39:19, 39:21, 40:7, 40:17, 40:20,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4 reach [1] - 47:21 reaches [1] - 42:1 read [16] - 20:22, 20:23, 24:24, 38:25,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9, 13:11, 13:15, 13:16 reports [8] - 8:21, 9:3, 84:5, 97:9, 97:10, 97:13, 98:25	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$18:6, 18:8, 18:10, \\18:16, 18:20, 18:22, \\19:4, 21:2, 21:4, \\21:6, 21:19, 21:20, \\21:22, 22:2, 22:3, \\22:4, 22:10, 22:25, \\23:4, 23:11, 23:15, \\23:18, 24:1, 24:2, \\24:8, 25:9, 26:3, \\27:7, 27:13, 27:18, \\27:22, 28:5, 28:13, \\29:9, 30:4, 30:10, \\30:17, 31:16, 31:23, \\31:25, 32:20, 32:22, \\32:24, 33:6, 33:13, \\33:14, 33:23, 33:25, \\34:5, 34:8, 34:11, \\35:11, 35:20, 35:23, \\36:9, 36:12, 36:15, \\36:19, 36:25, 37:7, \\39:8, 39:19, 39:21, \\40:7, 40:17, 40:20, \\40:23, 41:9, 42:2, \\ \end{cases}$
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4 reach [1] - 47:21 reaches [1] - 42:1 read [16] - 20:22, 20:23, 24:24, 38:25, 39:14, 41:13, 70:15,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9, 13:11, 13:15, 13:16 reports [8] - 8:21, 9:3, 84:5, 97:9, 97:10, 97:13, 98:25 repositioning [1] -	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$18:6, 18:8, 18:10, \\18:16, 18:20, 18:22, \\19:4, 21:2, 21:4, \\21:6, 21:19, 21:20, \\21:22, 22:2, 22:3, \\22:4, 22:10, 22:25, \\23:4, 23:11, 23:15, \\23:18, 24:1, 24:2, \\24:8, 25:9, 26:3, \\27:7, 27:13, 27:18, \\27:22, 28:5, 28:13, \\29:9, 30:4, 30:10, \\30:17, 31:16, 31:23, \\31:25, 32:20, 32:22, \\32:24, 33:6, 33:13, \\33:14, 33:23, 33:25, \\34:5, 34:8, 34:11, \\35:11, 35:20, 35:23, \\36:9, 36:12, 36:15, \\36:19, 36:25, 37:7, \\39:8, 39:19, 39:21, \\40:7, 40:17, 40:20, \\40:23, 41:9, 42:2, \\42:10, 42:13, 42:14, \\$
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4 reach [1] - 47:21 reaches [1] - 42:1 reaches [1] - 42:1 read [16] - 20:22, 20:23, 24:24, 38:25, 39:14, 41:13, 70:15, 76:17, 84:3, 84:24,	$\begin{tabular}{ c $	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9, 13:11, 13:15, 13:16 reports [8] - 8:21, 9:3, 84:5, 97:9, 97:10, 97:13, 98:25 repositioning [1] - 71:5	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:14, 33:23, 33:25, 34:5, 34:8, 34:11, 35:11, 35:20, 35:23, 36:9, 36:12, 36:15, 36:19, 36:25, 37:7, 39:8, 39:19, 39:21, 40:7, 40:17, 40:20, 40:23, 41:9, 42:2, 42:10, 42:13, 42:14, 42:24, 43:2, 43:12,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4 reach [1] - 42:1 reaches [1] - 42:1, 76:17, 84:3, 84:24, 97:9, 97:10, 103:11,	recognize [1] - $31:19$ recollection [12] - 24:10, 24:23, 26:5, 74:4, 75:11, 88:5, 91:2, 91:5, 91:15, 91:22, 95:4, 95:6 record [6] - $6:6, 6:14,$ 56:25, 103:13, 103:15, 105:10 records [17] - $55:5,$ 55:20, 56:11, 56:12, 56:15, 56:18, 56:20, 57:7, 57:10, 60:8, 60:11, 60:13, 60:16, 61:3, 61:17, 72:11, 73:5 reference [3] - $39:25,$ 40:23, 84:19 references [1] - $58:11$ references [1] - $58:11$ referring [4] - $19:19,$ 21:23, 29:17, 68:7 refers [1] - $58:1$ refinancing [1] - $71:4$ refresh [2] - $91:2,$	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9, 13:11, 13:15, 13:16 reports [8] - 8:21, 9:3, 84:5, 97:9, 97:10, 97:13, 98:25 repositioning [1] - 71:5 represent [1] - 72:4	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:14, 33:23, 33:25, 34:5, 34:8, 34:11, 35:11, 35:20, 35:23, 36:9, 36:12, 36:15, 36:19, 36:25, 37:7, 39:8, 39:19, 39:21, 40:7, 40:17, 40:20, 40:23, 41:9, 42:2, 42:10, 42:13, 42:14, 42:24, 43:2, 43:12, 43:23, 44:9, 44:10,
36:6, 54:21, 55:17, 61:15, 62:12, 62:13, 62:14, 62:20, 69:12, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:12, 71:14, 74:4, 81:4, 86:21, 97:22, 99:11, 102:8, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 102:25 range [4] - 32:8, 63:6, 63:15, 83:13 rather [2] - 38:15, 100:10 Re [2] - 106:5, 107:2 re [4] - 35:9, 70:15, 93:9, 97:4 re-clarify [1] - 93:9 re-read [1] - 70:15 re-upgraded [1] - 97:4 reach [1] - 47:21 reaches [1] - 42:1 reaches [1] - 42:1 read [16] - 20:22, 20:23, 24:24, 38:25, 39:14, 41:13, 70:15, 76:17, 84:3, 84:24,	$\begin{tabular}{ c $	69:17, 69:20, 75:19, 76:16, 81:11, 82:21, 92:9, 94:11, 94:19, 95:11, 99:17 remind [1] - 38:4 removed [2] - 71:1, 97:4 rephrase [1] - 24:6 replacement [2] - 100:1, 100:2 report [13] - 8:11, 12:7, 12:8, 13:10, 17:2, 17:3, 53:2, 53:6, 53:9, 82:11, 82:15, 85:12, 105:7 reported [1] - 49:24 REPORTER'S [1] - 105:1 reporting [4] - 13:9, 13:11, 13:15, 13:16 reports [8] - 8:21, 9:3, 84:5, 97:9, 97:10, 97:13, 98:25 repositioning [1] - 71:5	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	18:6, 18:8, 18:10, 18:16, 18:20, 18:22, 19:4, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:19, 21:20, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:10, 22:25, 23:4, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:1, 24:2, 24:8, 25:9, 26:3, 27:7, 27:13, 27:18, 27:22, 28:5, 28:13, 29:9, 30:4, 30:10, 30:17, 31:16, 31:23, 31:25, 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:6, 33:13, 33:14, 33:23, 33:25, 34:5, 34:8, 34:11, 35:11, 35:20, 35:23, 36:9, 36:12, 36:15, 36:19, 36:25, 37:7, 39:8, 39:19, 39:21, 40:7, 40:17, 40:20, 40:23, 41:9, 42:2, 42:10, 42:13, 42:14, 42:24, 43:2, 43:12, 43:23, 44:9, 44:10, 44:19, 45:2, 45:19,

46:16, 46:24, 48:19,	80:1, 82:1, 82:3,	59:21, 60:25, 61:2,	63:5, 74:13	sometime [3] - 12:16,
49:3, 49:6, 49:8,	82:22, 82:25, 83:1,	75:13, 96:12	shall [1] - 30:2	13:1, 74:17
49:12, 49:13, 50:4,	86:12, 86:15, 87:3,	seeing [7] - 35:14,	share [1] - 98:4	somewhat [1] - 91:4
50:15, 52:3, 52:10,	94:7, 94:8, 96:16,	48:13, 56:22, 59:16,	shared [2] - 102:15,	soon [1] - 19:13
52:22, 53:7, 54:7,	96:18, 103:13,	61:7, 65:4, 82:21	103:1	sooner [2] - 99:23,
54:9, 55:1, 55:23,	103:16	seeking [1] - 47:23	sheet [1] - 75:11	99:24
57:19, 58:16, 58:22,	Russell [3] - 2:3,	seem [10] - 12:19,	sheets [1] - 89:19	sorry [11] - 19:5,
59:7, 59:19, 59:23,	106:18, 107:21	35:2, 48:13, 56:22,	shook [1] - 16:13	28:18, 49:10, 50:10,
60:7, 60:19, 60:25,	Ryan [3] - 63:25,	60:3, 68:19, 69:15,		53:11, 75:18, 86:12,
61:2, 63:2, 64:6,	69:14, 86:23	70:19, 80:7, 88:20	short [1] - 43:17	
64:9, 65:14, 65:24,	09.14, 00.23		shortly [1] - 74:17	87:24, 94:7, 95:10,
73:25, 74:5, 74:6,	C C	select [5] - 48:16,	show [6] - 19:8, 37:19,	96:11
75:23, 76:3, 76:10,	S	74:21, 74:23, 77:10,	38:10, 81:22, 96:4,	sought [1] - 34:18
76:15, 76:17, 76:24,	Sachs [5] - 24:2,	91:17	99:24	sound [1] - 87:1
77:10, 77:17, 79:3,	24:12, 24:21, 31:21,	selected [4] - 7:7,	showed [1] - 95:1	speaking [2] - 17:3,
94:18, 94:25	79:12	74:3, 74:17, 76:2	showing [2] - 20:24,	35:11
	sale [9] - 17:22, 18:2,	selection [12] - 74:7,	99:3	speaks [2] - 39:12,
RFPs [17] - 9:7, 9:11,	81:15, 83:19, 85:3,	74:19, 91:20, 91:23,	shown [2] - 38:7,	56:1
9:14, 9:16, 30:9, 20:21, 20:22, 21:0	85:18, 88:11, 101:24	92:2, 92:4, 92:9,	45:18	SPECIAL [3] - 1:2,
30:21, 30:23, 31:9,	sales [2] - 17:7, 99:20	92:12, 92:16, 92:23,	shows [1] - 44:11	106:5, 107:3
33:8, 33:17, 34:19,	Sam [12] - 12:9, 12:10,	95:12	side [11] - 14:6, 14:10,	Special [1] - 2:2
34:25, 35:1, 35:2,	12:19, 13:9, 13:16,	selections [1] - 65:13	51:25, 69:11, 69:13,	specific [17] - 18:3,
35:4, 49:16, 74:10	13:19, 13:25, 14:1,	send [3] - 30:13,	69:14, 89:2, 89:3,	18:15, 22:6, 30:24,
rights [1] - 5:25	14:2, 14:4, 14:20,	48:18, 56:25	99:1, 99:5	34:7, 34:16, 35:4,
Rights [2] - 6:2, 6:7	15:12	sending [2] - 78:9,	sides [2] - 51:24,	35:10, 35:11, 37:8,
risk [2] - 10:15, 10:18	Sam's [1] - 14:10	78:11	52:16	37:12, 40:25, 41:1,
Road [1] - 69:4	San [2] - 2:8, 106:3	senior [3] - 11:25,	sign [4] - 34:18,	45:12, 47:3, 59:3,
roads [1] - 58:12	sat [1] - 37:2	66:8, 83:18	103:16, 106:9	76:15
role [5] - 11:3, 16:7,		sense [1] - 12:24	sign-off [1] - 34:18	specifically [15] -
46:23, 49:2, 84:7	save [1] - 100:19	sent [9] - 31:13, 31:15,	signature [1] - 44:24	18:23, 30:25, 32:25,
roles [2] - 6:22, 11:14	saw [4] - 54:23, 55:2,	31:23, 31:25, 36:13,	Signature [1] - 106:23	36:21, 38:1, 40:20,
Ronnie [1] - 8:18	82:15, 82:16	36:15, 49:13, 73:20,	signed [1] - 5:24	40:24, 61:18, 62:11,
room [11] - 49:20,	scheduled [1] - 67:20	75:23	significant [1] - 90:18	62:18, 69:6, 88:10,
50:2, 50:9, 50:13,	score [1] - 95:15	sentence [3] - 19:11,	signing [2] - 5:4,	89:2, 89:21, 90:14
50:20, 92:6, 92:13,	scored [3] - 75:16,	40:4, 40:5	106:21	speculate [1] - 37:4
92:14, 100:13	75:22, 89:13	separate [2] - 31:2,	silence [2] - 41:6,	spending [1] - 101:5
roughly [1] - 9:4	scorer [1] - 89:1	51:20	41:13	SpringHill [6] - 69:1,
round [1] - 61:20	scorers [3] - 74:5,	separately [2] - 46:7,	similar [6] - 40:3,	85:23, 86:2, 86:6,
routinely [1] - 47:20	89:3, 89:6	91:20	49:16, 80:8, 80:24,	86:10, 91:10
RPR [7] - 1:14, 104:5,	scores [2] - 75:13,	September [1] - 7:22	81:5, 81:10	staff [3] - 8:8, 12:3,
104:19, 105:6,	75:14	Series [1] - 6:25	similarities [1] - 26:14	22:18
105:23, 106:17,	scoring [12] - 75:9,	series [1] - 68:5	simple [2] - 17:13,	standard [2] - 31:8,
107:20	75:17, 81:11, 87:8,	serious [1] - 19:14	47:17	48:5
Rules [1] - 106:11	88:3, 88:21, 88:25,	serve [2] - 8:8, 50:18		Stanley [16] - 24:4,
run [1] - 61:23	89:9, 89:11, 89:19,	served [2] - 7:8, 17:8	simply [1] - 89:25	24:11, 31:21, 57:20,
RUSSELL [61] - 3:7,	92:4, 95:16	serves [1] - 45:10	Sincerely [1] - 106:15	58:4, 58:7, 58:14,
5:12, 5:20, 6:10,	script [1] - 80:17	service [1] - 31:11	sit [2] - 38:15, 39:13	64:1, 64:5, 65:17,
15:23, 16:3, 16:19,	seal [1] - 104:10	services [12] - 30:12,	sitting [8] - 23:17,	65:19, 78:25, 90:11,
16:23, 19:5, 19:7,	search [2] - 60:18,	30:16, 33:19, 39:22,	31:17, 43:25, 45:7,	90:22, 90:25, 95:2
24:17, 29:23, 37:21,	61:9	40:8, 45:18, 45:23,	46:3, 48:25, 64:11,	Stanley's [5] - 64:16,
38:3, 38:9, 38:13,	second [3] - 12:18,		72:5	64:21, 79:2, 88:13,
38:19, 38:24, 39:10,	19:11, 67:5	46:2, 77:7, 77:18, 77:19	situated [1] - 48:22	95:6
39:15, 39:17, 41:25,	section [5] - 29:16,		smart [2] - 22:18,	start [1] - 16:24
42:3, 43:17, 43:20,	29:25, 30:2, 74:25,	set [3] - 16:19, 31:2, 99:22	100:13	started [2] - 83:19,
47:6, 57:4, 57:6,	75:1		Smith [3] - 1:10, 2:4,	102:24
64:23, 65:1, 71:20,	Section [2] - 29:17,	settled [2] - 96:24,	56:13	state [14] - 5:13,
71:25, 72:3, 72:11,	44:1	97:2	Society [1] - 7:5	20:18, 23:17, 39:7,
72:21, 72:25, 73:3,	see [17] - 13:17, 45:15,	seven [1] - 75:22	solicit [1] - 40:8	
73:9, 73:12, 73:16,	45:21, 48:10, 49:18,	several [14] - 10:13,	solicitation [2] - 48:8,	40:19, 41:19, 61:16,
73:18, 76:18, 76:20,	51:13, 51:17, 55:17,	22:18, 27:14, 28:25,	48:11	62:16, 80:6, 80:24,
77:13, 77:15, 79:22,	56:12, 56:20, 58:8,	30:16, 32:7, 33:11,	someone [3] - 14:17,	81:9, 93:9, 100:5, 102:24
11.10, 11.10, 10.22,	, , ,	34:24, 58:3, 58:11,	22:20, 80:10	102:24

State [5] - 6:19, 19:10,	sum [4] - 21:13, 98:20,	thereto [1] - 75:15	treasury [12] - 8:24,	undertake [1] - 99:19
19:15, 104:6, 104:19	98:23, 101:10	third [1] - 39:3	9:4, 10:14, 10:18,	underwriting [4] - 8:6,
STATE [2] - 104:2,	Sunshine [2] - 19:10,	thoroughly [2] - 53:9,	29:13, 33:21, 34:9,	9:16, 9:22, 77:10
105:3	19:15	58:10	42:1, 47:23, 50:2,	unequivocally [2] -
statement [2] - 19:22,	surprising [1] - 94:22	thoughts [2] - 98:12,	50:12, 61:14	62:16, 81:9
55:23	surtax [1] - 100:4	101:13	tried [3] - 15:24,	unilaterally [1] - 77:1
statements [2] -	sweep [1] - 22:21	three [17] - 12:2,	42:17, 42:20	uniquely [1] - 90:25
23:10, 66:3	-	• • •	trouble [2] - 12:24,	
	sworn [2] - 5:8, 104:8	43:11, 50:17, 53:12, 90:19, 91:16, 91:17,	72:23	Unit [1] - 83:25
stature [1] - 88:13	synthesize [1] - 74:14	, , ,		universe [1] - 31:12
status [1] - 51:11	system [2] - 100:6,	91:19, 91:22, 92:11,	trucks [2] - 10:20,	University [1] - 6:19
Statute [1] - 106:12	100:16	92:15, 93:1, 93:6,	10:22	unless [2] - 11:20,
stayed [2] - 92:13,		97:4, 97:17, 97:19,	true [3] - 62:2, 105:10,	57:15
92:14	Т	99:10	107:17	up [17] - 7:4, 17:9,
stenographic [1] -	TAKEN [2] - 1:8, 107:5	three-notch [1] - 97:4	trustees [1] - 33:18	18:13, 18:21, 21:16,
105:11		throughout [1] - 91:14	truth [1] - 5:8	27:15, 28:4, 51:23,
stenographically [1] -	tasked [1] - 22:4	Thursday [1] - 71:13	try [4] - 6:15, 38:15,	53:12, 57:18, 61:22,
105:7	tax [4] - 71:15, 99:20,	tied [1] - 71:6	49:10, 85:16	61:23, 68:25, 77:6,
step [2] - 60:22, 87:25	100:1, 100:11	Tim [6] - 16:4, 54:2,	trying [12] - 40:16,	91:9, 102:1, 103:4
Stephanie [2] - 97:18,	team [18] - 6:23,	54:6, 54:9, 54:12	44:6, 63:6, 63:16,	updates [2] - 62:1
97:20	22:11, 22:14, 24:1,	TIME [1] - 1:9	63:23, 83:5, 91:14,	upfront [1] - 21:13
steps [1] - 34:4	28:23, 42:23, 46:16,	title [1] - 8:25	91:15, 93:7, 99:17,	upgraded [1] - 97:4
still [2] - 48:9, 100:8	50:8, 50:19, 52:2,	today [14] - 23:17,	103:9, 103:10	upset [2] - 36:24, 37:1
stipulated [1] - 5:2	52:18, 52:20, 66:8,	31:17, 43:25, 45:7,	Tuesday [1] - 1:8	utilities [1] - 58:6
stop [2] - 101:25,	67:8, 77:5, 83:18,	46:4, 48:25, 50:5,	two [20] - 17:4, 24:3,	utility [3] - 18:13,
	95:16	55:8, 64:11, 89:7,	27:20, 44:15, 44:17,	
102:1	technically [1] - 43:5	98:8, 100:12,	44:21, 44:23, 45:24,	58:1, 58:3
stopped [2] - 83:18,	template [1] - 28:17	100:14, 100:17	51:14, 51:23, 52:11,	N/
101:5	ten [1] - 64:3		52:15, 74:5, 75:13,	V
stopping [1] - 38:19	ten-year [1] - 64:3	took [3] - 22:25,		value [2] - 83:20
strategic [4] - 18:10,	tenure [2] - 33:7,	37:10, 88:24	75:14, 79:20, 92:22,	variety [1] - 6:22
30:15, 39:22, 65:14	34:23	top [1] - 90:24	92:25, 93:6, 97:5	various [3] - 14:5,
street [3] - 42:11,	term [4] - 12:18, 58:5,	topic [2] - 27:16, 28:4	two-hour [1] - 51:14	21:9, 75:8
55:1, 60:6	76:15, 88:7	Toporek [2] - 79:7	Tyler [1] - 52:6	
Street [1] - 1:21		total [1] - 9:4	TylerCalderone@	vast [1] - 90:24
structure [5] - 44:16,	terminate [1] - 77:1	tough [2] - 63:4, 91:7	PFM.com [1] - 47:15	venture [4] - 88:12,
44:20, 44:23, 45:16,	terminated [1] -	towards [3] - 18:24,	type [7] - 9:17, 22:12,	88:17, 90:18, 94:23
45:24	101:24	20:14, 96:2	30:25, 37:8, 47:25,	Viii [1] - 75:3
studies [1] - 25:24	terminating [1] - 54:4	transaction [5] - 28:1,	92:2, 92:8	visions [1] - 20:6
study [2] - 26:3, 26:9	termination [1] -	37:9, 46:17, 78:12,	types [2] - 9:7, 33:16	visited [1] - 66:9
stuff [2] - 61:24, 101:3	76:25	83:21	typically [3] - 30:10,	Vogtle [2] - 81:14
subcomponent [2] -	terms [4] - 28:13,	transactions [1] -	30:21, 34:17	volume [1] - 62:25
10:16, 26:11	31:24, 60:18, 61:9	37:13		voluminous [2] -
submission [2] -	Terrie [7] - 5:21,	transcript [10] - 71:23,	U	56:15, 56:18
64:10, 65:2	104:5, 104:19,	72:17, 105:9,		
,	105:6, 105:23,	105:10, 106:7,	ultimately [5] - 6:23,	W
submissions [1] -	106:17, 107:20	106:9, 106:10,	53:1, 88:3, 97:3,	
44:10	terrie [1] - 1:14	106:13, 106:14,	98:25	waited [1] - 89:15
submitted [5] - 14:17,	testified [3] - 5:9,	106:21	under [33] - 7:7, 7:8,	waiting [1] - 100:11
88:21, 88:25, 89:14,	37:2, 54:6		7:10, 8:17, 9:2, 21:4,	waive [3] - 72:1,
89:19	testimony [7] - 39:19,	TRANSCRIPT [1] -	29:14, 29:25, 30:1,	106:9, 106:21
submitting [1] - 43:12	39:21, 40:17, 47:1,	107:2	30:3, 31:2, 32:14,	waived [1] - 5:5
subsequent [2] -	54:1, 76:2, 95:19	Trauig [3] - 70:11,	45:2, 45:5, 45:22,	Waiver [1] - 106:20
18:20, 50:11	THE [13] - 5:10, 24:14,	70:14, 72:6	46:5, 58:16, 62:16,	waiving [5] - 71:21,
subsidiary [1] - 45:25	24:16, 37:22, 38:21,	treasurer [25] - 7:9,	63:3, 63:14, 63:21,	71:24, 72:5, 72:15,
successful [1] - 65:10	57:5, 64:18, 64:24,	7:10, 7:14, 7:20, 8:3,	72:11, 73:5, 80:24,	72:23
successor [1] - 7:12	68:4, 79:25, 81:25,	8:5, 8:11, 8:16, 8:17,	84:23, 88:6, 91:7,	wall [1] - 51:23
suggested [1] -		8:20, 8:21, 9:6, 9:11,	94:10, 99:21, 100:5,	walls [2] - 52:16,
Suggesteu -	87:2, 96:17	10:4, 10:6, 12:14,	100:18, 106:11,	52:17
106:10				JE. 11
106:10	thereafter [1] - 34:4	12:15, 13:13, 16:7,		
106:10 suggesting [1] - 36:25	thereafter [1] - 34:4 therefore [2] - 17:19,	12:15, 13:13, 16:7, 33:7, 33:17, 34:24,	107:16	Wannemacher [5] -
106:10 suggesting [1] - 36:25 Suite [2] - 1:11, 2:5	thereafter [1] - 34:4 therefore [2] - 17:19, 92:22		107:16 understandable [1] -	Wannemacher [5] - 64:1, 64:2, 69:14,
106:10 suggesting [1] - 36:25	thereafter [1] - 34:4 therefore [2] - 17:19,	33:7, 33:17, 34:24,	107:16	Wannemacher [5] -

wants [1] - 42:1	Y
wastewater [1] - 58:12	y'all [1] - 56:16
water [2] - 15:24,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
43:18	year [4] - 7:14, 12:16,
website [6] - 30:17,	25:17, 64:3
30:22, 30:25, 35:1,	years [11] - 7:8, 8:19,
35:3, 35:15	12:17, 20:12, 21:16,
weeds [1] - 52:10	27:20, 88:2, 88:7,
weightings [1] - 75:7	89:7, 89:18, 92:5
weights [1] - 75:14	yourself [4] - 34:13,
Weinstein [31] - 7:24,	36:6, 91:21, 95:13
8:14, 13:11, 13:12,	
15:7, 15:8, 15:17,	
15:18, 21:25, 27:16,	
27:21, 29:4, 29:5,	
33:25, 34:1, 34:17,	
36:7, 36:15, 36:24,	
37:1, 43:3, 43:9,	
43:16, 62:20, 66:7,	
43.10, 02.20, 00.7, 67:1, 69:10, 69:11,	
73:20, 84:11, 86:21	
Weinstein's [2] - 7:11,	
37:5	
Weldon [1] - 16:12	
Wells [1] - 9:19	
whichever [1] - 99:23	
whole [2] - 16:19, 24:6	
William [2] - 64:1,	
64:3	
winner [2] - 79:4,	
94:17	
winners [12] - 65:14,	
73:25, 74:3, 74:16,	
74:22, 74:24, 76:16,	
91:17, 91:18, 92:22,	
92:25, 94:25	
withered [1] - 62:23	
witness [5] - 1:13, 5:3,	
5:5, 38:4, 38:12	
Witness [2] - 2:6,	
103:18	
WITNESS [15] - 3:5,	
5:10, 24:14, 24:16,	
37:22, 38:21, 57:5,	
64:18, 64:24, 68:4,	
79:25, 81:25, 87:2,	
96:17, 104:10	
won [1] - 94:19	
word [1] - 74:16	
words [3] - 39:9,	
39:13, 91:14	
works [3] - 52:19,	
52:20, 60:14	
WRITE [1] - 107:2	
writing [4] - 54:23,	
59:17, 59:21, 75:10	
written [2] - 74:23, 80:17	
wrote [1] - 88:23	