1		JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL					
2	SPECIAL	INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MATTER					
3							
4							
5		TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW OF					
6		PAUL McELROY					
7							
8	DATE TAKEN:	Wednesday, December 2, 2020					
9	TIME:	1:24 p.m. to 6:38 p.m.					
10	LOCATION:	Smith Hulsey & Busey					
11		One Independent Drive Suite 3300					
12		Jacksonville, Florida 32202					
13							
14	Examination of the witness taken before:						
15	Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, and a Notary Public						
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21		Hedquist and Associates					
22	,	345 East Forsyth Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202					
23	(904)354-4111 FAX (904)791-9103					
24							
25							

	ADDEADANCES OF CO	LINGEL 2			4	
1	APPEARANCES OF CO		1	McElroy's Exhibit 8, 9 and 10	32	
2	On behalf of Special Investigatory Committee			McElroy's Exhibit 11	37	
3	E. Lanny Russell, Esquire, via telephone Kevin Blodgett, Esquire			McElroy's Exhibit 12	43	
4	Smith, Hulsey & Busey One Independent Drive			McElroy's Exhibit 13	44	
5	Suite 3300 Jacksonville, Florida 32202			McElroy's Exhibit 14, 15 and 16	45	
6	·			McElroy's Exhibit 17	50	
7	No appearance on behalf of the Witness			McElroy's Exhibit 19	51	
8	On behalf of JEA			McElroy's Exhibit 20	51	
9	Lee D. Wedekind, III, Esquire, via telephone Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP			McElroy's Exhibit 21, 22, 23 and 24	58	
10	50 N. Laura Street´ 41st Floor			McElroy's Exhibit 25	59	
11	Jacksonville, FL 32202			McElroy's Exhibit 26	62	
12				McElroy's Exhibit 27	66	
			12	McElroy's Exhibit 28	67	
13				McElroy's Exhibit 29	75	
14				McElroy's Exhibit 30	77	
15			15 16	McElroy's Exhibit 31	78	
16			17	McElroy's Exhibit 32	89	
17			18	McElroy's Exhibit 33	92	
18			19	McElroy's Exhibit 33	94	
19				McElroy's Exhibit 34	156	
20				McElroy's Exhibit 35	162	
21			21	McElroy's Exhibit 36	167	
22			23	McElroy's Exhibit 37	172	
23			24	McElroy's Exhibit 38	175	
24			25	Meen by a Exhibit 30	173	
25				Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Ir	nc.	
	ricaquist a Associates reporte	3			5	
1				STIPULATION		
2				It was stipulated and agreed by and between		
3	EXAMINATION			counsel for the respective parties, and the witness,		
4			4			
5	WITNESS Page		5	witness was not waived.		
6	PAUL McELROY		6			
7	EXAMINATION BY MR. RUSSELL	5	7	PAUL McELROY,		
8	EXAMINATION BY MR. BLODGETT	91	8	testified as follows:		
9	EXAMINATION BY MR. RUSSELL	175	9	EXAMINATION		
10			10	BY MR. RUSSELL:		
11			11	Q I'd like to ask you first, Paul, ab	out Exhibit	
12			12	1, which is the JEA board meeting minut	es from the	
13			13	November 28, 2017, meeting. And, part	icularly, I wanted	
14			14	to ask you about the last page, I believe	, of those	
15			15	minutes.		
16			16	A Okay.		
17	EXHIBITS		17	(McElroy's Exhibit 1 was marked for		
18	FOR IDENTIFICATION	Page	18	identification.)		
19	McElroy's Exhibit 1	5	19	Q Make sure.		
20	McElroy's Exhibit 2	8	20	MR. BLODGETT: Lanny, if you'r	e looking for the	
21	McElroy's Exhibit 3	14	21	two questions, those are what were	stated at the	
22	McElroy's Exhibit 4	20	22	meeting.		
23	McElroy's Exhibit 5	23	23	MR. RUSSELL: Yeah.		
24	McElroy's Exhibit 6	25	24	MR. BLODGETT: They're not go	ing to be in the	
25	McElroy's Exhibit 7	25	25	minutes, so you can just read the qu	estions.	
1	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.			Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.		

1 MR. RUSSELL: Okay. I thought they were in the 2 City minutes. That was some other items. 3

MR. BLODGETT: Yeah, so the meeting minutes are inaccurate.

BY MR. RUSSELL:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'll read them to you, Paul. Okay. At that meeting, Paul, Mr. Petway identified two questions for the JEA board to consider. And those questions were would the customers of JEA and the people of Jacksonville be better served in the private marketplace?

And the second question Mr. Petway suggested be considered was should the JEA and the City of Jacksonville consider the financial benefits that would come from the privatization of JEA?

16 Do you have any insight, Paul, into why 17 Mr. Petway chose to make these recommendations to the 18 JEA board?

19 Α At -- at that time, and really going back over 20 the last -- last several years, starting, I think, 21 really with the sale of -- of TECO, which, I believe, 22 was in, say, 2015 or '16, I don't have a date in front 23 of me, but that -- that triggered a look at -- at the 24 valuation of -- of utilities, including an internal look at -- at the value of JEA. And that was really -- when

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

I say internal, internal staff.

And I had -- I had reported that to individual board members that should there be any discussion or if they look at and hear about the sale of TECO and think about JEA, the valuation of JEA, given to these market conditions, was substantially higher.

From time to time during the next several years, we spoke about it with Mr. Petway, as chairman, but spoke about it in general terms, no specific actions. And then the conversation went fairly dormant in that -- in that year, the 2017 time frame. And Mr. Petway was leaving the board, that was his last meeting and he felt he would raise that issue and that question.

Q Thank you.

Are you aware of any information that anybody suggested to Mr. Petway that he make these comments regarding the potential privatization of JEA?

will, a recommendation to -- to sell or to even

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

I -- no. At that point in time, I had not been involved in any conversation of him -- anyone suggesting to him. I had -- I had raised the issue to him about the -- the JEA calculated, I'm going to say, value, based upon the similar valuations that we had seen in the marketplace, but not pushing or pursuing, if you

1 seek an independent valuation. And I'm not aware of 2 any -- anyone else that was not involved in any -- any 3 meetings or saw any correspondence directing or encouraging Chair Petway to -- to do that.

5 I don't think I need to draw your attention to 6 Exhibit 2, but just to ask you a couple questions, 7 please, Paul.

To your knowledge, did the Curry administration explore privatizing JEA from 2017 or 2018?

10 2017 -- well, 2017 was the -- let's go back. 11 That was when Chair Petway -- so, yes, in terms 12 of time frame, the -- the administration was 13 certainly involved in pursuing the -- what became the 14 PMF -- PFM evaluation of -- of JEA. And so that would 15 have been sort of a -- through December, January and 16 February of -- to December of '17, January and February 17 of '18. 18 (McElroy's Exhibit 2 was marked for

19 identification.)

20 And who in the Curry administration, if you 21 know, was involved in pursuing the PFM evaluation of 22 1FA?

Α The primary staff members working on the PFM proposal from JEA and from the City were Melissa Dykes from JEA and Mike Weinstein, CFO for the -- the City at Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

7

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

1 the time.

2 3 through PFM, I believe this is right, and I guess what 4 Mike and Melissa were doing was providing the 5 information to PFM to assist in its evaluation of JEA?

6 was work that was conducted based upon a written charge 8 from the chairman of the JEA board, Chairman Howard, to me and then shared at the -- I believe, the December 12th board meeting with the other -- the other board members.

12 And so the two at that point in time were 13 instructed to -- to seek a -- not necessarily a meeting 14 with the -- to seek PFM, but to seek an advisor to 15 conduct an evaluation of -- of JEA and to return it. I 16 believe the written charge was within -- within 60 days. 17 Mr. Weinstein and Ms. Dykes were the primary people, 18 yes.

19 Q Who actually made the choice for the consultant 20 to be PFM, do you know?

I -- I believe it -- it was a JEA recommendation, a staff recommendation to go with PFM because of -- of their knowledge of -- of JEA. Having worked with PFM -- JEA having worked with PFM for a significant number of years, perhaps a decade or more,

9

8

Q And the way in which exploration was done was

That -- that is correct. And that was -- that

9

10 11

- 1 they were very aware of the financial condition and
- 2 the -- the general operations of -- of JEA and -- and
- 3 felt that while this was not their core business, they
- 4 could do an external view of a -- not a pure financial
- 5 value, but an evaluation based upon market conditions
- 6 of -- of what the -- the market value might be of JEA
- 7 and the -- and meet the -- the 60-day time frame.
- 8 Okay. Do you recall, Paul, having a
- 9 conversation with Eric Silagy, I believe it was in
- 10 2015, about Florida Power & Light's potential purchase
- 11 of JEA?
- 12 Α No, not of a potential purchase of JEA, not
- 13 with Eric. I -- I've got to put the time frame here.
- 14 60 -- the -- the only conversation that I had with --
- 15 with Eric about, you know, JEA and the City in that
- 16 '15/'16 time frame was -- was one where Eric reached out
- 17 to me and indicated that he had met with the mayor and
- wanted to just, out of courtesy, let me know, but they 18
- 19 had talked about a -- a range of -- of economic
- 20 development issues and -- and more -- more statewide
- 21 issue.
- 22 O Did Eric tell you if those range of economic
- 23 issues concerned the potential purchase of JEA by
- 24 Florida Power & Light?
- 25 He -- he did not mention that. And -- and we Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

11

- 1 did not speak about that.
- 2 Okay. Do you have any ability to focus in on
- 3 the time frame of that call as to when it happened,
- 4 Paul? I guess it must have been shortly after --
- 5 shortly after the mayor's visit with Mr. Silagy.
- 6 Α Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. We have that date so we'll figure that
- 8 out.
- 9 Α So it would be -- yeah, it would have been
- 10 more -- I think he came in in '15, but I want to think
- 11 more in the '16 time frame. Somewhere '16.
- 12 Q Okay. How about right into the periods --
- 13 Α It was --
- 14 Q Sorry, Paul. I stepped on you. Go ahead.
- 15 No. That -- that would be my -- my guess right
- 16 now, so you'll know, in the late spring, summer of '16
- 17 probably for that conversation, but I -- that's just a
- 18 pure recollection.
- 19 Okay. In 2017, did you recall any
- 20 conversations with other prospective purchasers of JEA?
- 21 Α In -- in '17, any prospective purchaser of
- 22 JEA --
- 23 Q Yes, sir.
- 24 Α -- and conversations? I wish I had my time
- line set up here.
 - Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

- Q Well, the time line is not as critical as did
- it happen? 2

- 3 Α Yeah, there -- there was a -- there was a time
- in -- in -- yeah, probably was in '17, where --
- where Chairman Petway and I and -- and Chair -- and 5
- 6 Mr. Petway was the chairman -- and I were called to
- 7 the -- Mr. Mousa's office and Mr. Weinstein was present.
- 8 And they had indicated that -- that -- that they had
- 9 discussions with an intermediary representing a third
- 10 party that was interested in acquiring JEA and that
- 11 had -- it had talked in terms of making a -- an offer
- 12 of -- of some amount.
- 13 Now, they -- they didn't have any documents and
- 14 it had been through an intermediary, it was unnamed to
- 15 us, but it was -- it was -- it was shared with us,
- 16 quote, out of courtesy, unquote, to let us know that --
- 17 that this may be happening in the -- in the near future
- 18 or something may be happening in the near future. And
- 19 so that was -- that was one -- one -- one time.
- 20 The other times there was never anything 21 relative to a sale. I -- I did have, around the same
- 22 time -- the same time that the conversation Mr. Silagy,
- 23 I think, I might have shared with you, I -- I spoke with
- 24 the then president of -- of TECO, which was an Emera
- 25 company at that point in time, meaning a sale had gone
 - Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
 - through Rob Bennett. And Rob was -- had indicated he
 - 2 had had a conversation or a meeting with the mayor, out
- 3 of courtesy, he was -- was letting me know. And they
- 4 had talked about a broad range of opportunities between
- 5 JEA, Jacksonville and -- and Emera and that the mayor
- 6 had directed him to my office.
- 7 And -- and I told him that from -- from my
- 8 perspective at that time, now, I'm not sure in terms of
- 9 broad range of opportunities, but we can talk about
- 10 operating opportunity, there may have been -- anything
- 11 different than that, then, you know, it's -- it's really
- 12 a matter with the board.
- 13 So we talked at -- about some joint kickback
- 14 for some solar opportunity, but not -- not anything
- about the sale. 15
- 16 Q The prospective purchaser that you spoke to
- 17 Mousa -- Sam Mousa about, did he identify the
- 18 prospective purchaser, Paul?
- 19 Α He -- he did not.
- 20 Okay. Because I think we understood that the
- 21 conversation Mousa had with -- Mr. Mousa had with a
- 22 prospective purchaser was Exelon, so that doesn't
- 23 sound --
- 24 Yeah. I -- and I think subsequent to that,
- 25 that name surfaced. But at the time Exelon was --
 - Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 was -- was not -- was not identified or revealed.

Okay. In connection with Florida Power & a Light, any other employees of Florida Power & Light ever speak to you about Florida Power & Light's potential

5 purchase of JEA? Α

No.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

document.

Okay. Let's take a look, if you would, please, at Exhibit Number 3, which is the City of Jacksonville RFP issued on December 20th, 2017, for strategic

10 initiative financial advisory services.

Are you familiar with this document, Paul?

12 Yes, I am.

about this document?

(McElroy's Exhibit 3 was marked for

14 identification.) 15 O When did you first, if you can tell me, learn

I -- I learned that it -- I learned about this document at a -- at a dinner with our -- a closing dinner for several very different significant bond offerings we had in mid December of 2017. And I don't have the exact date, but I believe it was pretty close to the -- close to the -- close to this 20th date on the

And we -- we had a number of bankers with us. We had just done a -- I believe it was a \$400 million --Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

15

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

roughly \$400 million in water/sewer side of the business and \$400 million in the -- in the electric business on advanced refunding, which was an extraordinary effort on behalf of staff.

So we -- we had a dinner and we had, I believe, three investment banking firms represented at the dinner, but represented as our underwriters, bringing our bonds to market. So we were part of the team, the A team. And each one of them had approached us about where quandary, if you will, as to whether or not they could participate or would participate in -- in the evaluation they were going through, participating in the -- in the JEA sale.

And we were taken aback at that in -- in trying to understand what they were talking about and shared with us much. And they said, well, you know, we -- we got calls from the financial advisor and there's a bid out on the street, et cetera. And -- and talked about in their terms, not our terms, but their terms, a sale of JEA.

So we would be -- basically, we said we were completely unaware of that. They said they had the document that the City issued through a third-party and somebody that -- and then we sort of went on with the rest of the evening.

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 And the next morning -- and we can get that 2 specific date, I'm sure, on my calendar. The next 3 morning, one of our staff members and senior staff members, it might have been Melissa, had -- had 5 figured -- Melissa Dykes had figured out and gotten a copy of this -- of this document we're talking about 6 7 now, this solicitation or request for proposals for 8 strategic initiatives management advisory service and 9 provided it to me. And I thought, well, this was really 10 interesting.

11 So I called -- I called Mr. Weinstein and I 12 asked him and he was confused by the question and 13 indicated that there was no such, you know, sale 14 activity going on for JEA. And, you know, denying is a 15 little strong words, but he happened to be at a meeting 16 with, I believe -- what's going on with the investment 17 bankers, JEA's for the sale, there's been a proposal on 18 the street, he didn't know what we're talking about. I 19 said, Well, that's interesting because I'm looking at a 20 document that says request for proposals, blah, blah, 21 blah, City of Jacksonville, Florida, and it's got the 22 seal on it. And then there was, oh, that document. And 23 that has nothing to do with JEA. And that was the 24 story, so.

> And then he went through the reason for it in Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

terms of just being prepared to look at the assets of 2 the City and see if there were any opportunities for 3 efficiencies, et cetera. And that was the -- that was essentially the end of that conversation. 4

Okay. And I guess the question, Paul, to the extent that you looked at this document, did you see anything that made the document not have relevance to the potential sale of JEA? What I'm suggesting is the document, Exhibit 3, is in very broad terms and it relates to the sale of any City of Jacksonville asset and JEA is certainly an asset of the City of Jacksonville.

13 Now, why do you think Mr. Weinstein says this 14 had nothing to do with JEA?

Well, I -- yeah, I'm not doc- -- documenting this, but I can't answer for Mike, Mr. Weinstein, on that -- that issue. I would say that in my review of the document, there was nothing that excluded utilizing this arrangement described in the document for the sale of any outfit for the City, including JEA.

Okay. That's helpful. That was a better answer than my question. Thank you, Paul.

And the other thing I got of the takeaway from your answer was that the investment bankers that you were having dinner with, having looked at this document, Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

```
1
    also perceived that it could relate to JEA?
 2
            Yes. Absolutely. And in no -- no uncertain
 3
    terms regarding specific -- in -- in relating it through
 4
    conversations they had and relating it to JEA and the
 5
    sale of JEA. So much so, they -- they were talking
 6
    about which side of the transaction -- I mean, their
 7
    evaluation was going to which side of the transaction
 8
    that they might sit on. Would it be the buy side, sell
 9
    side? And from their perspective, the folks we had,
10
    they -- they really weren't interested in being on
11
    either side. They preferred to stay essentially with
12
    JEA in terms of the underwriting for bonds.
13
             So the -- it wasn't -- you know, it was -- I
14
    wouldn't say it was substantive conversation, but it was
15
    a conversation that had all of the terms that I just
16
    used in terms of the sale, buy side, sale side, you
17
    know, evaluation, being in the conversation with each
18
    one of the bankers.
```

19 Do you recall, Paul, ever discussing this 20 document, Exhibit 3, with any lawyers with the general 21 counsel, the OGC's office?

22 Δ I don't re- -- I do not recall. Do not 23 recall.

24 Okay. And the chief legal officer for JEA, Jody Brooks, did you have any conversation with Jody Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

19

```
1
   that you recall about this document?
2
```

I don't recall a specific conversation with -with Jody. I would not be surprised if -- if we had had a conversation or -- or spoke about it. I just don't recall at this time.

Okay. Do you know, Paul, if any entities that were owned by Tim Baker or affiliated with Tim Baker provided any consulting work to JEA regarding its privatization?

10 MR. RUSSELL: Paul? Hello?

MR. WEDEKIND: Lanny, this is Lee. I'm here

and I can hear you, but I don't hear Paul.

13 MR. RUSSELL: Kevin?

MR. BLODGETT: I can -- I can hear you, Lanny.

MR. RUSSELL: I can't -- I think Lee somehow --

excuse me, Paul just dropped off.

THE WITNESS: Oh, no, I'm here.

18 MR. RUSSELL: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm here. I just couldn't 19 20 hear you. I'm sorry. I don't know what happened.

I'm sorry.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

21

24

22 MR. RUSSELL: Okay. I'm sorry.

23 BY MR. RUSSELL:

The question I asked, do you recall, Paul, if

Tim Baker or any companies affiliated with Tim Baker did Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 any consulting work for JEA in connection with

2 privatization?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

3 While I was at JEA. I'm not aware of Tim Baker 4 doing any formal or informal work for JEA in the -- for 5 any matter.

6 Q Okay. Look at Exhibit 4, if you would, please, 7 Paul.

8 (McElroy's Exhibit 4 was marked for 9 identification.)

10 MR. BLODGETT: Lanny, do you mind if I ask 11 just --

12 Q -- if you will turn --

13 MR. BLODGETT: Do you mind if I ask one quick 14 question?

MR. RUSSELL: Go ahead.

MR. BLODGETT: Mr. McElroy, do -- could you name the underwriting investment bankers that attended that December 2017 dinner that you spoke about?

THE WITNESS: JP Morgan. You know, I'd only be guessing. I -- I think Morgan -- this is I think now, Morgan Stanley and Wells, but we -- we could check the records on that.

24 MR. BLODGETT: Okay. Thank you.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 BY MR. RUSSELL:

2 Okay. If you look at Exhibit 4, please, Paul.

And look at the time sheet detailed by project, which is

4 two pages in. And this is an invoice to JEA from PFM

5 for consulting services. And it spans a pretty good

6 period of time. It starts in -- or ends in February of

7 '18 and begins back -- wrong date -- actually, the

8 earliest date is December of '17 and goes through the

9 last date being, looks like, February of '18.

10 In that period of time, several months, Paul, 11 do you know what services PFM was providing to JEA?

12 Yeah, yes. That was -- that was the project to 13 perform an evaluation of the potential market value 14 of -- of JEA.

15 Okay. And did that work by PFM, to your 16 understanding, have any relationship with the RFP, which 17 we had marked as Exhibit 3, the December of 2017 City

18 RFP?

19 Α No.

20 Q Okay. And part of the reason -- or maybe it's

21 just a coincidence, if you look on the first page of the

22 time sheet detailed by project, there's an entry next to

23 Michael Mace and it's the date of December 20th, 2017,

24 in which he listed time as two hours to discuss

25 potential JEA valuations for board. And that just Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

23

9

1 happened to be the same dates that the RFP was issued, 2 but you don't believe there's any relationship between 3 those -- that entry and the City's RFP? 4

No, I don't, because that would have been 5 the -- that would have been about the same time, again, 6 that -- that the -- our discussion began with PFM, 7 Michael Mace, for the evaluation that was a result of 8 the written charge from the Chair Board Howard to 9 perform such an evaluation.

10 And -- and I -- I think to further -- to 11 further clarify here is that -- that Mace was the 12 longstanding financial advisor working on -- on the JEA 13 account, working for PFM and -- and worked out of a --14 the Charlotte office. And the PFM -- the City request 15 for proposal was a relationship out of the -- out of the 16 municipal sector in -- in Orlando, I think, so you have 17 the -- the two different -- two different sources there 18 within PFM.

19 a Okay. And Exhibit 5 is an e-mail chain between 20 JohnMiller@Citi.com, that's Citibank. And I think it's 21 consistent with what you talked about a little while 22 ago, Paul, in that if John -- excuse me --

23 Yeah, yeah, yeah.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

24 -- are asking about the RFP and whether it has 25 anything to do with the JEA sale of privatization and he

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

made the same assumption that you described that the other bankers had read when they got information concerning the RFP.

4 Do you know John Miller?

Yeah, I -- you know, I -- I -- as soon as you said John Miller in Citi, the light went on and -- and I connected, I -- I remember John being at that meeting, so we can add -- maybe substitute Wells and put Citi there for the -- one of the three bankers, but, yes, I -- I knew John. We went on a number of -- of JEA transactions in the past.

12 (McElroy's Exhibit 5 was marked for 13 identification.)

Okay. And his -- the e-mail chain actually begins, let me get the first date, December 20th, which is the date that the RFP was sent out. And it would seem to suggest that your dinner with John and the other investment bankers must have happened on or about December 20th.

20 Α Correct.

> MR. BLODGETT: Mr. McElroy, in this December 2017, early 2018 time frame, did Goldman Sachs provide any financial services to JEA? THE WITNESS: You know, I'd have to -- so -- so

we -- at the time JEA had a -- a list of senior Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 investment bankers and we would rotate transactions. 2 And I -- I really have to go back and look at those 3 two large complex transactions. They may have been 4 on those transactions as well. They may have been 5 one of the -- the three at the -- at the closing 6 dinner.

7 MR. BLODGETT: Thank you.

8 BY MR. RUSSELL:

9 And, Paul, who would we get that information 10 from at JEA as to who was providing financial services?

11 Who would we ask about that now?

12 Oh, I -- I would ask Joe Orfano. Δ

13 Q Okay.

14 And that's a simple question. I mean, who 15 were the senior bankers that were on the -- the December 16 17th bond transactions, both the water/sewer and 17 electric?

18 Q Good. Thank you.

19 Exhibit 6 is an e-mail from Ryan Wannemacher to 20 William Pedersen, whose e-mail address indicates he's 21 with Morgan Stanley, in January -- January 22, 2018. 22 And Mr. Wannemacher is providing William Pedersen with a

23 ten-year pro forma with our IRP case on electric.

24 Do you know why Mr. Wannemacher would be 25 providing this information concerning JEA to William

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 Pedersen and Morgan Stanley in January of 2018?

2 Yes, at the -- at the time PFM was doing their 3 evaluation, they engaged with several bankers, Morgan 4 Stanley being one, in terms of running some numbers for a valuation case, which they -- they offered to do. And 5 this would have been -- this would have been part of 7 that work is -- is my -- would be my assumption. 8

(McElroy's Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)

10 Okay. On Exhibit 7, which is a newspaper 11 article, First Coast News article, it's on Page 3. It's 12 a simple question. In the middle of that page, Paul, in 13 November, it says, on November 2 -- let's see, November 14 11th, two lobbyists who formerly worked for the mayor 15 visited JEA.

16 Do you recall two lobbyists visiting JEA in 17 November of 2018?

18 (McElroy's Exhibit 7 was marked for 19 identification.)

20 MR. BLODGETT: Sorry. Correct -- correction, 21 it was November of 2017. MR. RUSSELL: 2017. Sorry. I was looking for

22 23 that date. Thank you, Kevin.

24 BY MR. RUSSELL:

25

Q It was in November 2017, two persons who Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Now, Mr. Hughes --Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

27

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: I did not.

representatives?

MR. BLODGETT: Okay. Thank you.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

29

THE WITNESS: And -- and I was not -- yeah. And I would just add to that, I was not aware of -of -- I was not aware of a meeting either. I mean, it was a normal flow of bank -- investment bankers talking to our treasury staff that I was not engaged in.

When we had transactions and were working on -on specific deals with a bank, then I would certainly be aware of that work, but in the normal relationship, back and forth, which this initially was, I do -- I do remember it being a little bit of a dust top that -- with -- that there was some conversation after that that -- where Governor Bush came to call on JEA and hadn't -- and what were we doing calling the governor into our office and not letting the mayor's office know. And pursuant to my knowledge, Governor Bush was not involved in the meeting of these representatives of the -- of the company.

MR. BLODGETT: Understood. And just to make sure I understand it. So Morgan Stanley and Moelis, we've come to learn, represented, I believe it was TECO in its transaction and merger with Emera. And Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q Was he --

25

1

2

8

11

18

19

-- you know, Mr. Hughes was -- was, you know,

3 outside at that point in time and then came inside at --

4 in, I guess, the January time frame, but I'm not aware

5 of a meeting with him either. So I'm just trying to put

6 the people here that fit that. I don't -- I don't

7 recall. I can't -- again, I can't think of anybody that

fit that definition while I -- while I was there.

9 Q Are you familiar with the bank, the Moelis

10 Bank, Paul?

I -- I am fam- -- I am familiar with the --

12 familiar might be the wrong term. I -- I've heard of

13 the bank. I know that they -- they -- that they call

14 on -- on JEA as well. And that there were a couple of

15 principals there that -- former Governor Bush was -- was

16 one that had a relationship and former Representative

17 Eric Cantor as well.

> Q Okav.

> > Α You know, I don't know -- go ahead.

20 I'm sorry, I thought you were done.

21 I was going to ask if you know why Moelis would

22 be meeting with the mayor's office and senior leadership

23 people from JEA. Actually, the senior leadership team

24 with the Moelis team and they met with Mayor Curry and

Mike Weinstein and Mr. Mace --

so you have Ryan Wannemacher sharing JEA's financial information in January of 2018 with Morgan Stanley and then you have board lead Moelis visiting JEA that same month. But to your knowledge, Morgan Stanley -- was Morgan Stanley or Moelis providing privatization services or looking to become a privatization consultant for JEA in that January 2018 time frame?

THE WITNESS: I think the -- the answer for completeness is yes, that everyone was looking to participate in any privatization, should it occur.

MR. BLODGETT: Okay. But -- and do you know why -- I think you said that PFM was sharing financial information, JEA's financial information with Morgan Stanley as part of the evaluation report that PFM was doing, but do you know why PFM --

THE WITNESS: Well, I --

MR. BLODGETT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I think -- I think I -- that was the -- the Wannemacher letter to Morgan Stanley with the information, so it would have been more JEA direct to Morgan Stanley as opposed to through PFM.

MR. BLODGETT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: It's sort of parallel pathing, if Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

you will.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLODGETT: Fair point. But do you know -- and you thought that information was being shared with Morgan Stanley as part of the PFM evaluation report, though, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's sort of a validation of that, another -- another party and Morgan Stanley was not engaged at the time was -- was, I think, offering to do that as part of their just relationship with JEA.

MR. BLODGETT: Understood. Thanks.

12 BY MR. RUSSELL:

Q Okay. Paul, if you look at Exhibit 8, 9 and 10, these exhibits all relate to a meeting that occurred at the international airport, Jacksonville International Airport, on January 24th. And what we can see from the e-mails is that the meeting involved -- Exhibit 8, you see it involved Michael Mace with PFM. Exhibit 9 shows that the meeting involved William Pedersen, we've talked about before, with the --

(Brief telephone interruption.)

Q Excuse me, other line.

-- Morgan Stanley coming to the meeting. And last, Exhibit 10 shows that three people from the City, Sam Mousa, Brian Hughes, Michael Weinstein, Alan Howard, Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 chairman of the JEA board, Melissa and you were at the2 meeting.

3 Do you recall this meeting, Paul?

4 A Let me just -- so Morgan Stanley, the Morgan --5 the first one you --

6 (McElroy's Exhibit 8, 9 and 10 were marked for7 identification.)

8 Q Yeah, that was Morgan Stanley and William9 Pedersen acknowledging that they met Ryan Wannemacher at10 the meeting.

11 A Yes. I was at that meeting with the -- with12 the -- with the chairman.

13 Q What was the purpose of the meeting?

A Morgan Stanley had run a -- from -- from the
 numbers that were provided, the numbers that they had
 from our disclosure was an independent evaluation of --

17 of JEA, a valuation of JEA. And it was -- it was a --

18 it was a meeting to receive that information, that19 presentation, their view on the valuation.

20 And it -- it -- it also turned into an
21 opportunity for them to -- to essentially present their
22 services should it -- should they be required for any
23 type of privatization activity in the future.

Q Okay. Were there other investment banks at that meeting at all presented -- made a pitch to JEA --

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 A 2 Q

5

8

17

23

31

Q -- for their sale of their services --

3 A No.

4 Q -- to JEA?

No.

A No.

6 Q Just --

7 A No.

Q -- Morgan Stanley? Okay.

9 A Yes, Morgan Stanley.

10 Q Okay. And go back, if you would, please, and

11 look at Exhibit 8. In the subject line of Melissa

12 Charleroy's e-mail to Michael Mace, it says, Re, City of

13 Jacksonville, advisor RFP.

14 What relationship did this meeting have with

15 the City of Jacksonville RFP, if you know, Paul?

16 A You know, I -- I -- I don't know.

Q Okay. And this -- actually, this e-mail chain,

18 if you get to the bottom of it, you see that Melissa

19 Charleroy was sending this information to -- actually,

20 Michael Mace was sending to Melissa Charleroy names for

21 the meeting tomorrow. This was the January 24th meeting

22 at the airport.

A Right.

24 Q And in that list that's going to Melissa

25 Charleroy from Scott DeGhetto with Moelis is a list of Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 Moelis people who are going to attend the meeting.

2 And you don't recall those people being there,

3 Paul?

4

16

No, I -- I never met with the Moelis people.

5 The meeting -- the meeting at the -- the meeting at the

6 airport that -- that I attended was with Morgan Stanley.

7 And that's the only meeting I attended at the -- at the

8 airport.

9 Q Do you know if on that day, Paul, there was 10 actually a series of meetings? And one of the meetings

11 that you attended was Morgan Stanley. And are -- is it

12 likely -- does it make sense that there were four other

13 meetings on that day that involved other prospective

14 sellers' services to JEA, banking entities?

15 Δ I -- I don't -- I don't recall that. I recall

the JP Morgan. I don't recall the Moelis -- Moelis

17 meeting, other than the meeting we talked about earlier

18 where -- where they called -- called at the -- at the

19 JEA office center on Church Street.

20 Okay. And when you were at the meeting,

21 which you recall with Morgan Stanley, do you recall

22 Sam Mousa, Brian Hughes and Michael Weinstein being at

23 the meeting?

24 I -- I recall Mr. Weinstein, the

Chairman Howard, myself, Ms. Dykes, Mr. Wannemacher and

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 then the Morgan Stanley folks. I do not recall

2 Mr. Mousa or Mr. Hughes.

3 Okay. Do you know why Mr. Weinstein would have

4 been at a meeting with a potential consultant to JEA to

5 provide services to JEA?

6 He was assigned by the mayor and Mr. Mousa to

7 be the liaison, the city's liaison with -- with Melissa

for all -- all matters regarding the evaluation of -- of

9 the value and potential privatization of JEA.

Okay. Was there any action, any result of this

11 meeting, a decision made that you're aware of?

> Α This is, again, the -- the Morgan Stanley

13 meeting?

8

10

12

14

17

21

Q No. This is asking -- this meeting on January

15 24th, what was the result of the meeting, if you recall,

16 if there was one?

> Α There was -- there was no -- no result.

18 After the meeting, was Morgan Stanley

19 subsequently chosen to provide investment banking

20 services to JEA?

While -- while I was -- while I was there at --

22 at JEA, after the December -- the February 14th meeting

23 with the city council, the next day, I seek -- I

24 instructed the staff to pull discussions regarding the

valuation or privatization of any entity, other than the

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

city council.

1

18

2 And -- and so no one was engaged -- between the

3 meeting on the 24th and that period of time, no one was

4 engaged between that period of time and when I left JEA,

5 I believe, on April 6th. As reported and as seen in my

6 most recent work back at JEA, Morgan Stanley was 7 subsequently hired to provide advice from counsel

8 towards the -- the sale of JEA in 2019.

9 All right. That helps me understand the time 10 line and not preventing the context of you leaving on

11 April 6, 2018.

12 Item 11 -- Exhibit 11, Paul, is an e-mail from

13 Nancy Kilgo, who is the director of government

14 relations, I believe, at JEA. And she's sending to

15 Melissa Dykes a document and an attachment, which is a

16 template retention letter, to be given to employees, JEA

17 employees in leadership positions.

Do you know why JEA was providing senior

19 employees these retention letters in January of 2018?

20 Yes. In January of 2018, given the board 21 meeting in November with Chair Petway suggesting of a

22 review of privatization with the discussion at the -- at

23 the December board meeting regarding that issue and --

24 and us moving forward and contract -- contracting with

25 PFM regarding the evaluation of -- of JEA, I felt it was

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

35

1 important to -- for the continuing operations, to give

2 the -- the management team some level of security

3 during -- during this very disruptive time.

4 And so one thought was, and then one level that

5 we had, was to provide a -- a retention letter or

6 agreement that in the event anything did happen, that in

7 terms of any type of privatization or change in capital

8 structure, that they would be provided with some level

9 of security. And I -- and I just don't recall what the

10 details were.

11

17

23

(McElroy's Exhibit 11 was marked for

12 identification.)

13 Do you recall what level of employee -- I know

14 you won't know the specific employees, but the retention

15 letters, how far down in the leadership group in JEA did

16 they go?

> Α At the time we had two levels of -- of

18 leadership; one was the senior leadership team and those

19 were generally the direct reports to the CEO being at --

20 at that time. And then an extended leadership team,

21 which was their direct reports. So, generally, the

22 director level and up.

> Q Okay.

24 MR. BLODGETT: Lanny, before you move on to the 25

next exhibit, can I ask a question?

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 MR. RUSSELL: Sure.

MR. BLODGETT: Okay. Mr. McElroy, I think yousaid earlier that you believe Mr. Weinstein attended

4 the January 24, 2018, airport meeting as the City's

5 liaison with Melissa Dykes for potential

6 privatization work.

7 Do you know why Mr. Weinstein participated in8 the preparation of the PFM evaluation report?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes. At a -- at a meeting with

the chairman and Mr. -- Mr. Mousa and Mr. Weinstein

11 and -- and the mayor, Mr. Weinstein was -- was

12 nominated as the -- the City's liaison

13 representative to work with the JEA staff and on the

14 evaluation project. And -- and at that point in

15 time, the evaluation project, we hadn't named PFM to

16 work with JEA and -- and from our prospective we --

17 we put Melissa Dykes in -- in the lead from the JEA

18 perspective.

10

19

20

14

24

So, to me, it was a -- you know, it was a team effort between Mr. Weinstein and -- and Ms. Dykes

21 to -- to put together a pool and that would --

22 subsequently chose the PFM to -- to bring to the

23 board an evaluation of the market -- market value,

24 given the current market conditions of -- of JEA.

MR. BLODGETT: Is it fair to say that the PFM Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

39

evaluation report and the January 24th, 2018,

2 airport meeting were part of the same initiative

3 that was spearheaded by Mr. Weinstein and Ms. Dykes?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. And -- and I -- I

5 would say that I'm -- I do not have clarity in terms

6 of the two initiatives in terms of the -- the charge

7 to -- from the chairman to seek a third party to

8 evaluate and the -- the request for proposal that

9 the City had out. So in terms of those -- those

10 two -- two instructive, you know, documents or

11 charges to the various staffs, they could get

12 crossed up a little bit there.

But I agree with your -- your statement that --

that, you know, Mr. Weinstein and Ms. Dykes had the

15 charge from -- from JEA and the City to take the

16 leadership role in working towards the evaluation

17 of -- of JEA and both the PFM and the -- and the

18 Morgan Stanley work was -- was related to that.

19 MR. BLODGETT: Do you recall the general time

20 frame that that charge was given to Mr. Weinstein

21 and Ms. Dykes?

22 THE WITNESS: It was in the -- in the first

23 week of December, seem to recall December 5th.

MR. BLODGETT: So it was shortly after Mr. --

5 Mr. Petway's comments at the JEA board meeting in Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 November of 2018 -- I'm sorry, November of 2017?

2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's absolutely correct.

3 So the -- after -- subsequently after that meeting,

4 board meeting, there was a meeting of -- which I

5 referenced earlier with the -- myself and the

6 chairman and Mr. Mousa, Weinstein and the mayor,

7 talked about how we move forward with Mr. Petway's

8 recommendation to seek an evaluation. It was at --

9 at that meeting, it was agreed to that Mr. Weinstein

10 and Ms. Dykes would take the lead. And it was at

11 that meeting that the -- the chairman indicated he

12 would put in writing to me the charge to seek the

13 evaluation of third-party and to return that back to

14 the board within 60 days.

15 MR. BLODGETT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: That letter came, you know, 48

17 hours afterwards and this was from the chairman to

18 me. And then it was subsequently shared and

19 discussed at the -- the next JEA board meeting,

20 which I think was the 12th or 15th of December.

21 MR. BLODGETT: Thank you. That clarifies

22 things.

I also just want to ask, you mentioned --

MR. RUSSELL: Do you know --

25 MR. BLODGETT: Go ahead, Lanny.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

9

1 MR. RUSSELL: The charge that Paul's been

2 describing that he received from Tom Petway, have

3 you seen that document?

4 MR. BLODGETT: Well, the Petway comments for at

5 the board, we have that, that -- but you're asking a

6 question.

7 MR. RUSSELL: Right.

8 MR. BLODGETT: Was the charge that you

9 referenced to Mr. Weinstein and Ms. Dykes, was that

10 ever reduced to writing or was it just given

11 verbally?

12 THE WITNESS: The -- the Weinstein, it stems

13 from that meeting, so that was verbal. So the

14 charge from the -- well, let me be clear, I should

15 say -- let me say, when the charge was given at that

16 meeting, it was given by Chairman Howard, who was

17 chairman at that time, because Petway, that was his

18 last meeting in November. We got -- I can actually

19 refer to him as chair, but he was not chair at the

20 time. The November meeting, Petway was a board

21 member. Alan Howard was the chairman. And then

22 Alan Howard issued the -- the memorandum to me so

23 the chair, JEA Chair Howard, to CEO, Paul McElroy,

24 to -- to seek a -- a public consultant third-party

25 to arrange the evaluation.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

42

MR. BLODGETT: Okay. Thank you. That's -that's all I have, Lanny. Sorry to derail you.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

MR. RUSSELL: Thank you. That was helpful.

Thank you, Kevin, Paul, understand exactly what the documentation was.

6 BY MR. RUSSELL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

15

16

19

20

21

accurate?

2018?

work with them.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. BLODGETT: Okay.

charged Ms. Dykes.

THE WITNESS: And with that, I -- I then

MR. BLODGETT: Okay. That clarifies it.

MR. BLODGETT: If you don't have any other

Mr. McElroy, you indicated, if I heard you

correctly, that after the February 14th, 2018, city

directive for all JEA employees to cease doing any

office and said, Cease and desist. We're going to

start the talks with city council. We're going to

happened in the morning or the afternoon?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I called Melissa into my

MR. BLODGETT: And that was on February 15th,

MR. BLODGETT: Do you remember if that call

THE WITNESS: My recollection is the morning.

Lanny, we do have that document.

questions on that, I have one other follow-up.

council meeting, the next day, so it would be

February 15th, 2018, you entered an order or

work relating to privatization; is -- is that

MR. RUSSELL: Okay. But --

If you take a quick look, Paul, at Exhibit 12.

8 That's a letter to Michael Weinstein from Patrick

9 Greive. And it's simply announcing the winners or

10 recipients of the award from the RFP the City issued

11 back in December of 2017.

12 Do you know, Paul, did any JEA employees have

13 any input into the selection of the winners of the

14 December 2017 RFP?

Not to my knowledge. And I -- I would be

surprised if anyone from JEA was consulted.

17 (McElroy's Exhibit 12 was marked for

18 identification.)

> Okay. And these people chosen to potentially receive an award were from the 2017, December 2017, City RFP.

22 Did the City intend, to your knowledge, Paul, 23 that these consultants be available to JEA in connection 24 with its evaluation?

25 Α Not to my knowledge.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

6 So that's helps, I guess, confirm your 7 understanding that the transaction referred to in the 8 template, Paul, was the potential privatization of 9 JEA?

10 Α Right.

11

18

19

20

21

24

(McElroy's Exhibit 14, 15 and 16 were marked

12 for identification.)

13 And you -- the next one, just so we know who it 14 was, really is a bad copy, Morgan Stanley, it's a

15 confidentiality agreement being returned to Jody Brooks

16 by -- I can't tell, it's just the executed copy of that

17 same agreement.

And the last one was from Exhibit 16, which is the document executed by Goldman Sachs. And the transmittal e-mail shows that, again, Mr. Mace coordinated obtaining these -- at least two of them, 22 signed nondisclosure agreements and returned them to

23 Jody Brooks. Α

Right.

25 Q And consistent with the transaction that you Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

2

- 1 described, that it's the potential privatization of JEA,
- 2 that transaction is a transaction that would -- you
- 3 would expect to reply or the consultants to provide a
- 4 non-disclosure agreement, which these are?
- **5** A Correct.
- **6** Q Do you know what services these entities
- 7 actually provided to JEA in connection with
- 8 privatization, if any?
- **9 A** Well, I'm -- I'm aware that certainly Morgan
- 10 Stanley, through the meeting at the airport, provided,
- 11 you know, a -- a high level evaluation of what they
- 12 thought the privatized value of JEA could be, what the
- 13 range would be. I -- I -- I believe that JP Morgan
- 14 had -- had done some similar work. I'm -- I'm not aware
- 15 of any -- any work that Goldman did. And, of course,
- **16** the final PFM report.
- 17 Q Right. But these three entities were being
- 18 potentially retained by JEA not for the valuation report
- **19** that was done by PFM, but for potential services in
- 20 connection with privatization, I believe.
- 21 A Well, it's interesting, I mean, I -- I would
- 22 think that in signing the -- these arrangements for this
- 23 activity, we would have had a procurement for this
- 24 activity from JEA, which to my knowledge, did not occur,
- 25 that the only -- only document that -- that referenced

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

47

- 1 the strategic financial advisory services, including the
 - buy/sale of assets was -- was the one that the City had
- 3 put out in the marketplace and then subsequently
- 4 awarded, as we saw in the earlier documents.
- **5** Q Very preceptive, Paul. My next question was:
- 6 Did JEA ever issue its own RFP for privatization
- 7 advisors, and what I understood you to say is, to your
- 8 knowledge, they did not issue an RFP, JEA did not?
- **9** A To my knowledge, we -- we did not. We did not.
- 10 Q And in --
- 11 A You know, while --
- 12 Q Okay. In the context of procurement, are you
- 13 familiar, Paul, with the idea concept of piggybacking on
- 14 another governmental entity's RFP to obtain
- **15** services?

2

- **16** A Yes, I -- I'm aware of it. I know there are
- 17 certain restrictions to it and, you know, carve-outs,
- 18 et cetera, but, generally, if one has -- if one entity
- 19 does have a contract in place, then another may
- 20 participate in the terms and conditions of that
- 21 contract, for example, pricing.
- **Q** Okay. And as you just pointed out, the RFP
- 23 that you're familiar with that relates to privatization
- 24 and potentially are not excluding JEA was the City's
- 25 December 2017 RFP?
 - Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

- A Correct.
- **Q** So is it possible, in your judgment, that, in
- 3 fact, JEA, before obtaining its privatization advisors,
- 4 piggybacked off of the December 2017 City of
- **5** Jacksonville RFP?
- **6** A It's -- it's possible. I don't have,
- 7 you know, direct knowledge or recall any conversation to
- 8 that effect, but it's certainly possible.
- **9** Q Okay. In connection with retaining advisors in
- 10 2018, again, before you left, do you recall JEA
- 11 retaining any attorneys to help with explore
- 12 privatization?
- 13 A I do remember conversations in early February
- 14 or up until the -- the 14 -- the meeting of the 14th and
- 15 this -- this really did surround the work that the
- **16** Office of General Counsel was doing in terms of defining
- 17 the -- the path forward should -- should the -- a
- 18 decision be made to further explore to go forward with
- 19 privatization, that a number of law firms were -- were
- 20 reaching out to try to position themselves or sell
- 21 them -- you know, sell their services to -- to
- 22 participate in one way or another. And that there was a
- 23 discussion that I recall with our finance staff that --
- 24 that -- the firms would go quickly in -- in these
- 25 situations.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

49

- **1** And so if -- if it was going to go forward, it
- 2 might be advisable for -- for JEA and the Office of
- **3** General Counsel to -- to line up law firms once -- once
- 4 a decision -- as quickly as possible once a decision's
- 5 made to go forward. And if -- and I should say and if a
- 6 decision is made to go forward.
 - Q Okay. And when you say the firms would go
- 8 quickly, I guess you're talking about there will be
- **9** firms that will represent the seller and there will be a
- 10 number of other firms who will represent the bidder.
- 11 And by the time those law firms are chosen, that group
- **12** of people, the potential counsel to the seller is
- 13 reduced?

7

- **A** That is -- that is -- that is correct.
- 15 Q And consistent with what we're talking about,
- 16 Paul, if you'll look at Exhibit 17 first, it's an e-mail
- 17 from Michael Mace. And it says, for your information,
- **18** Counsel suggested from JP, which I believe means JP
- 19 Morgan.
- 20 Did you ever see any of these suggested
- 21 counsel, except they are identified in Exhibit 17 and
- 22 another group of counsel suggested from Goldman Sachs
- 23 sent through Michael Mace to Jody Brooks, were these
- 24 counsel names that were given to you, Paul, potential
- **25** counsel?
- Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 The -- the -- we were never -- I don't ever 2 recall them being provided in any -- in any written 3 form. I remember -- you know, I recall, I guess, 4 discussions of a -- a number of -- of law firms that 5 participate in this activity, this type of activity. I 6 think these are -- these are sort of common names in 7 terms of Skadden and Jones Day.

And so I would have heard them, but I -- I don't -- I did not participate in any decision to -to -- to engage one of these or to select one. So I --I'll leave it at that.

I think it's a standard cast of characters that you would think of in a -- what was already defined through conversation with PFM and -- and our internal assessment of the marketplace to be an extraordinarily complex, extremely large, one of a kind, never done before, if -- if it moved forward, transaction.

18 (McElroy's Exhibit 17 was marked for 19 identification.)

20 And before you left in early April, Paul, did 21 JEA actually hire any counsel, to your knowledge, to 22 work on privatization?

23 Α Not to my knowledge.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Okay. Exhibit 19 is a transmittal letter from

you to Aaron Zahn, sending to Aaron Zahn the draft

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

report of PFM. What caused you to send this draft report to Aaron Zahn, if you recall, Paul?

If I -- I recall Mr. Zahn had been -- had been named or recommended as a board member. And in a conversation with him, we -- we mentioned this activity and he had asked for it and/or in the conversation, I suggested I would send the report to him.

(McElroy's Exhibit 19 was marked for identification.)

10 Okay. So it was your thought to send this to a 11 potential -- a person who's likely to get appointed to 12 the JEA board, nobody suggested to you --

13 No.

14 Q Okay.

15 Α That's right.

16 Q Look at Exhibit 20, please. See if I can find 17 it. It's a letter to you from Anna Brosche, requesting 18 a joint meeting of the city council and -- well, excuse 19 me, responding to a request for a joint meeting of the 20 JEA board and the city council.

21 Do you recall receiving this letter, Paul?

22 Α Yes, I do.

(McElroy's Exhibit 20 was marked for

24 identification.)

23

25

Q Okay. And in the letter, it's the highlighted Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 paragraph in the middle, she says this to you, That the

2 recommendation of a joint meeting comes on the heels of

3 multiple meetings with the mayor's staff in which they

4 asked if I was open to holding a joint meeting to hear

5 the presentation and if I would consider legislation to

6 approve the next steps of the process of the same

meeting.

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

51

Were you aware, in connection with this February 12th letter, that there was legislation that would be potentially presented at the February 14th city council meeting to authorize the sale of JEA?

12 Α Nο

> Q Did you ever determine if the statement I read to you was accurate, Paul, that, in fact, it was legislation that was intended to be offered to authorize

the sale of JEA at the city council meeting?

17 I -- you know, I -- I never validated that 18 statement. I assumed her statement to be an accurate 19 reflection of the conversation she had with the mayor's 20 staff. Subsequent to that, we -- we moved to a

21 workshop, which took this issue off the table and we --

22 we -- we moved forward. And I would say the combination 23 of being naive and/or being played and/or -- you know,

24 or not being in the -- in the communication loop.

25 The -- the reference to a -- a special meeting,

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 and that's up in the first paragraph there, the special

2 city council meeting, I did not understand the

3 consequences of that phrase, which was included in the

draft from -- that -- which was included and inserted by 5 Mr. Hughes in the -- in the letter that I sent to the

6 council president requesting the meeting. So shame on

7 me on that.

that to city council.

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

8 And so, yes, when we got down to the -- the --9 the phrase highlighted, that this would have been a 10 meeting to -- to make a decision, that was never my 11 intent whatsoever.

My intent was -- and was set up the two weeks before that where the report was becoming finalized and, for the record, will show that I had a special meeting with the JEA board set up to review the report in a workshop format with the JEA board, inviting council members to participate and attend and -- and as well as the media. And we would get a readout and we would pass

20 And -- and that was -- I was requested and can 21 say requested and/or instructed from Mr. Mousa to cancel 22 that. Between -- between Hughes and -- and -- and 23 Mousa, we ended up with this solution going forward.

24 And I -- I missed completely the definition and meaning 25 of a special city council meeting.

```
I'm not an expert on what goes on in city council parlance or meetings or Robert's Rules, and I have never had any intention of seeking an approval of this magnitude at a one -- at a one-and-done meeting. I never have done that before in all my time at JEA and -- and on matters far less significant than this and would not have considered, under these situations -- under this situation.

Q Did anybody -- I mean, you said that, I just
```

Q Did anybody -- I mean, you said that, I just didn't quite hear it, my connection is so bad, did anybody from the Curry administration ever confirm to you that, in fact, that was the intention, to have a special meeting introduce legislation, authorizing the sale of JEA?

A No.

Q Did anybody ever deny that that was the case inthe Curry administration to you?

A No.

19 Q Okay.

MR. BLODGETT: Mr. McElroy, just to clarify, do you know why the meeting was noticed as a special city council meeting?

THE WITNESS: Well, my understanding now is that in -- in hindsight and going through is, is that by requesting, I appreciate your -- in that Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

first paragraph -- I appreciate your special request for a special city council meeting.

In my mind, it was a special meeting because it was off -- it was off cycle. It was off schedule. It was a special -- it was a day not in accordance with the standard schedule. And shame on me for not being more aware of -- of the language of what a special city council meeting meant.

It meant and it means that the city council is convened and can take action and can take a vote. I was unaware of that when I wrote that letter and that -- that language was inserted again by the -- by the administration in the draft that went back and forth between myself and Mr. Gibbs.

So when the letter -- when the letter got there, the council president was certainly well versed in the rules of the council, saw that as a request for a meeting where action might be called for and I think she correctly refused. And then was -- was -- was -- made the council available for a workshop type meeting where they could receive the information, which, again, was my intent all along. And, clearly, my intent to -- originally to deliver that to our board in -- in, I think it was February 6th or something, that meeting, again, subsequently

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

cancelled and then pushed forward into this quickly arranged -- arranged meeting.

But in -- in retrospect, in -- in looking back now in the documentation and looking at the language of the special city council meeting, I -- while no one -- you know, I never challenged anyone directly and I -- I never had a conversation directly, I do believe that it was the attempt to seek approval to more forward. Those days with the -- with the sale or at least engage the marketplace in a sale transaction and have the council approve -- approve that action going forward at the -- at the meeting on the 14th.

MR. BLODGETT: And you're referring to a sale transaction of JEA?

MR. RUSSELL: And, Kevin --

MR. BLODGETTT: Sorry. Let me repeat the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.

MR. BLODGETT: You're talking about, in the transaction you're describing, the intended transaction was the potential sale of JEA?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

MR. BLODGETT: Thank you.

25 MR. RUSSELL: Kevin, the letter that Paul's

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

referring to, his letter of February 9th, 2018, we have a copy of that letter?

MR. BLODGETT: Yes.

MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And do you know if, in connection with that letter, did we get a copy that we could determine the draft of that letter? Was it in a native format where we could determine and see the draft that was back and forth between Paul and the administration?

MR. BLODGETT: Yeah. Let's go off the record real quick.

MR. RUSSELL: Sure.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

(Recess taken.)

BY MR. RUSSELL:

Q We've already covered the information in Exhibits 21 and 22. So I asked Paul to go to the minutes from the special meeting on the 23rd, called by Curry. And the exhibit is the excerpt from the PFM privatization report that the City would net --

MR. RUSSELL: Terrie, are you there?

MR. BLODGETT: She's here.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

MR. RUSSELL: I guess we can go back on the

record.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

```
1 BY MR. RUSSELL:
```

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

1

2

7

8

18

19

Q Actually, I think we've covered what we need to **3** on 21, 22 and 23.

And let's skip, please, to Exhibit 24. This is
just the cover page of the PFM Financial Management
report on February 14th.

And do you know, Paul, what was the status of the release of this report to the public prior to the February 14th meeting? Was the report actually released publicly before the meeting?

A The -- I recall that we released a draft of the report, which was -- which excluded the -- the final numbers. So a lot was available in its final form. The numbers were continuing to be worked almost up until the -- the time Mr. Mace left Charlotte on the airplane to bring a copy down. And -- and so this was the -- this meeting was the first release of the report to the public in its -- in its completed form.

19 (McElroy's Exhibit 21, 22, 23 and 24 were20 marked for identification.)

Q Okay. And what was missing in the report that was actually, as I understand it, obtained through a public records request before the meeting was the final numbers for the valuation of JEA, that's just what we've highlighted on page 19 of the report, at the bottom,

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

59

there's a number of numbers that suggests that the net proceeds of City could range from 2.9 billion to 6.4

3 billion. We say the numbers were deleted.

4 Is that the kind of information you're talking5 about, Paul?

6 A Yes.

Q Okay. And then Exhibit 25 is the Morgan Stanley presentation of discussion materials.

9 Have you seen this document before, Paul?

10 A Let me see. Just going to -- no, I -- I don't
11 recall this exact document and I -- and I may have -- I
12 may have seen it. Quite frankly, may have been part of
13 the material that was discussed at the -- the January
14 airport meeting.

15 (McElroy's Exhibit 25 was marked for 16 identification.)

16 identification.)17 Q Okav. Do you recall this document that'

Q Okay. Do you recall this document that's dated February 15th, that there was a presentation given by Morgan Stanley on -- on this document at the SpringHill

20 Suites, which is a hotel near the Jacksonville

21 International Airport? Do you recall, Paul? Did you

22 attend that presentation --

23 A | --

24 Q -- at the SpringHill Suites?

25 A I did not.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 Q Okay. If you can go to page 88 of that

2 presentation.

15

1

2

7

8

9

11

18

19

20

21

A I -- I do not. And let me -- the only airport
 Iocation in and around the airport and/or banking
 information location, Morgan Stanley, and it was in the
 airport -- airport terminal conference room where --

7 we -- we talked about that earlier.

Q Okay. Did you know anything or did you hear
about this meeting with Morgan Stanley on -- on February
15th at the SpringHill Suites, Paul?

A This may get back to the earlier conversation
about calling in and saying cease and desist and do not
have any more conversations about this, we're going to
work with the council and board until we get further

And I -- I'm going to stretch your memory here.

This may have -- may have been raised as an issue, we've
got a -- JEA had a meeting -- I -- I don't -- I just
don't recall. I don't recall.

don't recall. I don't recall.
Q Do you recall, Paul, again, this is getting
nearer and nearer to the time you left, Morgan Stanley
was actually selected as the advisor for JEA in

23 connection with pursuing privatization?

24 A I don't recall.

direction to go forward.

Q Okay. And you don't recall, if that had Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

happened, how it happened, who made that decision --

A Don't know that.

3 Q -- and -- okay.

And during the time before you left in early
April of 2018, you're unaware of any privatization work
actually being done by Morgan Stanley for JEA?

A It should have -- you know, it absolutely should have ceased on the 15th and I'm not aware of any -- any additional work that was being done.

10 Q Okay.

MR. BLODGETT: Paul -- sorry, Lanny.

MR. RUSSELL: Let me --

13 MR. BLODGETT: Mr. McElroy --

14 MR. RUSSELL: Go ahead. I'm sorry.

MR. BLODGETT: -- other than Melissa Dykes, did
you issue a cease further privatization work
directive to any other JEA employee?

THE WITNESS: It was directly to Melissa and -- and that was it. And -- and, you know, the general assumption was that she would carry that message to a T.

22 MR. BLODGETT: Okay. Thank you.

23 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

24 BY MR. RUSSELL:

Q Okay. Just for a point of reference, Exhibit Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 26 is a *Times-Union* article by Nate Monroe. And it's a

2 quote from Brian Hughes in this article, Paul. And what

3 he said was, Jacksonville's new, young, Republican

- 4 mayor -- excuse me, that's not Brian. This is actually
- 5 Allen Maines, a lawyer with Holland & Knight. Says,
- 6 Jacksonville's new, young, Republican mayor is how to
- 7
- shrink government, who wants to privatize JEA quickly, 8
- while the market is right, he says, within three to five 9
- months, Allen Maines, the lawyer from the firm of 10
- Holland & Knight wrote to a JEA official in a memo 11 dealing with possible legal strategy. Obviously, Plant
- 12 Vogtle, in the agreement, the PPA agreement, greatly
- 13 affects valuation.
- 14 Do you -- are you aware, Paul, that the mayor
- 15 had an intention to privatize JEA within three to five
- 16 months of --
- 17 Α I -- I was not. I --
- 18 (McElroy's Exhibit 26 was marked for
- 19 identification.)

2

- 20 Q Go ahead.
- 21 Α No, I -- I -- I became of this -- became aware
- 22 of this statement actually in -- around the time it was
- 23 published in -- in August of 2020, a few months ago. I
- 24 was never aware of anyone thinking that this transaction
- could be done in three to five months, so I -- now, what
- Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

- 1 it means, I did see the -- I did see the letter this
 - references. Jody Brooks had found that in her files and
- 3 shared it with us. And it became a matter of discussion
- 4 back in August. We went through it.
- 5 I know Allen was -- Maines was doing some work
- 6 for us with Plant Vogtle, who thought that this was more
- 7 of a reach to put his firm in favor for any potential
- 8 work, if -- if it came about with respect to
- 9 privatization. But I -- I -- in terms of the three to
- 10 five months, I -- I -- I can't put any context on that
- 11 or where that would come from.
- 12 Okay. You said, obviously, Paul, Plant Vogtle
- 13 and the agreement greatly affected valuation of JEA.
- 14 Could you explain that concept to us, Paul?
- 15 Yes. And it's -- it's -- it's probably
- 16 instructed when Allen was doing work specifically on
- 17 the -- on the Plant Vogtle agreement for JEA at -- at
- 18 that time, that's -- that's why he was engaged. And we
- 19 were reviewing the Plant Vogtle agreement in looking at
- 20 and trying to devise any type of strategy that would put
- 21 us in a better negotiating position with our partner,
- MEAG, for some -- the better economic terms. 22
- 23 And so I think it's -- it's certainly public
- 24 record now, but when we entered into the agreement with
 - MEAG for electric capacity and energy from Plant Vogtle,
 - Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

- 1 that there was a -- you know, a heavy, heavy price on --
- 2 on carbon. We partnered with Southern Company, in
- 3 particular, through MEAG to Plant Vogtle, thought they
- would bring this new technology in, you know, close to
- 5 budget. There were significant cost overruns and delays
- 6 in schedule, which had increased the price of power. At
- 7 the time this was discussed, natural gas was at
- 8 extremely low prices and the market price of power
- 9 was -- was about half of what the average megawatt per
- 10 hour charge would have been for Plant Vogtle.
- 11 And there was a carrying cost going forward for
- 12 that. And it was -- quite simply, just almost think of
- 13 it in terms of a -- a bond that if you have a bond at --
- 14 at -- that carries 4 percent interest in today's market,
- 15 it's 2 percent interest, the value of that -- the
- 16 value of that bond adjusts accordingly to the current
- 17 market.
- 18 The same thing with Vogtle, there's the 20-year
- 19 commitment to buy the power generated and -- and the
- 20 value of that contract will range up and down during the
- 21 20 years, based upon the -- the current cost of power,
- 22 trying to prevent the cost of power.
- 23 At the time the statement was made, when power
- 24 was substantially less, other than the market, and
- 25 projecting that out over 20 years, there would have been
 - Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

- 1 a negative mark to that contract. And that would, in
- 2 the event, have to be taken care of in -- in effect, if
- 3 JEA were -- were privatized or -- or -- or sold in that
- 4 event, that the acquiring firm would be looking for a
- 5 discount.
- 6 And so there were additional complications in
- terms of the structure of the deal versus municipal,
- 8 et cetera. And that's probably way too much to answer
- 9 this question, but it was -- Plant Vogtle is an
- 10 extraordinarily complicated transaction. The economics
- 11 and the value of Plant Vogtle will play out over the
- 12 next 22 or -3 years and potentially over the next 80
- 13 years now with our most -- with our settlement this
- 14 summer. And -- and history will tell us, not -- not
- 15 fortune tellers, but the history will tell us what the
- 16 true value of Vogtle is over time.
- 17 Okay. I guess the further assumption that
- 18 we've heard about Plant Vogtle was that it was an
- 19 impediment to privatization. Okay. Allen Maines is the
- 20 one to say that he doesn't believe there could be a sale
- 21 of JEA unless the Plant Vogtle liability was terminated.
- 22 Do you -- in your judgment, is that accurate,
- 23 Paul?
- 24 I don't believe it had to be terminated. I
- 25 had -- I believe that it led -- there were potential --
 - Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

between -- between two parties. I mean, most everything has a -- has a price. I mean, so it's -- it would be determining what the -- the fair value adjustment would be between buyer and seller, but there would be -- given the market conditions at that time, there would be a --there would be a -- would have been a negative mark to market on that contract. Let's look at Exhibit 27, which is the resolution by which Mayor Curry appointed Aaron Zahn to the JEA board. Did you discuss Aaron Zahn's appointment to the board with Mayor Curry --Α No. (McElroy's Exhibit 27 was marked for identification.) Q -- before it happened? Α Q You have any insight, Paul, into why the mayor chose Aaron Zahn to be on the JEA board? No, other than what was -- what was probably disclosed and talked about publicly. I do know that when he came on, he was first appointed because he was extraordinarily aggressive with information requests and Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. detailed financial information, financial models, et cetera, much more so than any -- any prior board member in my previous 15 years of experience in -- in working with -- with board members, whether it was the CEO or chief financial officer capacity. Okay. And what we have marked as Exhibit 28, Paul, is a presentation, I believe, that you gave to the future of JEA workshop on the future of privatization in March of 2018. In this document you assessed, as I understand it, the benefits and risks to JEA privatization. Do you recall that Aaron Zahn also spoke at this meeting, Paul? You know, I don't -- I -- I don't recall. (McElroy's Exhibit 28 was marked for identification.) Q Okay. Α I don't recall him speaking. I couldn't -- I couldn't find it. If you don't recall, I can't ask you any questions so I'll move on. MR. BLODGETT: Well, I -- Lanny, can I --can -- Lanny, sorry, can I jump in? MR. RUSSELL: Sure.

MR. BLODGETT: Mr. McElroy, this is a

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

there were potential structures that were never fully

vetted that would -- that could have been valued

paraphrase of what Mr. Zahn said at that meeting, but Mr. Zahn noted that in his -- in his opinion and due diligence, that JEA's value had increased five-fold since 2012 and that JEA's electric sales had also decreased during that period and he seemed to attribute those trends to photovoltaics or solar panels growing, I think his -- his determination was 75 percent year over year in JEA's service area. So you almost seem to be drawing, like, a direct causal connection between photovoltaic cells and then JEA's decreasing electric sales. And Zahn ended his speech by recommending JEA develop a strategic plan to run its business for the next ten years.

Did you ever discuss that speech with Mr. Zahn?

THE WITNESS: I did not.

MR. BLODGETT: Did you ever discuss those issues just -- just generally, with Mr. Zahn?

THE WITNESS: The -- at -- at sort of the high level, he had asked for the strategic planning documents that we had. We had a disagreement on -- on some of the -- sort of the format or form of a strategic plan. And -- and I believe it's probably fair to summarize that his thing was more of a financially-driven strategic plan and mine was more

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

a -- a view of -- of a mission with goals and objectives in -- in alignment with the work force to drive towards some of the -- the performance and operations necessary to achieve the goals, as opposed to trying to drive it through -- through financial -- financial measures and -- and metrics.

MR. BLODGETT: Do you --

THE WITNESS: So we did have some discussion along those lines.

MR. BLODGETT: Do you recall if one of the financial metrics Mr. Zahn wanted to use was profits or JEA value?

THE WITNESS: See, I think that -- it's interesting, in having been there at that time and then now back for the last six -- six or seven months and now gone again, but the -- the concept of -- of profits and values, you know, that -- that emerged after I left. And I did not have discussions with him on -- on that. You know, I -- I certainly have my strong opinions on that, but -- which differ with Mr. Zahn and -- and we worked our way -- we worked to -- to sort of remove, if you will, the -- the concept of profits within a -- a nonprofit or not-for-profit organization and -- and to get the -- get the team aligned back on its core Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

mission and -- and objective of delivering 1 2

exceptional service to our customers over the last

70

3 number of months.

4 The -- but the concept on solar is -- it is --5 it was not -- it was -- it was just -- at any --

6

any -- any -- any reference to solar, certainly from

a historical perspective, in March of -- of 2018, 7

being the cause of the shortfall in -- in -- well, 8

9 not shortfall, but the negative trend or the -- of

10 equipment -- of electric sales is just an

11 exaggeration of the impact of that solar --

12 residential/commercial solar had on the -- had on --

13 and even -- even today, I mean, it's a couple

14 megawatt hours on -- on -- on -- on 12 million

15 megawatts hours per year, so it's still a -- it's

16 still a very small portion. There's maybe 2,000,

17 approaching 2,000 installations over -- over 500,000

customers. The trend has -- has cooled in the last 18

19 18 months.

20

21

22

23

24

8

The utility now, through its utilities scale, so solar can -- can deliver to its customers solar electricity at -- at less than one-third the cost in a residential system, et cetera. So the -- so the whole dynamics of solar have changed since that -that speech and any subsequent speech that was made

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

by the management team over the pre- -- I'll say in 2 20- -- 2019.

3 MR. BLODGETT: Thank you.

4 And before we move on to the next exhibit, I just have one other question that you -- we asked 5

6 you about the Nate Monroe and one of the article --

7 it's marked as one of the prior exhibits, more

generally, what was your understanding of the Curry

9 administration's attitude toward privatizing JEA in

10 2017 and 2018?

THE WITNESS: You know, I think in late -- in 11 late '17 and '18, it was obvious that they were --12

they were strongly in favor of -- of moving towards 13

14 privatization. At the end, there's -- there's

always a question of can -- there was always a 15

16 question of can it be -- can it be accomplished,

quite frankly, because of the size of the complexity 17

of the transaction, but I -- I think it -- it --18

19 setting the -- the size and the complexity aside, I

think that, at least in my opinion, there was a --20

21 there was a strong bias towards a sale to a strong

preference or desire for -- for the sale of the 22 23 utility.

24 MR. BLODGETT: This may be a difficult

follow-up question to answer, but can you give me Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

just an example of two of, you know, examples that 1

make you believe that the Curry administration 2

favored privatizing JEA in that time frame?

4 THE WITNESS: You know, I -- I think one -- one

5 would be the -- one would be the -- sort of the --

the rush to -- quite frankly -- quite frankly, the 6

7 whole January and February time frame of 2018 and

the -- and the chaos that was created by, you know, 8

the Curry team to cancel the JEA, elevate this, try 9

to -- try to get it on the -- on the agenda with 10

11 the -- with the council members without any -- any

12 public debate, to try to get a decision to move

forward as quickly as possible. 13

14 It even started with -- with the original 15 discussion about how quickly could we get an 16 evaluation? Could we get it back in early December, 17 after Mr. Petway had suggested, you know, making that evaluation. Mr. Petway had no -- no time frame 18 19 and didn't even remotely suggest a time frame, but 20 within two weeks, having the time frame of -- of 6- -- 60 days and it was some conversation could we 21 22 get it done in 30.

So, I mean, it was a sense of urgency of -- of moving extraordinarily fast. There -- certainly some of the -- some of the discussions were we Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

73

looked at the length of time of -- of -- certainly I

would say there was, you know, even -- even a year

or two to get the deal done and it would be sort

of -- I guess, we hear of conversations of can --

can we -- everything's accelerated. In fact, we go

6 forward.

23

24

25

71

7 So it was a -- it was a point of bias, I

8 believe, in my opinion, to -- to see if we could at 9 least get to market. 10 Now, whether or not, you know, we would be

pushed to cross the finish line, one could say that 11 12 and argue that that was only to test the market to 13 see what the value was, wasn't serious about, you know, a real sale, until we found out it was

14

15 another -- but -- you know, but why would you go

16 through all of that and have the patience to have

gone through it if, in fact, you weren't serious 17

18 about it?

19

20

21

23

24

25

I mean, we had done this exercise -- we had done this exercise a couple times before five and ten years ago, and at the time, maybe five years --22 five years before that. We've done it relatively quietly in terms of the council and the council auditor incentive and this was just done in an extraordinarily different way.

4

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BLODGETT: Understood. Thank you.

2 BY MR. RUSSELL:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Exhibit 29 is an article that gives a time frame, Paul, from what we talked about is their recommendation.

Given what we've just talked about in the Curry administration's further to explore privatization of JEA, did that conduct of the Curry administration contribute to your decision to resign as CEO of JEA?

Yes. I mean, I think it would be -- I think I put there were at least several very challenging conversations with the -- the administration, senior staff, certainly were not productive and -- and certainly not, you know, indicative of a -- a long-term working relationship.

16 And -- and so, yeah, it was -- it was 17 extraordinarily intense, that was -- that was certainly 18 part of the decision to -- to retire. And then 19 ultimately, it was -- you know, there was ex- --20 concerned expressed that -- by the administration about 21 my inability to, quote, talk with or lack of talking 22 with the council. I wasn't quite sure the context of 23 that, but -- and then once we got into March, after 24 the -- the presentation that we talked about, that March 4th meeting, then it certainly was made clear that --Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

75

that the administration wanted to go in another direction with respect to leadership.

3 (McElroy's Exhibit 29 was marked for 4 identification.)

Thank you. When you resigned and retired as CEO of JEA, did you have any anticipation that Aaron Zahn would seek the CEO position at JEA?

The -- I -- I had -- I had no firsthand knowledge. And I would only say beyond intuitive nature in terms of if -- if there was a -- if there was a strong disenchantment with -- with the team myself and the -- and the team, it -- it would be, at least in my

13 opinion, it would be extraordinarily difficult to see if 14 someone coming from the -- from -- basically, from this

15 team, from the JEA team, from the, you know, McElroy

16 team, if you will, then stepping up into -- into

17 leadership, given -- given what was going on at the

18 time.

19

20

21

22

Okay. Exhibit 30 is the minutes from the April 17th, 2018, meeting of JEA. That it was at that meeting that -- actually before that meeting, just before, Aaron Zahn resigned as a board member and he's now a candidate

23 for becoming interim CEO. And I guess you probably

24 answered that question a little bit, Paul, in what you

just said, in that because of your leadership and

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 attitudes, that there would be a hindrance to somebody from your team becoming the CEO of JEA.

Is there any other reason, Paul, that you think the board may have chosen Aaron Zahn over Melissa Dykes to be CEO when Melissa had substantially and materially more utility experience?

7 Well, assuming that was -- that was Zahn. I 8 think it started, quite frankly, at the -- you know, 9 when I -- when I did resign, that there was an interest 10 of conflict there and that the -- the original plan by 11 the -- by the chair was to -- was to not have an interim 12 for the -- for the next week before the board could get 13 back together again and talk about an interim, talk 14 about a plan for replacement. 15 And -- and I -- I think it was -- that the

board was caught off guard and the chairman was caught off guard, but some discussion there as to whether or not we needed one or not. So I don't think there was an intent to have an interim go back and play that, but that got pretty awkward.

And, in fact, there were -- you know, there was another person nominated and then -- and then Melissa was nominated, early on Melissa and she was -- simply was there and I -- I -- I really couldn't think that that was going to be a long -- you know, a long-term Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

77

1 solution for them. I was surprised, quite frankly, how 2 quickly the board had changed in terms of Zahn's 3 resignation to the board.

I think after Melissa, it -- it might have moved a little more slowly, not much more, week or two, it might have gone to a second week in terms of -- in terms of him stepping into that role, but I do believe there was good support from the administration at that time and having recently coming out of the -- the nomination process with -- with the administration there. I -- you know, there -- I could --

12 (McElroy's Exhibit 30 was marked for 13 identification.)

> Q Outside -- I'm sorry.

Yeah, I just can't even comment on the -- the lack of -- the lack of experience, it's common. I -- I can tell you that from my experience and people I have spoken to in the industry over the years, certainly over the last six months, the -- the complete shock of the -of the process which everybody thought Jacksonville and JEA were better than that and ultimately the appointment and then finally the behavior from an industry standpoint, state and national, was -- was just

24 shocking. And -- and so I'll -- I'll leave it at that.

25 It was shocking.

```
1
              At the time he was nominated, Mr. Zahn promised
 2
    to build consensus around the purpose, role and value of
 3
    JEA in the community.
 4
              And based upon what you just said, Paul, I
 5
    would suspect you don't believe Mr. Zahn kept that
 6
     promise?
 7
              That's correct.
 8
         Q
              Quickly, there's a Nixon Peabody invoice is
 9
    Number 31. And what I wanted to call your attention to,
10
     Paul, if you look at this invoice, it's titled General
11
     Privatization matters. And you see that during the
12
    period of time you were there, Nixon Peabody, beginning
13
     on January 12th, 2018, and continuing up past the time
14
     you left, was certainly past April 6th -- before April
15
     6th, certainly before April 6th, Nixon Peabody was doing
16
     work on the privatization of JEA.
17
              Were you aware that Nixon Peabody had been
18
     retained to perform this work?
19
              (McElroy's Exhibit 31 was marked for
20
    identification.)
21
              MR. WEDEKIND: Lanny?
22
              MR. RUSSELL: Yes, I'm here. Paul?
23
              MR. WEDEKIND: I heard a beeping. I didn't
24
         hear any answer.
25
              MR. BLODGETT: Yeah, Paul may have gotten cut
                Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
```

79 1 off. 2 MR. RUSSELL: Is there a way for him to call 3 back in? 4 MR. BLODGETT: Yeah, I'll check my phone. He 5 may call me, but I'll keep it out in case he 6 calls. 7 MR. RUSSELL: Okay. 8 (Off-the-record discussion.) 9 MR. RUSSELL: Paul? 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Lanny, yeah, I'm sorry 11 about that. I -- I just dropped off. Let me just 12 try to connect back up here for the -- let me see if 13 I can get the -- the documents. I'm going to try to 14 zero back in. I had a WiFi issue. 15 MR. RUSSELL: No problem. We're looking at 16 Exhibit 31. 17 THE WITNESS: 31, Nixon Peabody. Okay. I'm 18 back. I'm sorry. I apologize, yes. 19 MR. RUSSELL: That's not a problem.

20 BY MR. RUSSELL:
21 Q This is an invoice from Nixon Peabody to JEA,
22 dated September 19th, 2018. But the invoice itself,
23 Paul, shows that Nixon Peabody began its work on
24 privatization matters in January of 2018 and continued
25 that work with fairly consistency throughout 2018 up
Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 until the last entry on the bill, now you're gone, May of 2018. 2 3 But my question for you, Paul, were you aware 4 that Nixon Peabody had been hired by JEA to work on privatization matters? 5 6 No, I -- I was -- was unaware that -- that 7 Nixon would have been hired in reference under general 8 privatization matters. Nixon Peabody was longstanding 9 bond counsel. And, I guess, in one of these questions, 10 given the public debate regarding privatization, would 11 be close to normal discourse with our bond counsel 12 relative to any potential issues in the future coming 13 out of the PFM report, you know, some of the other 14 documents we looked at and activity occurring. And 15 just, you know, current -- current period disclosure. 16 I think some of the other -- other issues there 17 in terms of -- in terms of -- in terms of Vogtle, you 18 know, I just -- it's interesting to think whether that 19 was part of looking at Vogtle or looking at 20 privatization. But it is, yeah -- so I -- yeah, I'm 21 unaware that we -- we specifically engaged them to talk 22 about privatization. 23 Right. And some it is very specific. If we 24 look at the entry on February 16th, by Elizabeth 25 Columbo, she was the lead partner on this matter, she's

1 reviewing the privatization report. And it could be on

these entries, I understand what you're saying, some ofit relates to typical bond work, a large portion of that

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

4 invoice is related to some -- directly to privatization

5 and it continued after the February 15th prohibition on

6 continuing to explore privatization. All of that was7 surprising to me.

8 But, again, Paul, you didn't know about this9 work being done?

10 A That's correct.

Q Okay. Just to follow up on a question I asked,
when we talked several months ago, Paul, we talked about
the rationale for shutting down the St. Johns River

14 Power Park and it included the industry's transition

15 away from coal, as an electrical source, and then JEA's

16 power initiative -- initiative.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What energy efficient or distributed generation of -- or, excuse me. Was energy efficiency or distributed generation a significant factor, Paul, in the decision to shut down St. Johns River Power Park?

A I -- hold on. I think it's interesting. It's the sort of chicken -- chicken and egg situation. I think to some extent efficiency -- energy efficiency did, in fact, lower electric sales during -- during the decade of 2008 to 2018. So -- so, there's no -- you Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

85

know, that's -- that's a reality.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the other side of it is that the overall industry, not just efficiency within our service territory, but for the whole industry, electric system sales were -- were held to a -- just a nominal 1 percent increase. And they had been projected at -- at 3 percent compounded growth from 2008 forward through that ten-year timing cycle.

So when we looked at -- when we looked at St. Johns River Power Park, the -- the primary reason for the shut down was -- was that FPL, our partner in the plant, so we had -- we had half of the plant, they had half of the plant and -- and when the -- when the operating agreement ended in 2022, early 2022, FPL signaled that they -- they wanted -- they were going to close the facility, that they did not want to carry on or go forward. And -- and they were going to end their relationship with us.

Now, that was -- that was to be determined when we mentioned -- unfortunately, the contract provided no -- no clear path for that whatsoever. It was completely silent to the -- to the end of the -- end of the agreement so we had to negotiate with them.

So the -- the -- the result of that was we were going to be left with not only the 600 megawatts of coal Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

83

generation that we had, we were going to pick up an additional 600 megawatts of coal and so we had 1200 megawatts, which was more power than we needed. And we realized certainly in the middle of the last decade, that coal was out of favor environmentally. And, two, coal was out of favor economically. It was no longer economic to look at an additional 600 megawatts. We could not run that in our -- in our system and we could not sell it into the marketplace. There was no market for it. It was too expensive.

And so when we worked with PFL and worked our own modeling and -- and worked with our external, you know, consultants and -- and bankers on that -- on that transaction, it produced significant savings to -- to pull forward the closure and FPL, you know, wrote a fairly significant check to us. And then they were part of the -- their portion, 20 percent of ownership, they were part of the -- the initial cost. So by -- we held on to that piece, too.

So all in all, it was an economic decision of saying we did not need the power. At that point in time, we had -- we had applied for it in several other ways, with Vogtle coming online and committing to 200 of the 600 we were using, we had stepped up gas generation, we had stepped up 250 megawatts of stored, it just was

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

no longer needed and it was economic to shut the unit down. And we had savings of -- I believe it was something, like, \$400 million over the next 20 years versus generating electricity from other sources.

So it was an economic decision and it had a range of drivers, but at the end of the day, we didn't need an additional 600 megawatts that we were going to get stuck with by FPL walking away. It's better to keep them and the partnership and decommission and have them pay 20 percent of the cost rather than us 100 percent; two, we didn't need to carry the cost of the 600 that we had because it was no longer economic because of operating conditions, price of natural gas, et cetera. And -- and in -- you know, our sales had slowed down.

So I think the bigger issue is really the operation of a coal plant versus natural gas and other technologies today and generating costs. Certainly a contributing factor was lower sales and part of that was -- small part of that was efficiency.

Sorry for the longwinded response.

21 Q No, it was necessary for the question, I think, 22 Paul.

23 Exhibit 32 is an excerpt from a strategic 24 planning and implementation consulting service 25 presentation and interview in which McKinsey assisted

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{JEA}}$ in that process, Paul. And could you generally tell 2 me your perspective on how strategic planning for JEA 3 should work, how it should be implemented?

Well, our view -- our view was to -- to think about getting -- getting a team together and the team being the board, as well as the management team and to derive the position and -- and mission and get consensus around that and certainly the board being a proxy for the community.

And -- and from there, have management develop a series of strategic goals and objectives, exercise that with the board making sure that there's appropriate linkage between pursuing the strategies and the goals and objectives that they will produce that have the desired result, which is achieving a mission and vision. All of those being consistent with the articulated agreed-upon the values for the organization.

Once the -- the framework stepped in, then you start to look out over a period of time and -- and start to determine, you know, what your metrics might be to measure your performance against your goals and objectives.

I -- I think, you know, at the end you've got to come down to your financial impact of engaging the full organization on a -- on a routine basis in your

strategic plan and your goals and objectives in -- in
 working with the organization, the full work force, not
 just the management, the full work force in seeking
 commitment for each individual's daily activities to
 help reach the strategic goals, which will help drive

the mission with some of the problems we talked about here

So for us, it was much more important to have a very clear vision, mission and -- and set of three primary strategic goals and have certain objectives, three objectives, each below that, that could be communicated to the full work force that everyone could understand and that everyone could commit their daily work to in order to help us drive towards -- towards where we want to go with the organization with this mission.

Part of that was -- you know, is -- is to lead into those working sessions is to -- to look at and to evaluate your -- your strategic pathway of your various businesses and to look at the strength and weaknesses, your -- your -- basically, your opportunities and challenges and whether it's technology or customer preference to ensure, again, bind together the -- the strategic actions and goals are linked to the changing environment, whatever that is, in terms of the market

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

dynamics, technology, et cetera, it's still team up and allow you to achieve the goals met.

In terms of -- in terms of the -- McKinsey takes the -- a top-down approach. And I've -- I've done both through my business planning and strategic planning and overall general management for many number of years working for multiple global corporations. I -- I found in the organization, our JEA work force can produce -- can produce significantly better results than a top-down approach, which tends to, in my opinion, collect dust on the -- on the -- on the bookshelf.

This interview and this -- this particular document, we were reaching out to a variety of firms to try to test, you know, their -- what they could do for us. And we were looking more for -- more for a -- a cost analysis and where we were. We thought we had the plan, but this was looking at -- this was looking at 2018, where we had an update to the strategic plan, which took place in '13, to work towards visualization and -- and really working towards efficiency in the -- in the utility.

And this was the pitch that McKinsey came in and this was their standard offering of coming in, conducting interviews, coming back and then pitching their services against what we have -- what we have put

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 out in our -- I'm just trying to think, it would be in2 our -- in our bids or discussions about it.

I said all of that and now I'm looking at the date on this document, so I want to back up a little bit. Everything I said in terms of McKinsey was -- was we talked to them back in 2017 about coming in and doing work for us. And -- and we decided not to use them because they were way too expensive and -- and -- and we -- we went with Deloitte.

And Deloitte did a -- the overall view that was consistent with the direction that we had, in terms of making a more efficient and effective organization and looking for us to get cost down. We had a goal of \$50 million. And so that -- that -- that study, we were working on and implementing and I think it just got pushed to the side. I know -- I know it got pushed to the side because McKinsey, we started up.

So they ran through this in '18. There was some -- there was supposed to be, now I have a little more knowledge on it, you know, it was supposed to be a \$500,000 deal, you know. It -- it had several iterations. This, I think, ended up being the -- the foundation for the boil of the Frog, you know, presentation, in early -- early '19.

25 My understanding is McKinsey stayed in the Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

building right through '19 and -- and then came in under

2 subcontract with Pills- -- Pillsbury, although not

authorized under the agreement or the arrangements with

4 Pillsbury, who proceeded to -- to produce a, quote,

bankable, end quote, strategic plan.

And I'll stop there for questions.

7 (McElroy's Exhibit 32 was marked for

identification.)

9 Q Sure. And that's -- you got right to the point**10** I was getting to, I think, Paul.

11 Explain what you mean by a bankable strategic12 plan.

A Well, I -- I actually had to do my research on that one. I -- I hadn't seen it before, but it -- it is fairly obvious when you think about it in this context, it was a -- a strategic plan to take to the market -- as part of your presentation to the marketplace, if you were -- if you were selling yourself. So you were going to take your plan and -- and bank it in the marketplace to -- to realize higher value for your asset, company or whatever you were selling.

So it -- not in the purpose of a going concern, it's, you know, a different view of, you know, look at all the shiny objects we have on the tree. And just, you know, we think aren't things wonderful.

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Is the goal of McKinsey or Deloitte and 2 strategic planning to supplement and reenforce the views 3 of management, Paul, or is it to objectively challenge 4 and accept those views and make sure they are, in fact, 5 correct?

Α I would -- I would say to engage them to bring their expertise against the -- and perform the -- the analysis against the kind of requirement that you -- you agree to upfront. I would say that they're -they're -- you -- you should accept theirs and I think from their standpoint, they want to -- they -- they want -- meet the client's needs, but they also want to be fair in terms of their -- their views of -- of -- of the analogy. I mean, so they're -- they're not there to make stuff up. They're there to give you a hard opinion and -- and try to help you craft a path forward to be successful in the future.

I would say that, you know, at several different global consulting firms, top five, folks who have top two, there was really no support for the assumptions here, the so-called death spiral and it may have out broke, as presented here, in -- in this plan and -- and really the -- the language and presentations to the -- management made in 2019. And I think that was going out -- it was going out twofold.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

91

One -- one, it was going out, quite frankly, in the -- in the bids that were disclosed in January and February, earlier this year. And then it was disclosed very clearly in July when we went through the bond market. And the demand for the JEA -- JEA bond was -was close to record, if not record, of oversubscription of -- of people just trying to line up and buying JEA bonds six, seven times the orders for bringing up bonds that we had.

So I have -- I'll stop there and wait for the next question, Lanny.

12 Q Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

MR. RUSSELL: The next exhibits concern the planning, the area planning process -- and Kevin is more familiar with these few documents.

Kevin, would you ask the questions about these next few exhibits?

MR. BLODGETT: Sure.

EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. BLODGETT:

> Q So, Mr. McElroy, we're going to look at

22 Exhibit --

23 Α That's fun.

24 Yeah. We're going to look at Exhibit 33, but

25 before we jump into that, I just want to make sure I

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 understand what you were saying about objective 2 strategic planning.

4 Aaron Zahn and the senior leadership team retain 5 McKinsey to do objective strategic planning or do you 6 believe that McKinsey bolstered and supplemented the 7 death spiral as opposed to doing objective strategic 8 planning?

In your opinion, based on what you've seen, did

In -- in -- in my opinion, you know, they supported the -- the death spiral so the -- the narrative of -- of management.

12 (McElroy's Exhibit 33 was marked for 13 identification.)

Okay. And that kind of leads in --

You know, I'll just say that, you know, in one of the -- I'll clarify that a little bit. Any time you put a -- you put a plan together and whether you want to call it a high bid, low case or whether you want to call it best case, weak case, worst case, you know, they're sort of -- you run scenarios.

It's just an appearance here that -- that in -if it was a revenue item, it was a worst-case scenario, we wouldn't realize revenue. If was expense side, it was worst case, expenses would be high. And I -- I think that probably sums it up.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

93

But the data in here, some of the market 2 analysis and things, I mean, I just -- you know, it's 3 all the things that you would look at and I don't -- I 4 don't -- I didn't find anything of significance that 5 wasn't included and looked at in one form or another in 6 previous strategic planning efforts and documents.

What I found was that the -- when you looked at the scenario, it -- it's intended to only be worst case, whether it was higher expenses or lower revenue, earlier adoption, adoption rates of technology, significantly -you know, the significantly greater adoption rates of -of technology.

So you can -- you can build the -- the framework here, any plan and so they have probably all of the right assumptions in there. The question is the range of -- of those assumptions in terms -- against the grid of best case, mod- -- moderate case, worst case. And in my opinion, all of them were worst case scenario

18

19 for JEA.

> Q Got it.

Δ Helpful?

Q That's helpful to have and that kind of leads into what we're going to be doing, which I'm going to go through the presentations given to the JEA board for

scenario one, status quo; and then status two, the

1 traditional utility response; and then scenario three,

the nongovernmental or nontraditional response. And

3 I -- I know you could probably talk about these

4 presentations for hours on end, but I'm going to try to

5 get you out as quickly as possible.

6 But let -- let's start with Exhibit 33, which 7

is the status quo presentation that was given to the JEA

8 board on May 28th, 2019. And my first question is just

9

kind of a fundamental or philosophical question where if 10

you go back to the board meetings and really the board 11 meeting leading up to the May 28, 2019, meeting, you'll

12 see that the senior leadership team characterized JEA's

13 historical status quo or business as usual approach as

14 kind of doing nothing in the face of changing market

15 trends, like, energy efficiency.

Do you agree with that characterization?

17 Α

16

25

2

18 (McElroy's Exhibit 33 was marked for

19 identification.)

20 Q So --

21 Α No. No, absolutely not.

22 Q In your opinion, does JEA's municipal structure

23 help or hurt its ability to adopt to market trends,

24 like, energy efficiency?

> I -- I think it -- well, here's -- here's where Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

> > 95

1 we have a -- really the mission of JEA. I think it

2 helps -- it help -- if it helps JEA, it helps the

3 community. And so when you say adopting energy

4 efficiency, if I was a -- if I'm a -- an investor-owned

5 utility, not a municipal utility, I'm an investor-owned

6 utility, then I view energy efficiency as -- as -- as

a negative because it has an adverse impact on its

8 energy.

7

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

If I'm a municipal energy efficiency in our -in our community, as -- as a positive, in that our -our mission is to serve the customers with the lowest possible cost and to have this -- the -- the smallest

13 environmental impact on our community. 14

And so, therefore, the JEA had and has one of the -- one of the best energy efficiency -- proactive energy efficiency programs than any energy utilities in the state in terms of being proactive and reaching out to our customers and encouraging them to use less of our products, to be more energy efficient, to be more water efficient, et cetera. And our pricing structures in

21 some segments support that. 22 So I -- I fundamentally disagree with -- with

the -- the premise. I even fundamentally disagree with the -- with the analysis, quite frankly. They -- you

25 know, the -- it gets back to the discussion of -- of

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

what is the -- what is the raise on petro for JEA? I 1

2 mean, it is to provide value to the community -- value

3 to the community. If I can lower a customer's cost

4 through efficiency and I produce less electricity, I

5 have a smaller economic footprint, there's more

6 disposable income in the economy for a consumer to spend 7

otherwise.

8 And so I -- I -- I would make the argument a

9 better way, as -- back to the original question, as a

10 municipal energy efficiency, there's -- there's a value

11 odd in -- in an investor-owned, where the shareholder is

12 looking for, you know, just return margin, return on

13 equity, to earn profits. It's probably a negative.

14 Therefore, that's one of the reasons why Florida, quite

15 frankly, has one of the worst energy efficiency

16 footprints of -- of any state. Is there to state

17 government and controlled by a couple of big IOUs in

18 terms of energy efficiency as policy that is clearly out

19 of step with, I'll say, current guaranty policy. And

20 I'm not talking about just national, but multiple,

21 multiple states with regard to -- with regard to energy

22 efficiency.

23

Now, I'll stop. I -- I dis- -- I disagree with

24 their -- with their -- their statement.

25 That's really insightful and you answered my

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 question from one angle. And to kind of approach the

2 issue from a different angle, the idea that JEA, as a

3 municipal entity, cannot adapt the changes, that it's,

4 you know, too rigid to adapt the changing market trends

5 because of its municipal structure.

6 Since you became the interim CEO at JEA in 7 April of 2020, can you provide an example or two of the 8 issues that you were able to tackle and address that

9 contradicts the claim that JEA is not able to adapt?

10 Well, I -- I think the -- I mean, we were able to take the -- I'm able to take the Plant Scherer, you 11

12 know, and within 30 days put together a plan,

13 communicate effectively with the stakeholders and

14 essentially align with our partner to close that

15 facility, prospectively close that facility, enter into

16 a transaction with a -- with a third-party for

17 replacement power, which will generate \$200 million of

18 savings over the next -- over the next 20 years and

19 reduce our CO2 emissions by 1.3 million tons of carbon

20 per year and give us the flexibility to -- to move from

21 natural gas to solar in year 10 of the contractual

22 arrangement for firm power.

23 I -- you know, probably that's a -- that's 24 about whether -- a transaction for -- for not only

25 municipals, but, quite frankly, for -- for all of the

electric industry at this point in time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As all great components, it's environmental, 1.3 million tons of CO2 out of the air, we've -- we've closed down a whole facility, we're producing power at less cost, we have the flexibility to move to solar once it becomes more price competitive when it's connected to batteries to become -- and so that's -- that was one big one. We closed that deal.

We locked in our -- we locked in our -- the other transaction -- part of that transaction, we were able to lock in our gas prices for ten years, at \$2.59 per MMBTU, which is just simply unheard of. So the strength of our balance sheet and our -- and our ability to move quickly with our board allowed us to enter that transaction.

I think, you know, the ability to -- to quickly go through and -- and negotiate a couple of contracts because of our structure, not having to go to the -- to the public service commission, but have a board made up of community representatives and our city council, we were able to effectively and efficiently resolve the litigation with -- with Vogtle. I think there's this great opportunity down the road for additional carbon-free power.

> Structurally, we looked at and we were, quite Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

> > 99

frankly, a leader in -- in the industry and have -- have worked with a number of utilities nationwide on demand pricing for our customers. It was a test case, applying technology on the customer side of the meter. And thought of as a pop leader in that area, continue to be a pop leader in -- in -- leader in technology and pricing structures for consumers and able to advance that program.

We -- I saw it -- we evaluated -- we evaluated our -- our technology group and made some adjustments to the way we can participate into -- into dark fiber in the upcoming year and increased our -- our budget next year by 5 to \$7 million of additional revenue. From that sector, we evaluated natural gas. And that's one where, you know, five years ago, quite frankly, would have thought that we do have the opportunity to go forward. The team will look at that more closely next year, but we're going to look and see whether that's a long-term commitment or not at this point in time.

And -- and so we were able to make that assessment and -- and really put off pushing that until -- until next year when the environmental rules are a little more closely aligned.

On the water/sewer side, protecting our territory, we've expanded dramatically into the outside Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 counties. Wastewater facility, you know, we're advanced

2 leaders in that. I don't -- I don't -- in terms of our

3 flexibility, we're -- we're -- the municipal

4 utility will never be an investor-owned and

5 investor-owns have got a history over the last 50 years

of thinking they can be all things to all people and 6

7 expanding beyond their core and getting into a lot of 8

trouble.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

And -- and so, you know, doing lots of flexibilities. The public service gas has -- in Northern California, it's been bankrupt due to market flexibility of what's going on up in New York with Comed. No, we don't. We want -- we want to have a -- a scale -- an organization or a community utility that has the -- the scale that is sufficient enough to keep our costs aligned with the industry and provide incremental value to -- to our -- to our customers.

I think the -- the other point to be made was this year we brought in for landing, there was an industry policy or practice that was adopted with COVID in terms of not disconnecting customers early on in the pandemic for nonpayment. I can tell you, I -- they're really struggling with that nationwide with their -their states have kept that open. We were able to work with the council and -- and craft a solution to free up Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

101

1 some of the Cares money for our customers, work with our 2 customers and bring that back in for a gentle landing.

Investor-owned wouldn't have been able to do that, wouldn't have been able to do that as quickly and would not have had the presence here on the ground, interacting, working hand in hand with our -- with our -- with our city council.

I think the final point on that one, too, is, you know, our emergency response to storms and to catastrophic events is top of the -- on top of the rankings in terms of the state and our ability to recover. Again, working with our partners at EOC and vetted into the emergency response within the county, we just don't get that in terms of, you know, investor-owned. You get a person that has an 800 number into the EOC someplace else in the state and that just reduces responsiveness.

And I think I could probably go on a few more but, you know --

20 You gave us a lot to talk about. And I would 21 like to ask dozens of follow-up questions to that, but 22 I -- I want to get you out of here quickly, if I can.

But I do want to touch on one of the -- the things that you mentioned and it's the demand rate because we've talked to a couple of experts, including Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Michael Brost and -- and Steve McInall, who seem to think that demand rate is a really important part of JEA's future.

Can you just explain a little bit about what the demand rate is and then where JEA is in the process of finishing testing that and implementing it and how it's going to be rolled out?

A Yes. The demand rate -- well, for instance, you, as the consumer, the -- the -- the utility, JEA, a consumer in our territory, we got to build the electric system to meet your highest one-minute demand in a year. And that's generally going to occur sometime in the next 30 to 60 days, when we have three days of 20 degree weather and in the teams -- and then on the third day, everybody just gets so cold, they turn all the heat on and those that need auxiliary heating put the auxiliary heat on, those that don't have auxiliary heating put stoves on and the demand for power essentially goes -- goes literally through the roof.

And we've got to build a system to that level for that one-minute peak demand. There's a lot of cost to that, to build a full electric system out for that incremental amount. And -- and that can be double what we normally serve -- more than double of what we normally serve on a -- on a spring day.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

So you look at a spring day and dig in and day end have 1,000 megawatts, you look at a -- a terribly cold January third day in a cold spell, it could be 3,000 megawatts. So you have two-thirds of the facility and all of the costs, you know, tie to that; generation, your -- your wires, your transformers, everything is built to the peak. And we -- we don't charge for that. Utilities charge for how much you use, regardless of how much you use. You use a lot, your bill goes up; you use a little, your bill goes down.

So if you use a little and you happen to be in your -- your house, you use a little, then you sell your house and the next person ends up using a lot, we have to bill for that "a lot." And you didn't pay for it, but it was there in case you needed it. So the cost is associated with that peak demand, not how much you use on a given day.

And the -- the idea of the demand rate was if we get people to focus on demand and -- and lower that peak demand at a point in time, then we can really reduce our cost, we can reduce our cost and we can reduce our price. And it's -- it's much easier to focus on spreading your demand out than it is in terms of consumption.

And so we put together a program that gave Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

price signals and gave technology to point to people and when we have metering devices in the home and they're on the smart phones and saying, okay, your demand is -- per minute demand is so much and you can set limits and if it goes over that, you're going to get charged more.

And so you can reduce demand by spacing out your use. Don't have your -- the water heater on at the same time as the air-conditioner, the same time as the dryer. If you were to eliminate those three devices running, you would probably reduce your demand by 25 to 30 percent, which you could reduce your bill by 30 percent and that was the premise.

And so we worked over the last three, four years and -- and we just -- we -- as much as we try, the people's focus on their electric bill, we get about 5 percent of -- of the day or the time that they think about consumer's bill. We just can't -- we're unable to get the attention of people long enough to sustain the positive impact that they had on their bill.

So where we are right now is we've got a ton of information and we've -- we've actually -- we're doing a major, major system billing upgrade over the next 90 days. So we've suspended that program probably for 180 days, when we get through this very rigorous billing cycle, conversion and then reassess next spring and come

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

out and sort of rebrand it to see if we can get morefocus on it.

It was -- it was basically and simply said it
was a way to incent customers to reduce that peak
demand. If you reduce the peak demand, you can reduce
our -- our cost and you're still going to use the same
amount of energy. Right? So a good deal for everybody.
Good deal for everybody.

Q So that's a really thorough --

10 A Earlier on, we were -- we were, you know,11 industry leader in that.

12 Q That's a really thorough response. I have no13 follow-up questions about your answer.

But I do have a related question, which is my understanding is that JEA was developing a demand rate program during your first term as CEO, so this was in 2018; is that correct?

A That is correct.

19 Q To your knowledge, did Aaron Zahn continue your20 efforts to develop the demand rate program?

A To -- to my knowledge, there was very little senior management involvement in -- in utility operations so the program continued on, but did not receive the attention it needed.

Q In your opinion, did that hinder the rate at Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 which that program was developed?

A In -- in -- in my opinion, the -- the lack of senior management focus on -- on such a -- what could have been a transformational and strategic benefit, did -- did slow it down, but I -- but I do want to -- I want to clarify that a little bit. That there is really good people that have -- that worked extraordinarily hard with the tools they have available to them to -- to get us to where we were today.

So, yeah, I think that it was the lack of senior -- senior level executive focus on -- on that and many other operational areas that -- that slowed things down.

Q Are you -- are you aware of any other promising initiatives or programs that did not receive, in your opinion, appropriate attention from the senior leadership team after you left JEA?

A Well, I -- I -- I think the biggest thing was, you know, I mentioned early on, we had a -- we had a delayed study and it was a very detailed study so it -- an SLT member, department by department in terms of -- in terms of market based, benchmarking and -- and cost analysis. And -- and I felt that -- I was very disappointed that a lot more work and, certainly, you know, I wouldn't even say more focus, but certainly Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

focus was not -- was not continued on that -- on that item to -- to try to achieve the -- the full value of -- of the \$50 plus million in savings that they had teed up for us.

And I'll just say -- I'll just say -- I'll just say the work force was just not directed because in order to achieve that, you needed certainly senior level policy changes, senior level direction and you needed to engage the work force to -- to work full -- work towards the solutions that were presented at the high level in the report. So that -- that was a very big disappointment.

I think the other one was -- the other one was the -- and a big disappointment was when I -- when I left, we were evaluating bids for the 250 megawatt solar. We already secured the land for the county and so 1,000 acres. And we was going to put the forefront of -- of -- at the time, they were top -- certainly top ten, it might have been closer to the top, if you will, of -- of community-owned solar within -- within city limits of any city in the country. And so I think that that would have been a good branding for not only JEA, but Jacksonville.

But the -- the lack of focus and driving that home from the original award in -- in April of -- of '18 Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 1 didn't get contracted for until February of '19 and --

2 and the details were not -- I mean, there wasn't, in my

3 opinion, appropriate attention paid to the

4 implementation of that because I'm not sure that

5 contract -- not one solar panel has been -- has been

6 put up. We're at the end of 2020. It'll be -- it'll be

7 two years since the contract was executed in another 608 days.

And I believe the vendor doesn't really have any interest in participating at this point in time. So JEA stopped watching the clock run on this, maybe some settlement near the termination, which is at the end of '22, beginning of '23, for some breakage on behalf of the -- on behalf of the vendor, but we won't -- we won't have that solar and -- and we'll have to redo it.

So I thought, again, appropriate attention to detail and -- and really project management could have -- could have -- could have, A, recognized that problem much, much sooner than August of this year and -- and, more importantly, could have recognized that very early on in the process against the project plan to make sure that we were to able to avail ourselves of -- of that benefit.

Q So you had mentioned the -- the Deloitte
 report, which is interesting to me, because it was
 Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 effectively \$50 plus million of benefits that was

gift wrapped -- gift wrapped to the senior leadership

3 team. There was even, if I recall, a detailed

4 implementation plan for some of the initials identified

5 in that report.

Do you know why it wasn't implemented, why
 those recommendations were not implemented and who made
 those decisions to not implement them?

A I -- I don't know. And the -- you know, I would say that, you know, that was the end of -- end of '17, going into '18. The '18's, you know, strategy was to -- you know, to work towards becoming more efficient in digitizing the role of the platforms within JEA and that was the charge forward. We were going to take the report and break it down into -- into teams, attack it and then -- and then implement in the process of developing that.

Of course, then as we got to the end of the first quarter and into December, a lot of focus on -- on our behalf in terms of the January, February time frame got caught up in the -- in the PFM report and all the stuff we talked about earlier in this -- in this interview.

24 And then after I left, I -- I have no idea why 25 it got bumped.

Q So you -- you were effectively distracted from
 your job in -- in early 2018 by all the political
 rigmarole that was going on about the privatization
 discussion, but I'm interested in why the initiatives
 that we've talked about and you've discussed today were
 not advanced under Aaron Zahn and his leadership team.

Do you have any insight into that?

A You know, I -- I can only -- I can only repeat, I think that in terms of what's been shared with me is that, you know, during that 2019 time frame, the senior team was sequestered on the 16th floor of the -- of the tower building and were working on, you know, the -- the -- I'll just be direct, the sale, whether they call it the recapitalization or anything else, but the sale activity.

And the -- the rest of the organization was -- was -- while -- while basic operations were performing well by the work -- and being led by the work force and, you know, in terms of the leadership towards improving outcomes and implementing strategies, I essentially came to this answer, you know, it's a complete distraction.

Q And this may be an oversimplification, but is it fair to say, based on what you know, the senior leadership team, in 2019, was focused on privatizing or Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 selling JEA as opposed to running and bettering JEA?

A Yes.

Q And you also indicated that, in your mind,4 the ITN was really just about selling JEA; is that

accurate?

A That's my opinion, yes.

it's completely incompatible.

Q Because what was being told to the board and the public is that the ITN process was about assessing five different scenarios. You have the status quo, scenario one; scenario two, which was the traditional utility response; scenario three, which was the ITN, just various privatization options; and then four and five, which were the cooperative and the IPO options.

So, in your mind, were the cooperative and IPO options, scenarios four and five, were those ever viable for JEA? Are you aware of any evidence those could actually be implemented successfully in Jacksonville?

18 A I -- I -- they were just price holders to make 19 the list look longer. I don't mean it as serious, you 20 know. They -- they were -- they were not serious 21 scenarios, in my opinion. Co-op was a completely 22 different structure. It's -- it's -- it's not 23 a -- it's not an urban setting, it's a rural setting and 24 it's -- just did not -- quite frankly, rural electric so

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

The -- the IPO clearly not in terms of
 interested -- just as a -- as difficult and challenging
 as -- as the sale would have been, an IPO would have
 been -- would have been some magnitude greater and
 certainly probably less -- certainly less value.

traditional response nonsense. I guess my -- my answer to that is, you know, we just put forward, in the last board meeting, a five-year view of where we think the financial projections are that are based on, I think, mid -- mid -- you know, not best case, not worst case, but most likely case for the next five years. And it's -- it's -- it's traumatically different than -- than what was presented a year ago.

You know, the status quo in this is the

And it doesn't include with that 500 people being laid off and that type of -- you know, that type of plan or language. I guess it was plans. I mean, it actually went through by caution or many positions. So, to me, that was a scare tactic.

And so I think that, you know, you look forward now in terms of where the -- where the -- where the projections are leading. Every organization in this business is going to -- is going to evolve, as -- as every organization, as it exists today, has evolved over the last 100 years in the electric, you know, industry Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

So it -- you know, it -- it certainly will be

Troudulet a 7 leadelates Troporte

1 business, you know.

3 -- will be challenges from the environmental standpoint
4 in our areas. But I -- you know, I think there's a
5 good course strength in the -- in the work force and now
6 the strategies and the leadership of the board are on
7 board. And I think the market validated that in -8 in -- in July. We've seen a credit upgrade on a -- a
9 few of the categories, I think that'll continue through
10 next year.

So I -- yeah, I didn't get much -- this -- this traditional status quo. And to me -- to me the -- all they did was put the sale in the middle of the scenario, you know, allowed them to sort of run down that path.

Q Did you ever discuss that issue with any of the
former senior leadership team members, like, Melissa
Dykes and Ryan Wannemacher?

18 A On -- on -- on the ITN process or the scenarios19 and stuff?

Q Right. What -- what was the end goal of theITN. Did they believe --

A No, no.

Q Okay.

 A No. I found that to be interesting in terms
 of -- you know, my charge was to go forward in working Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 with the board and try to get the board into a -- I'll 2

say a rhythm and -- and to provide them as much

3 knowledge as I could during the time that I would be

4 with them and to -- and to take on, you know, a number

5 of big challenges that we -- we had to -- we had to do

6 to get -- you know, to get us moving forward.

7 And then -- so I didn't spend a lot of time 8

looking back at the -- the ITN process or it was more of

9 a -- it was more of a forward-looking. I knew that

10 there was a lot of involvement by a lot of the members

11 of the senior team. And, now, my -- I guess my decision

12 with respect to that team is a matter of public record

13 as well, so I'll -- I'll just stop there.

Did you ever discuss the ITN process with JEA's

15 rating agencies?

14

16 Α Well --

17 And just so you know, I'm asking because --

18 Α -- I am complete --

19 a Go -- go ahead.

20 Yeah. No, I -- I had some conversations

21 with -- I can say on a couple topics with respect to

22 the related ITN. And I -- I -- there were a few S&P, in

23 particular, brought up some -- some questions relative

24 to that and I want to think that they stated it was a

deal in past management, clearly based upon the

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

115

114

information that we have provided you and -- and will 2 continue to provide you, just lead us to a different

3 question. And then, you know, some of the questions

4 that you're asking are answered by the past management

5 team.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

23

24

And, again, we have to say that they didn't answer because they didn't have an answer in terms of death spiral or some of the other -- other trends that were put in their forecast that were clearly -- clearly inconsistent with the industry, you know. So the big question was why -- why were you, JEA, seeing this and expecting to experience this when no one else in the industry is? You know, it really -- it really is not a good answer -- there was not a good answer for that and,

14 15 quite frankly, there wasn't an answer for that, other

16 than -- other than, you know, maybe sale.

So I -- I -- the only thing I -- we did go back and -- go back to the agency, I did talk to the agency earlier about some of the reports on they were concerned with the internal control and the -- and governments.

20 21 And I wanted to get clarity on that for the board, what

22 do they mean by that.

> And so we had a good conversation with internal control, internal control did not mean internal control within the business of the operation. And,

> > Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 particularly, they felt -- it was really specifically

related to internal control relative to the Vogtle

contract and -- and the inconsistency of the litigation

that the -- the litigation being, you know, we -- we

5 were -- we were a value binding board, authorized

6 agreement for ten years and then we decided, through the

7 litigation, I guess JEA, the JEA board and the City,

8 through the general counsel's office and the City

9 joining the lawsuit against MEAG indicating that -- they

10 felt that it was unconstitutional or not properly 11

authorized, so invalid.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

So the -- the agencies felt, you know, you've got an internal control problem there. If you have one board saying the previous board acted, you know, inconsistently or entered into a transaction invalid so we had to clean that up.

And I -- I think the -- the government's issue was just overall governance change of the constant rotation, et cetera. And, you know, we were able to walk through some of the changes that the -- the city council hopefully will be approving next week that will clearly address some of the government's issues that they have. So hoping to get the internal control and -and government's issue related to the -- the whole ITN process, you know, behind us. And so those are -- those Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

117

1 are two conversations I had with them about the -- about 2 the LTN.

3 I think the third one was -- one of the 4 agencies, interestingly enough, and then was the one agency that did not downgrade JEA, they maintained their 5 6 ratings through the entire process, basically, said that 7 they -- they thought that, you know, they were there for 8 the bondholders and, you know, they didn't think that --9 they didn't think, quite frankly, that any of the noise 10 generated through the ITN process was going to put any 11 of the bondholders at risk and, therefore, they held 12 their position and they're still in that position now 13 they're come out of that -- out of that tunnel as well.

They thought that -- they thought that it was either, A, going to be a lot of noise and -- and nothing was going to happen and end up in the same position; or, B, even from their perspective, could be some type of liquidation or -- or sell property, the sufficient proceeds there and coverage for the bondholder to be paid out and for the -- for the credit issue.

Q Okay.

22 Α That was the -- yeah.

There's a lot to unpack there, but I just have

24 -- I want to pick and choose some of the issues you

25 talked about.

Yes. I think there were two -- there were --

1 You mentioned that as you had a conversation 2 with S&P about questions they raised that were never 3 addressed by the members of the former leadership team. 4 I think you're referring to an October 11th, 2019, 5 e-mail from Jeff Panger where he identifies nine issues 6 that S&P wanted answers to. And I'm paraphrasing, but 7 the -- the theme of the questions was essentially that 8 the management case or the scenario one and two 9 presentations that the senior leadership team gave to 10 the JEA board in May and June, really in July of 2019, 11 were really just orchestrated or architected to push for 12 and advocate for a sale of JEA.

And is -- is that the -- are those the questions you're referring to?

15 A Yes.

Q Okay. And if I understood you, you said youagreed with the concerns that are implied in thosequestions?

19 A Yes.

Q And -- and, in your opinion, those
presentations for scenarios one, two and three were
really designed to advocate for and influence the JEA
board into approving a JEA sale; is that accurate?
A In -- in -- in my opinion, yes. Yes.

 A In -- in -- in my opinion, yes. Yes,
 because -- and I -- and that's -- that's based mostly on Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

the fact that I -- I just didn't see any validity of --

2 in that sort of nothing or traditional response or3 whatever else. It all pointed back to the -- to the

4 sale.

1

8

24

25

Q Right. And -- and you're not the only one.
S&P agreed with you. I mean, I know -- I'm not an
expert, like you are.

A Yeah.

Q I don't have a lot of experience about what
 a -- how rating agencies address or communicate with
 utilities, but in your experience, have you ever seen

12 someone -- a representative of a rating agency send an

13 e-mail that sharply worded and critical of a senior

14 leadership team and utility?

15 A No --

16 Q One --

17 A -- not even close.

18 Q One of the other issues you talked about was
19 the Vogtle contract. The MEAG PPA, which you referred
20 to as the Vogtle contract, was an emphasis, a big point
21 of emphasis for Aaron Zahn in trying to resolve it in

the lead-up to the July 23rd, 2019, board meeting.In your opinion, was the senior leadership team

trying to resolve the MEAG PPA to improve JEA's balance

sheet and make its purchase price higher?

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

in my opinion, there were two -- two reasons for thatfocus. One is, as you stated, if you could gain clarity

4 on the PPA, clarity or -- or some level of certainty on

the PPA, as we talked earlier, it would reduce the --the negative mark, if you will, the -- the negative

7 impact of selling at that point in time, the contract

8 might have on the -- on the -- on the sale

9 price.

1

118

119

And I think secondarily, it was -- it was used as a -- as a basis for and probably it sort of developed in some of the cases, but first for the bottom of it, that they used for the basis for blaming JEA's incompetence of inability to -- et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, that they have to sell to get the proceeds in order to pay for the horrible contract,

17 et cetera. In essence, that -- that kicked around a
18 good bit as well.
19 And so it was -- it was always -- it was always

And so it was -- it was always -- it was always
coming -- coming from, I would say, from -- from the
mayor's office, in particular, from staff in particular.
There's opp- -- as an opportunity to -- to craft a -arguments against JEA, against JEA management and particular.

arguments against JEA, against JEA management and prosale.

121

25 Q Just to make sure, you broke up during that Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 answer and I want to make sure we get it on the record,

2 but did you say that, in your opinion, or, to your

3 knowledge, that that negative narrative about the MEAG

4 PPA being a basis for selling JEA was coming from the

5 mayor's office or his staff?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you know who was spreading that narrative in 8 the mayor's office?

9 A Mr. Hughes.

10 Q What is the basis for that opinion?

11 A I -- I was approached back in February. And --

12 and there were previous conversations before -- before I

13 left that were to -- to Vogtle demonstrating in

14 confidence, but even as -- as late as -- as February of

15 this year, when I was just a private citizen, was

16 contacted by a member of the media who was chasing down

17 a story provided to him by -- by Mr. Hughes, that the --

18 the whole genesis of the sale was -- was my design to --

19 to cover up from Plant Vogtle. And I've been -- and he

20 had a document to prove it.

21

22

23

24

25

And so I reluctantly met with the member of the media, who had furnished a copy of the -- the document, which was a -- which was a 2010 document that I had presented to the senior team at the time. And at that point in time, we were -- we were stressed out on debt.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 We had a 92 percent -- 92 percent debt to asset ratio, 2 the highest in the industry and that we could -- that I 3 had come off a decade of issuing debt way -- way too 4 much for our capacity and needed to change our ways and

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that was the pitch.

We had to convert -- we had planned to raise -raise rates, et cetera, but we had to start, you know, suspending capital on a paid/owe basis, out of current period revenues. We could not issue debt promptly, given that there was a complete and total disclosure of the obligation of -- of Plant Vogtle and that how it was planning -- it was come into, you know, our operation, our cash flows. And at the time, it was 2016 and '17 and years thereafter.

15 So it was -- there was nothing under the 16 complete disclosure that -- I spent about a half hour 17 with the media person and we had conversation and --18 and, you know, I never heard another thing about it. 19 But the fact that he ran around with a document from 20 2010 of which I -- I couldn't -- I didn't remember, A; 21 and, B, I couldn't have found in that building, but 22 ended up being a -- you know, a decade later, by a 23 representative of the mayor's office to produce to the 24 media to try to blame -- to try to claim essentially this whole debt issue and Vogtle being the reason why

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

123

JEA has to be sold and just -- it -- it was a bizarre argument at best. It's sort of a -- an ambiance that someone would have taken the time the scrub documents in such depth trying to seek a narrative, I found shocking, you know.

And I may have gotten lost in your answer, but you say "scrub the documents," can you tell me what you meant by that?

Α Well, I -- I'll just say, I don't know how they found that document. So I don't know how you find that document ten years later. I -- I -- it was an internal management -- it wasn't, like, a board document. It was a staff meeting document of -- of a -- a team. And not to -- you know, again, not to say that it -- it probably -- it was somewhere in the building, but I couldn't put my hands on it and I don't -- I don't know that even a scrub going back a decade or so on an internal document like that from a presentation could be taken totally out of context.

You've got to be looking really hard to find a document that's ten years old buried in this -- in the JEA system to fit -- to fit a narrative. So somebody -somebody put some work into it.

You said that this issue happened in February, but did you mean February of 2018?

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

No, I mean February 2020.

MR. RUSSELL: This year?

THE WITNESS: This year. Before I took this

4 job. Before I came back actually, I had an issue. 5

BY MR. BLODGETT:

6 When did you first hear that the narrative or 7 the idea that the MEAG PPA would negatively impact JEA's 8 financial so significantly that it should consider 9 privatization?

As a matter of incompetence, it was -- it was probably, you know, in early '18 when I was -- when I was still there and things were getting a little heated between the administration and JEA. When -- when I heard it, you know, as a matter of financial issues and debt, it was sort of -- really the narrative was this -this past February.

MR. BLODGETT: Okay. And I've kind of gotten off track and I apologize for that. But, I guess, first, do you need a break? We've been going at it for about two hours. If not, we can keep going, but I don't want to make you uncomfortable.

THE WITNESS: I'm good.

MR. RUSSELL: I could use a break, about five minutes, please.

25 MR. BLODGETT: Sorry, Paul. Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

(Recess taken.)

BY MR. BLODGETT: 2

3 Paul, did you ever talk with Holland & Knight 4 or JEA's other attorneys who are handling the MEAG PPA 5 litigation and discuss the -- the negative narrative 6 that we've been talking about, that, you know, the MEAG 7 PPA was inhibiting JEA's financials and, therefore, a 8 basis to privatize?

No, not a basis to -- no, not a basis to privatize, but we did have conversations about, you know, if -- if -- if privatization occurred, you know, I mean, it would be -- it would be that there's a negative carry to it in terms of -- of, you know, a negative mark.

And it gets back to what we said earlier, I mean, given the absolute current market conditions at that point in time, in 2018 and into '19, you know, the -- the projected cost of -- of power versus -versus natural gas, that the -- the -- the balcony CO2 attributes, which no one was going to price in because of the uncertainty, there was a negative mark or would be a negative valuation on that contract.

And so, yeah, we talked about that. We talked about how the -- how to -- how to work with MEAG in terms of just it was more of a business of how to -- how Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 to structure and mitigate, you know, future cost

2 increases and -- and plan around it, accordingly, but

3 never -- never once did we enter into a conversation,

4 have a conversation about, oh, because of MEAG --

5 because of MEAG we had to sell the company or is a

reason to sell the company. Just didn't happen.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

8

9

19

20

25

This conversation we're having is reminding me of, I think, the first document I reviewed when -- when I got involved in this investigation and it was a 2017 annual report. And emblazoned on the cover or insert to that report was the concept of resilience, that JEA is a resilient company. And JEA was confronted with these disastrous parade of horribles, MEAG issues, where, you know, Westinghouse went bankrupt and a bunch of stuff happened that no one foresaw.

And you and someone said Mr. Zahn were able to overcome that issue. I mean, you -- you settled the MEAG litigation and it put in position the JEA to do better in the future. You helped JEA adapt.

Can you -- so can you just kind of explain to me, just very generally, because I know it's complicated, but the MEAG settlement agreement and then how that's going to help JEA, I guess, succeed in the future financially?

Α Well, there's two or three -- there's --Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

127

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there's two or three components of the settlement. The 2 one -- one we have -- JEA has an option, right of first

3 refusal for -- to continue, if you will, the -- the

4 initial period through a life of plant. So we've got 5

200 megawatts for 20 years, the plant itself will run

6 for potentially 40 years after that.

And to the extent that the cities, you know, don't take their nominated portion of the 200 megawatts, then we'll have the option to -- to pick that up.

10 And -- and, you know, in an uncertain world, with

11

climate change, you know, to requirements and reductions

12 necessary due to climate change, I don't know how to

13 value that today, but I -- I just think it's more

14 valuable today than it was yesterday and I think it's

15 going to be more valuable tomorrow than it is today.

16 And certainly, the trend in which we're going, it's

17 going to be -- it's going to be extraordinarily valuable

18 for the community as -- as we go forward.

Secondly, its base load and -- and that's better than all of the renewables combined. Solar is

21 not, it's inter- -- intermediary, you know. The wind is 22 the same. It's -- it varies with the winds so you

23 can't -- you can't count on its base load. Its base

24 load, zero part.

So I -- I think from that perspective, we have Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 an opportunity, you know, beyond the 20 years. We --

2 we -- we stopped the fighting between ourselves. And

3 let's be clear, this was not a -- this was not

between -- between MEAG and JEA. This was between JEA

5 and MEAG and Southern Company and Vogtle Corp and

6 essentially the State of Georgia.

7 And, quite frankly, what's missed here, it's 8 not only that, it's the entire electric industry because 9 one of the -- one of the ideas that JEA floated and had 10 a hearing at FRCC, not FRC- -- but at FERC, at the 11 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, had utilities from 12 all over the country filing things in court on behalf of 13 MEAG and the -- and the Plant -- Plant Vogtle. And 14 really sort of -- sort of got out of that in terms of 15 our reputation.

So we are -- we have a reputational issue with these major players in the industry and -- and so we need, as a corroborative, with MEAG. We -- we agreed -we stopped agreeing in terms of the ongoing litigation, which was -- which was going to approach 5 to \$6 million a year. We -- we stopped additional litigation from being filed and -- and that was -- and I have no reason to believe, given some of the discussions that we've had, incompetence with MEAG that there was -- there was additional litigation and -- and lawsuits were eminent,

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

129

1 that they've sensed from where their position was. And

2 so we had ongoing costs and then we had damages. And we

3 did the numbers in terms of looking at the damages, in

4 terms of potentially if we lost and -- and we were

5 awarded damages for higher interest cost on the other

6 side, it could have been -- it could have been 50 to \$75

7 million. I believe that had prevent -- that could have

8 been zero as well.

But to take all of those risks off the table to stop the cost from -- from continuing to -- to escalate and put this behind us, we -- we -- we agreed to pay an additional 50 cents per megawatt hour. And embedded in the contract is a per megawatt hour administrative charge, starts at 50 cents in year one and it escalates up to \$3 -- \$3 and some cents in the -you know, buck here, 20. So the top benefit, 50 cents a megawatt hour.

All in all, net -- net -- with the net present value with that, I think it was probably actual cash flows, probably about a \$4 million cost to JEA, but it took all the other risks off the table. And I -- I just think that -- and gave us the -- you -- you can't value the back end here, too. You may have ongoing nuclear for up to 80 years total.

So all in all, I think the board's perspective, Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

133

certainly the rating agency's perspective, the industry's perspective on this, the city council's perspective was positive and we were able to put this behind us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

5

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I -- I just think that, you know, when you get into these massive litigations, it just distracts the team. It distracts the team in terms of discovery, in terms of the operations and our -- our now partners at MEAG. I mean, when you evaluate where they stood and where we stood, in my opinion, they had a better hand than -- than we had. And so it really was not a -- it was not a reason for them to seek settlement.

And then my final point on that one is that, oh, by the way, we were paying our costs, but MEAG is a state act -- agency and we generally charge their projects, respective projects, for costs incurred. And so it was a good likelihood we're going to see the half of MEAG's expenses come back to us in the form of power costs through the MEAG project.

So all I got was that's a -- I probably went a little too long there, but it just seemed to make sense for me -- from an economic, from a risk management standpoint, from a value in the future standpoint, you know, we bring this -- this global settlement together, get our board to sign off on it and city council and

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

131

then ultimately the -- the mayor -- the mayor's team signed off on it.

3 Did you agree with the decision to sue MEAG and 4 initiate that lawsuit?

> Α No, that was Zahn.

6 Q Right, so what my --

> All of those -- all of them -- yeah, all of those issues that the lawsuit was based upon, I was aware of at the time back in, actually, February and I -- I was -- was not in favor of going forward with the

10 11 lawsuit and -- and end it. I mean, we decided not to.

12 And so the lawsuit filing, you know, that was September

13 of -- of '18, after I had left and -- and things had

gotten pretty rocky between MEAG and -- and because of semantics.

And so, I guess, both parties filed a lawsuit within 24 hours of one another and you're off to the races. But, no, it made no sense to me because I just don't feel that the -- the contract was -- was -- I thought the contract was -- was valid, number one; and, number two, the -- the hurdle to -- I mean, it was a one in a million chance to -- to possibly prevail with that and you had the whole industry against you. So doesn't make any sense.

> We had gone to the Federal Energy Regulatory Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 Commission and, interestingly enough, there and probably 2 the same thing they went in with -- with the filing was 3 that they -- the FR- -- FERC agreed that they -- they probably had and could have served jurisdiction over the 5 contract. And -- and so with -- but -- it was a big 6 but, they had no jurisdiction over the project.

And so while they may -- they may bind the contract as X, they cannot compel or could not compel either party to -- to perform or to adjust. And so, to me, it seems silly to go down that path when you would potentially have -- well, you do a lot of damage to your reputation to begin with, but at the end of the day, you -- you have an essentially judgment that you couldn't close on. There's nothing there.

And that was from -- when we -- we got three of the -- three of the five commissioners' staffs and -- and had the legal historian for the one meeting, that was a conclusion independently of all four parties we spoke to. So, to me, the -- the risk there was -was silly.

You said that there's a one in a million chance of JEA prevailing in that lawsuit and that the lawsuit made no sense to you, but wouldn't the lawsuit make sense if your goal is to sell JEA and you want to get that MEAG PPA liability off your balance sheet? I mean, Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

are you aware of any other way to accomplish that goal, 2 other than prevailing in a lawsuit?

3 Well, I -- I guess I'm saying -- I mean, I 4 guess that's a good -- good observation, in terms of 5 we're going to -- we're going to take a one in a million 6 shot here and if it -- if it works out in our favor, 7 then it can help us sell the company or something. But 8 I think the reality there, in terms of -- in terms of 9 achieving success on -- on that litigation, which was 10 going to be -- it was going to be protracted. It wasn't 11 going to happen in any time frame that any -- any sale 12 was contemplated, quite frankly. So in -- in a way,

13 it -- it probably backfired on them and made them --

14 made it even more complex.

You know, the -- the case law in terms of --

16 MR. RUSSELL: Can I --

17 THE WITNESS: Go -- go ahead. 18

MR. RUSSELL: I was going to ask you, it sounds like, from you saying, what -- the path you chose was the one that could have actually benefited the sale, it was resolved, it was quantified, it wasn't the problem it seemed to be, so you're right, it truly backfired on them, filing the lawsuit.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes. Now, the one -- the one thing I didn't understand, too, and I'll go Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

back, you know, the risk deal was -- I still don't have a reason why -- why the City joined the lawsuit. I was never able to get a clear answer on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You know, I could understand the insanity of JEA filing a lawsuit and what was going on, but I never really understand why the -- why the City joined in the -- in the lawsuit as well, which certainly complicated the settlement, I can tell you that.

But, guys, that's just -- that's just the side part that I -- it made no sense to me. It made no sense to me at the time, it made no sense to me when they filed and -- and the antics used by JEA in terms of its -- its PR campaign towards the -- the City, the MEAG cities, the mayors of the individual small towns, the -- the billboards, buying billboard spaces outside of the city halls and outside of Southern Company, trying to hold a press conference -- holding a media conference on a sidewalk in front of Southern Company, et cetera, I -- I just got to tell you, we're -- we're going to work with those folks for 20 years, we've worked with MEAG for 40 years, it's been a good partnership, we've saved a lot of money.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

30 plus percent of all power ever burned since 1982 in -- in Jacksonville comes from Georgia. You know, it just -- it was -- it's just -- and it had -- you know, sort of zero -- in my opinion, I'll say one in a million, never say zero chance, but one in a million chance of prevailing.

The -- the -- the cards were stacked in terms of precedent, in terms of -- in terms of bond validation, in terms of ten years of -- of, you know, applying to -- to the contract. It -- it just -- it just didn't seem like it was going to happen.

Now, there -- there was some school of thought that said that that would push MEAG into the position of wanting to negotiate with us. No. You had to put yourself in MEAG's position and say why? They -- they -- they aren't going to -- anything that they had to do, if you looked at -- if you looked at the total cost of the contract, power is expensive. It's a big contract.

And so giving any pushing that up, they were willing to do the math on -- on the legal expenses, especially when you had the chance of charging back half of their expenses to JEA.

BY MR. BLODGETT:

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q So that's all really good context to kind of
 get back and I promise these are going to be more
 focused questions. We're going to try to get you done
 with this as quickly as possible.

But I would like to look back at Exhibit 33,
which is the scenario one presentation. You just let me
know when you have that up on your computer screen.

A 33? Exhibit 33?

Q Right. It's -- the cover page, it's the frog

10 in the frying pan.11 A Yeah.

8

9

14

135

12 Q If you look at -- and this is --

13 A Scenario one.

Q Right. So this is the scenario one

15 presentation, establishing a baseline, colon, status

16 quo. And if you go down to page 17, it's a revised

17 version of a graph that you actually gave at the March

18 20th, 2018, privatization workshop -- or I should say in

19 a -- it's a revised version of a graph in a presentation

20 that you gave at the March 20th, 2018, privatization

21 workshop.

22 And this version of the graph, unlike yours, it 23 omits the 2017 ten-year site plan data, which showed a 24 projected increase in electric sales over the next ten 25 years.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 There's been a lot of talk about this in the

2 media, but, in your opinion, do you believe the ten-year

3 site plan data was material information that should have

4 been given to the JEA board before the JEA board decided

5 to privatize JEA or authorized privatization of JEA in

6 July 2019?

8

25

7 A It -- absolutely.

Q Can you just --

9 A Absolutely.

10 Q Can you kind of just explain why?

11 A You look into the rearview mirror. Well, this

12 is the rearview mirror. I mean, you don't -- you

13 don't -- you dispose of an asset based upon what

14 happened. I mean, it's really what's the prospect for

15 the asset. And -- and absent a future view here of --

16 of this -- of this trend -- I mean, if this trend were

17 to continue along for the next decade, your forecast was

18 saying, okay, for the next decade, this trend line of

19 red is going to continue, then that's one thing, but the

20 reality is it has stabilized. The ten-year site plan

21 shows a -- a modest growth rate. We continue to connect

22 customers.

23 And -- and the -- the reality is, I think if24 you talk with -- with -- with anyone in the industry and

anyone that's associated with energy, we've just --

1 we've just begun the electrification of our economy. I

2 mean, the -- the whole transportation sector is going to

3 move now. I'm not going to say when, but I'm -- I would

4 say will -- will move towards, you know, the electric --

electrification. And -- and I think that you're

6 ultimately seeing electrification move towards heating

in other parts of the country, et cetera, the way we

8 have it here.

So I -- I think there's great opportunity here, maybe not in the next couple of years, but when you start to look out, in this case, that you see that curve maybe never getting up to the dark blue or the light blue line in terms of where we had this -- this 3 percent compounded growth rate, but -- but the --

the -- the reality is, I think, that you've got to go

ahead and look prospectively.

And then -- and then in this scenario, it's just good business to look at a -- you know, a -- a -- a best, good and worst case for your policy makers to -- to, you know, make policy -- policy decisions.

And that's just -- you know, that's -- reporting what -- what happened, I mean, that's -- that's clerical. I mean, making a decision to sell, certainly with this magnitude, is strategic and impacts the lives of everyone in the community. You're --

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

you're going to be looking forward, seeing -- seeing what are the opportunities that we're giving up and -- and really what are the risks that we have to -- we have to work to mitigate.

And you indicated that JEA sales may get close to, but not necessarily match the blue line that's the top blue line on the graph, on page 17, it looks almost like exponential growth. And if I understood you, you seem to think that JEA's electrification opportunities or future opportunities may be the primary driver in that sales growth, is that accurate, that you think electrification is that big of a potential boom for JEA?

A I -- I think -- I think it -- it is. It may not catch it or get to that level, but, I think, yeah, I think it's huge. And I -- I just think that when you look at the amount of energy right now, considering it's a means -- means of transportation on value, I mean, you've got -- I mean, really 100,000 vehicles in town day in and day out, moving around.

You look at every gas station, you think of all those molecules of energy that we -- we put through wires now and batteries. And it's -- it's going to be -- it's going to be enormous.

And that's -- I -- I don't see any of that here Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 in terms of the -- in terms of the future, but -- and2 opportunities.

Q Are JEA's electrification initiatives one of the groups of initiatives you feel like were being neglected or not developed adequately in your absence in 2019?

A good question, the answer is yes. And we're -- and we've -- in this budget, we, I think, quadrupled the -- the amount that we expect from electrification with appropriate incentives, with the --to be paid back through higher sales in the future. So I -- yes, by not taking the time to -- to look at the work that continues to be done and incorporate that into -- into the budget last year and the year before, I think we lost opportunity.

Q Have you seen the April 2019 electrification presentation that ICF, the consultant, prepared for JEA?

19 A I saw parts -- parts of it. It was
20 incorporated in a presentation and probably updated from
21 what I saw mid year this year.

Q Do you know if the Zahn administration
implemented any of those electrification
recommendations?

A I -- I do not believe so. I believe the Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

electrification program that we had this year finished
 out this -- this past year, 2020, was the same program

3 that was in place in 2018.

4 Q I just -- I just kind of want to summarize.

But, you know, you have Mr. Zahn pushing the doom andgloom narrative to the JEA board, saying that JEA is --

7 its sales are going to precipitously decline for the

8 foreseeable future if JEA remains a municipal entity,

9 but then you've identified for us today the demand rate

10 electrification and some other initiatives that you

11 believe were just not promoted and not developed

12 adequately.

Did you ever discuss that with anyone, whythose programs that had tremendous potential for JEAwere not developed?

A No, I -- I think it -- they were all gone and so what we did, we picked up -- we picked up the plan for electrification, we pushed that. We -- we -- we updated -- we took the study that you had mentioned, incorporated that with the work that the team had done and got that approved, so we're going to step up and the team is going to go forward and it's in the process of implementing that and growing -- growing electrification.

25 Demand, we spent a lot of time on learning Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

12

22

23

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

value.

145

and -- and studying and understanding where we were with respect to this large system upgrade and made a hard decision there, but that'll be back again probably in another 12 months in the rebranded form.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And in terms of just -- you know, the longer term -- the longer term electrification, that was just part of the planning and -- and pushing with system planning and making sure the distribution grid is -- we're investing in that to ensure that we can -- we can serve the -- you know, the demand that, I believe, in terms of the electrification of transportation industry is going to -- going to put on the system in the future.

And as well as, you know, making sure you -you are going to have some additional solar in groves
and operating efficiency. So -- so, yeah, I -- I -I -- I think the organization's far more focused on
opportunities that -- that sat in the bottom drawer for
several years.

Q It seems pretty common sense to try to implement those programs if you were trying to help JEA succeed.

In your opinion, do you believe the senior leadership team, under Aaron Zahn, intentionally neglected those initiatives in order to bolster the

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

143

doom-and-gloom narrative they were pushing?

A You know, I -- I -- what -- what I -- so my opinion would be that whether they intentionally ignored it or not, I guess I can't really opine on that. The reality is they did. And so I know they spent an inordinate amount of time on -- on the sale process that

7 incorporated, you know, a lot of time from everybody on8 the senior team and a lot of staff time down in the

9 organization in terms of number of run and projections10 and -- and -- and updates and refinements and doing

11 things over and over again.

And so -- so I know there was a lot of time in -- in focus of all -- all the time of focus was on that. Certainly -- certainly in the -- the early part of '19 on. And -- and so the other things just weren't -- weren't in focus and weren't -- weren't a priority and weren't being worked on.

Q They weren't a priority because energy and -- was being focused on the sale of JEA, correct?

A Yes, yes. Absolutely.

Q So looking back on page 17, the first bullet point under the -- on the right-hand side of the page, under energy efficiency impact, it says, Efficiency accounts for greater than 90 percent of reduction in electric sales and it's referring to that 2007, 2017

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 period in a graph on page 17.

Do you agree with that assessment?

3 I -- you know, if we lost a customer in there in terms of, you know, Fernandina, which is part of the 5 reduction, so the 90 percent, no, I -- and I don't -- I 6 really don't know how -- I really don't know how you 7 quantify -- how they would have quantified how much was 8 efficiency and how much -- you know, and what the 9 definition of efficiency is. So I -- yeah, I would -- I 10 would challenge that. I would absolutely challenge 11 that, not -- not agree with that on the -- on the face

13 But first in the number, in terms of the 14 percentage, I know when we lost Fernandina, that was in 15 2010 or something there and -- and then we had some 16 other stuff that came on board to offset that. And then 17 just -- just the fact that this reduction here, not 18 accounting for all of the -- you know, the positives, 19 too. So it didn't just stay static and drop, I mean, we 20 had a bunch of accounts that were added as well. 21

It's a -- you know, I -- you know, I -- I would challenge the 90 percent. I don't know how they would have gotten to that number to begin with.

24 Q So if you look for the source of that claim --

25 A I believe --

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q Oh, go ahead.

2 A Yeah. You know, I would say that, you know, it

3 took -- you know, the intuitive nature is that energy

4 efficiency, you know, did -- did lower electric system

5 sales nationwide. And I -- and I think that --

6 that's -- that statement is -- is accurate. I mean,

7 I -- I -- I think really within the -- within the

8 household structure, between new and old housing, new

9 stock, I mean, it's substantially more efficient in

10 terms of HVAC and, you know, reduction now of LED

11 lighting and has been CFL bulb, you know, substantially

12 less, electronics are -- you know, demand less.

So I -- I think the -- the efficiency, whether it was -- it was efficiency actions taken by consumers or the marketplace efficiency of utilizing energy or government mandated energy efficiency for refrigeration, for example, I think there is a fair statement there in terms of energy efficiency has -- has reduced electric

system sales during this ten-year period.
With that said, I'm going to say in the prior
ten-year period energy efficiency reduced sales as well.
Okay. Because there were activities that people were
focusing in on energy becoming more efficient in
trend -- in -- in transition of HVAC and in other
utilization of power, as -- as people were becoming more

H I : 10 A : 1 B

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

149

focused on cost and building management, et cetera. So I don't know what that -- I don't know what that is in terms of seeing that prior -- the ten years prior to that. Was there an equal amount of energy efficiency sales lost in the previous ten years, but growth because we had a higher growth rate in terms of our economy offset that? Don't know.

So I -- I guess the -- the point is it -- it's an obvious statement that the economy has become more efficient in its utilization of energy. And whether that's, you know, electric or whether that's gasoline in our vehicles, and that's sort of a fact. The 90 percent, I don't know where you get that number. And I think that that's just sort of a -- that was a number that somebody made up, quite frankly. And, again, one of those things of saying, let's just take the worst case here, let's not just say we have growth in other

parts that have offset this. Did you ever discuss the scenario one presentation, really, like, any projection in this document with Melissa Dykes or Ryan Wannemacher?

22 Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

5

14

16

17

18

19

23 Q Okay. How about Joe Orfano or anyone within 24 the financial department of JEA?

25 Α No.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

147

Do you believe that energy efficiency is going to continue eroding JEA sales for the next ten years?

4 Δ No.

> Q Can you just explain why?

6 Α I -- I think there's a plateau. Yeah. Yeah, 7 and I -- you know what? I always felt that, you know,

8 while I hate the phrase, you know, the low-hanging

9 fruit, so -- so we, as a -- a country, as a

10 community and a state -- I mean, you know, taking the --

11 the easy energy efficiency, the light bulbs. I mean,

12 it's not too difficult in terms of the next time you buy

13 a package of bulbs, you buy a different package and

they're more energy efficient. The next generation of

15 refrigeration, more energy efficient.

> So I -- I -- I always felt that there was going to be a plateau where, okay, where -- where is the next increment going to come from? And it gets more and more difficult as you squeeze efficiency tighter and tighter.

20 And so I -- you know -- you know, sometimes it's

21 difficult to think about that in terms of the -- the

22 household consumption of power, but, you know, when you

23 think in terms of the -- when you think in terms of cost

24 of gasoline per mile, engines in cars, I mean, you know,

25 there's a pretty linear line from eight miles per gallon

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 to, you know, 22 miles per gallon. But now you get 2 these -- these to build that next, okay, 14, 15 miles 3 per gallon more, life changes a whole bunch.

And so it's not only just -- not only just efficiency, it's conservation. It's a lighter vehicle and vehicle doesn't ride as well and people don't like that, but I think it just becomes more challenging.

And so I always felt and -- and I think we're seeing that, because these trends are not unique to JEA, you've got a plateauing effect here. And I think the next increment of -- of efficiency is going to be much less and -- and because it's just not that much energy to use.

14 I think the other thing that we have here and 15 that was never communicated is that the per capita 16 consumption of electricity within Jacksonville, Florida, 17 is it's higher -- I'll say the top decile in the -- in 18 the country, who are consumers for electricity. And the 19 reason -- the reason why is a voluminous --20 voluminous -- this pathway across the country where --21 where our -- our cooling and heating and, therefore, our 22 internal hot water heating center, it's -- it's all 23 electric so we use far more electricity per capita than 24 other communities. 25

If you go to the -- if you go to the north, Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 say, Ohio, Ohio doesn't use electricity to heat homes.

2 There are certainly exceptions to that, but I would

3 guess, I don't know, 90 percent are -- are from oil and

4 gas. And so they don't have electric. Electricity is

5 basically, you know, again, their light bill, lights,

6 electronics. It's not even water. Water's gas as well.

7 So -- so the efficiency here in Jacksonville, 8 Florida, may have been exaggerated, but it's still going

9 to hit that plateau.

10 I'll -- I'll stop there.

11 So that kind of leads into the next slide that

12 I want to talk about. If you -- if you look at slide

13 number 20, in Exhibit 33, it's entitled Impact to the

14 Last Decade of Business Disruption. And one of the

15 things that, actually, Lee at Nelson Mullins had talked

16 about in some of the reports is this metric rates, and

17 in the parentheses, dollars yield per megawatt hours. I

18 don't think I've ever seen that metric used, at least in

19 your administration of financial documents. Do you

believe that that's a good metric to measure the impact

21 of technology disruption or market trends on JEA's

electric system health? 22

20

25

23 No. No, I don't. I -- I think the ultimate --24 to me -- to me and I -- and I believe that any studies

done on -- on consumer understanding, on consumer

1 satisfaction, it's the total -- it's the total bill.

2 And so it's -- it's -- at the end of the day, it's the

3 cost per unit so the -- I don't the yield -- dollar per

4 yield per megawatt hour. It's really the -- it's really

5 the price per megawatt hour, what is your cost per

6 megawatt hour. So it's really your production, it's

what we -- what we did, you know, five years prior.

8 So, yeah, this was a -- I believe a contrived

9 number. And, quite frankly, I don't think that the -- I

don't think the consumer really cares whether it's based

11 leveled -- base rate or fuel rate or taxes and fees or,

12 quite frankly, when you get in other parts of the

13 country, you have transmission rates, you have energy

14 rates, you have transfer rates, you have generation

15 rates, you know. What -- we've got a little place up

16 north and when it's used, the -- the line on it --

17 there's nine line items, you know, on the electric bill,

18 you know.

7

10

19

1

2

3

4

5

20

23

So I -- I -- the consumers -- there's --

20 there's just no way to measure as far as I'm concerned.

21 And it -- and it really doesn't -- you know, when you

22 look at the -- really it's misleading to even start in

23 2006 because it -- it ignores the fact that 15 years

24 prior to that there was no price adjustment. Such

that -- the utility put on -- put on \$4 billion in debt

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

151

150

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and so it -- it's extraordinarily misleading that -- for me to say statistically.

I think you -- the way you've characterized it aptly in your previous statement is that this is kind of a worst case scenario look at JEA, is that your feeling

6 about this slide and the use of this metric?

7 Α Absolutely.

8 a The next slide in this excerpt from -- that's

9 Exhibit 33, it's entitled, By 2030, JEA's Customers May

10 Likely Increase 16 Percent and Energy Sales May Likely

11 Fall 8 Percent. And we've talked about the impacts --

12 or your belief on how energy efficiency is going to

13 affect JEA's future electric sales moving forward, but

14 I'd like to hear about how you think distributed power

15 is going to affect JEA's electric sales because this --

16 this graph indicates that JEA's senior leadership team

17 and McKinsey did analyses that estimated distributed

18 power's going to cause JEA's electric system sales to

19 decrease 8 percent by 2030.

> Do you -- do you think that that's a reasonable estimate or how would your estimate differ?

21 22 I think it's -- that's aggressive. And it

ignores the -- it ignores the response that -- that JEA

24 can be -- can be far more, multiple times more efficient

25 than -- and cost effective than an individual

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 installation for sewer.

2 And so I -- you know, we -- and this is just a 3 structure issue. We get can get a 50 megawatt farm and -- and reduce power at three cents. You know, a 5 consumer with a 50 kilowatt, couple of things on the 6 roof, you know, it's going to be 18 to 22 cents. And, 7 you know, I don't care how much prices fall, when you 8 have 50 megawatts versus whatever the individual, you 9 know, consumer or even business puts in place, we -- we 10 can get there more cost effectively. So it ends up 11 being a price battle.

And to the extent that we accelerate now and, unfortunately, not -- not having at least 250 megawatts installed at this point in time, hurts us from demonstrating to the community and from the environmental folks that, you know -- that -- that we're making a commitment and by staying connected to JEA, you're actually being more beneficial to the environment than you are individually. I mean, because right now it still is a disposable income. I think it'll get a little bit better price wise, but it's still going to be expensive. 23

And in the meantime, in my view, the -- the negative aspects of rooftop solar are going to come to -- come to bear and you're going to have some Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

153

1 challenges here in the real estate market, in leaky

2 roofs and customer satisfaction. Right now it's full,

3 it's great, I mean, people are hammered with -- with the

4 solar. We just have challenges within our service

territory between shade -- shade and between, you know, 5

6 55 inches of rain here that comes down in pouring

buckets. And then the -- the fact that it's -- it's

not -- it hasn't been clear in terms of the -- in terms 8

9 of real estate transfer and at the end of the day, cost

10 effectiveness. So I -- I think we're challenging --

11 energy efficiency is at 35 percent, I -- I just can't

12 even imagine what they're thinking there.

So I -- I relate it to customer growth. Energy efficiency is a stretch. I've talked to -- I've talked to consultants on both of these and the service territory is a stretch, underwhelming by 2030 in terms of transportation and especially now with the change, in terms of administration.

And this pending change, I don't want to offend anybody, pending change of administration in -- in DC, we're going to get a very aggressive EPA. We'll have new standards written probably by the end of next year and implementation the following year. We'll go through legal challenges, but I -- I think at the end of the day, we will see a big push here in terms of the second

2

5

15

2

7

11

157

1 half of this decade. Electrification's really going to

2 jump off the -- off the page.

3 So I -- yeah. I -- I think you've -- again,

4 this is -- this is -- again, I'll get back to the

5 original thing you -- you've over -- substantially

6 overestimated the negatives and under -- substantially

7 underestimated the -- the positives.

Right. And you said --

9 Α And, you know, I think all of that is -- and

10 all of that is borne out, quite frankly, in the -- in

11 the bids that people are willing to pay for JEA in terms

12 of what their proposals put forward, you know, just

13 so -- this is -- this is crazy.

14 What you're referencing there is that no one

15 would be willing to pay \$11.2 billion for a utility

16 that's in a death spiral, is -- is that what you're

17 saying?

19

22

5

8

18 That's correct. Because -- because not only

are they -- you know, JEA's in a death spiral, they're

20 in a death spiral, too.

21 Right. And just to kind of wrap up or tie in

things that you were talking about earlier, when you

23 look at this slide, it shows electric vehicles would be

24 projected to increase JEA's electric sales by 1 percent,

but electric vehicles are only one electrification

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

155

1 initiative. You believe that JEA's total opportunities

2 under all the electrification initiatives available to

3 it are much higher than 1 percent, correct?

4 Δ In -- in this time frame, absolutely.

> Q Okay. This time frame being by 2030?

6 Α Yeah.

7 Okay. And I know you don't have a crystal

8 ball, but as you're sitting here today, based on

9 everything you know about JEA, how do you think its

10 total electric sales are going to change between now and

11 2030, if at all?

12 Well, I -- I think you're going to be

13 consistent really on a ten-year plan. You're going to

14 probably see 1 percent and then it's going to accelerate

15 on the back end of the decade when you start to pick up

16 the transportation sector and other -- other -- other

17 electrification or -- for climate change, regulation or

18 rules, because the rest of the industry, the rest of the

19 economy's going to have to essentially collapse

20 around -- around electrification, as -- as a -- as the

21 means for -- and -- and the immediate means for reducing

CO2 and to apply to -- I guess, comply with your 22

23 regulations that I -- that I do believe are forthcoming

24 through the -- through the EPA, through -- through this

administration and the future administration.

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q Just to make sure I understood your --

Yeah, direct answer -- yeah, direct answer, I

3 guess would be, I think probably first -- first half of

4 the decade you're probably going to see 1 percent,

second half of the decade, I think it's going to kick up, you know. I think it -- you'll see higher growth, 6

7 couple of points per -- per year in the second half of

the decade as transportation and other electrification

8

9 take place.

10 Q Okay. Yeah, you answered my follow-up. So the 11 first half of -- of this coming decade, you think it's

12 going to be about 1 percent growth per year and then

13 after that, it's going to kick up to be a couple of

14 percentage point growth per year?

Δ Yeah.

16 Q Okay. All right. So that's all I have with

17 Exhibit 33.

18 If you look at Exhibit 34, that's an excerpt of

19 a presentation that contains what I call the unverd --

20 unblurred version of the underlying assumptions for the

21 scenario one presentation projections that we just

22 looked at. If you let me know when you have those up,

23 I'll ask you a couple questions about those.

24 Got it.

25 (McElroy's Exhibit 34 was marked for

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Okay. And you can see that on page 5 we've

1 identification.)

3 highlighted some entries and you can see that the -- the

4 underlying data or really lack thereof for the scenario

5 one projections, in some instances they were just

6 assumptions.

First of all, have you ever seen this

8 document before, this assessment or -- or chart showing

9 the assumptions that underlie the scenario one

10 projections?

> Α I have not seen this, sir.

12 Okay. And so you haven't had the opportunity

13 to analyze this very closely, but, in your opinion, just

14 kind of briefly looking at the energy efficiency and

15 distributed generation assumptions that are in this

16 chart, can you kind of tell me what you think about the

17 validity of some of these assumptions or the ones that

18 you think are kind of questionable?

19 Yeah. I think the -- I do think the

20 residential solar cost is pretty darn aggressive at a

21 buck 17. High storage is pretty exceptional in terms of

22 50 percent reduction. The -- I think we look down, I

23 can't tell -- develop a -- 9 percent, I'm not sure what

24 we're trying to get there. The parity -- I think those, 25 in terms of distributed -- this 12 distributed adoption

23

24

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2020s.

rates, that -- that's sort of high and they're adding in new -- I still think that this is -- it's -- again, it's worst case and assumes that there's no -- no strategy from the -- from the utilities offset this -- this adoption. And by that I mean, we can offer equal to a better pricing. People don't want to take on additional complexity, managing their own grid is -- is more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

complex than not. Adoption rate, DG solar, I think that's high, the DG, the distributed generation, 475, I guess that's -- that's non-solar. I'm not even sure what that would be in our certain territory, again. A lot of the distributed generation, when we talk about that, is -is areas that have poor performance, where they've got congestion and we don't have any of that. So if you're in the middle of Ohio, it might make sense, you have transition congestion, you can't get your power, there's pricing on a per hour basis, you know, the distributed generation, non-solar. The reliability's an issue. DBs -- consumption per DB. Is that going down? DG -- I -- I think by the end of the decade, that's --

23 years, I -- I -- I -- 2025's going to be a big -- right, 24 General Motors have got a plant -- a plant for, like, \$18 billion investment and that includes sort of a Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

that's light. It's 30,000. I think in the last five

159

battery they've got that is half the weight and double the capacity of what we're seeing now in Tesla and so it's -- it's just going to be the answer for -- for -anyway. I just think that these -- these numbers are understated again, the positives, so it would naturally be understated.

And then you spoke a lot about the distributed generation assumptions.

Do you have any opinions about the energy efficiency assumptions above the distributed generation assumptions?

Α Yeah. I'm just that -- energy efficient KWH per customer. Yeah, I don't -- I mean, in terms of the -- if that's what I think it is, in terms of 12,500, that's pretty high to begin with. I don't even think it's that -- at that level. 2019.

Anyway, that's a -- I think it -- I think, again, you know, that's going to get offset by the average -- there's mention customers are going to be traveling in their car, you know, just -- I don't -- I think energy efficiency is overstated in the fact that it's probably over -- overstated here. We're going to hit the plateau in terms of the role of the appliances is going to -- basically, pretty much the entire housing stock has been replaced, all lighting has been, HVAC is

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 one of the good, while it's becoming more efficient 2 going from, you know, the 12 seer to 16, still hasn't 3 jumped too much beyond that. Yeah, I -- I think they're probably a little aggressive.

5 So Steve McInall and Karen Anders told us that 6 they believed McKinsey and the senior leadership team 7 adopted overly aggressive assumptions in terms of when 8 grid parity would occur. I think they said it would

9 have -- it was projected to occur --

10 Α Yeah. 11 Q -- under the doom-and-gloom scenarios in mid

13 In your opinion, will grid parity occur and, if 14 so, when in Jacksonville's service territory?

15 Well, I've heard with that and I agree with 16 the -- the grid parity was way too early and I think 17 that -- I'm not even sure you get there because what 18 that does is say that -- what that does is say that --19 that residential and comm- -- and commercial pricing for 20 the infrastructure continues to drop and the utilities 21 does nothing and keeps its cost growing. 22

And so, yeah, if you static and probably inflate the utilities cost going out over a decade and then you reduce the consumers, you know, cost to generate by some percentage, then, yeah, you're going to Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

161

1 get parity a lot sooner. But I -- I think if you look 2 back at -- at the cost of responses from JEA's

3 perspective in terms of managing costs, I mean, that's

4 part of the equation. That'll lower your cost to -- to

5 meet -- to meet the prices there, but a lower -- lower

priced PV on the -- on the roof, panel on the roof,

7 is -- is substantially lower priced for the utility and

8 the utility scale. So, I mean, the panel may drop maybe

9 \$2, it may be \$1, I mean, for any utility, it's \$1.25

10 and it's going to drop at, you know, 62 cents. I mean,

11 so where's the -- where's the balance here in parity?

So the parity, I think, is absolutely overstated. I guess it's basically just taking the cost -- the anticipated cost curves, best case cost curves and -- and have held the utility cost comp or inflated the utility cost to get parity.

I -- I -- I don't know that it really does occur. You know, part of that is -- is pricing too. The -- the utility continues to provide, you know, the net metering concept of -- of retail rate. We don't do that here. We changed that policy. If we can hold that policy, then, you know, I don't see solar, you know, reaching parity because it's at the price that we pay for solar, the customer gets the -- the rebate.

25 It all depends upon your strategy. So I Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

165

- 1 don't -- you know, it's a decade away at -- at best, who
- 2 knows after that, but there are competitive moves
- 3 that -- and adjustments that the utility can make in
- 4 terms of its pricing and -- and bid structure and -- and
- bids investment and managing its cost essentially to becompetitive.
 - **Q** Did you ever discuss the doom-and-gloom
- 8 financial projections that McKinsey helped work on with
- 9 McKinsey?

7

- **10 A** No. No.
- 11 Q So those are all the questions I have for
- **12** Exhibit 34.
- 13 If you pull up Exhibit 35 and let me know when
- 14 you're ready, I'll -- I just have a couple questions
- **15** about this exhibit.
- **16 A** Okay. 35, we're up.
- 17 (McElroy's Exhibit 35 was marked for
- **18** identification.)
- 19 Q Yeah. So this is an excerpt from the scenario
- 20 to traditional utility response presentation from the
- 21 June 2019 -- actually, it's from the July 2019 board
- 22 meeting. And I'd like to look at slide number 49. It
- 23 says, Revenue Initiatives Developed to Date Provide
- 24 30 -- 389 Million Additional Revenue By 2030.
- 25 A Okay.

1

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

- And then it -- according to this, the JEA
- 2 senior leadership team only looked at four initiatives
- 3 as part of this analysis in terms of what revenue
- 4 potentials -- potential initiatives were available to
- 5 JEA as of 20 -- July 2019. And it was expand
- **6** electrification, real estate optimization, retail market
- 7 place, residential solar application fee.
- 8 Other than those four opportunities, are you
- **9** aware of any other significant revenue-generating
- 10 opportunities currently available to JEA?
- **11 A** Well, I think fiber still is a -- is a business
- 12 opportunity and cell towers is an opportunity. I -- I
- 13 think there are -- are certain aspects of natural gas,
- 14 you know, in the short-term or on the industrial level
- 15 that present an opportunity. I think in -- in water
- 16 services, typically, there are some -- some
- 17 opportunities there for -- for servicing and
- **18** providing -- providing real -- real value to -- to the
- 19 customers in terms of you -- you may reduce the amount
- 20 of -- of water sold, but we can -- we can potentially
- 21 balance that with other services for -- whether it's
- 22 landscaping, a beta plant to reduce the consumption
- 23 of -- of water that postpones the massive capital
- 24 investment necessary to -- to increase the supply of
- 25 water, so it's very transient.
 - Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

- There's a -- there's a whole significant
- 2 business case here that -- that reads something, like,
- 3 transition with the water business from a -- from a
- 4 supply side to a demand side business. And there's --
- **5** there's tens of millions of dollars, say, almost
- 6 hundreds of millions of dollars over -- depending on
- 7 what period of time in -- in that business.
- **8 Q** So this slide is looking at revenue
- **9** opportunities to 2030. The other initiatives are
- **10** potential revenue generating opportunities that you've
- 11 identified.

14

17

163

- 12 Can you give me a ballpark of what you think
- **13** they may generate by 2030?
 - **A** What -- what is -- so I'm trying to get a --
- **15** this is cumulative over the -- over the ten years, the
- **16** \$429 million.
 - **Q** Right. But it's offset by cost to implement.
- 18 And so the -- the conclusion is that, you know, the
- 19 senior -- senior leadership team said that the four
- 20 initiatives shown on this page would have a net -- net
- 21 revenue generating potential of \$389 million by 2030.
- 22 A That's almost inconsistent with some of the
- 23 other numbers that they present. So -- so -- but -- but
- 24 this is for the -- these numbers, you've looked at them
- 25 a little more than I've looked, so these numbers are for
 - Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.
 - 1 the 11 -- the full 11 years or 10 years.
 - 2 Q Right. I -- I think --
 - **3 A** 2019 to 2030.
 - 4 Q Right.

5

- A So it's -- so it's, like, \$40 million a year.
- 6 Yeah, I think that -- I'll just go back in terms of gas,
- 7 probably another 50 percent on top of this over -- over
- 8 that period of time. I mean, so if this is 40 million a
- 9 year, I think -- I think probably 20 million. And
- 10 especially if the water -- the water picks up at the
- 11 back end, second half of the decade, I think that's
- **12** substantial.
- 13 Q So you believe the -- this projection is about
- **14** 50 percent too low just overall?
- **15** A Yeah, yeah. I think this is solar as well,
- 16 too. There might be some -- if we can get the -- if we
- 17 can get the price down, we have a -- a solar max rate
- 18 now where you can -- you can actually subscribe for your
- 19 power to be 100 percent solar to your house. The
- 20 challenge that we have now is that it -- it -- it
- 21 increases the consumer's price.
- You know, to the extent that we work off ourlegacy offset plants and cost, you know, that's going to
- 24 be -- that's going to be less to sign up for -- for
- 25 solar and drive -- drive the cost down. So that'll --
 - Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

And so JEA's 2019 IRP data show that JEA's net

that way, in terms of -- in terms of the letter,

1

2

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Yeah.

extensive at best.

166 1 that'll potentially expand another revenue stream to be 2 able to pick up some margin on that, especially when you 3 get to 250 megawatts in the -- in the service 4 territory. 5 Q Understood. 6 So, yeah, I think 50 percent over this period 7 of time is -- is doable. 8 All right. So that's -- those are all the 9 questions I have for Exhibit 35. 10 MR. BLODGETT: And, Lanny, I think we can skip 11 Exhibit 40. We've already covered that. 12 Do you want to pick up with Exhibit 36, Lanny? 13 MR. RUSSELL: 36 or 37? 36, right? I've got 14 it. 15 MR. BLODGETTT: I think we're in the home 16 stretch, Paul. 17 I can do 36, if you want. 18 MR. RUSSELL: Okay. Pardon me? 19 MR. BLODGETT: I can do 36, if you want, 20 Lanny. 21 MR. RUSSELL: Yeah, I was --22 MR. BLODGETT: Okay. 23 BY MR. BLODGETT: 24 So, Paul, if you'd pull up --25 MR. RUSSELL: -- anticipating you did that Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 167

energy requirements would increase under -- I think it 5 was three of the four scenarios -- scenarios that it 6 assessed. 7 Could you just kind of generally explain what 8 an IRP is and how it differs -- it differs from a 9 ten-year site plan? 10 Yes. The -- the IRP, integrated resource plan, 11 and it's -- an IRP's focus is more on -- quite frankly, 12 is on the -- is on the cost side of -- and not 13 necessarily on the refinement to -- to the projected 14 sales levels. Sales levels are generally a ten-year 15 site plan and -- and other economic impacts that are --16 should be known or should be put into a long-term 17 forecast to ensure that the utility has the supply necessary to meet demand. 18 19 So once that curve or that projection is, in 20 fact, put in place, the -- the important factor then 21 is -- is how you construct the -- the puzzle, if you 22 will, of -- of technologies and fuels and methodologies 23 to -- to generate power and meet the demand. 24 And so the -- the IRP -- can you -- you -- let 25 me get to that statement again. Which paragraph was Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc. 169 1 that in again you read? 2 Oh, it's -- it's not in the report. I'm just 3 generally curious about --4 Okay. 5 -- kind of understanding JEA's IRP better, which this is more context than you need, but in March of 2019, there was an IRP presentation that included 8 data that showed JEA's net energy requirements were 9 going to increase in three of four different scenarios 10 assessed. 11 So there was, like, a base case scenario, there 12 was a worst case scenario, a best case scenario and kind 13 of a middling, I think it was called, like, a green 14 energy scenario?

3 Okay. Paul, if you'd pull up Exhibit 36. 4 MR. BLODGETT: And, Lee, you may have some --5 some questions on this. 6 BY MR. BLODGETT: 7 This is a Melissa Dykes letter that she 8 prepared on February 26, 2020, to rebut claims in a 9 Nelson Mullins report about the senior leadership team's 10 projections in scenarios one and two. 11 Have you had a chance to read this letter

before, Mr. McElroy?
A Yes, I read it a while ago.
(McElroy's Exhibit 36 was marked for identification.)
Q What is your opinion on this letter?

1

2

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

one

BY MR. BLODGETT:

A I -- when I read it through -- I'm trying to get my bearings on it again here. I just -- what I felt was it -- it didn't say much. You know, we talked a little bit about the differential and the ten-year site plan and if I could justify or reconcile that, it was sort of a -- it was really nothing -- and it really didn't affect ultimately this outcome. I did think in terms of the Nelson Mullins report, it was -- it was --

was well done. I -- I was unimpressed, let's put it Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Q And so all I really was hoping you'd kind of give us some insight on is the difference between an -- the IRP and the ten-year site plan, which I think you've done already.

A Yes. Okay. Yes.

Q And then for net energy requirements, could you kind of explain how that's measured because that's not the way the ten-year site plan projections are measured? But really what I'm getting at is that -- A I -- Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

2

3

4

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

7

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

175

```
1
   around -- in draft form to final -- final -- final draft
2
   was '19 and then finally prepared and came out in April
3
   and somebody sat on this for a while. The question
4
   would be, I think, from a board perspective, this came
   out in the April board meeting, why -- why did -- why
5
6
   did you sit on the draft report for a year that shows
7
   these types of -- of sales expectations, particularly --
8
   particularly where we came from over the last 12 months
```

So that's an interesting point because the total net energy requirements forecast, the projections for the four scenarios, in this April 2020 report, are identical to the data that Infront Consulting put into a March 2019 presentation. And --

15 Α Yes.

of what's been said.

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

23

24

25

12

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 Q -- you've kind of alluded to this already, but 17 do you know why that data was sat on, as you put it?

I -- I don't know why. I -- I would -- you know, you -- you could -- you could speculate probably down three paths, I mean, but I don't -- I don't know.

21 Q Okay. 22

Δ One, it's -- it's not supportive, yeah.

MR. BLODGETT: Lanny, those are all the questions I have for Exhibit 37.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

```
1
              MR. RUSSELL: Thank you.
2
              MR. BLODGETT: Lee, do you have any questions
3
         about 36 or --
 4
              MR. RUSSELL: Okay. On Exhibit --
5
              MR. BLODGETT: Sorry, Lanny.
 6
              Lee, do you have any questions about 36 or 37,
7
        since I know those are potentially of particular
        interest to you?
8
9
              MR. WEDEKIND: No, I don't, but I -- I will say
10
        that I share Mr. McElroy's opinions about Ms. Dykes'
11
        letter.
```

EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. RUSSELL:

> Good. Paul, we're near the end. Exhibit 38, if you could find that on your computer, please.

Α Got it.

17 (McElroy's Exhibit 38 was marked for 18 identification.)

It's an excerpt, this document was actually prepared by you very recently, November 6, 2020, presentation you prepared for the board. And the presentation is entitled Five-Year Financial Assumptions and Plan. And you already discussed a lot of the details about this document when you were talking with Kevin.

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

I'd like you, if you would, please, Paul, take about 30 seconds and summarize, based on this report that you prepared, what is the overview of JEA's current and projected financial health?

5 I -- this report clearly points out the 6 financial health of JEA is extremely strong. At -- at 7 this point in time it is projected to continue to be 8 strong through the five-year planning horizon. It -- it 9 calls out that there are -- are nominal 1 percent 10 revenue requirements in the last three years of the five 11 years for the electric system and in the last year of 12 the water system. And those -- those 1 percent revenue 13 requirements will come from cost reductions, higher --14 higher unit sales that materialize. And -- and the last 15 approach would be a -- would be a rate adjustment of --16 of 1 percent.

it shows extraordinary stability in rates. It shows continued debt reduction, increasing the financial flexibility and wherewithal with JEA balance sheet, consistent with the plan and starting achieve and actually exceed benchmarks. It shows reduced risks in terms of variable rate portfolio that we have. And I just -- it's a -- it's -- it's indicative of what I think we'll see of some continued private upgrades over

And so I -- I think that when you look at this,

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

177

1 the next 12 to 18 months.

Does this document address a plan by JEA to address distributed generation, Paul?

4 It -- it includes in the -- in the plan a very 5 conservative sales growth, essentially at zero during 6 this period of time. So this plan's achieved with zero sales growth in the electric system. And I -- and I 8 think that is the way we plan for the contingency of -- of any type of distributed generation impact, 10 which will offset, you know, our 1 percent growth or 2 11 percent customer growth to -- and so I think we -- we've 12 done -- we've done the opposite in terms of looking at 13 this, which is consistent with probably the prior two 14 decades, is to -- is to be conservative with our revenue 15 expenses and to be aggressive in terms -- and -- and 16 conservative on expenses as well.

So under- -- I would say understate the -- the revenues, but clearly hold revenues down to the -- the lower range and to make sure that they're sufficient expenses in there to cover un- -- unplanned for events that might occur.

The five-year plan you just mentioned that it projects extraordinary stability in rates for JEA, do you believe in the next five years that JEA customers will experience a rate increase?

6

8

9

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

179

1 I -- I think five years is too long to -- to 2 make that. I know that when we look at these numbers 3 and what we've sensitized the -- the case, and this

4 includes the full absorption of Vogtle and the electric 5 system. We're looking at, you know, this worst -- you

6 know, the -- the high end of any -- any rate increase

would be 1 percent per year in the last three years of

8 the -- of the five-year plan. 9

7

10

11

12

But that said, you know, 1 percent increase in sales will -- will help offset that, then push -- push any price adjustment into the future. And there may be cost efficiencies that we can gain today -- gain between now and then to put that off.

13 14 So I -- I -- I 'm just saying, I think 15 right now is that it's -- it's too early to call whether 16 there will be -- and -- and because things are so tight 17 here in terms of the -- in terms of the -- the forecast, 18 it's -- it's too early to call and say that there may or 19 may not be a rate increase. This projection says that 20 there's a 1 percent revenue requirement. We've got to 21 find 1 percent of revenue either through new revenue, 22 either through -- through lower costs, by sales growth 23 and -- and worst case would be a -- would be a 24 nominal -- nominal price increase. 25

So it's not clearly a yes or no answer --Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

What wasn't --Q

Α I'm sorry. Go ahead.

3 What wasn't clear, I guess, Paul, was worst

case, it's 1 percent if you don't find the increased 4

5 revenue --

1

2

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

6 Α

> -- so in the next five years, looking at the worst case, the sales -- the rate increase is limited in this document, in your estimation, to 1 percent?

10 1 percent per year in the last three years. I 11 think I'm --

12 Q Right.

> Α And I'm on a scale -- yeah, yeah, yeah.

Okay. One of the things that came up often in

15 the privatization of JEA was that it needs to be sold now because JEA's value had peaked and was peaking in 2018 and 2019.

18

Do you believe that's accurate, Paul, the value 19 of JEA peaked in those years?

I guess to -- to answer that, I would say I --I think certainly -- certainly JEA's value was -- was at -- at an all-time high, at or about an all-time high and that's a combination of -- of balance sheet improvement, substantial lower debt, its operational

efficiency and -- and as well as current interest rates

Hedguist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1 and -- and market conditions.

2 And so I think going forward, you know, the 3 valuation of JEA, I think operationally, I think there's 4 only improvement there. I think that, quite frankly, that the balance sheet's going to become stronger and more flexible. It's got to -- it's got extremely good 7 operating cash flows.

The -- the outside -- the outside challenge here, I guess, is it's -- it's where the market 10 conditions were, interest rates were, equity value in -in the future. And -- and quite -- I guess, quite 12 frankly, looking at that, if you -- if you read the tea 13 leaves here, I think the common theme is you've got a 14 couple of -- a minimum of a couple years from revenues 15 extraordinary low interest rates. It may be well 16 beyond.

And then on the -- on the flip side, you have -- you have a pretty hot equity market, which -which looks like -- I mean, there's almost no stopping it here and you've got some inflationary pressure. And so it -- it -- the guestion might be real or nominal value in terms of -- in terms of dollars of what JEA's 23 worth.

So I think in terms of -- in terms of absolute -- absolute dollars in -- in today's dollars, Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

181

you know, it sort of has reached the peak. I think ten 2 years from now, given projection in terms of potential

3 inflation and asset values and lower interest rates,

4 there's no -- it's -- there's a potential it's going to

5 be higher.

6 I mean, so this morning, someone looking at S&P 7 realistically and the conservative folks spent 4,000, so 8 I -- you know, a lot of this is dependent upon the external equity market values and -- and interest rates. 10 And so that's the call.

I will say that given -- given those three -those two items, in terms of interest rate and equity values in the -- in the balance sheet, as it sits today, with the significant debt reduction and flexibility of the balance sheet, its forecast was it's reached a high, an all-time high, at or about.

There is a case to be made here that the further electrification and the continued strength in the equity marketplace as value increases. I mean, you could doom and gloom this thing and go the other way, too, but there's going to be a, you know, 1929 market crash and -- and then, you know, all equity and related equity values, asset values will be diminished for some period of time. But these things are still -- and I think that -- I don't think that -- that the risk here

20

21

22

23

24

25

impact, it's always a good thing.

emerging alternative water systems.

thoughts with you today, you guys.

So I'm positive about public power, JEA and the

So thanks for the opportunity to -- to share my

MR. RUSSELL: Thank you, Paul. We genuinely

services it provides for electric, water and sewer and

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

Terrie & Cook

	186
1 2 3	ERRATA SHEET DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MATTER
4	DEPOSITION OF PAUL MCELROY
5	TAKEN - December 2, 2020
6	PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read
22	the foregoing document and that the facts stated in it are true.
23	
	Data Datti Mari Dov
24	Date PAUL McELROY
25	Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

172:6, 174:12, **11** [6] - 4:2, 36:12, **2,000** [2] - 70:16, **32** [4] - 4:1, 4:17, \$ 175:20, 185:19, 37:11, 165:1 70:17 84:23, 89:7 **\$1.25** [1] - 161:9 **11.2** [1] - 154:15 **2.9** [1] - 59:2 186:5 **32202** [4] - 1:11, 1:22, **\$100,000** [1] - 183:16 2020s [1] - 160:12 **11th** [2] - 25:14, 118:4 **20** [19] - 3:22, 4:8, 2:5, 2:10 **\$18** [1] - 158:25 51:16, 51:23, 64:21, 2022 [2] - 82:14 **33** [12] - 4:18, 4:19, **12** [9] **-** 4:3, 43:7, **\$2.59** [1] - 98:11 43:17, 70:14, 142:4, 64:25, 71:2, 83:17, 2025's [1] - 158:23 91:24, 92:12, 94:6, \$200 [1] - 97:17 157:25, 160:2, 84:3, 84:10, 97:18, **2030** [10] - 151:9, 94:18, 136:5, 136:8, \$389 [1] - 164:21 102:13, 127:5, 174:8, 177:1 151:19, 153:16, 149:13, 151:9, **\$40** [1] - 165:5 **12,500** [1] - 159:14 128:1, 129:16, 155:5, 155:11, 156:17 **\$400** [4] - 14:25, 15:1, 134:23, 149:13, 162:24, 164:9, 3300 [2] - 1:11, 2:5 1200 [1] - 83:2 15:2, 84:3 163:5, 165:9 164:13, 164:21, **34** [4] - 4:20, 156:18, 12th [4] - 9:10, 40:20, **\$429** [1] - 164:16 20-year [1] - 64:18 165:3 156:25, 162:12 52:9, 78:13 \$50 [3] - 88:13, 107:3, 200 [3] - 83:23, 127:5, 20th [7] - 14:9, 14:22, 345 [1] - 1:21 **13** [3] - 4:4, 44:2, 109:1 21:23, 23:15, 23:19, **35** [6] - 4:21, 153:11, 127.8 44:18 \$500,000 [1] - 88:21 2006 [1] - 150:23 136:18, 136:20 **14** [7] - 3:21, 4:5, 162:13, 162:16, **\$75** [1] - 129:6 21 [4] - 4:9, 57:17, 2007 [1] - 143:25 162:17, 166:9 44:21, 44:24, 45:11, 2008 [2] - 81:25, 82:7 58:3, 58:19 **36** [10] - 4:22, 166:12, 48:14, 148:2 **14th** [7] - 35:22, 42:10, 2010 [3] - 121:23, 22 [8] - 4:9, 24:21, 166:13, 166:17, 48:14, 52:10, 56:13, 122:20, 144:15 57:17, 58:3, 58:19, 166:19, 167:3, '13 [1] - 87:19 65:12, 148:1, 152:6 167:14, 175:3, 175:6 58:6, 58:9 2012 [1] - 68:4 **'15** [1] - 11:10 23 [4] - 3:23, 4:9, 58:3, 37 [7] - 4:2, 4:23, **15** [6] - 4:5, 44:21, **2015** [2] - 6:22, 10:10 **'15/'16** [1] - 10:16 166:13, 172:4, 45:11, 67:3, 148:2, 2016 [1] - 122:13 58:19 '16 [4] - 6:22, 11:11, 23rd [4] - 28:2, 28:20, 150:23 2017 [27] - 5:13, 7:11, 172:12, 174:24, 11:16 57:18, 119:22 175:6 **156** [1] - 4:20 8:9, 8:10, 11:19, '17 [7] - 8:16, 11:21, 24 [5] - 4:9, 38:4, 58:4, 38 [3] - 4:24, 175:14, **15th** [7] - 40:20, 42:12, 14:9, 14:20, 20:18, 12:4, 21:8, 71:12, 42:20, 59:18, 60:10, 58:19, 131:17 175:17 21:17, 21:23, 23:22, 109:11, 122:13 24th [5] - 31:16, 33:21, 389 [1] - 162:24 61:8, 81:5 25:21, 25:22, 25:25, **'18** [9] **-** 8:17, 21:7, 35:15, 36:3, 39:1 **16** [6] - 4:5, 44:21, 40:1, 43:11, 43:14, 21:9, 71:12, 88:18, **25** [6] - 3:24, 3:25, 4 45:11, 45:18, 43:20, 47:25, 48:4, 107:25, 109:11, 151:10, 160:2 71:10, 88:6, 126:9, 4:10, 59:7, 59:15, 124:11, 131:13 **4** [7] **-** 3:22, 20:6, 20:8, **162** [1] - 4:21 136:23, 143:25 104:10 **'19** [7] **-** 88:24, 89:1, 21:2, 64:14, 129:20, **167** [1] - 4:22 2018 [42] - 8:9, 23:22, 250 [4] - 83:25, 108:1, 125:17, 150:25 24:21, 25:1, 25:17, 107:15, 152:13, 16th [2] - 80:24, 143:15, 173:25, **4,000** [1] - 181:7 28:20, 30:2, 30:8, 166:3 110:11 174.2 40 [4] - 127:6, 134:24, 36:11, 36:19, 36:20, **26** [4] - 4:11, 62:1, **17** [9] - 4:6, 49:16, **'22** [1] - 108:13 165:8, 166:11 38:4, 39:1, 40:1, 62:18, 167:8 49:21, 50:18, '23 [1] - 108:13 41st [1] - 2:10 42:10, 42:12, 42:21, **27** [3] - 4:12, 66:10, 136:16, 139:7, **43** [1] - 4:3 44:4, 44:9, 48:10, 66:16 143:21, 144:1, 1 **44** [1] - 4:4 57:1, 61:5, 67:9, 28 [5] - 4:13, 5:13, 157:21 **45** [1] - 4:5 70:7, 71:10, 72:7, 67:6, 67:15, 94:11 172 [1] - 4:23 1 [24] - 3:19, 5:12, **475** [1] - 158:10 75:20, 78:13, 79:22, 28th [1] - 94:8 **175** [2] - 3:9, 4:24 5:17, 44:4, 82:5, **48** [1] **-** 40:16 79:24, 79:25, 80:2, 29 [3] - 4:14, 74:3, 154:24, 155:3, 17th [2] - 24:16, 75:20 49 [1] - 162:22 81:25, 87:18, **18** [3] - 70:19, 152:6, 75:3 155:14, 156:4, 4th [1] - 74:25 105:17. 110:2. 156:12, 161:9, 177:1 123:25, 125:17, 173:17, 176:9, 18's [1] - 109:11 136:18, 136:20, 5 176:12, 176:16, **180** [1] - 104:23 **3** [13] - 3:21, 14:8, 141:3, 179:17 177:10, 178:7, 186_[1] - 185:10 **5** [9] **-** 3:7, 3:19, 3:23, 14:13, 17:9, 18:20, 2019 [23] - 36:8, 71:2, 178:9, 178:20, 19 [4] - 4:7, 50:24, 22:19, 23:12, 99:13, 21:17, 25:11, 44:25, 90:24, 94:8, 94:11, 178:21, 179:4, 51:8, 58:25 104:15, 128:20, 65:12, 82:6, 129:15, 110:10, 110:25, 179:9, 179:10, 1929 [1] - 181:21 157:2 138:14 118:4, 118:10, 185:10 **1982** [1] - 135:2 50 [14] - 2:9, 4:6, 3,000 [1] - 103:4 119:22, 137:6, 1,000 [2] - 103:2, 19th [1] - 79:22 100:5, 129:6, 30 [11] - 4:15, 72:22, 140:6, 140:16, 107:17 1:24 [1] - 1:9 129:12, 129:14, 75:19, 77:12, 97:12, 159:16, 162:21, **1.3** [2] - 97:19, 98:3 1st [1] - 171:19 129:16, 152:3, 102:13, 104:11, 163:5, 165:3, 168:3, **10** [7] - 4:1, 31:14, 152:5, 152:8, 135:1, 162:24, 176:2 169:7, 172:24, 31:24, 32:6, 97:21, 157:22, 165:7, 2 30,000 [1] - 158:22 174:14, 179:17 165:1, 185:19 165:14, 166:6 30th [1] - 171:18 2 [9] - 1:8, 3:20, 8:6, **2020** [13] - 1:8, 62:23, **100** [3] - 84:10, **500** [1] - 112:15 31 [5] - 4:16, 78:9, 8:18, 25:13, 64:15, 97:7, 108:6, 124:1, 112:25, 165:19 **500,000** [1] - 70:17 78:19, 79:16, 79:17 161:9, 177:10, 186:5 141:2, 167:8, 170:7, 100,000 [1] - 139:19 **51** [2] - 4:7, 4:8

55 [1] - 153:6 **58** [1] - 4:9 59 [1] - 4:10 5th [1] - 39:23 6 6 [7] - 3:24, 24:19, 25:8, 36:11, 72:21, 128:20, 175:20 6.4 [1] - 59:2 **60** [6] - 9:16, 10:14, 40:14, 72:21, 102:13, 108:7 60-day [1] - 10:7 600 [6] - 82:25, 83:2, 83:7, 83:24, 84:7, 84:11 **62** [2] - 4:11, 161:10 66 [1] - 4:12 **67** [1] - 4:13 6:38 [2] - 1:9, 184:10 6th [5] - 36:5, 55:25, 78:14, 78:15 7 **7** [4] **-** 3:25, 25:10, 25:18, 99:13 **75** [2] - 4:14, 68:8 77 [1] - 4:15 78 [1] - 4:16 8 [8] - 3:20, 4:1, 31:13, 31:17, 32:6, 33:11, 151:11, 151:19 **80** [2] - 65:12, 129:24 **800** [1] - 101:15 **88** [1] **-** 60:1 89 [1] - 4:17

9 9 [5] - 4:1, 31:13, 31:18, 32:6, 157:23 90 [6] - 104:22, 143:24, 144:5, 144:22, 146:12, 149:3 904)354-4111 [1] -1:22 904)791-9103 [1] -1:22 91 [1] - 3:8 92 [3] - 4:18, 122:1

94 [1] - 4:19

9th [1] - 57:1

acquiring [2] - 12:10, Α Aaron [15] - 50:25, acres [1] - 107:17 51:2, 66:11, 66:13, act [1] - 130:15 66:21, 67:12, 75:6, acted [1] - 116:14 75:21, 76:4, 92:4, action [6] - 35:10, 105:19. 110:6. 55:10, 55:18, 56:12, 119:21, 142:24 185:16, 185:17 aback [1] - 15:14 actions [3] - 7:10, ability [5] - 11:2, 86:24, 145:14 94:23, 98:13, 98:16, activities [2] - 86:4, 101:11 145:22 able [17] - 97:8, 97:9, activity [9] - 16:14, 97:10. 97:11. 98:11. 32:23, 46:23, 46:24, 98:21, 99:7, 99:20, 50:5, 51:5, 80:14, 100:24, 101:3, 110:15 101:4, 108:22, actual [2] - 129:19, 116:19, 126:16, 172:20 130:3, 134:3, 166:2 adapt [4] - 97:3, 97:4, absence [1] - 140:5 97:9, 126:19 absent [1] - 137:15 add [2] - 23:8, 29:4 absolute [3] - 125:16, added [1] - 144:20 180.25 adding [1] - 158:1 absolutely [11] - 18:2, additional [12] - 61:9, 40:2, 61:7, 94:21, 65:6, 83:2, 83:7, 137:7, 137:9, 84:7, 98:23, 99:13, 143:20, 144:10, 128:21, 128:25, 151:7, 155:4, 161:12 129:12, 142:15, absorption [1] - 178:4 158:6 accelerate [2] -Additional [1] -152:12, 155:14 162:24 accelerated [1] - 73:5 address [7] - 24:20, accept [2] - 90:4, 97:8, 116:22, 90:10 119:10, 170:2, accomplish [1] -177:2, 177:3 133:1 addressed [1] - 118:3 accomplished [1] adequately [2] -71:16 140:5, 141:12 accordance [1] - 55:5 adjust [1] - 132:9 according [1] - 163:1 adjustment [4] - 66:5, accordingly [2] -150:24, 176:15, 64:16, 126:2 178:11 account [1] - 22:13 adjustments [2] accounting [1] -99:10, 162:3 144:18 adjusts [1] - 64:16 accounts [2] - 143:24, administration [22] -144:20 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, accurate [9] - 42:15, 28:22, 54:11, 54:17, 52:14, 52:18, 65:22, 55:13, 57:9, 72:2, 111:5, 118:23, 74:8, 74:12, 74:20, 139:11, 145:6, 75:1, 77:8, 77:10, 179:18 124:13, 140:22, achieve [5] - 69:4, 149:19, 153:18, 87:2, 107:2, 107:7, 153:20, 155:25 176:21 administration's [2] achieved [1] - 177:6 71:9, 74:7

achieving [2] - 85:15,

acknowledging [1] -

133:9

32:9

160:7 adopting [1] - 95:3 adoption [6] - 93:10, 93:11, 157:25, 158:5, 158:9 advance [2] - 99:7, 171:16 advanced [3] - 15:3, 100:1, 110:6 adverse [1] - 95:7 advice [1] - 36:7 advisable [1] - 49:2 advisor [5] - 9:14, 15:17, 22:12, 33:13, 60:22 advisors [3] - 47:7, 48:3, 48:9 advisory [3] - 14:10, 16:8, 47:1 advocate [2] - 118:12, 118:22 affect [3] - 151:13, 151:15, 167:23 affected [1] - 63:13 affects [1] - 62:13 affiliated [2] - 19:7, 19:25 afternoon [1] - 42:24 afterwards [1] - 40:17 agencies [4] - 114:15, 116:12, 117:4, 119:10 agency [5] - 115:18, 117:5, 119:12, 130:15 agency's [1] - 130:1 agenda [1] - 72:10 aggregate [1] - 170:20 aggressive [7] -66:25, 151:22, 153:21, 157:20, 160:4, 160:7, 177:15 **ago** [7] - 22:22, 62:23, 73:21, 81:12, 99:15, 112:14, 167:13 agree [7] - 39:13, 90:9, 94:16, 131:3, 144:2, 144:11, 160:15 agreed [8] - 5:2, 40:9, 85:17, 118:17, 119:6, 128:18, 129:11, 132:3 agreed-upon [1] -85:17 agreeing [1] - 128:19 agreement [19] - 37:6, 44:2, 44:5, 44:6, 44:8, 45:15, 45:17,

46:4, 62:12, 63:13,

63:17, 63:19, 63:24, 82:14, 82:23, 89:3, 116:6, 126:22 agreements [2] -44:22, 45:22 agrees [1] - 173:22 ahead [13] - 11:14, 20:15, 27:19, 28:16, 30:18, 40:25, 61:14, 62:20, 114:19, 133:17, 138:16, 145:1, 179:2 air [2] - 98:3, 104:8 air-conditioner [1] -104:8 airplane [1] - 58:15 airport [12] - 31:15, 33:22, 34:6, 34:8, 38:4, 39:2, 46:10, 59:14, 60:3, 60:4, 60:6 Airport [2] - 31:16, 59:21 Alan [3] - 31:25, 41:21, 41:22 align [1] - 97:14 aligned [3] - 69:25, 99:23, 100:16 alignment [1] - 69:2 all-time [4] - 179:22, 181:16, 182:10 Allen [5] - 62:5, 62:9, 63:5, 63:16, 65:19 allow [1] - 87:2 allowed [2] - 98:14, 113:14 alluded [1] - 174:16 almost [7] - 58:14, 64:12, 68:9, 139:7, 164:5. 164:22. 180:19 alternative [1] -183:22 ambiance [1] - 123:2 amount [8] - 12:12, 102:23, 105:7, 139:17, 140:9, 143:6, 146:4, 163:19 analogy [1] - 90:14 analyses [1] - 151:17 analysis [7] - 87:16, 90:8, 93:2, 95:24, 106:23, 163:3, 171:20 analyze [1] - 157:13 analyzed [1] - 173:8 **Anders** [1] - 160:5 angle [2] - 97:1, 97:2 Anna [1] - 51:17 announcing [1] - 43:9

administrative [3] -

adopted [2] - 100:20,

adopt [1] - 94:23

26:16, 26:19, 129:14

annual [2] - 126:10, 172:19 annually [1] - 173:14 answer [25] - 17:16, 17:22, 17:24, 30:9, 65:8, 71:25, 78:24, 105:13, 110:21, 112:7, 115:7, 115:14, 115:15, 121:1, 123:6, 134:3, 140:7, 156:2, 159:3, 170:1, 178:25, 179:20 answered [4] - 75:24, 96:25, 115:4, 156:10 answers [1] - 118:6 anticipated [1] -161:14 anticipating [1] -166:25 anticipation [1] - 75:6 antics [1] - 134:14 anyway [2] - 159:4, 159:17 apologize [2] - 79:18, 124:18 appearance [2] - 2:6, 92:21 APPEARANCES [1] appliances [1] -159:23 application [1] - 163:7 applied [1] - 83:22 **apply** [1] - 155:22 applying [2] - 99:3, 135:10 appointed [3] - 51:11, 66:11, 66:24 appointment [2] -66:13, 77:21 appreciate [4] - 54:25, 55:1, 182:12, 184:1 approach [7] - 28:9, 87:4, 87:10, 94:13, 97:1, 128:20, 176:15 approached [2] - 15:9, 121:11 approaching [1] -70:17 appropriate [5] -85:12, 106:16, 108:3, 108:16. 140:10 approval [2] - 54:3, 56:8 approve [3] - 52:6, 56:11 approved [1] - 141:21 approving [2] -

116:21, 118:23 April [16] - 36:5, 36:11, 50:20, 61:5, 75:19, 78:14, 78:15, 97:7, 107:25, 140:16, 170:7, 172:6, 174:2, 174:5, 174:12 aptly [1] - 151:4 architected [1] -118:11 area [3] - 68:8, 91:14, 99.5 areas [4] - 106:12, 113:4, 158:14, 183:5 argue [1] - 73:12 argument [2] - 96:8, arguments [1] -120:23 arrange [1] - 41:25 arranged [2] - 56:2 arrangement [2] -17:19, 97:22 arrangements [2] -46:22, 89:3 article [7] - 25:11, 28:19, 62:1, 62:2, 71:6, 74:3 articulated [1] - 85:16 aside [1] - 71:19 aspects [2] - 152:24, 163:13 assessed [3] - 67:9, 168:6, 169:10 assessing [1] - 111:8 assessment [5] -50:15, 99:21, 144:2, 157:8, 172:25 asset [9] - 17:10, 17:11, 89:20, 122:1, 137:13, 137:15, 181:3, 181:23, 182:2 assets [3] - 17:1, 45:4, 47:2 assigned [1] - 35:6 assist [1] - 9:5 assisted [1] - 84:25 associated [2] -103:16, 137:25 **Associates** [1] - 1:21 assumed [1] - 52:18 assumes [1] - 158:3 assuming [1] - 76:7 assumption [5] - 23:1, 25:7, 44:10, 61:20, 65:17

assumptions [12] -

156:20, 157:6,

90:21, 93:15, 93:16,

157:9, 157:15, 157:17, 159:8, 159:10, 159:11, 160:7 Assumptions [1] -175:22 attachment [1] - 36:15 attack [1] - 109:15 attempt [1] - 56:8 attend [3] - 34:1, 53:17, 59:22 attended [5] - 20:18, 34:6, 34:7, 34:11, 38:3 attention [7] - 8:5, 78:9, 104:18, 105:24, 106:16, 108:3, 108:16 attitude [1] - 71:9 attitudes [1] - 76:1 attorney [2] - 185:14, 185:16 attorneys [2] - 48:11, 125:4 attribute [1] - 68:6 attributes [1] - 125:20 auditor [1] - 73:24 August [3] - 62:23, 63:4, 108:19 authorize [2] - 52:11, 52:15 authorized [5] - 89:3, 116:5, 116:11, 137:5, 185:7 authorizing [1] - 54:13 auxiliary [3] - 102:16, 102:17 avail [1] - 108:22 available [8] - 43:23, 55:20, 58:13, 106:8, 155:2, 163:4, 163:10, 170:3 average [2] - 64:9, 159:19 award [3] - 43:10, 43:20, 107:25 awarded [2] - 47:4, 129:5 aware [30] - 7:16, 8:1, 10:1, 20:3, 26:4, 26:10, 27:4, 28:3, 28:4, 28:13, 29:4, 29:5, 29:11, 35:11, 46:9, 46:14, 47:16, 52:8, 55:7, 61:8, 62:14, 62:21, 62:24, 78:17, 80:3, 106:14, 111:16, 131:9, 133:1, 163:9

awkward [1] - 76:20 В backfired [2] - 133:13, 133:23 bad [3] - 28:17, 45:14, 54:10 Baker [5] - 19:7, 19:25, 20:3 balance [10] - 98:13, 119:24, 132:25, 161:11, 163:21, 176:20, 179:23, 180:5, 181:13, 181:15 balcony [1] - 125:19 ball [2] - 155:8, 171:8 **ballpark** [1] - 164:12 bank [5] - 27:9, 27:13, 29:6, 29:10, 89:19 Bank [1] - 27:10 bankable [2] - 89:5, 89:11 bankers [13] - 14:24, 16:17, 17:24, 18:18, 20:17, 23:2, 23:9, 23:18, 24:1, 24:15, 25:3, 29:6, 83:13 banking [5] - 15:6, 28:9, 34:14, 35:19, 60.4 bankrupt [2] - 100:11, 126:14 banks [1] - 32:24 base [5] - 127:19, 127:23, 150:11, 169:11 based [16] - 7:23, 9:7, 10:5, 64:21, 78:4, 92:3, 106:22, 110:24, 112:10, 114:25, 118:25, 131:8, 137:13, 150:10, 155:8, 176:2 baseline [2] - 136:15, 172:16 basic [1] - 110:17 basis [11] - 85:25, 120:11, 120:13, 121:4, 121:10, 122:8, 125:8, 125:9, 158:18, 182:3 batteries [2] - 98:7, 139:23 battery [1] - 159:1 battle [1] - 152:11 bear [1] - 152:25

bearings [1] - 167:18

became [5] - 8:13,

62:21, 63:3, 97:6 become [4] - 30:6, 98:7, 146:9, 180:5 becomes [2] - 98:6, 148.7 becoming [7] - 53:13, 75:23, 76:2, 109:12, 145:23, 145:25, 160:1 beeping [1] - 78:23 began [2] - 22:6, 79:23 begin [3] - 132:12, 144:23, 159:15 beginning [4] - 44:14, 78:12, 108:13, 182:14 begins [2] - 21:7, 23:15 begun [1] - 138:1 behalf [8] - 2:2, 2:6, 2:7, 15:4, 108:13, 108:14, 109:20, 128:12 behavior [1] - 77:22 behind [4] - 116:25, 129:11, 130:4, 173:15 belief [1] - 151:12 below [1] - 86:11 benchmark [1] - 171:6 benchmarked [1] -173.8 benchmarking [1] -106:22 benchmarks [1] -176:22 beneficial [1] - 152:18 benefit [3] - 106:4, 108:23, 129:16 benefited [1] - 133:20 benefits [3] - 6:14, 67:10, 109:1 Bennett [1] - 13:1 best [11] - 92:19, 93:17, 95:15, 112:11, 123:2, 138:19, 161:14, 162:1, 168:2, 169:12, 171:10 beta [1] - 163:22 better [14] - 6:10, 17:21, 63:21, 63:22, 77:21, 84:8, 87:9, 96:9, 126:19, 127:20, 130:10, 152:21, 158:6, 169:5 bettering [1] - 111:1

between [30] - 5:2,

13:4, 22:2, 22:19,

awareness [1] - 183:6

28:24, 36:2, 36:4, 38:20, 53:22, 55:14, 57:8, 66:3, 66:6, 68:10, 85:13, 124:13, 128:2, 128:4, 131:14, 145:8, 153:5, 155:10, 169:17, 170:17, 178:12 beyond [5] - 75:9, 100:7, 128:1, 160:3, 180:16 bias [2] - 71:21, 73:7 bid [3] - 15:17, 92:18, 162:4 bidder [1] - 49:10 bids [5] - 88:2, 91:2, 107:15, 154:11, 162:5 big [13] - 96:17, 98:7, 107:11, 107:14, 114:5. 115:10. 119:20, 132:5, 135:20, 139:12, 153:25, 158:23, 171:4 bigger [2] - 84:15, 172:11 biggest [1] - 106:18 bill [11] - 80:1, 103:9, 103:10, 103:14, 104:11, 104:15, 104:17, 104:19, 149:5, 150:1, 150:17 billboard [1] - 134:17 billboards [1] - 134:17 billing [2] - 104:22, 104:24 billion [5] - 59:2, 59:3, 150:25, 154:15, 158:25 bind [2] - 86:23, 132:7 binding [1] - 116:5 bit [11] - 29:13, 39:12, 75:24, 88:5, 92:16, 102:4, 106:6, 120:18, 152:21, 167:20, 173:19 bizarre [1] - 123:1 blah [3] - 16:20, 16:21 blame [1] - 122:24 **blaming** [1] - 120:13 Blodgett [1] - 2:3 BLODGETT [76] - 3:8, 5:20, 5:24, 6:3, 19:14, 20:10, 20:13, 20:16, 20:24, 23:21, 24:7, 25:20, 28:12, 28:14, 28:18, 29:2, 29:22, 30:12, 30:18,

30:24, 31:2, 31:11, 37:24, 38:2, 38:25, 39:19, 39:24, 40:15, 40:21, 40:25, 41:4, 41:8, 42:1, 42:4, 42:7, 42:20, 42:23, 43:1, 54:20, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:3, 57:10, 57:22, 61:11, 61:13, 61:15, 61:22, 67:22, 67:25, 68:17, 69:7, 69:10, 71:3, 71:24, 74:1, 78:25, 79:4, 91:18, 91:20, 124:5, 124:17, 124:25, 125:2, 135:25, 166:10, 166:19, 166:22, 166:23. 167:2. 167:4, 167:6, 174:23, 175:2, 175:5 BLODGETTT [2] -56:17, 166:15 blue [4] - 138:12, 138:13, 139:6, 139:7 Board [1] - 22:8 board [73] - 5:12, 6:8, 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 9:8,9:10, 13:12, 21:25, 30:3, 32:1, 36:20, 36:23, 38:23, 39:25, 40:4, 40:14, 40:19, 41:5, 41:20, 51:4, 51:12, 51:20, 53:15, 53:16, 55:24, 60:14, 66:12, 66:14, 66:21, 67:2, 67:4, 75:22, 76:4, 76:12, 76:16, 77:2, 77:3, 85:6, 85:8, 85:12, 93:24, 94:8, 94:10, 98:14, 98:19, 111:7, 112:9, 113:6, 113:7, 114:1, 115:21, 116:5, 116:7, 116:14, 118:10, 118:23, 119:22, 123:12, 130:25, 137:4, 141:6, 144:16, 162:21, 172:24, 174:4, 174:5, 175:21 board's [1] - 129:25 **boil** [1] - 88:23 bolster [1] - 142:25 bolstered [1] - 92:6 bond [11] - 14:19, 24:16, 64:13, 64:16, 80:9, 80:11, 81:3, 91:4, 91:5, 135:8

bondholder [1] -

bondholders [2] -117:8, 117:11 bonds [4] - 15:8, 18:12, 91:8 book [1] - 44:11 bookshelf [1] - 87:11 **boom** [1] - 139:12 borne [1] - 154:10 bottom [4] - 33:18, 58:25, 120:12, 142.18 **branding** [1] - 107:22 break [3] - 109:15, 124:19, 124:23 breakage [1] - 108:13 breakthrough [1] -183:3 **Brian** [4] - 31:25, 34:22, 62:2, 62:4 Brief [1] - 31:21 briefly [1] - 157:14 bright [2] - 182:4, 183:13 bring [6] - 38:22, 58:16, 64:4, 90:6, 101:2, 130:24 bringing [2] - 15:7, 91:8 broad [3] - 13:4, 13:9, 17.9 **broke** [2] - 90:22, 120:25 Brooks [6] - 18:25, 44:3, 45:15, 45:23, 49:23, 63:2 Brosche [1] - 51:17 Brost [1] - 102:1 brought [2] - 100:19, 114:23 buck [2] - 129:16, 157:21 buckets [1] - 153:7 budget [4] - 64:5, 99:12, 140:8, 140:14 build [6] - 78:2, 93:13, 102:10, 102:20, 102:22, 148:2 building [7] - 89:1, 110:12, 122:21, 123:15, 146:1, 171:11, 183:5 built [1] - 103:7 bulb [1] - 145:11 bulbs [2] - 147:11, 147.13 bullet [1] - 143:21 bump [1] - 173:19 bumped [1] - 109:25 bunch [3] - 126:14,

117:19

144:20, 148:3 buried [1] - 123:21 burned [1] - 135:1 Busey [2] - 1:10, 2:4 Bush [3] - 27:15, 29:15, 29:19 Business [1] - 149:14 business [19] - 10:3, 15:1, 15:2, 45:4, 68:13, 87:5, 94:13, 112:23, 113:1, 115:25, 125:25, 138:18, 152:9, 163:11, 164:2, 164:3, 164:4, 164:7, 172:3 businesses [1] -86:20 buy [5] - 18:8, 18:16, 64:19, 147:12, 147:13 buy/sale [1] - 47:2 buyer [1] - 66:6 buying [2] - 91:7, 134:17 BY [24] - 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 5:10, 6:5, 19:23, 21:1, 24:8, 25:24, 31:12, 43:6, 57:15, 58:1, 61:24, 74:2, 79:20, 91:20, 124:5, 125:2, 135:25, 166:23, 167:2, 167:6, 175:13 C

calculated [1] - 7:22 calendar [1] - 16:2 California [1] - 100:11 campaign [1] - 134:15 cancel [2] - 53:21, 72:9 cancelled [1] - 56:1 candidate [1] - 75:22 cannot [2] - 97:3, 132:8 Cantor [1] - 27:17 capacity [6] - 26:12, 63:25, 67:5, 122:4, 159:2, 170:22 capita [2] - 148:15, 148:23 capital [3] - 37:7, 122:8, 163:23 car [1] - 159:20 carbon [3] - 64:2, 97:19, 98:24 carbon-free [1] -98:24

cards [1] - 135:7 care [4] - 65:2, 152:7, 184:6, 184:7 cares [1] - 150:10 Cares [1] - 101:1 carries [1] - 64:14 carry [4] - 61:20, 82:16, 84:11, 125:13 carrying [1] - 64:11 cars [1] - 147:24 carve [1] - 47:17 carve-outs [1] - 47:17 case [37] - 24:23, 25:5, 54:16, 79:5, 92:18, 92:19, 92:22, 92:24, 93:8, 93:17, 93:18, 99:3, 103:15, 112:11, 112:12, 118:8, 133:15, 138:11, 138:19, 146:17, 151:5, 158:3, 161:14, 164:2, 169:11, 169:12, 178:3, 178:23, 179:4, 179:8, 181:17 cases [1] - 120:12 cash [4] - 122:13, 129:19, 180:7, 182:2 cast [1] - 50:12 catastrophic [1] -101:10 catch [1] - 139:15 **categories** [1] - 113:9 caught [3] - 76:16, 109:21 causal [1] - 68:9 caused [1] - 51:1 caution [1] - 112:18 cease [3] - 42:13, 60:12, 61:16 Cease [1] - 42:17 ceased [1] - 61:8 cell [1] - 163:12 cells [1] - 68:10 center [2] - 34:19, 148:22 cents [7] - 129:12, 129:14, 129:15, 129:16, 152:4, 152:6, 161:10 CEO [12] - 26:18, 37:19, 41:23, 67:5, 74:9, 75:6, 75:7, 75:23, 76:2, 76:5, 97:6, 105:16 certain [4] - 47:17, 86:10, 158:12, 163:13 certainly [37] - 8:13,

17:11, 29:11, 46:9, 48:8, 55:16, 63:23, 69:20, 70:6, 72:24, 73:1, 74:13, 74:14, 74:17, 74:25, 77:18, 78:14, 78:15, 83:4, 84:17, 85:8, 106:24, 106:25, 107:7, 107:18, 112:5, 113:2, 127:16, 130:1, 134:9, 138:24, 143:14, 149:2, 179:21 certainty [1] - 120:4 CERTIFICATE [1] -185:1 certify [2] - 185:6, 185:13 cetera [20] - 15:18, 17:3, 28:10, 47:18, 65:8, 67:2, 70:23, 84:13, 87:1, 95:20, 116:19, 120:14, 120:15, 120:17, 122:7, 134:21, 138:7, 146:1 CFL [1] - 145:11 CFO [1] - 8:25 chain [3] - 22:19, 23:14, 33:17 chair [4] - 41:19, 41:23, 76:11 **Chair** [6] - 8:4, 8:11, 12:5, 22:8, 36:21, 41:23 chairman [13] - 7:8, 9:8, 12:6, 32:1, 32:12. 38:10. 39:7. 40:6, 40:11, 40:17, 41:17, 41:21, 76:16 **Chairman** [4] - 9:8, 12:5, 34:25, 41:16 challenge [6] - 90:3, 144:10, 144:22, 165:20, 180:8 challenged [1] - 56:6 challenges [6] -86:22, 113:3, 114:5, 153:1, 153:4, 153:24 challenging [4] -74:11, 112:2, 148:7, 153:10 chance [6] - 131:22, 132:21, 135:5, 135:6, 135:23, 167:11 change [11] - 37:7, 116:18, 122:4, 127:11, 127:12, 153:17, 153:19,

153:20, 155:10, 155:17, 183:10 CHANGE/REASON [1] - 186:6 changed [3] - 70:24, 77:2, 161:21 **changes** [4] - 97:3, 107:8, 116:20, 148:3 **CHANGES** [1] - 186:2 changing [3] - 86:24, 94:14, 97:4 chaos [1] - 72:8 characterization [1] -94:16 characterized [2] -94:12, 151:3 **characters** [1] - 50:12 charge [18] - 9:7, 9:16, 22:8, 39:6, 39:15, 39:20, 40:12, 41:1, 41:8, 41:14, 41:15, 64:10, 103:7, 103:8, 109:14, 113:25, 129:14, 130:15 charged [2] - 42:3, 104:5 **charges** [1] - 39:11 charging [1] - 135:23 Charleroy [3] - 33:19, 33:20, 33:25 Charleroy's [1] - 33:12 Charlotte [2] - 22:14, 58.15 chart [2] - 157:8, 157:16 chasing [1] - 121:16 check [3] - 20:23, 79:4, 83:16 chicken [2] - 81:22 chief [4] - 18:24, 26:16, 26:18, 67:5 choice [1] - 9:19 choose [1] - 117:24 chose [4] - 6:17, 38:22, 66:21, 133:19 **chosen** [4] - 35:19, 43:19, 49:11, 76:4 Church [1] - 34:19 circulated [1] - 44:23 Citi [2] - 23:6, 23:8 Citibank [1] - 22:20 cities [2] - 127:7, 134:16 citizen [1] - 121:15 City [32] - 6:2, 6:13, 8:24, 8:25, 10:15, 14:8, 15:23, 16:21, 17:2, 17:10, 17:11,

17:20, 21:17, 22:14,

31:24, 33:12, 33:15,

39:9, 39:15, 43:10, 43:20, 43:22, 44:15, 47:2, 48:4, 57:20, 59:2, 116:7, 116:8, 134:2, 134:7, 134:16 city [25] - 35:23, 36:1, 42:10, 42:18, 51:18, 51:20, 52:10, 52:16, 53:2, 53:19, 53:25, 54:1, 54:22, 55:2, 55:8, 55:9, 56:5, 98:20, 101:7, 107:20, 107:21, 116:20, 130:2, 130:25, 134:18 CITY [2] - 1:1, 186:2 City's [4] - 22:3, 38:4, 38:12, 47:24 city's [1] - 35:7 claim [3] - 97:9, 122:24, 144:24 claims [1] - 167:8 clarifies [2] - 40:21, 42:4 clarify [4] - 22:11, 54:20, 92:16, 106:6 clarity [4] - 39:5, 115:21, 120:3, 120:4 clean [1] - 116:16 clear [8] - 41:14, 74:25, 82:21, 86:9, 128:3, 134:3, 153:8, 179:3 clearly [11] - 55:23, 91:4, 96:18, 112:1, 114:25. 115:9. 116:22, 176:5, 177:18, 178:25 clerical [1] - 138:23 client's [1] - 90:12 climate [4] - 127:11, 127:12, 155:17, 183:10 clock [1] - 108:11 close [12] - 14:21, 14:22, 64:4, 80:11, 82:16, 91:6, 97:14, 97:15, 119:17, 132:14, 139:5 closed [2] - 98:4, 98:8 closely [3] - 99:17, 99:23, 157:13 closer [1] - 107:19 closing [2] - 14:18, 24:5 closure [1] - 83:15 **co** [1] - 111:21 **co-op** [1] - 111:21 **CO2** [4] - 97:19, 98:3,

coal [6] - 81:15, 82:25, 83:2, 83:5, 83:6, 84:16 Coast [1] - 25:11 coincidence [1] -21:21 cold [3] - 102:15, 103:3 collapse [1] - 155:19 collect [1] - 87:10 colon [1] - 136:15 Columbo [1] - 80:25 column [2] - 172:14, 172:15 combination [2] -52:22, 179:23 combined [1] - 127:20 Comed [1] - 100:13 coming [13] - 31:23, 75:14, 77:9, 80:12, 83:23, 87:23, 87:24, 88:6, 120:20, 121:4, 156:11, 171:9 comm [1] - 160:19 comment [1] - 77:15 comments [3] - 7:17, 39:25, 41:4 commercial [1] -160:19 commission [1] -98:19 Commission [2] -128:11, 132:1 commissioners' [1] -132:16 commit [1] - 86:13 commitment [4] -64:19, 86:4, 99:19, 152:17 **COMMITTEE** [2] - 1:2, Committee [1] - 2:2 committing [1] - 83:23 common [4] - 50:6, 77:16, 142:20, 180:13 communicate [2] -97:13, 119:10 communicated [2] -86:12, 148:15 communication [1] -52:24 communities [1] -148:24 community [16] -78:3, 85:9, 95:3, 95:10, 95:13, 96:2,

96:3, 98:20, 100:14,

107:20, 127:18,

138:25, 147:10,

152:15, 182:1, 183:17 community-owned [1] - 107:20 comp [1] - 161:15 companies [1] - 19:25 company [7] - 12:25, 29:21, 89:20, 126:5, 126:6, 126:12, 133:7 Company [4] - 64:2, 128:5, 134:19, 134:21 compel [2] - 132:8 competitive [3] - 98:6, 162:2, 162:6 complete [5] - 77:19, 110:22, 114:18, 122:10, 122:16 completed [1] - 58:18 completely [6] -15:22, 53:24, 82:22, 111:21, 111:25, 172:22 completeness [1] -30:10 complex [4] - 24:3, 50:16, 133:14, 158:8 complexity [3] -71:17, 71:19, 158:7 complicated [3] -65:10, 126:22, 134:9 complications [1] -65.6 comply [1] - 155:22 components [2] -98:2, 127:1 compounded [2] -82:7, 138:14 computer [2] - 136:7, 175:15 concept [7] - 47:13, 63:14, 69:16, 69:23, 70:4, 126:11, 161:20 concern [2] - 89:22, 91:13 concerned [4] - 10:23, 74:20, 115:19, 150:20 concerning [2] - 23:3, 24:25 concerns [1] - 118:17 conclude [2] - 182:16, 182.18 concluded [1] -184:10 conclusion [2] -132:18, 164:18 condition [1] - 10:1

conditioner [1] -

104:8

125:19, 155:22

conditions [9] - 7:6, 10:5, 38:24, 47:20, 66:7, 84:13, 125:16, 180:1, 180:10 conduct [2] - 9:15, 74:8 **conducted** [1] - 9:7 **conducting** [1] - 87:24 conference [3] - 60:6, 134:20 confidence [1] -121:14 confidentiality [3] -44:2, 44:8, 45:15 confirm [2] - 45:6, 54:11 conflict [1] - 76:10 confronted [1] -126:12 confused [1] - 16:12 congestion [2] -158:15, 158:17 connect [2] - 79:12, 137:21 connected [4] - 23:7, 98:6, 152:17, 185:16 connection [12] -14:2, 20:1, 26:12, 43:23, 46:7, 46:20, 48:9, 52:8, 54:10, 57:5, 60:23, 68:10 consensus [2] - 78:2, 85.7 consequences [1] -53:3 conservation [1] -148:5 conservative [4] -177:5, 177:14, 177:16, 181:7 consider [4] - 6:8, 6:14, 52:5, 124:8 considered [2] - 6:13, 54:7 considering [1] -139:17 consistency [3] -79:25, 170:17, 182:3 consistent [9] - 22:21, 45:25, 49:15, 85:16, 88:11, 155:13, 173:20, 176:21, 177:13 constant [1] - 116:18 construct [1] - 168:21 consultant [5] - 9:19, 30:7, 35:4, 41:24, 140.17 consultants [4] -43:23, 46:3, 83:13,

153:15 consulted [1] - 43:16 consulting [6] - 19:8, 20:1, 21:5, 84:24, 90:19, 171:6 Consulting [1] -174:13 consumer [9] - 96:6, 102:9, 102:10, 149:25, 150:10, 152:5, 152:9, 182:25 consumer's [2] -104:17, 165:21 consumers [5] - 99:7, 145:14, 148:18, 150:19, 160:24 consumption [5] -103:24, 147:22, 148:16, 158:20, 163:22 contacted [1] - 121:16 contains [1] - 156:19 contemplated [1] -133:12 context [8] - 36:10, 47:12, 63:10, 74:22, 89:15, 123:19, 136:1, 169:6 contingency [1] -177:8 continue [10] - 99:5, 105:19, 113:9, 115:2, 127:3, 137:17, 137:19, 137:21, 147:2, 176:7 continued [7] - 79:24, 81:5, 105:23, 107:1, 176:19, 176:25, 181:18 continues [3] -140:13, 160:20, 161:19 continuing [5] - 37:1, 58:14, 78:13, 81:6, 129:10 contract [23] - 36:24, 47:19, 47:21, 64:20, 65:1, 66:9, 82:20, 108:5, 108:7, 116:3, 119:19, 119:20, 120:7, 120:16, 125:22, 129:13, 131:19, 131:20, 132:5, 132:8, 135:10, 135:19,

135:20

36:24

contracted [1] - 108:1

contracts [1] - 98:17

contracting [1] -

contractual [1] - 97:21 contradicts [1] - 97:9 **contribute** [1] - 74:9 contributing [1] -84:18 contrived [1] - 150:8 control [8] - 115:20, 115:24, 116:2, 116:13, 116:23, 182:23 controlled [1] - 96:17 controlling [1] -183:11 convened [1] - 55:10 conversation [31] -7:10, 7:20, 10:9, 10:14, 11:17, 12:22, 13:2, 13:21, 17:4, 18:14, 18:15, 18:17, 18:25, 19:2, 19:4, 29:15, 48:7, 50:14, 51:5, 51:6, 52:19, 56:7, 60:11, 72:21, 115:23, 118:1, 122:17, 126:3, 126:4, 126:7, 172:5 conversations [11] -11:20, 11:24, 18:4, 48:13, 60:13, 73:4, 74:12, 114:20, 117:1, 121:12, 125:10 conversion [1] -104:25 convert [1] - 122:6 Cook [3] - 1:15, 185:6, 185:23 cooled [1] - 70:18 cooling [1] - 148:21 cooperative [2] -111:13, 111:14 coordinated [1] copy [8] - 16:6, 28:17, 45:14, 45:16, 57:2, 57:5, 58:16, 121:22 core [4] - 10:3, 69:25, 100:7, 173:6 Corp [1] - 128:5 corporations [1] -87:7 correct [17] - 9:6, 23:20, 25:20, 31:5, 40:2, 46:5, 48:1, 49:14, 56:23, 78:7, 81:10, 90:5, 105:17, 105:18, 143:19, 154:18, 155:3 correction [1] - 25:20

correspondence [1] corroborative [1] -128:18 cost [52] - 64:5, 64:11, 64:21, 64:22, 70:22, 83:18, 84:10, 84:11, 87:16, 88:13, 95:12, 96:3, 98:5, 102:21, 103:15, 103:21, 105:6, 106:22, 125:18, 126:1, 129:5, 129:10, 129:20, 135:19, 146:1, 147:23, 150:3, 150:5, 151:25, 152:10, 153:9, 157:20, 160:21, 160:23, 160:24, 161:2, 161:4, 161:14, 161:15, 161:16, 162:5, 164:17, 165:23, 165:25, 168:12. 170:14. 171:13, 176:13, 178:12 cost-driven [1] -171:13 costs [9] - 84:17, 100:16, 103:5, 129:2, 130:14, 130:16, 130:19, 161:3, 178:22 council [34] - 35:23, 36:1, 42:11, 42:18, 44:15, 51:18, 51:20, 52:11, 52:16, 53:2, 53:6, 53:16, 53:19, 53:25, 54:2, 54:22, 55:2, 55:8, 55:9, 55:16, 55:17, 55:20, 56:5, 56:11, 60:14, 72:11, 73:23, 74:22, 98:20, 100:25, 101:7, 116:21, 130:25 COUNCIL [2] - 1:1, 186:2 council's [1] - 130:2 Counsel [3] - 48:16, 49:3, 49:18 **COUNSEL** [1] - 2:1 counsel [14] - 5:3, 18:21, 36:7, 44:13, 49:12, 49:21, 49:22, 49:24, 49:25, 50:21, 80:9, 80:11, 185:14,

counsel's [1] - 116:8 count [2] - 127:23, 182:7 counties [1] - 100:1 country [7] - 107:21, 128:12, 138:7, 147:9, 148:18, 148:20, 150:13 county [2] - 101:13, 107:16 **COUNTY** [1] - 185:4 couple [16] - 8:6, 27:14, 70:13, 73:20, 96:17, 98:17, 101:25, 114:21, 138:10, 152:5, 156:7, 156:13, 156:23, 162:14, 180:14 course [4] - 46:15, 109:18, 113:5, 182:15 court [1] - 128:12 courtesy [3] - 10:18, 12:16, 13:3 cover [5] - 58:5, 121:19, 126:10, 136:9, 177:20 coverage [1] - 117:19 covered [3] - 57:16, 58:2, 166:11 COVID [2] - 100:20, 184:2 craft [3] - 90:16, 100:25, 120:22 crash [1] - 181:22 crazy [1] - 154:13 created [1] - 72:8 credit [2] - 113:8, 117:20 critical [3] - 12:1, 119:13, 182:1 cross [1] - 73:11 crossed [1] - 39:12 CRR [3] - 1:15, 185:6, 185:23 crystal [2] - 155:7, 171:8 cumulative [1] -164:15 curious [2] - 169:3, 170.2 current [10] - 38:24, 64:16, 64:21, 80:15, 96:19, 122:8, 125:16, 176:3, 179:25 Curry [13] - 8:8, 8:20, 27:24, 54:11, 54:17, 57:19, 66:11, 66:14,

correctly [2] - 42:10,

185:16

71:8, 72:2, 72:9, 74:6, 74:8 Curry's [1] - 28:22 curve [3] - 138:11, 168:19, 182:7 curves [2] - 161:14, 161:15 **cusp** [1] - 183:2 customer [9] - 86:22, 99:4, 144:3, 153:2, 153:13, 159:13, 161:24, 177:11, 183:6 customer's [1] - 96:3 **Customers** [1] - 151:9 customers [16] - 6:9, 70:2, 70:18, 70:21, 95:11, 95:18, 99:3, 100:17, 100:21, 101:1, 101:2, 105:4, 137:22, 159:19, 163:19, 177:24 cut [1] - 78:25 cycle [3] - 55:4, 82:8, 104:25

D

daily [2] - 86:4, 86:13 damage [1] - 132:11 damages [3] - 129:2, 129:3, 129:5 dark [2] - 99:11, 138:12 darn [1] - 157:20 data [11] - 93:1, 136:23, 137:3, 157:4, 168:3, 169:8, 170:3, 170:7, 171:20, 174:13, 174:17 **DATE** [1] - 1:8 date [14] - 6:22, 11:7, 14:21, 14:22, 16:2, 21:7, 21:8, 21:9, 21:23, 23:15, 23:16, 25:23, 28:2, 88:4 **Date** [2] - 162:23, 186:24 dated [2] - 59:17, 79:22 **DATED** [1] - 185:19 dates [1] - 22:1 days [10] - 9:16, 40:14, 56:9, 72:21, 97:12, 102:13, 104:23, 104:24, 108:8 **DB** [1] - 158:20

DBs [1] - 158:20

deal [8] - 65:7, 73:3, 88:21, 98:8, 105:7, 105:8, 114:25, 134:1 dealing [1] - 62:11 deals [1] - 29:10 death [7] - 90:21, 92:7, 92:10, 115:8, 154:16, 154:19, 154:20 debate [2] - 72:12, 80:10 debt [10] - 121:25, 122:1, 122:3, 122:9, 122:25, 124:15, 150:25, 176:19, 179:24, 181:14 decade [23] - 9:25, 81:25, 83:4, 122:3, 122:22, 123:17, 137:17, 137:18, 154:1, 155:15, 156:4, 156:5, 156:8, 156:11, 158:21, 160:23, 162:1, 165:11, 173:18, 183:3, 183:4, 183:7, 183:10 **Decade** [1] - 149:14 decades [1] - 177:14 **December** [28] - 1:8, 8:15, 8:16, 9:9, 14:9, 14:20, 20:18, 21:8, 21:17, 21:23, 23:15, 23:19, 23:21, 24:15, 35:22, 36:23, 39:23, 40:20. 43:11. 43:14. 43:20, 47:25, 48:4, 72:16, 109:19, 185:19, 186:5 decided [4] - 88:7, 116:6, 131:11, 137:4 decile [1] - 148:17 decision [17] - 35:11. 48:18, 49:4, 49:6, 50:9, 53:10, 61:1, 72:12, 74:9, 74:18, 81:20, 83:20, 84:5, 114:11, 131:3, 138:23, 142:3 decision's [1] - 49:4 decisions [3] - 109:8, 138:20, 173:11 declare [1] - 186:21 decline [1] - 141:7 decommission [1] -84:9 decrease [1] - 151:19 decreased [1] - 68:5 decreasing [1] - 68:11

DC [1] - 153:20

defensive [1] - 171:25 defined [1] - 50:13 defining [1] - 48:16 definition [3] - 27:8, 53:24, 144:9 **DeGhetto** [1] - 33:25 **degree** [1] - 102:13 delayed [1] - 106:20 delays [1] - 64:5 deleted [1] - 59:3 deliver [2] - 55:23, 70:21 delivering [1] - 70:1 Deloitte [4] - 88:9, 88:10, 90:1, 108:24 demand [32] - 91:5, 99:2, 101:24, 102:2, 102:5, 102:8, 102:11, 102:18, 102:21, 103:16, 103:18, 103:19, 103:20, 103:23, 104:3, 104:4, 104:6, 104:10, 105:5, 105:15, 105:20, 141:9, 141:25, 142:10, 145:12, 164:4, 168:18, 168:23, 170:21, 170:23, 172:14 demonstrating [2] -121:13, 152:15 deny [1] - 54:16 denying [1] - 16:14 department [3] -106:21, 146:24 dependent [1] - 181:8 **DEPOSITION** [1] -186.4 depth [1] - 123:4 derail [1] - 43:2 derive [1] - 85:7 **Describe** [1] - 44:6 described [3] - 17:19, 23:1, 46:1 **describing** [2] - 41:2, 56:21 design [1] - 121:18 designed [1] - 118:22 desire [1] - 71:22 desired [1] - 85:15 desist [2] - 42:17, 60:12 destiny [2] - 182:23, 183:11 detail [1] - 108:17 detailed [6] - 21:3, 21:22, 44:13, 67:1,

108:2, 175:24 determination [1] -68:7 determine [4] - 52:13, 57:6, 57:7, 85:20 determined [1] - 82:19 **determines** [1] - 45:3 determining [1] - 66:5 develop [4] - 68:12, 85:10, 105:20, 157:23 Developed [1] -162:23 developed [5] - 106:1, 120:11, 140:5, 141:11, 141:15 developing [2] -105:15, 109:17 development [3] -10:20, 183:1, 183:15 devices [2] - 104:2, 104:9 devise [1] - 63:20 **DG** [3] - 158:9, 158:10, 158:21 differ [2] - 69:21, 151:21 difference [1] - 169:17 different [14] - 13:11, 14:19, 22:17, 73:25, 89:23, 90:19, 97:2, 111:9, 111:22, 112:13, 115:2, 147:13, 169:9 differential [1] -167:20 differs [2] - 168:8 difficult [6] - 71:24, 75:13, 112:2, 147:12, 147:19, 147:21 dig [1] - 103:1 digitizing [1] - 109:13 diligence [1] - 68:3 diminished [1] -181:23 dinner [8] - 14:18, 14:19, 15:5, 15:7, 17:25, 20:18, 23:17, 24:6 direct [8] - 30:22, 37:19, 37:21, 48:7, 68:9, 110:13, 156:2 directed [2] - 13:6, 107:6 directing [1] - 8:3 direction [4] - 60:15, 75:2, 88:11, 107:8 directive [2] - 42:13, 61:17

directly [4] - 56:6, 56:7, 61:18, 81:4 director [2] - 36:13, 37:22 dis [1] - 96:23 disagree [3] - 95:22, 95:23, 96:23 disagreement [1] -68:21 disappointed [1] -106:24 disappointment [2] -107:12, 107:14 disastrous [1] -126:13 disclosed [3] - 66:23, 91:2, 91:3 disclosing [1] - 45:2 disclosure [5] - 32:16, 46:4, 80:15, 122:10, 122:16 disconnecting [1] -100:21 discount [1] - 65:5 discourse [1] - 80:11 discovery [1] - 130:7 discuss [10] - 21:24, 66:13, 68:14, 68:17, 113:15, 114:14, 125:5, 141:13, 146:19, 162:7 discussed [5] - 40:19, 59:13, 64:7, 110:5, 175:23 **discussing** [1] - 18:19 discussion [14] - 7:3, 22:6, 36:22, 48:23, 57:13, 57:23, 59:8, 63:3, 69:8, 72:15, 76:17, 79:8, 95:25, 110:4 discussions [7] -12:9, 35:24, 50:4, 69:19, 72:25, 88:2, 128:23 disenchantment [1] -75.11 disposable [2] - 96:6, 152:20 dispose [1] - 137:13 Disruption [1] -149:14 disruption [1] -149:21 disruptive [1] - 37:3 distracted [1] - 110:1 distraction [1] -110:22 distracts [2] - 130:6, 130:7

106:20, 109:3

details [3] - 37:10,

distributed [14] -9:17, 16:5, 34:25, efficiencies [2] - 17:3, 148:18, 148:23, 181:20 36:15, 38:5, 38:17, 81:17, 81:19, doom-and-gloom [4] -178:12 149:1, 149:4 151:14, 151:17, 143:1, 160:11, 38:20, 39:3, 39:14, efficiency [43] - 81:18, electrification [23] -157:15, 157:25, 162:7, 172:23 39:21, 40:10, 41:9, 138:1, 138:5, 138:6, 81:23, 82:3, 84:19, 158:10, 158:13, dormant [1] - 7:10 42:3, 44:3, 61:15, 87:20, 94:15, 94:24, 139:9, 139:12, 158:18, 159:7, double [3] - 102:23, 76:4, 113:17, 95:4, 95:6, 95:9, 140:3, 140:10, 102:24, 159:1 159:10, 177:3, 177:9 146:21, 167:7, 95:15, 95:16, 96:4, 140:16, 140:23, 170:2, 170:5 141:1, 141:10, distribution [1] -96:10, 96:15, 96:18, down [31] - 37:15, Dykes' [1] - 175:10 141:18, 141:24, 96:22, 142:16, 142:8 53:8, 58:16, 64:20, Dykes's [1] - 170:9 143:23, 144:8, 142:6, 142:11, dividends [1] - 183:12 81:13, 81:20, 82:11, 154:25, 155:2, DO [1] - 186:2 84:2, 84:14, 85:24, dynamics [2] - 70:24, 144:9, 145:4, 87:1 145:13, 145:14, 155:17, 155:20, doable [1] - 166:7 87:4, 87:9, 88:13, 145:15, 145:16, 156:8, 163:6, doc [1] - 17:15 98:4, 98:23, 103:10, 106:5, 106:13, Ε 145:18, 145:21, 172:17, 181:18 document [48] -109:15, 113:14, 146:5, 147:1, electrification's [1] -14:11, 14:16, 14:18, e-mail [11] - 22:19 147:11, 147:19, 154:1 121:16, 132:10, 14:23, 15:23, 16:6, 23:14, 24:19, 24:20, 148:5, 148:11, electronics [2] -16:20, 16:22, 17:6, 136:16, 143:8, 33:12, 33:17, 36:12, 149:7, 151:12, 145:12, 149:6 17:7, 17:9, 17:18, 153:6, 157:22, 45:20, 49:16, 118:5, 153:11, 153:14, 158:20, 165:17, elevate [1] - 72:9 17:19, 17:25, 18:20, 119:13 165:25, 174:20, 157:14, 159:10, eliminate [1] - 104:9 19:1, 36:15, 41:3, e-mails [1] - 31:17 42:5, 44:1, 44:25, 177:18 159:21, 179:25 Elizabeth [1] - 80:24 earliest [1] - 21:8 downgrade [1] - 117:5 Efficiency [1] - 143:23 embedded [1] -45:19, 46:25, 59:9, early [20] - 23:22, dozens [1] - 101:21 efficient [13] - 81:17, 59:11, 59:17, 59:19, 129:13 44:9, 48:13, 50:20, 88:12, 95:19, 95:20, 67:9, 87:13, 88:4, **draft** [11] - 50:25, 51:1, emblazoned [1] -61:4, 72:16, 76:23, 109:12. 145:9. 121:20, 121:22, 53:4, 55:13, 57:6, 126:10 82:14, 88:24, 121:23, 122:19, 57:8, 58:11, 173:25, 145:23, 146:10, Emera [3] - 12:24, 100:21, 106:19, 123:10, 123:11, 174:1, 174:6 147:14, 147:15, 13:5, 29:25 108:21, 110:2, 151:24, 159:12, 123:12, 123:13, dramatically [1] emerged [1] - 69:18 124:11, 143:14, 160:1 123:18, 123:21, 99:25 emergency [2] -160:16, 173:17, efficiently [1] - 98:21 126:8, 146:21, draw [1] - 8:5 101:9, 101:13 178:15, 178:18 157:8, 175:19, effort [2] - 15:3, 38:20 drawer [1] - 142:18 emerging [1] - 183:22 earn [1] - 96:13 175:24, 177:2, efforts [2] - 93:6, drawing [1] - 68:9 eminent [1] - 128:25 easier [1] - 103:22 179:9, 186:21 105:20 drive [7] - 69:3, 69:5, emissions [1] - 97:19 East [1] - 1:21 egg [1] - 81:22 documentation [2] -86:5, 86:14, 165:25, emphasis [2] easy [1] - 147:11 43:5, 56:4 eight [1] - 147:25 170:17 119:20, 119:21 economic [15] - 10:19, documenting [1] -Drive [2] - 1:10, 2:4 either [7] - 18:11, employed [1] - 26:18 10:22, 63:22, 83:7, 17:15 27:5, 29:5, 117:15, driven [2] - 68:25, employee [5] - 26:4, 83:20, 84:1, 84:5, documents [11] -132:9. 178:21. 171:13 37:13, 61:17, 84:12, 96:5, 130:22, 12:13, 39:10, 47:4, 178:22 driver [1] - 139:10 185:14, 185:15 168:15, 182:25, 68:21, 79:13, 80:14, drivers [1] - 84:6 electric [39] - 15:2, **employees** [7] - 14:3, 183:1, 183:14, 91:15, 93:6, 123:3, 24:17, 24:23, 63:25, drives [1] - 171:15 36:16, 36:17, 36:19, 183:18 123:7, 149:19 68:4, 68:11, 70:10, driving [1] - 107:24 37:14, 42:13, 43:12 economically [1] dollar [1] - 150:3 81:24, 82:4, 98:1, drop [4] - 144:19, encouraging [2] - 8:4, 83:6 dollars [6] - 149:17, 102:10, 102:22, 160:20, 161:8, 95:18 economics [2] -104:15, 111:24, 164:5, 164:6, 161:10 end [29] - 17:4, 71:14, 65:10, 172:2 180:22, 180:25 112:25, 128:8, dropped [2] - 19:16, 82:17, 82:22, 84:6, economy [5] - 96:6, done [29] - 9:2, 14:25, 136:24, 138:4, 79:11 85:23, 89:5, 94:4, 138:1, 146:7, 146:9, 143:25, 145:4, 27:20, 46:14, 46:19, 103:2. 108:6. dryer [1] - 104:9 172:18 145:18, 146:11, 50:16, 54:4, 54:5, due [3] - 68:3, 100:11, 108:12, 109:10, economy's [1] -148:23, 149:4, 61:6, 61:9, 62:25, 127:12 109:18, 113:20, 155:19 149:22, 150:17, 72:22, 73:3, 73:19, during [18] - 7:7, 117:16, 129:23, effect [3] - 48:8, 65:2, 73:20, 73:22, 73:24, 151:13, 151:15, 26:16, 26:17, 37:3, 131:11, 132:12, 148:10 151:18, 154:23, 81:9, 87:4, 136:3, 150:2, 153:9, 61:4, 64:20, 68:5, effective [2] - 88:12, 154:24, 154:25, 140:13, 141:20, 78:11, 81:24, 153:22, 153:24, 151:25 149:25, 167:25, 155:10, 170:18, 105:16, 110:10, 155:15, 158:21, effectively [5] - 97:13. 169:19, 173:7, 173:7, 176:11, 114:3, 120:25, 165:11, 175:14, 98:21, 109:1, 110:1, 177:7, 178:4, 183:21 177:12, 182:13 178:6 145:19, 177:5 152:10 electrical [1] - 81:15 doom [6] - 141:5, ended [5] - 53:23, dust [2] - 29:14, 87:10 effectiveness [1] electricity [8] - 70:22, 143:1, 160:11, 68:11, 82:14, 88:22, **DUVAL** [1] - 185:4 153:10 84:4, 96:4, 148:16, 162:7, 172:23, 122:22 Dykes [22] - 8:24,

ends [3] - 21:6, 103:13, 152:10 Energy [4] - 128:11, 131:25, 151:10, 172:15 energy [50] - 63:25, 81:17, 81:18, 81:23, 94:15, 94:24, 95:3, 95:6, 95:8, 95:9, 95:15, 95:16, 95:19, 96:10, 96:15, 96:18, 96:21, 105:7, 137:25, 139:17, 139:22, 143:18, 143:23, 145:3, 145:15, 145:16, 145:18, 145:21, 145:23, 146:4, 146:10, 147:1, 147:11, 147:14, 147:15, 148:12, 150:13, 151:12, 153:11, 153:13, 157:14, 159:9, 159:12, 159:21, 168:4. 169:8. 169:14, 169:21, 174:11 engage [4] - 50:10, 56:10, 90:6, 107:9 engaged [8] - 25:3, 26:15, 29:7, 31:8, 36:2, 36:4, 63:18, 80:21 engaging [1] - 85:24 engines [1] - 147:24 enormous [2] -139:24, 183:12 ensure [4] - 86:23, 142:9, 168:17, 170:22 ENTER [1] - 186:2 enter [3] - 97:15, 98:14, 126:3 entered [3] - 42:12, 63:24, 116:15 entire [3] - 117:6, 128:8, 159:24 entities [4] - 19:6, 34:14, 46:6, 46:17 entitled [3] - 149:13, 151:9, 175:22 entity [4] - 35:25, 47:18, 97:3, 141:8 entity's [1] - 47:14 entries [2] - 81:2, 157:3 entry [4] - 21:22, 22:3, 80:1, 80:24 environment [2] -

86:25, 152:18 environmental [7] -95:13, 98:2, 99:22, 113:3, 152:16, 182:24, 182:25 environmentally [1] -83:5 **EOC** [2] - 101:12, 101:16 EPA [2] - 153:21, 155:24 equal [2] - 146:4, 158:5 equation [1] - 161:4 equipment [1] - 70:10 equity [9] - 96:13, 180:10, 180:18, 181:9, 181:12, 181:19, 181:22, 181:23, 182:8 Eric [6] - 10:9, 10:13, 10:15, 10:16, 10:22, 27:17 eroding [1] - 147:2 erosion [1] - 172:17 ES2 [2] - 172:7, 172:11 escalate [1] - 129:11 escalates [1] - 129:15 especially [4] -135:23, 153:17, 165:10, 166:2 Esquire [3] - 2:3, 2:3, 2:8 essence [1] - 120:17 essentially [13] - 17:4, 18:11, 32:21, 97:14, 102:18, 110:21, 118:7, 122:24, 128:6, 132:13, 155:19, 162:5, 177:5 establishing [1] -136:15 estate [3] - 153:1, 153:9, 163:6 estimate [2] - 151:21 estimated [1] - 151:17 **estimation** [1] - 179:9 et [20] - 15:18, 17:3, 28:10, 47:18, 65:8, 67:2, 70:23, 84:13, 87:1, 95:20, 116:19, 120:14, 120:15, 120:17, 122:7, 134:21, 138:7, 146:1 evaluate [3] - 39:8, 86:19, 130:9

evaluated [3] - 99:9,

evaluating [1] -

99.14

107:15 evaluation [32] - 8:14, 8:21, 9:5, 9:15, 10:5, 15:12, 18:7, 18:17, 21:13, 22:7, 22:9, 25:3, 30:15, 31:4, 32:16, 35:8, 36:25, 38:8, 38:14, 38:15, 38:23, 39:1, 39:16, 40:8, 40:13, 41:25, 43:24, 46:11, 72:16, 72:18, 173:21, 173:22 evening [1] - 15:25 event [6] - 37:6, 44:17, 45:2, 65:2, 65:4 events [2] - 101:10, 177:20 evidence [1] - 111:16 evolve [1] - 112:23 evolved [1] - 112:24 ex [1] - 74:19 exact [2] - 14:21, 59:11 exactly [1] - 43:4 exaggerated [1] -149:8 exaggeration [1] -70:11 Examination [1] - 1:14 **EXAMINATION** [6] -3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 5:9, 91:19, 175:12 example [4] - 47:21, 72:1, 97:7, 145:17 examples [1] - 72:1 exceed [1] - 176:22 except [1] - 49:21 exceptional [2] - 70:2, 157:21 **exceptions** [1] - 149:2 excerpt [7] - 57:19, 84:23, 151:8, 156:18, 162:19, 172:6, 175:19 excluded [2] - 17:18, 58:12 **excluding** [1] - 47:24 excuse [6] - 19:16, 22:22, 31:22, 51:18, 62:4, 81:18 excused [1] - 184:9 executed [3] - 45:16, 45:19, 108:7 executive [1] - 106:11 Exelon [2] - 13:22, 13:25 exercise [3] - 73:19, 73:20, 85:11

57:19, 71:4, 74:3, 162:15, 172:4 Exhibit [116] - 3:19, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 3:24, 3:25, 4:1, 4:2, 4:3, 4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:15, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, 5:11, 5:17, 8:6, 8:18, 14:8, 14:13, 17:9, 18:20, 20:6, 20:8, 21:2, 21:17, 22:19, 23:12, 24:19, 25:8, 25:10. 25:18. 31:13. 31:17, 31:18, 31:24, 32:6, 33:11, 36:12, 37:11, 43:7, 43:17, 44:18, 44:21, 44:24, 45:11, 45:18, 49:16, 49:21, 50:18, 50:24, 51:8, 51:16, 51:23, 58:4, 58:19, 59:7, 59:15, 61:25, 62:18, 66:10, 66:16, 67:6, 67:15, 75:3, 75:19, 77:12, 78:19, 79:16, 84:23, 89:7, 91:22, 91:24, 92:12, 94:6, 94:18, 136:5, 136:8, 149:13, 151:9, 156:17, 156:18, 156:25, 162:12, 162:13, 162:17, 166:9, 166:11, 166:12, 167:3, 167:14, 172:4, 172:12, 174:24, 175:4, 175:14, 175:17 exhibits [5] - 31:14, 44:21, 71:7, 91:13, 91.17 **Exhibits** [1] - 57:17 exists [1] - 112:24 expand [2] - 163:5, 166:1 expanded [1] - 99:25 expanding [1] - 100:7 expect [3] - 46:3, 140:9, 173:17 expectations [1] -174:7 expecting [1] - 115:12 expense [1] - 92:23 expenses [8] - 92:24, 93:9, 130:18,

135:22, 135:24, 177:15, 177:16, 177:20 expensive [4] - 83:10, 88:8, 135:20, 152:22 **experience** [8] - 67:3, 76:6, 77:16, 77:17, 115:12, 119:9, 119:11, 177:25 expert [3] - 54:1, 119:7, 172:21 expertise [1] - 90:7 experts [1] - 101:25 explain [9] - 63:14, 89:11, 102:4, 126:20, 137:10, 147:5, 168:7, 169:22, 173:4 explains [1] - 26:20 explicitly [1] - 45:1 exploration [1] - 9:2 explore [5] - 8:9, 48:11, 48:18, 74:7, 81:6 exponential [1] -139:8 expressed [1] - 74:20 extended [1] - 37:20 extensive [1] - 168:2 extent [5] - 17:6, 81:23, 127:7, 152:12, 165:22 external [3] - 10:4, 83:12, 181:9 extraordinarily [10] -50:15, 65:10, 66:25, 72:24, 73:25, 74:17, 75:13, 106:7, 127:17, 151:1 extraordinary [4] -15:3, 176:18, 177:23, 180:15 extremely [4] - 50:16, 64:8, 176:6, 180:6

F

face [2] - 94:14, 144:11 facility [6] - 82:16, 97:15, 98:4, 100:1, 103:4 fact [16] - 48:3, 52:14, 54:12, 73:5, 73:17, 76:21, 81:24, 90:4, 119:1, 122:19, 144:17, 146:12, 150:23, 153:7, 159:21, 168:20 factor [3] - 81:19,

exhibit [6] - 37:25,

84:18, 168:20 facts [1] - 186:21 fair [7] - 31:2, 38:25, 66:5, 68:24, 90:13, 110:24, 145:17 fairly [4] - 7:10, 79:25, 83:16, 89:15 Fall [1] - 151:11 fall [1] - 152:7 fam [1] - 27:11 familiar [7] - 14:11, 27:9, 27:11, 27:12, 47:13, 47:23, 91:15 **far** [6] - 37:15, 54:6, 142:17, 148:23, 150:20, 151:24 farm [1] - 152:3 fast [1] - 72:24 favor [6] - 63:7, 71:13, 83:5, 83:6, 131:10, 133:6 favored [1] - 72:3 FAX [1] - 1:22 February [34] - 8:16, 21:6, 21:9, 35:22, 42:10, 42:12, 42:20, 44:4, 44:9, 44:14, 48:13, 52:9, 52:10, 55:24, 57:1, 58:6, 58:9, 59:18, 60:9, 72:7, 80:24, 81:5, 91:3, 108:1, 109:20, 121:11, 121:14, 123:24, 123:25, 124:1, 124:16, 131:9, 167:8 Federal [2] - 128:11, 131:25 fee [1] - 163:7 fees [1] - 150:11 felt [11] - 7:13, 10:3, 36:25, 106:23, 116:1, 116:10, 116:12, 147:7, 147:16, 148:8, 167:18 FERC [2] - 128:10, 132:3 Fernandina [2] -144:4, 144:14 **few** [6] - 62:23, 91:15, 91:17, 101:18, 113:9, 114:22 fiber [2] - 99:11, 163:11 fighting [1] - 128:2 figure [1] - 11:7 figured [2] - 16:5 filed [3] - 128:22,

131:16, 134:14

files [1] - 63:2 filing [5] - 128:12, 131:12, 132:2, 133:23, 134:6 filled [2] - 44:23, 45:2 final [9] - 46:16, 58:12, 58:13, 58:23, 101:8, 130:13, 174:1 finalized [3] - 53:13, 170:8, 173:25 finally [2] - 77:22, 174:2 finance [1] - 48:23 Financial [2] - 58:5, 175:22 financial [29] - 6:14, 10:1, 10:4, 14:10, 15:17, 22:12, 23:23, 24:10, 30:1, 30:14, 47:1, 67:1, 67:5, 69:6, 69:11, 85:24, 112:10, 124:8, 124:14, 146:24, 149:19, 162:8, 176:4, 176:6, 176:19, 183:14 financially [3] - 68:25, 126:24, 185:17 $financially\hbox{-}driven~ \hbox{\scriptsize [1]} \ \hbox{-}$ 68:25 financials [1] - 125:7 fine [1] - 184:5 finish [1] - 73:11 finished [1] - 141:1 finishing [1] - 102:6 firm [4] - 62:9, 63:7, 65:4, 97:22 firms [11] - 15:6, 48:19, 48:24, 49:3, 49:7, 49:9, 49:10, 49:11, 50:4, 87:13, 90.19 First [1] - 25:11 first [27] - 5:11, 14:15, 21:21, 23:15, 28:19, 32:5, 39:22, 44:6, 49:16, 53:1, 55:1, 58:17, 66:24, 94:8, 105:16, 109:19, 120:12, 124:6, 124:19, 126:8, 127:2, 143:21, 144:13, 156:3, 156:11, 157:7 firsthand [1] - 75:8 fit [4] - 27:6, 27:8, 123:22

Five [1] - 175:22

five [27] - 62:8, 62:15,

62:25, 63:10, 68:4,

73:20, 73:21, 73:22, 90:19, 99:15, 111:9, 111:13, 111:15, 112:9, 112:12, 124:23, 132:16, 150:7, 158:22, 171:16, 176:8, 176:10, 177:22, 177:24, 178:1, 178:8, 179:7 five-fold [1] - 68:4 five-year [4] - 112:9, 176:8, 177:22, 178:8 Five-Year [1] - 175:22 FL [1] - 2:10 flexibilities [1] -100:10 flexibility [6] - 97:20, 98:5, 100:3, 100:12, 176:20, 181:14 flexible [1] - 180:6 flip [1] - 180:17 floated [1] - 128:9 Floor [1] - 2:10 floor [1] - 110:11 Florida [12] - 1:11, 1:22, 2:5, 10:10, 10:24, 14:2, 14:3, 14:4, 16:21, 96:14, 148:16, 149:8 FLORIDA [1] - 185:3 flow [2] - 29:6, 182:2 flows [3] - 122:13, 129:20, 180:7 focus [16] - 11:2, 103:19, 103:22, 104:15, 105:2, 106:3, 106:11, 106:25, 107:1, 107:24, 109:19, 120:3, 143:13, 143:16, 168:11 focused [5] - 110:25, 136:3, 142:17, 143:19, 146:1 focusing [1] - 145:23 **fold** [1] - 68:4 folks [6] - 18:9, 35:1, 90:19, 134:23, 152:16, 181:7 follow [6] - 42:8, 71:25, 81:11, 101:21, 105:13, 156:10 follow-up [5] - 42:8, 71:25. 101:21. 105:13, 156:10 **following** [1] - 153:23 **follows** [1] - 5:8

footprints [1] - 96:16 FOR [1] - 3:18 force [9] - 69:2, 86:2, 86:3, 86:12, 87:8, 107:6, 107:9, 110:18, 113:5 forecast [6] - 115:9, 137:17, 168:17, 174:11, 178:17, 181:15 Forecast [1] - 172:16 forefront [1] - 107:17 foregoing [2] - 185:9, 186:21 foresaw [1] - 126:15 foreseeable [1] -141:8 form [9] - 50:3, 58:13, 58:18, 68:22, 93:5, 130:18, 142:4, 173:25, 174:1 forma [1] - 24:23 formal [1] - 20:4 format [3] - 53:16, 57:7, 68:22 former [4] - 27:15, 27:16, 113:16, 118:3 formerly [2] - 25:14, 26:1 Forsyth [1] - 1:21 forth [3] - 29:12, 55:14, 57:8 forthcoming [1] -155:23 fortune [1] - 65:15 forward [37] - 36:24, 40:7, 48:17, 48:18, 49:1, 49:5, 49:6, 50:17, 52:22, 53:23, 56:1, 56:9, 56:12, 60:15, 64:11, 72:13, 73:6, 82:7, 82:17, 83:15, 90:16, 99:17, 109:14, 112:8, 112:20, 113:25, 114:6, 114:9, 127:18, 131:10, 139:1, 141:22, 151:13, 154:12, 180:2, 183:9, 184:1 forward-looking [1] -114:9 foundation [3] -88:23, 173:6, 173:15 four [14] - 34:12, 104:13, 111:12, 111:15, 132:18, 163:2, 163:8, 164:19, 168:5, 169:9, 172:16,

172:19, 174:12, 183:17 **FPL** [4] - 82:11, 82:14, 83:15, 84:8 **FPR** [3] - 1:15, 185:6, 185:23 FR [1] - 132:3 frame [22] - 7:11, 8:12, 10:7, 10:13, 10:16, 11:3, 11:11, 23:22, 27:4, 30:8, 39:20, 72:3, 72:7, 72:18, 72:19, 72:20, 74:4, 109:20, 110:10, 133:11, 155:4, 155:5 framework [2] - 85:18, 93.14 frankly [25] - 59:12, 71:17, 72:6, 76:8, 77:1, 91:1, 95:24, 96:15, 97:25, 99:1, 99:15, 111:24, 115:15, 117:9, 128:7, 133:12, 146:15, 150:9, 150:12, 154:10, 168:11, 171:1, 180:4, 180:12 FRC [1] - 128:10 FRCC [1] - 128:10 free [2] - 98:24, 100:25 freight [1] - 171:12 friend [1] - 184:4 Frog [1] - 88:23 frog [1] - 136:9 front [2] - 6:22, 134:21 fruit [1] - 147:9 frying [1] - 136:10 fuel [1] - 150:11 fuels [1] - 168:22 full [10] - 85:25, 86:2, 86:3, 86:12, 102:22, 107:2, 107:9, 153:2, 165:1, 178:4 fully [1] - 66:1 fun [1] - 91:23 fundamental [1] - 94:9 fundamentally [2] -95:22, 95:23 furnished [1] - 121:22 future [24] - 12:17, 12:18, 32:23, 67:8, 80:12, 90:17, 102:3, 126:1, 126:19, 126:24, 130:23, 137:15, 139:10, 140:1, 140:11, 141:8, 142:13, 151:13, 155:25,

178:11, 180:11,

footprint [1] - 96:5

182:4, 183:12 172:23, 181:2, 172:19, 172:20, heater [1] - 104:7 home [3] - 104:2, 173:17, 177:5, 181:11 heating [5] - 102:16, 107:25, 166:15 G global [3] - 87:7, 177:7, 177:10, 102:17, 138:6, homes [1] - 149:1 90:19, 130:24 177:11, 178:22 148:21, 148:22 hopefully [1] - 116:21 gain [3] - 120:3, gloom [6] - 141:6, guaranty [1] - 96:19 heavy [2] - 64:1 hoping [2] - 116:23, 178:12 143:1, 160:11, guard [2] - 76:16, Hedquist [1] - 1:21 169:16 gallon [3] - 147:25, 162:7, 172:23, 76:17 heels [1] - 52:2 **horizon** [1] - 176:8 148:1, 148:3 181.20 guess [34] - 9:3, 11:4, held [4] - 82:5, 83:18, horrible [1] - 120:16 gas [15] - 64:7, 83:24, goal [5] - 88:13, 90:1, 11:15, 17:5, 27:4, 117:11, 161:15 horribles [1] - 126:13 84:13, 84:16, 97:21, 113:20, 132:24, 45:6, 49:8, 50:3, hello [1] - 19:10 hot [2] - 148:22, 98:11, 99:14, 133:1 57:24, 65:17, 73:4, help [13] - 48:11, 86:5, 180.18 100:10, 125:19, goals [10] - 69:1, 69:4, 75:23, 80:9, 112:7, 86:14, 90:16, 94:23, hotel [1] - 59:20 139:21, 149:4, 85:11, 85:13, 85:21, 112:17, 114:11, 95:2, 126:23, 133:7, hour [9] - 64:10, 149:6, 163:13, 86:1, 86:5, 86:10, 116:7, 124:18, 142:21, 170:1, 122:16, 129:12, 165:6, 171:18 86:24 87:2 126:23, 131:16, 172:4, 178:10 129:13, 129:17, gasoline [2] - 146:11, Goldman [4] - 23:22, 133:3, 133:4, 143:4, helped [2] - 126:19, 150:4, 150:5, 150:6, 147:24 45:19, 46:15, 49:22 146:8, 149:3, 162:8 158:18 General [4] - 48:16, 155:22, 156:3, governance [1] helpful [4] - 17:21, hours [8] - 21:24, 49:3, 78:10, 158:24 158:10, 161:13, 116:18 43:3, 93:21, 93:22 40:17, 70:14, 70:15, general [9] - 7:9, 10:2, 171:23, 179:3, government [4] helps [5] - 36:9, 45:6, 94:4, 124:20, 18:20, 39:19, 44:13, 36:13, 62:7, 96:17, 179:20, 180:9, 95:2 131:17, 149:17 61:19, 80:7, 87:6, 180:11 145:16 high [15] - 46:11, house [3] - 103:12, 116:8 guessing [1] - 20:21 government's [3] -68:19, 92:18, 92:24, 103:13, 165:19 generally [13] - 37:19, guys [4] - 134:11. 116:17, 116:22, 107:10, 157:21, household [2] - 145:8, 37:21, 47:18, 68:18, 183:24, 184:6, 184:7 116:24 158:1, 158:9, 147:22 71:8, 85:1, 102:12, governmental [1] -159:15, 178:6, housing [2] - 145:8, 126:21, 130:15, 47:14 н 179:22, 181:15, 159:24 168:7, 168:14, governments [1] -181:16, 182:10 Howard [8] - 9:8, 22:8, half [14] - 64:9, 82:12, 169:3, 171:4 115:20 higher [13] - 7:6, 31:25, 34:25, 41:16, 82:13. 122:16. generate [4] - 97:17, Governor [3] - 27:15, 89:20, 93:9, 119:25, 41:21, 41:22, 41:23 130:17, 135:24, 160:25, 164:13, 29:15, 29:19 129:5, 140:11, huge [1] - 139:16 168:23 154:1, 156:3, 156:5, governor [1] - 29:17 146:6, 148:17, Hughes [10] - 26:25, generated [3] - 64:19, 156:7, 156:11, graph [6] - 136:17, 155:3, 156:6, 27:2, 31:25, 34:22, 117:10, 171:3 159:1, 165:11, 136:19, 136:22, 176:13, 176:14, 35:2, 53:5, 53:22, generating [5] - 84:4, 173:18 139:7, 144:1, 151:16 181:5 62:2, 121:9, 121:17 halls [1] - 134:18 84:17, 163:9, great [4] - 98:2, 98:23, highest [2] - 102:11, Hulsey [2] - 1:10, 2:4 hammered [1] - 153:3 164:10, 164:21 138:9, 153:3 122.2 hundreds [1] - 164:6 hand [4] - 101:6, generation [18] greater [3] - 93:11, highlighted [5] hurdle [1] - 131:21 81:17, 81:19, 83:1, 130:10, 143:22 112:4, 143:24 51:25, 53:9, 58:25, hurt [1] - 94:23 83:24, 103:5, handling [1] - 125:4 greatly [2] - 62:12, 157:3, 172:14 hurts [1] - 152:14 147:14, 150:14, hands [1] - 123:16 63:13 **hinder** [1] - 105:25 HVAC [3] - 145:10, 157:15, 158:10, hanging [1] - 147:8 green [2] - 169:13, hindrance [1] - 76:1 145:24, 159:25 158:13, 158:19, hard [4] - 90:15, 172:17 hindsight [1] - 54:24 159:8, 159:10, 106:8, 123:20, 142:2 Greive [1] - 43:9 hire [1] - 50:21 ı 170:18, 171:14, hate [1] - 147:8 grid [6] - 93:17, 142:8, hired [3] - 36:7, 80:4, 173:7, 177:3, 177:9 health [3] - 149:22, ICF [1] - 140:17 158:7, 160:8, 80.7 genesis [1] - 121:18 176:4, 176:6 idea [5] - 47:13, 97:2, 160:13, 160:16 historian [1] - 132:17 gentle [1] - 101:2 healthy [1] - 184:3 103:18, 109:24, ground [1] - 101:5 historical [2] - 70:7, genuinely [1] - 183:25 hear [12] - 7:4, 19:12, 124.7 group [4] - 37:15, 94:13 Georgia [2] - 128:6, 19:14, 19:20, 52:4, ideas [1] - 128:9 49:11, 49:22, 99:10 history [3] - 65:14, 135:2 54:10, 60:8, 73:4, identical [1] - 174:13 groups [1] - 140:4 65:15, 100:5 Gibbs [1] - 55:14 78:24, 124:6, 151:14 identification [31] groves [1] - 142:15 hit [2] - 149:9, 159:23 gift [2] - 109:2 heard [8] - 27:12, 5:18, 8:19, 14:14, growing [4] - 68:7, hold [4] - 81:21, given [26] - 7:5, 36:16, 42:9, 50:8, 65:18, 20:9, 23:13, 25:9, 141:23, 160:21 134:19, 161:21, 36:20, 38:24, 39:20, 78:23, 122:18, 25:19, 32:7, 37:12, growth [20] - 82:7, 177:18 41:10. 41:15. 41:16. 124:14. 160:15 43:18, 44:19, 45:12, 137:21, 138:14, holders [1] - 111:18 49:24, 59:18, 66:6, hearing [1] - 128:10 50:19, 51:9, 51:24, 139:8, 139:11, holding [2] - 52:4, 74:6, 75:17, 80:10, heat [3] - 102:15, 58:20, 59:16, 62:19, 146:6, 146:17, 134:20 93:24, 94:7, 103:17, 102:17, 149:1 66:17, 67:16, 75:4, 153:13, 156:6, Holland [3] - 62:5, 122:10, 125:16, heated [1] - 124:12 77:13, 78:20, 89:8, 156:12, 156:14, 62:10, 125:3 128:23, 137:4,

92:13, 94:19, 157:1, 162:18, 167:15, 172:13, 175:18 **IDENTIFICATION** [1] -3:18 identified [6] - 6:7, 14:1, 49:21, 109:4, 141:9, 164:11 identifies [1] - 118:5 identify [1] - 13:17 ignored [1] - 143:3 ignores [3] - 150:23, 151.23 ignoring [1] - 171:24 **III** [1] - 2:8 imagine [1] - 153:12 immediate [1] -155:21 impact [11] - 70:11, 85:24, 95:7, 95:13, 104:19, 120:7, 124:7, 143:23, 149:20, 177:9, 183:19 **Impact** [1] - 149:13 impactful [1] - 171:13 impacts [3] - 138:24, 151:11, 168:15 impediment [1] -65:19 implement [4] - 109:8, 109:16, 142:21, 164:17 implementation [4] -84:24, 108:4, 109:4, 153:23 implemented [5] -85:3, 109:6, 109:7, 111:17, 140:23 implementing [4] -88:15, 102:6, 110:20, 141:23 implied [1] - 118:17 important [4] - 37:1, 86:8, 102:2, 168:20 importantly [1] -108:20 **improve** [1] - 119:24 improvement [2] -179:24, 180:4 **improving** [1] - 110:20 inability [2] - 74:21, 120:14 inaccurate [1] - 6:4 incent [1] - 105:4 incentive [2] - 73:24, 173:16 incentives [1] - 140:10 inches [1] - 153:6 include [1] - 112:15

included [5] - 53:3, 53:4, 81:14, 93:5, 169:7 includes [3] - 158:25, 177:4, 178:4 including [5] - 6:24, 17:20, 47:1, 101:25, 170:7 income [2] - 96:6, 152:20 incompatible [1] -111:25 incompetence [3] -120:14, 124:10, 128:24 inconsistency [1] -116:3 inconsistent [3] -115:10, 164:22, 172:22 inconsistently [1] -116:15 incorporate [1] -140:13 incorporated [3] -140:20, 141:20, 143:7 increase [12] - 82:6, 136:24, 154:24, 163:24, 168:4, 169:9, 177:25, 178:6, 178:9, 178:19, 178:24, 179.8 Increase [1] - 151:10 increased [6] - 64:6, 68:3, 99:12, 172:17, 179:4, 183:8 increases [3] - 126:2, 165:21, 181:19 increasing [1] -176:19 increment [2] -147:18, 148:11 incremental [2] -100:16, 102:23 incurred [1] - 130:16 Independent [2] -1:10. 2:4 independent [2] - 8:1, 32:16 independently [1] -132:18 indicated [8] - 10:17, 12:8, 13:1, 16:13, 40:11, 42:9, 111:3,

139:5

151:16

indicates [2] - 24:20,

indicating [1] - 116:9

indicative [2] - 74:14, 176:24 individual [4] - 7:2, 134:16, 151:25, 152.8 individual's [1] - 86:4 individually [1] -152:19 industrial [1] - 163:14 industry [19] - 77:18, 77:22, 82:3, 82:4, 98:1, 99:1, 100:16, 100:20, 105:11, 112:25, 115:10, 115:13, 122:2, 128:8, 128:17, 131:23, 137:24, 142:12, 155:18 industry's [2] - 81:14, 130:2 inflate [1] - 160:23 inflated [1] - 161:16 **inflation** [1] - 181:3 inflationary [1] -180:20 influence [1] - 118:22 informal [1] - 20:4 information [23] -7:16, 9:5, 23:2, 24:9, 24:25, 30:2, 30:14, 30:21, 31:3, 32:18, 33:19, 49:17, 55:22, 57:16, 59:4, 60:5, 66:25, 67:1, 104:21, 115:1, 137:3, 171:21 infrastructure [1] -160:20 Infront [1] - 174:13 inhibiting [1] - 125:7 initial [2] - 83:18, 127:4 initials [1] - 109:4 initiate [1] - 131:4 initiative [5] - 14:10, 39:2, 81:16, 155:1 initiatives [13] - 16:8, 39:6, 106:15, 110:4, 140:3, 140:4, 141:10, 142:25, 155:2, 163:2, 163:4, 164:9, 164:20 Initiatives [1] - 162:23 inordinate [1] - 143:6 input [1] - 43:13 insanity [1] - 134:5 insert [1] - 126:10 inserted [2] - 53:4, 55:12 inside [1] - 27:3

66:20, 110:7, 169:17, 170:4 insightful [1] - 96:25 installation [1] - 152:1 installations [1] -70:17 installed [1] - 152:14 instance [1] - 102:8 instances [1] - 157:5 instructed [4] - 9:13, 35:24, 53:21, 63:16 instructive [1] - 39:10 integrated [1] - 168:10 intend [1] - 43:22 intended [3] - 52:15, 56:21, 93:8 intense [1] - 74:17 intent [5] - 53:11, 53:12, 55:22, 55:23, 76:19 intention [3] - 54:3, 54:12, 62:15 intentionally [2] -142:24, 143:3 inter [1] - 127:21 interacting [1] - 101:6 interaction [1] - 26:11 interest [14] - 64:14, 64:15, 76:9, 108:10, 129:5, 175:8, 179:25, 180:10, 180:15, 181:3, 181:9, 181:12, 182:5, 182:7 interested [5] - 12:10, 18:10, 110:4, 112:2, 185:17 interesting [9] - 16:10, 16:19, 46:21, 69:14, 80:18, 81:21, 108:25, 113:24, 174:10 interestingly [2] -117:4, 132:1 interim [5] - 75:23, 76:11, 76:13, 76:19, 97:6 intermediary [3] -12:9, 12:14, 127:21 internal [16] - 6:24, 7:1, 50:14, 115:20, 115:23, 115:24, 116:2, 116:13, 116:23, 123:11, 123:18, 148:22, 171:3 international [1] -31:15 International [2] -31:15. 59:21

interruption [1] -31:21 interview [8] - 5:4, 84:25, 87:12, 109:23, 182:16, 182:19, 184:10, 185:8 **INTERVIEW** [1] - 1:5 interviews [1] - 87:24 introduce [1] - 54:13 intuitive [2] - 75:9, 145:3 invalid [2] - 116:11, 116:15 investigation [1] -126:9 **INVESTIGATORY** [2] -1:2, 186:3 Investigatory [1] - 2:2 investing [1] - 142:9 investment [13] - 15:6, 16:16, 17:24, 20:17, 23:18, 24:1, 29:6, 32:24, 35:19, 158:25, 162:5, 163:24, 173:11 investments [2] -171:15 investor [7] - 95:4, 95:5, 96:11, 100:4, 100:5, 101:3, 101:15 investor-owned [6] -95:4. 95:5. 96:11. 100:4, 101:3, 101:15 investor-owns [1] -100:5 inviting [1] - 53:16 invoice [6] - 21:4, 78:8, 78:10, 79:21, 79:22. 81:4 involved [10] - 7:20, 8:2, 8:13, 8:21, 29:19, 31:17, 31:18, 31:19, 34:13, 126:9 involvement [2] -105:22, 114:10 IOUs [1] - 96:17 IPO [4] - 111:13, 111:14, 112:1, 112:3 IRP [19] - 24:23, 168:3, 168:8, 168:10, 168:24, 169:5, 169:7, 169:18, 170:2, 170:7, 170:13, 171:1, 171:4, 171:21, 171:24, 172:6, 172:8, 173:5 **IRP's** [1] - 168:11 issue [25] - 7:13, 7:21,

insight [5] - 6:16,

10:21, 17:17, 36:23, 47:6, 47:8, 52:21, 60:17, 61:16, 79:14, 84:15, 97:2, 113:15, 116:17, 116:24, 117:20, 122:9, 122:25, 123:24, 124:4, 126:17, 128:16, 152:3, 158:19 issued [6] - 14:9, 15:23, 22:1, 41:22, 43:10, 44:13 issues [13] - 10:20, 10:23, 68:18, 80:12, 80:16, 97:8, 116:22, 117:24, 118:5, 119:18, 124:14, 126:13, 131:8 issuing [1] - 122:3 it'll [3] - 108:6, 152:20 item [3] - 36:12, 92:22, 107:2 items [3] - 6:2, 150:17, 181.12 iterations [1] - 88:22 ITN [11] - 111:4, 111:8, 111:11, 113:18, 113:21, 114:8, 114:14, 114:22, 116:24, 117:2, 117:10 itself [2] - 79:22, 127:5

JACKSONVILLE [2] -1:1, 186:2 Jacksonville [23] -1:11, 1:22, 2:5, 2:10, 6:10, 6:14, 13:5, 14:8, 16:21, 17:10, 17:12, 31:15, 33:13, 33:15, 48:5, 59:20, 77:20, 107:23, 111:17, 135:2, 148:16, 149:7, 182:23 Jacksonville's [3] -62:3, 62:6, 160:14 January [25] - 8:15, 8:16, 24:21, 25:1, 27:4, 28:2, 28:20, 30:2, 30:7, 31:16, 33:21, 35:14, 36:19, 36:20, 38:4, 39:1, 59:13, 72:7, 78:13, 79:24, 91:2, 103:3, 109:20, 171:19 **JEA** [262] - 1:2, 2:7, 5:12, 6:8, 6:9, 6:13,

6:15, 6:18, 6:25, 7:5, 7:18, 7:22, 8:9, 8:14, 8:22, 8:24, 8:25, 9:5, 9:8, 9:15, 9:21, 9:23, 9:24, 10:2, 10:6, 10:11, 10:12, 10:15, 10:23, 11:20, 11:22, 12:10, 13:5, 14:5, 15:13, 15:20, 16:14, 16:23, 17:8, 17:11, 17:14, 17:20, 18:1, 18:4, 18:5, 18:12, 18:24, 19:8, 20:1, 20:3, 20:4, 21:4, 21:11, 21:14, 21:25, 22:12, 22:25, 23:10, 23:23, 23:25, 24:10, 24:25, 25:15, 25:16, 26:2, 26:6, 27:14, 27:23, 28:9, 28:19, 28:24, 29:16, 30:3, 30:7, 30:22, 31:10, 32:1, 32:17, 32:25, 33:4, 34:14, 34:19, 35:4, 35:5, 35:9, 35:20, 35:22, 36:4, 36:6, 36:8, 36:14, 36:16, 36:18, 36:25, 37:15, 38:13, 38:16, 38:17, 38:24, 39:15, 39:17, 39:25, 40:19, 41:23, 42:13, 43:12, 43:16, 43:23, 44:12, 45:9, 46:1, 46:7, 46:12, 46:18, 46:24, 47:6, 47:8, 47:24, 48:3, 48:10, 49:2, 50:21, 51:12, 51:20, 52:11, 52:16, 53:15, 53:16, 54:5, 54:14, 56:15, 56:22, 58:24, 60:18, 60:22, 61:6, 61:17, 62:7, 62:10, 62:15, 63:13, 63:17, 65:3, 65:21, 66:12, 66:21, 67:8, 67:10, 68:12, 69:12, 71:9, 72:3, 72:9, 74:8, 74:9, 75:6, 75:7, 75:15, 75:20, 76:2, 77:21, 78:3, 78:16, 79:21, 80:4, 85:1, 85:2, 87:8, 91:5, 91:7, 93:19, 93:24, 94:7, 95:1, 95:2, 95:14, 96:1, 97:2, 97:6, 97:9, 102:5, 102:9, 105:15, 106:17, 107:22, 108:11, 109:13, 111:1, 111:4,

111:16, 115:11, 116:7, 117:5, 118:10, 118:12, 118:22, 118:23, 120:23, 121:4, 123:1, 123:22, 124:13, 126:11, 126:12, 126:18, 126:19, 126:23, 127:2, 128:4, 128:9, 129:20, 132:22, 132:24, 134:6, 134:14, 135:24, 137:4, 137:5, 139:5, 139:13, 140:18, 141:6, 141:8, 141:14, 142:21, 143:19, 146:24, 147:2, 148:9, 151:5, 151:23, 152:17, 154:11, 155:9, 163:1, 163:5, 163:10, 172:24, 176:6, 176:20, 177:2, 177:23, 177:24, 179:15, 179:19, 180:3, 182:13, 182:22, 183:4, 183:20, 186:3 **JEA's** [37] - 16:17, 30:1, 30:14, 68:3, 68:4, 68:8, 68:10, 81:15, 94:12, 94:22, 102:3, 114:14, 119:24, 120:13, 124:7, 125:4, 125:7, 139:9, 140:3, 149:21, 151:9, 151:13, 151:15, 151:16, 151:18, 154:19, 154:24, 155:1, 161:2, 168:3, 169:5, 169:8, 176:3, 179:16, 179:21, 180:22 **Jeff** [1] - 118:5 **job** [3] - 110:2, 124:4, 171:4 Jody [9] - 18:25, 19:3, 44:3, 44:23, 45:15, 45:23, 49:23, 63:2 Joe [2] - 24:12, 146:23 John [6] - 22:22, 23:4, 23:6, 23:7, 23:10, 23:17

134:8 **joining** [1] - 116:9 joint [5] - 13:13, 51:18, 51:19, 52:2, 52:4 Jones [1] - 50:7 JP [6] - 20:20, 34:16, 44:24, 46:13, 49:18 judgment [3] - 48:2, 65:22, 132:13 July [8] - 91:4, 113:8, 118:10, 119:22, 137:6, 162:21, 163:5, 172:24 jump [3] - 67:23, 91:25, 154:2 jumped [1] - 160:3 June [3] - 118:10, 162:21, 171:18 jurisdiction [2] -132:4, 132:6 justify [1] - 167:21

K Karen [1] - 160:5 keep [6] - 79:5, 84:8, 100:15, 124:20, 183:15, 183:16 keeps [1] - 160:21 kept [2] - 78:5, 100:24 **Kevin** [9] - 2:3, 19:13, 25:23, 43:4, 56:16, 56:25, 91:14, 91:16, 175:25 kick [2] - 156:5, 156:13 kickback [1] - 13:13 kicked [1] - 120:17 Kilgo [1] - 36:13 kilowatt [1] - 152:5 kind [27] - 50:16, 59:4, 90:8, 92:14, 93:22, 94:9, 94:14, 97:1, 124:17, 126:20, 136:1, 137:10, 141:4, 149:11, 151:4, 154:21, 157:14, 157:16, 157:18, 168:7, 169:5, 169:12, 169:16, 169:22, 173:2, 173:4, 174:16 Knight [3] - 62:5, 62:10, 125:3 knowledge [19] - 8:8, 9:23, 29:19, 30:4, 43:15, 43:22, 43:25, 46:24, 47:8, 47:9, 48:7, 50:21, 50:23,

75:9, 88:20, 105:19, 105:21, 114:3, 121:3 known [1] - 168:16 knows [1] - 162:2 **KWH** [1] - 159:12

L lack [7] - 74:21, 77:16,

106:2, 106:10,

107:24, 157:4

laid [1] - 112:16

land [1] - 107:16 landing [2] - 100:19, 101:2 landscaping [1] -163:22 language [5] - 55:7, 55:12, 56:4, 90:23, 112:17 Lanny [21] - 2:3, 5:20, 19:11, 19:14, 20:10, 28:14, 37:24, 40:25, 42:5, 43:2, 61:11, 67:22, 67:23, 78:21, 79:10, 91:11, 166:10, 166:12, 166:20, 174:23, 175:5 large [4] - 24:3, 50:16, 81:3, 142:2 last [27] - 5:14, 6:20, 7:12, 21:9, 31:24, 41:18, 45:18, 69:15, 70:2, 70:18, 77:19, 80:1, 83:4, 100:5, 104:13, 112:8, 112:25, 140:14, 158:22, 174:8, 176:10, 176:11, 176:14, 178:7, 179:10, 183:7 Last [1] - 149:14 late [4] - 11:16, 71:11, 71:12, 121:14 Laura [1] - 2:9 law [5] - 48:19, 49:3, 49:11, 50:4, 133:15 lawsuit [14] - 116:9, 131:4, 131:8, 131:11, 131:12, 131:16, 132:22, 132:23, 133:2, 133:23, 134:3, 134:6, 134:8 lawsuits [1] - 128:25 lawyer [2] - 62:5, 62:9 lawyers [1] - 18:20 lead [7] - 30:3, 38:17, 40:10, 80:25, 86:17,

JohnMiller @Citi.

com [1] - 22:20

Johns [3] - 81:13,

81:20, 82:10

joined [2] - 134:2,

115:2, 119:22 lead-up [1] - 119:22 leader [5] - 99:1, 99:5, 99:6, 105:11 leaders [1] - 100:2 leadership [30] -27:22, 27:23, 36:17, 37:15, 37:18, 37:20, 39:16, 75:2, 75:17, 75:25, 92:4, 94:12, 106:17, 109:2, 110:6, 110:19, 110:25, 113:6, 113:16, 118:3, 118:9, 119:14, 119:23, 142:24, 151:16, 160:6, 163:2, 164:19, 167:9 leading [2] - 94:11, 112:22 leads [3] - 92:14, 93:22, 149:11 leaky [1] - 153:1 learn [2] - 14:15, 29:24 learned [2] - 14:17 learning [1] - 141:25 least [9] - 45:21, 56:10, 71:20, 73:9, 74:11, 75:12, 149:18, 152:13, 171:5 leave [2] - 50:11, 77:24 leaves [1] - 180:13 leaving [3] - 7:12, 26:5, 36:10 led [3] - 65:25, 110:18, 171:6 LED [1] - 145:10 Lee [7] - 2:8, 19:11, 19:15, 149:15, 167:4, 175:2, 175:6 left [18] - 26:8, 26:9, 26:19, 36:4, 48:10, 50:20, 58:15, 60:21, 61:4, 69:18, 78:14, 82:25, 106:17, 107:15, 109:24, 121:13, 131:13 legacy [1] - 165:23 legal [5] - 18:24, 62:11, 132:17, 135:22, 153:24 legislation [4] - 52:5, 52:9, 52:15, 54:13 length [1] - 73:1 less [12] - 54:6, 64:24, 70:22, 95:18, 96:4, 98:5, 112:5, 145:12,

148:12, 165:24 letter [28] - 30:20, 36:16, 37:5, 40:16, 43:8, 50:24, 51:17, 51:21, 51:25, 52:9, 53:5, 55:11, 55:15, 56:25, 57:1, 57:2, 57:5, 57:6, 63:1, 167:7, 167:11, 167:16, 168:1, 170:4, 170:6, 170:9, 175:11 letters [2] - 36:19, 37:15 letting [2] - 13:3, 29:18 level [17] - 37:2, 37:4, 37:8, 37:13, 37:22, 46:11, 68:20, 102:20, 106:11, 107:7, 107:8, 107:10, 120:4, 139:15, 159:16, 163:14, 173:9 leveled [1] - 150:11 **levels** [3] - 37:17, 168:14 liability [2] - 65:21, 132:25 liaison [4] - 35:7, 38:5, 38:12 life [2] - 127:4, 148:3 **Light** [3] - 10:24, 14:3 light [5] - 23:6, 138:12, 147:11, 149:5, 158:22 Light's [2] - 10:10, 14:4 lighter [1] - 148:5 lighting [2] - 145:11, 159:25 lights [1] - 149:5 likelihood [1] - 130:17 Likely [2] - 151:10 likely [3] - 34:12, 51:11, 112:12 limited [1] - 179:8 limits [2] - 104:4, 107:21 **LINE** [1] - 186:6 line [15] - 11:25, 12:1, 31:22, 33:11, 36:10, 49:3, 73:11, 91:7, 137:18, 138:13, 139:6, 139:7, 147:25. 150:16.

150:17

linear [1] - 147:25

linkage [1] - 85:13

lines [1] - 69:9

linked [1] - 86:24 liquidation [1] -117:18 list [4] - 23:25, 33:24, 33:25, 111:19 listed [1] - 21:24 literally [1] - 102:19 litigation [10] - 98:22, 116:3, 116:4, 116:7, 125:5, 126:18, 128:19, 128:21, 128:25, 133:9 litigations [1] - 130:6 lives [1] - 138:25 LLC [1] - 44:24 **LLP** [1] - 2:9 load [4] - 127:19, 127:23, 127:24, 172:17 **lobbied** [1] - 26:5 lobbyist [3] - 26:9, 26:13, 26:14 lobbyists [3] - 25:14, 25:16, 26:1 location [2] - 60:4, 60:5 **LOCATION** [1] - 1:10 lock [1] - 98:11 locked [2] - 98:9 long-term [5] - 74:14, 76:25, 99:19, 168:16, 182:5 longstanding [2] -22:12, 80:8 longwinded [1] -84:20 look [56] - 6:23, 6:24, 7:4, 14:7, 17:1, 20:6, 21:2, 21:3, 21:21, 24:2, 31:13, 33:11, 43:7, 44:20, 44:25, 49:16, 51:16, 66:10, 78:10, 80:24, 83:7, 85:19, 86:18, 86:20, 89:23, 91:21, 91:24, 93:3, 99:17, 99:18, 103:1, 103:2, 111:19, 112:20, 136:5, 136:12, 137:11, 138:11, 138:16, 138:18, 139:17, 139:21, 140:12, 144:24, 149:12, 150:22, 151:5, 154:23, 156:18, 157:22, 161:1, 162:22, 172:6, 176:17,

17:25, 73:1, 80:14, 82:9, 93:5, 93:7, 98:25, 135:18, 135:19, 156:22, 163:2, 164:24, 164:25 looking [32] - 5:20, 16:19, 25:22, 30:6, 30:10, 56:3, 56:4, 63:19, 65:4, 79:15, 80:19, 87:15, 87:17, 88:3, 88:13, 96:12, 114:8, 114:9, 123:20, 129:3, 139:1, 143:21, 157:14, 164:8, 172:1, 177:12, 178:5, 179:7, 180:12, 181:6 looks [4] - 21:9, 139:7, 172:22, 180:19 loop [1] - 52:24 lost [6] - 123:6, 129:4, 140:15, 144:3, 144:14, 146:5 low [5] - 64:8, 92:18, 147:8, 165:14, 180:15 low-hanging [1] -147:8 lower [14] - 81:24, 84:18. 93:9. 96:3. 103:19, 145:4, 161:4, 161:5, 161:7, 177:19, 178:22, 179:24, 181:3 lowest [1] - 95:11 М

Mace [12] - 21:23, 22:7, 22:11, 27:25, 31:18, 33:12, 33:20, 44:23, 45:20, 49:17, 49:23, 58:15 magnitude [3] - 54:4, 112:4, 138:24 mail [11] - 22:19, 23:14, 24:19, 24:20, 33:12, 33:17, 36:12, 45:20, 49:16, 118:5, 119:13 mails [1] - 31:17 Maines [4] - 62:5, 62:9, 63:5, 65:19 maintained [1] - 117:5 major [3] - 104:22, 128:17 makers [1] - 138:19 manage [1] - 170:13 management [20] -

16:8, 37:2, 71:1, 85:6, 85:10, 86:3, 87:6, 90:3, 90:24, 92:11, 105:22, 106:3, 108:17, 114:25, 115:4, 118:8, 120:23, 123:12, 130:22, 146:1 Management [1] -58:5 managing [4] - 158:7, 161:3, 162:5, 170:12 mandated [1] - 145:16 March [8] - 67:9, 70:7, 74:23, 74:24, 136:17, 136:20, 169:6, 174:14 margin [2] - 96:12, 166:2 mark [5] - 65:1, 66:8, 120:6, 125:14, 125:21 marked [35] - 5:17, 8:18, 14:13, 20:8, 21:17, 23:12, 25:8, 25:18, 32:6, 37:11, 43:17, 44:1, 44:18, 45:11, 50:18, 51:8, 51:23, 58:20, 59:15, 62:18, 66:16, 67:6, 67:15, 71:7, 75:3, 77:12, 78:19, 89:7, 92:12, 94:18, 156:25, 162:17, 167:14, 172:12, 175:17 market [37] - 7:5, 10:5, 10:6, 15:8, 21:13, 38:23, 38:24, 62:8, 64:8, 64:14, 64:17, 64:24, 66:7, 66:9, 73:9, 73:12, 83:9, 86:25, 89:16, 91:5, 93:1, 94:14, 94:23, 97:4, 100:11, 106:22, 113:7,

97:4, 100:11, 106:22, 113:7, 125:16, 149:21, 153:1, 163:6, 180:1, 180:9, 180:18, 181:9, 181:21 marketplace [11] -6:11, 7:24, 47:3, 50:15, 56:10, 83:9, 89:17, 89:19, 145:15, 181:19, 183:7 markets [2] - 182:5, 182:8 massive [2] - 130:6,

178:2, 184:1

looked [15] - 17:6,

163:23 match [1] - 139:6 material [3] - 59:13, 137:3, 170:8 materialize [1] -176:14 materially [1] - 76:5 materials [1] - 59:8 math [1] - 135:22 MATTER [2] - 1:2, 186:3 matter [7] - 13:12, 20:5, 63:3, 80:25, 114:12, 124:10, 124:14 matters [6] - 35:8, 54:6, 78:11, 79:24, 80:5, 80:8 max [1] - 165:17 mayor [15] - 10:17, 13:2, 13:5, 25:14, 26:1, 26:5, 26:24, 35:6, 38:11, 40:6, 62:4, 62:6, 62:14, 66:20, 131:1 Mayor [4] - 27:24, 28:22, 66:11, 66:14 mayor's [13] - 11:5, 26:3, 26:5, 26:9, 27:22, 29:18, 52:3, 52:19, 120:21, 121:5, 121:8, 122:23, 131:1 mayors [1] - 134:16 McElroy [19] - 1:6, 3:6, 5:7, 20:16, 23:21, 28:12, 28:18, 38:2, 41:23, 42:9, 54:20, 61:13, 67:25, 75:15, 91:21, 167:12, 185:8, 186:4, 186:24 McElroy's [63] - 3:19, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 3:24, 3:25, 4:1, 4:2, 4:3, 4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:15, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, 5:17, 8:18, 14:13, 20:8, 23:12, 25:8, 25:18, 32:6, 37:11, 43:17, 44:18, 45:11, 50:18, 51:8, 51:23, 58:19, 59:15, 62:18, 66:16, 67:15, 75:3, 77:12, 78:19, 89:7, 92:12, 94:18,

156:25, 162:17, 167:14, 172:12, 175:10, 175:17 McInall [2] - 102:1, 160:5 McKinsey [13] - 84:25, 87:3, 87:22, 88:5, 88:17, 88:25, 90:1, 92:5, 92:6, 151:17, 160:6, 162:8, 162:9 MEAG [30] - 63:22, 63:25, 64:3, 116:9, 119:19, 119:24, 121:3, 124:7, 125:4, 125:6, 125:24, 126:4, 126:5, 126:13, 126:18, 126:22, 128:4, 128:5, 128:13, 128:18, 128:24, 130:9, 130:14, 130:19, 131:3, 131:14, 132:25, 134:16, 134:24, 135:14 MEAG's [2] - 130:18, 135:16 mean [64] - 18:6, 24:14, 29:5, 46:21, 54:9, 66:3, 66:4, 70:13, 72:23, 73:19, 74:10, 89:11, 90:14, 93:2, 96:2, 97:10, 108:2, 111:19, 112:17, 115:22, 115:24, 119:6, 123:25, 124:1, 125:12, 125:16, 126:17, 130:9, 131:11, 131:21, 132:25, 133:3, 137:12, 137:14, 137:16, 138:2, 138:22, 138:23, 139:18, 139:19, 144:19, 145:6, 145:9, 147:10, 147:11, 147:24, 152:19, 153:3, 158:5, 159:13,

161:3, 161:8, 161:9,

161:10, 165:8,

171:4, 171:9,

170:10, 170:23,

172:21. 174:20.

180:19, 181:6,

meaning [2] - 12:25,

meaningful [3] -

181:19

53:24

171:13, 171:20 means [7] - 49:18, 55:9, 63:1, 139:18, 155:21 meant [3] - 55:8, 55:9, 123:8 meantime [1] - 152:23 measure [3] - 85:21, 149:20, 150:20 measured [2] -169:22, 169:24 measures [1] - 69:6 meat [1] - 173:14 media [7] - 53:18, 121:16, 121:22, 122:17, 122:24, 134:20, 137:2 meet [8] - 10:7, 90:12, 102:11, 161:5, 168:18, 168:23, 170:23 meeting [117] - 5:12, 5:13, 5:22, 6:3, 6:7, 7:13, 9:10, 9:13, 13:2, 16:15, 23:7, 27:5, 27:22, 28:3, 28:4, 28:21, 28:23, 29:5, 29:20, 31:14, 31:17, 31:19, 31:23, 32:2, 32:3, 32:10, 32:11, 32:13, 32:18, 32:25, 33:14, 33:21, 34:1, 34:5, 34:7, 34:17, 34:20, 34:23, 35:4, 35:11, 35:13, 35:14, 35:15, 35:18, 35:22, 36:3, 36:21, 36:23. 38:4. 38:9. 39:2, 39:25, 40:3, 40:4, 40:9, 40:11, 40:19, 41:13, 41:16, 41:18, 41:20, 42:11, 46:10, 48:14, 51:18, 51:19, 52:2, 52:4, 52:7, 52:11, 52:16, 52:25, 53:2, 53:6, 53:10, 53:14, 53:25, 54:4, 54:13, 54:21, 54:22, 55:2, 55:3, 55:8, 55:18, 55:21, 55:25, 56:2, 56:5, 56:12, 57:18, 58:9, 58:10, 58:17, 58:23, 59:14, 60:9, 60:18, 67:13, 68:1, 74:25, 75:20, 75:21, 94:11, 112:9, 119:22, 123:13, 132:17, 162:22, 174:5 meetings [7] - 8:3,

34:10, 34:13, 52:3, 54:2, 94:10 megawatt [11] - 64:9, 70:14, 107:15, 129:12, 129:13, 129:17, 149:17, 150:4, 150:5, 150:6, 152:3 megawatts [14] -70:15, 82:25, 83:2, 83:3, 83:7, 83:25, 84:7, 103:2, 103:4, 127:5, 127:8, 152:8, 152:13, 166:3 Melissa [28] - 8:24, 9:4, 16:4, 16:5, 32:1, 33:11, 33:18, 33:20, 33:24, 35:7, 36:15, 38:5, 38:17, 42:16, 44:3, 61:15, 61:18, 76:4, 76:5, 76:22, 76:23, 77:4, 113:16, 146:21, 167:7, 170:2, 170:5, 170:9 member [7] - 41:21, 51:4, 67:3, 75:22, 106:21, 121:16, 121:21 members [11] - 7:3, 8:23, 9:11, 16:3, 16:4, 53:17, 67:4, 72:11, 113:16, 114:10, 118:3 memo [1] - 62:10 memorandum [2] -41:22, 44:13 memory [1] - 60:16 mention [2] - 10:25, 159:19 mentioned [9] - 40:23, 51:5, 82:20, 101:24, 106:19, 108:24, 118:1, 141:19, 177:22 merger [1] - 29:25 message [1] - 61:20 met [7] - 10:17, 27:24, 28:19, 32:9, 34:4, 87:2, 121:21 meter [1] - 99:4 metering [2] - 104:2, 161:20 methodologies [1] -168:22 metric [4] - 149:16, 149:18, 149:20, 151:6 metrics [3] - 69:6, 69:11, 85:20

22:7, 31:18, 31:25, 33:12, 33:20, 34:22, 43:8, 49:17, 49:23, 102:1 mid [5] - 14:20, 112:11, 140:21, 160:11 middle [5] - 25:12, 52:1, 83:4, 113:13, 158:16 middling [1] - 169:13 might [16] - 10:6, 12:23, 16:4, 18:8, 27:12, 49:2, 55:18, 77:4, 77:6, 85:20, 107:19, 120:8, 158:16, 165:16, 177:21, 180:21 Mike [4] - 8:25, 9:4, 17:16, 27:25 mile [1] - 147:24 miles [3] - 147:25, 148:1, 148:2 Miller [2] - 23:4, 23:6 Million [1] - 162:24 million [26] - 14:25, 15:1, 15:2, 70:14, 84:3, 88:14, 97:17, 97:19, 98:3, 99:13, 107:3, 109:1, 128:20, 129:7, 129:20, 131:22, 132:21, 133:5, 135:5, 135:6, 164:16, 164:21, 165:5, 165:8, 165:9, 183:16 millions [2] - 164:5, 164:6 mind [6] - 20:10, 20:13, 28:14, 55:3, 111:3, 111:14 mine [1] - 68:25 minimum [1] - 180:14 minute [3] - 102:11, 102:21, 104:4 minutes [8] - 5:12, 5:15, 5:25, 6:2, 6:3, 57:18, 75:19, 124:24 mirror [2] - 137:11, 137:12 misleading [2] -150:22, 151:1 missed [2] - 53:24, 128:7 missing [1] - 58:21 mission [9] - 69:1, 70:1, 85:7, 85:15, 86:6, 86:9, 86:16, Michael [11] - 21:23, 95:1, 95:11

mitigate [2] - 126:1, 139:4 MMBTU [1] - 98:12 mod [1] - 93:17 modeling [1] - 83:12 models [1] - 67:1 moderate [1] - 93:17 modest [1] - 137:21 Moelis [13] - 27:9, 27:21, 27:24, 28:19, 28:24, 29:23, 30:3, 30:5, 33:25, 34:1, 34:4, 34:16 molecules [1] -139:22 money [2] - 101:1, 134:25 Monroe [2] - 62:1, 71:6 month [1] - 30:4 months [17] - 21:10, 62:9, 62:16, 62:23, 62:25, 63:10, 69:16, 70:3, 70:19, 77:19, 81:12, 142:4, 171:7, 173:8, 174:8, 177:1 Morgan [42] - 20:20, 20:21, 20:22, 24:21, 25:1, 25:3, 29:23, 30:2, 30:4, 30:5, 30:15, 30:20, 30:22, 31:4, 31:7, 31:23, 32:4, 32:8, 32:14, 33:8, 33:9, 34:6, 34:11, 34:16, 34:21, 35:1, 35:12, 35:18, 36:6, 39:18, 44:24, 45:14, 46:9, 46:13, 49:19, 59:7, 59:19, 60:5, 60:9, 60:21, morning [5] - 16:1, 16:3, 42:24, 42:25, 181:6 most [4] - 36:6, 65:13, 66:3, 112:12 mostly [1] - 118:25 **Motors** [1] - 158:24 Mousa [13] - 13:17, 13:21, 26:12, 31:25, 34:22, 35:2, 35:6, 38:10, 40:6, 53:21, 53:23 Mousa's [1] - 12:7 move [11] - 37:24, 40:7. 67:21. 71:4. 72:12, 97:20, 98:5, 98:14, 138:3, 138:4, 138.6 moved [4] - 50:17,

52:20, 52:22, 77:5 moves [1] - 162:2 moving [6] - 36:24, 71:13, 72:24, 114:6, 139:20, 151:13 MR [142] - 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 5:10, 5:20, 5:23, 5:24, 6:1, 6:3, 6:5, 19:10, 19:11, 19:13, 19:14, 19:15, 19:18, 19:22, 19:23, 20:10, 20:13, 20:15, 20:16, 20:24, 21:1, 23:21, 24:7, 24:8, 25:20, 25:22, 25:24, 28:12, 28:14, 28:16, 28:18, 29:2, 29:22, 30:12, 30:18, 30:24, 31:2, 31:11, 31:12, 37:24, 38:1, 38:2, 38:25, 39:19, 39:24, 40:15, 40:21, 40:24, 40:25, 41:1, 41:4, 41:7, 41:8, 42:1, 42:4, 42:6, 42:7, 42:20, 42:23. 43:1. 43:3. 43:6, 54:20, 56:14, 56:16, 56:17, 56:20, 56:24, 56:25, 57:3, 57:4, 57:10, 57:12, 57:15, 57:21, 57:22, 57:24, 58:1, 61:11, 61:12, 61:13, 61:14, 61:15, 61:22, 61:24, 67:22, 67:24, 67:25, 68:17, 69:7, 69:10, 71:3, 71:24, 74:1, 74:2, 78:21, 78:22, 78:23, 78:25, 79:2, 79:4, 79:7, 79:9, 79:15, 79:19, 79:20, 91:13, 91:18, 91:20, 124:2, 124:5, 124:17, 124:23, 124:25, 125:2, 133:16, 133:18, 135:25, 166:10, 166:13, 166:15, 166:18, 166:19, 166:21, 166:22, 166:23, 166:25, 167:2, 167:4, 167:6, 174:23, 175:1, 175:2, 175:4, 175:5, 175:9, 175:13, 183:25, 184:5 Mullins [4] - 2:9. 149:15, 167:9, 167:24

multiple [6] - 52:3,

87:7, 96:20, 96:21, 151:24, 183:18 municipal [11] - 22:16, 65:7, 94:22, 95:5, 95:9, 96:10, 97:3, 97:5, 100:3, 141:8, 171:5 municipals [1] - 97:25 must [2] - 11:4, 23:18

Ν naive [1] - 52:23 name [2] - 13:25, 20:17 named [2] - 38:15, 51:4 names [3] - 33:20, 49:24, 50:6 Nancy [1] - 36:13 narrative [10] - 92:11, 121:3, 121:7, 123:4, 123:22, 124:6, 124:15, 125:5, 141:6, 143:1 Nate [2] - 62:1, 71:6 national [2] - 77:23, 96:20 nationwide [3] - 99:2, 100:23, 145:5 native [1] - 57:7 natural [7] - 64:7, 84:13, 84:16, 97:21, 99:14, 125:19, 163:13 naturally [1] - 159:5 nature [2] - 75:9, 145:3 near [5] - 12:17, 12:18, 59:20, 108:12, 175:14 nearer [2] - 60:21 necessarily [4] - 9:13, 139:6, 168:13, 172:1 necessary [5] - 69:4, 84:21, 127:12, 163:24, 168:18 need [9] - 8:5, 58:2, 83:21, 84:7, 84:11, 102:16, 124:19, 128:18, 169:6 needed [8] - 76:18, 83:3, 84:1, 103:15, 105:24, 107:7, 107:8, 122:4 needs [2] - 90:12, 179:15 negative [14] - 65:1,

125:5, 125:12, 125:13, 125:21, 125:22, 152:24 negatively [1] - 124:7 negatives [1] - 154:6 neglected [2] - 140:5, 142.25 negotiate [3] - 82:23, 98:17, 135:15 negotiating [1] - 63:21 Nelson [4] - 2:9, 149:15, 167:9, 167:24 net [12] - 57:20, 59:1, 129:18, 161:20, 164:20, 168:3, 169:8, 169:21, 174:11 never [24] - 12:20, 26:14, 34:4, 50:1, 50:16, 52:17, 53:10, 54:3, 54:5, 56:6, 56:7, 62:24, 66:1, 100:4, 118:2, 122:18, 126:3, 134:3, 134:7, 135:5, 138:12, 148:15, 173:24 New [1] - 100:12 new [8] - 62:3, 62:6, 64:4, 145:8, 153:22, 158:2, 178:21 News [1] - 25:11 news [1] - 28:19 newspaper [1] - 25:10 next [55] - 7:7, 16:1, 16:2, 21:22, 35:23, 37:25, 40:19, 42:11, 44:1, 44:20, 45:13, 47:5, 52:6, 65:12, 68:13, 71:4, 76:12, 84:3, 91:11, 91:13, 91:17, 97:18, 99:12, 99:17. 99:22. 102:12, 103:13, 104:22, 104:25, 112:12, 113:10, 116:21, 136:24, 137:17, 137:18, 138:10, 147:2, 147:12, 147:14, 147:17, 148:2, 148:11, 149:11, 151:8, 153:22, 172:4, 177:1, 177:24, 179:7, 182:6, 183:3, 183:9 night [1] - 184:6 nine [2] - 118:5, 150:17

Nixon [10] - 78:8, 78:12, 78:15, 78:17, 79:17, 79:21, 79:23, 80:4, 80:7, 80:8 nobody [1] - 51:12 noise [2] - 117:9, 117:15 nominal [5] - 82:5, 176:9, 178:24, 180:21 nominated [5] - 38:12, 76:22, 76:23, 78:1, 127:8 **nomination** [1] - 77:10 **non** [3] - 46:4, 158:11, 158:19 non-disclosure [1] -46:4 non-solar [2] - 158:11, 158:19 nondisclosure [5] -44:2, 44:5, 44:7, 44:22, 45:22 nongovernmental [1] - 94:2 nonpayment [1] -100:22 nonprofit [1] - 69:24 nonsense [1] - 112:7 nontraditional [1] -94:2 normal [3] - 29:6, 29:11, 80:11 **normally** [2] - 102:24, 102:25 north [2] - 148:25, 150:16 Northern [1] - 100:11 **NOT** [1] - 186:2 not-for-profit [1] -69:24 Notary [1] - 1:15 notations [1] - 44:16 noted [1] - 68:2 notes [1] - 185:11 nothing [10] - 16:23, 17:14, 17:18, 94:14, 117:15, 119:2, 122:15, 132:14, 160:21, 167:22 notice [1] - 173:25 noticed [1] - 54:21 November [13] - 5:13, 25:13, 25:17, 25:21, 25:25, 36:21, 40:1, 41:18, 41:20, 175:20 nuclear [1] - 129:23 Number [2] - 14:8, 78:9

NUMBER [2] - 186:6

66:8, 70:9, 95:7,

96:13, 120:6, 121:3,

number [22] - 9:25, 14:24, 23:10, 48:19, 49:10, 50:4, 59:1, 70:3, 87:6, 99:2, 101:15, 114:4, 131:20, 131:21, 143:9, 144:13, 144:23, 146:13, 146:14, 149:13, 150:9, 162:22 numbers [14] - 25:4, 32:15, 58:13, 58:14, 58:24, 59:1, 59:3, 129:3, 159:4, 164:23, 164:24, 164:25, 178:2

0

objective [5] - 70:1, 92:1, 92:5, 92:7, 170:23 objectively [1] - 90:3 objectives [7] - 69:2, 85:11, 85:14, 85:22, 86:1, 86:10, 86:11 objects [1] - 89:24 **obligation** [1] - 122:11 observation [1] -133.4 obtain [1] - 47:14 obtained [1] - 58:22 obtaining [2] - 45:21, 48:3 obvious [3] - 71:12, 89:15, 146:9 obviously [2] - 62:11, 63:12 occur [9] - 30:11, 44:16, 46:24, 102:12, 160:8, 160:9, 160:13, 161:18, 177:21 occurred [3] - 31:14, 44:17, 125:11 occurring [1] - 80:14 October [1] - 118:4 odd [1] - 96:11 **OF** [5] - 1:5, 2:1, 185:3, 185:4, 186:4 Off-the-record [3] -57:13, 57:23, 79:8 offend [1] - 153:19 offer [2] - 12:11, 158:5 offered [2] - 25:5, 52:15 offering [2] - 31:9, 87:23 offerings [1] - 14:20 office [18] - 12:7, 13:6,

18:21, 22:14, 26:3, 26:5, 26:9, 27:22, 29:17, 29:18, 34:19, 42:17, 44:12, 116:8, 120:21, 121:5, 121:8, 122:23 Office [2] - 48:16, 49:2 officer [4] - 18:24, 26:16, 26:19, 67:5 official [1] - 62:10 offset [9] - 144:16, 146:7, 146:18, 158:4, 159:18, 164:17, 165:23, 177:10, 178:10 often [1] - 179:14 OGC's [1] - 18:21 Ohio [3] - 149:1, 158:16 oil [1] - 149:3 old [2] - 123:21, 145:8 omits [1] - 136:23 ON [3] - 1:2, 186:2, 186:3 once [8] - 49:3, 49:4, 74:23, 85:18, 98:5, 126:3, 168:19 one [118] - 10:16, 12:19, 15:9, 16:3, 18:18, 20:13, 23:9, 24:5, 25:4, 27:16, 32:5, 34:10, 35:16, 36:2, 36:3, 37:4, 37:18, 42:8, 44:5, 44:22. 45:13. 45:18. 47:2, 47:18, 48:22, 50:10, 50:16, 54:4, 56:6, 65:20, 69:10, 70:22, 71:5, 71:6, 71:7, 72:4, 72:5, 73:11, 76:18, 80:9, 89:14, 91:1, 92:15, 93:5, 93:25, 95:14, 95:15, 96:14, 96:15, 97:1, 98:7, 98:8, 99:14, 101:8, 101:23, 102:11, 102:21, 107:13, 108:5, 111:10, 115:12, 116:13, 117:3, 117:4, 118:8, 118:21, 119:5, 119:16, 119:18, 120:3, 125:20, 126:15, 127:2, 128:9, 129:14, 130:13, 131:17, 131:20, 131:21, 132:17, 132:21,

133:5, 133:20,

133:24, 133:25, 135:5, 136:6, 136:13, 136:14, 137:19, 140:3, 146:15, 146:19, 149:14, 154:14, 154:25, 156:21, 157:5, 157:9, 160:1, 167:1, 167:10, 172:10, 174:22, 179:14 One [2] - 1:10, 2:4 one-and-done [1] -54:4 one-minute [2] -102:11, 102:21 one-third [1] - 70:22 ones [1] - 157:17 ongoing [3] - 128:19, 129:2, 129:23 online [1] - 83:23 op [1] - 111:21 open [2] - 52:4, 100:24 operating [6] - 13:10, 82:14, 84:13, 142:16, 180:7, 183:14 operation [3] - 84:16, 115:25, 122:12 operational [2] -106:12, 179:24 operationally [1] -180:3 operations [6] - 10:2, 37:1, 69:4, 105:23, 110:17, 130:8 opine [1] - 143:4 opinion [32] - 68:2, 71:20, 73:8, 75:13, 87:10, 90:15, 92:3, 92:9. 93:18. 94:22. 105:25, 106:2, 106:16, 108:3, 111:6, 111:21, 118:20, 118:24, 119:23, 120:2, 121:2, 121:10, 130:10, 135:4, 137:2, 142:23, 143:3, 157:13, 160:13, 167:16, 170:6, 170:11 opinions [3] - 69:20, 159:9, 175:10 opp [1] - 120:22 opportunities [15] -13:4, 13:9, 17:2, 86:21, 139:2, 139:9,

164:10 opportunity [14] -13:10, 13:14, 32:21, 98:23, 99:16, 120:22, 128:1, 138:9, 140:15, 157:12, 163:12, 163:15, 183:23 opposed [4] - 30:22, 69:5, 92:7, 111:1 opposite [1] - 177:12 optimistic [1] - 173:18 optimization [1] -163.6 option [2] - 127:2, 127:9 options [3] - 111:12, 111:13, 111:15 orchestrated [1] -118:11 order [5] - 42:12, 86:14, 107:7, 120:16, 142:25 orders [1] - 91:8 Orfano [2] - 24:12, 146:23 organization [12] -69:24, 85:17, 85:25, 86:2, 86:15, 87:8, 88:12, 100:14, 110:16, 112:22, 112:24, 143:9 organization's [1] -142:17 original [5] - 72:14, 76:10, 96:9, 107:25, 154:5 originally [1] - 55:23 Orlando [1] - 22:16 otherwise [1] - 96:7 ourselves [2] -108:22, 128:2 outcome [1] - 167:23 outcomes [1] - 110:20 outfit [1] - 17:20 output [1] - 173:9 outs [1] - 47:17 outside [7] - 27:3, 77:14, 99:25, 134:18, 180:8 overall [5] - 82:3, 87:6, 88:10, 116:18, 165:14 overcome [1] - 126:17 overestimated [1] -154:6 overly [1] - 160:7

142:18, 155:1, overruns [1] - 64:5 163:8, 163:10, oversimplification [1] 163:17, 164:9, - 110:23 overstated [3] -159:21, 159:22, 161:13 oversubscription [1] -91:6 overview [1] - 176:3 own [4] - 47:6, 83:12, 158:7, 183:11 owned [8] - 19:7, 95:4, 95:5, 96:11, 100:4, 101:3, 101:15, 107:20 ownership [1] - 83:17 owns [1] - 100:5 P

p.m [3] - 1:9, 184:10 package [2] - 147:13 page [17] - 5:14, 21:21, 25:12, 44:6, 58:5, 58:25, 60:1, 136:9, 136:16, 139:7, 143:21, 143:22, 144:1, 154:2, 157:2, 164:20, 172:7 PAGE [1] - 186:6 Page [4] - 3:5, 3:18, 25:11, 44:25 pages [2] - 21:4, 185:10 paid [3] - 108:3, 117:20, 140:11 paid/owe [1] - 122:8 pan [1] - 136:10 pandemic [1] - 100:22 panel [3] - 108:5, 161:6, 161:8 panels [1] - 68:7 Panger [1] - 118:5 parade [1] - 126:13 paragraph [4] - 52:1, 53:1, 55:1, 168:25 parallel [1] - 30:25 parameters [1] -171:10 paraphrase [1] - 68:1 paraphrasing [1] -118:6 pardon [1] - 166:18 parentheses [1] -149:17 parity [9] - 157:24, 160:8, 160:13, 160:16, 161:1, 161:11, 161:12,

139:10, 140:2,

161:16, 161:23 **Park** [3] - 81:14, 81:20, 82:10 parlance [1] - 54:2 part [26] - 15:8, 21:20, 25:6, 30:15, 31:4, 31:9, 39:2, 59:12, 74:18, 80:19, 83:16, 83:18, 84:18, 84:19, 86:17, 89:17, 98:10, 102:2, 127:24, 134:12, 142:7, 143:14, 144:4, 161:4, 161:18, 163:3 participants [1] -170:18 participate [10] -15:11, 28:23, 30:11, 47:20, 48:22, 50:5, 50:9, 53:17, 99:11 participated [1] - 38:7 participating [2] -15:12, 108:10 particular [6] - 64:3, 87:12, 114:23, 120:21, 175:7 particularly [4] - 5:13, 116:1, 174:7, 174:8 parties [5] - 5:3, 66:3, 131:16, 132:18, 185:14 parties' [1] - 185:15 partner [4] - 63:21, 80:25, 82:11, 97:14 partnered [1] - 64:2 partners [2] - 101:12, 130.8 partnership [2] - 84:9, 134:25 parts [5] - 138:7, 140:19, 146:18, 150.12 party [12] - 12:10, 15:23, 31:7, 39:7, 40:13, 41:24, 45:3, 45:5, 97:16, 132:9, 173:21 pass [1] - 53:18 past [9] - 23:11, 78:13. 78:14, 114:25, 115:4, 124:16, 141:2, 182:13, 184:2 path [6] - 48:17, 82:21, 90:16, 113:14, 132:10, 133:19 pathing [1] - 30:25 paths [1] - 174:20 pathway [2] - 86:19, 148:20

patience [2] - 73:16, 182:12 Patrick [1] - 43:8 PAUL [6] - 1:6, 3:6, 5:7, 185:8, 186:4, 186:24 paul [1] - 167:3 Paul [94] - 5:11, 6:6, 6:7, 6:16, 8:7, 10:8, 11:4, 11:14, 13:18, 14:11, 17:5, 17:22, 18:19, 19:6, 19:10, 19:12, 19:16, 19:24, 20:7, 21:2, 21:10, 22:22, 24:9, 25:12, 27:10, 28:3, 31:13, 32:3, 33:15, 34:3, 34:9, 36:12, 41:23, 43:4, 43:7, 43:12, 43:22, 45:8, 47:5, 47:13, 49:16, 49:24, 50:20, 51:2, 51:21, 52:14, 57:8, 57:17, 58:7, 59:5, 59:9, 59:21, 60:10, 60:20, 61:11, 62:2, 62:14, 63:12, 63:14, 65:23, 66:20, 67:7, 67:13, 74:4, 75:24, 76:3, 78:4, 78:10, 78:22, 78:25, 79:9, 79:23, 80:3, 81:8, 81:12, 81:19, 84:22, 85:1, 89:10, 90:3, 124:25, 125:3, 166:16, 166:24, 175:14, 176:1, 177:3, 179:3, 179:18, 182:11, 182:15, 182:19, 183:25, 184:6 Paul's [2] - 41:1, 56:25 pay [8] - 84:10, 103:14, 120:16, 129:12, 154:11, 154:15, 161:23, 183:12 paying [1] - 130:14 Peabody [9] - 78:8, 78:12, 78:15, 78:17, 79:17, 79:21, 79:23, 80:4, 80:8 peak [7] - 102:21, 103:7, 103:16, 103:20, 105:4, 105:5, 181:1 peaked [3] - 179:16, 179:19, 182:9

peaking [1] - 179:16

Pedersen [5] - 24:20,

24:22, 25:1, 31:19,

penalties [1] - 186:21 pending [2] - 153:19, 153:20 people [25] - 6:9, 9:17, 26:6, 27:6, 27:23, 31:24, 34:1, 34:2, 34:4, 43:19, 49:12, 77:17, 91:7, 100:6, 103:19, 104:1, 104:18, 106:7, 112:15, 145:22, 145:25, 148:6, 153:3, 154:11, 158:6 people's [1] - 104:15 per [28] - 64:9, 70:15, 97:20, 98:12, 104:3, 129:12, 129:13, 147:24, 147:25, 148:1, 148:3, 148:15, 148:23, 149:17, 150:3, 150:4, 150:5, 156:7, 156:12, 156:14, 158:18, 158:20, 159:13, 178:7, 179:10 **perceived** [1] - 18:1 percent [46] - 64:14, 64:15, 68:8, 82:5, 82:7, 83:17, 84:10, 104:11, 104:12, 104:16, 122:1, 135:1, 138:14, 143:24, 144:5, 144:22, 146:13, 149:3, 151:19, 153:11, 154:24, 155:3, 155:14, 156:4, 156:12, 157:22, 157:23, 165:7, 165:14, 165:19, 166:6, 173:17, 176:9, 176:12, 176:16, 177:10, 177:11, 178:7, 178:9, 178:20, 178:21, 179:4, 179:9, 179:10 Percent [2] - 151:10, 151:11 percentage [3] -144:14, 156:14, 160:25 perform [5] - 21:13, 22:9, 78:18, 90:7, 132:9 performance [3] -

110:17 perhaps [1] - 9:25 period [19] - 21:6, 21:10, 26:16, 36:3, 36:4, 68:5, 78:12, 80:15, 85:19, 122:9, 127:4, 144:1, 145:19, 145:21, 164:7, 165:8, 166:6, 177:6, 181:24 periods [1] - 11:12 perjury [1] - 186:21 person [5] - 51:11, 76:22, 101:15, 103:13, 122:17 personally [1] - 184:2 persons [1] - 25:25 perspective [14] -13:8, 18:9, 38:18, 70:7, 85:2, 117:17, 127:25, 129:25, 130:1, 130:2, 130:3, 161:3, 170:11, 174:4 petro [1] - 96:1 Petway [17] - 6:7, 6:12, 6:17, 7:8, 7:12, 7:17, 8:4, 8:11, 12:5, 12:6, 36:21, 41:2, 41:4, 41:17, 41:20, 72:17, 72:18 Petway's [1] - 39:25 petway's [1] - 40:7 PFL [1] - 83:11 **PFM** [38] - 8:14, 8:21, 8:23, 9:3, 9:5, 9:14, 9:20, 9:22, 9:24, 21:4, 21:11, 21:15, 22:6, 22:13, 22:14, 22:18, 25:2, 30:13, 30:16, 30:23, 31:4, 31:18, 36:25, 38:8, 38:15, 38:22, 38:25, 39:17, 46:16, 46:19, 50:14. 51:1. 57:19. 58:5, 80:13, 109:21 philosophical [1] -94:9 phone [1] - 79:4 phones [1] - 104:3 photovoltaic [1] -68:10 photovoltaics [1] -68:6 phrase [3] - 53:3, 53:9, 147:8 pick [6] - 83:1, 117:24, 127:9, 155:15, 166:2, 166:12 picked [2] - 141:17

picks [1] - 165:10

piece [2] - 83:19, 173:6 piggybacked [1] -48:4 piggybacking [1] -47:13 Pills [1] - 89:2 **Pillsbury** [2] - 89:2, 89:4 pitch [3] - 32:25, 87:22, 122:5 pitching [1] - 87:24 place [8] - 47:19, 87:19, 141:3, 150:15, 152:9, 156:9, 163:7, 168:20 Plan [1] - 175:23 plan [47] - 68:12, 68:23, 68:25, 76:10, 76:14, 86:1, 87:17, 87:18, 89:5, 89:12, 89:16, 89:19, 90:22, 92:17, 93:14, 97:12, 108:21, 109:4, 112:17, 126:2, 136:23, 137:3, 137:20, 141:17, 155:13, 167:21, 168:9, 168:10, 168:15, 169:18, 169:23, 170:14, 170:15, 170:16, 171:2, 171:3, 171:22, 171:25, 173:7, 173:12, 176:21, 177:2, 177:4, 177:8, 177:22. 178:8 **plan's** [1] - 177:6 planned [1] - 122:6 planning [17] - 68:20, 84:24, 85:2, 87:5, 90:2, 91:14, 92:2, 92:5, 92:8, 93:6, 122:12, 142:7, 142:8, 171:4, 176:8 plans [1] - 112:17 Plant [17] - 62:11, 63:6, 63:12, 63:17, 63:19, 63:25, 64:3, 64:10, 65:9, 65:11, 65:18, 65:21, 97:11, 121:19, 122:11, 128:13 plant [10] - 82:12, 82:13, 84:16, 127:4, 127:5, 158:24, 163:22, 171:18 plants [1] - 165:23 plateau [4] - 147:6,

69:3, 85:21, 158:14

performing [1] -

147:17, 149:9, 159:23 plateauing [1] -148:10 platforms [1] - 109:13 play [2] - 65:11, 76:19 played [1] - 52:23 players [1] - 128:17 **plus** [3] - 107:3, 109:1, 135:1 **PMF** [1] - 8:14 point [29] - 7:19, 9:12, 12:25, 27:3, 31:2, 38:14, 61:25, 73:7, 83:21, 89:9, 98:1, 99:19, 100:18, 101:8, 103:20, 104:1, 108:10, 119:20, 120:7, 121:25, 125:17, 130:13, 143:22, 146:8, 152:14, 156:14, 171:23, 174:10, 176:7 pointed [2] - 47:22, 119:3 points [2] - 156:7, 176:5 policy [9] - 96:18, 96:19, 100:20, 107:8, 138:19, 138:20, 161:21, 161:22 political [1] - 110:2 pool [1] - 38:21 poor [1] - 158:14 pop [2] - 99:5, 99:6 portfolio [1] - 176:23 portion [5] - 45:3, 70:16, 81:3, 83:17, 127.8 position [11] - 48:20, 63:21, 75:7, 85:7, 117:12, 117:16, 126:18, 129:1, 135:15, 135:16 positions [2] - 36:17, 112:18 positive [5] - 95:10, 104:19, 130:3, 182:21, 183:20 positives [3] - 144:18, 154:7, 159:5 possible [9] - 48:2, 48:6, 48:8, 49:4, 62:11. 72:13. 94:5. 95:12, 136:4 possibly [2] - 131:22, 182:17 postpones [1] -

163:23 potatoes [1] - 173:15 potential [29] - 7:18, 10:10, 10:12, 10:23, 14:4, 17:8, 21:13, 21:25, 35:4, 35:9, 38:5, 45:8, 46:1, 46:19, 49:12, 49:24, 51:11, 56:22, 63:7, 65:25, 66:1, 80:12, 139:12, 141:14, 163:4, 164:10, 164:21, 181:2, 181:4 potentially [11] -43:19, 46:18, 47:24, 52:10, 65:12, 127:6. 129:4, 132:11, 163:20, 166:1, 175:7 potentials [1] - 163:4 pouring [1] - 153:6 power [26] - 64:6, 64:8, 64:19, 64:21, 64:22, 64:23, 81:16, 83:3, 83:21, 97:17, 97:22, 98:4, 98:24, 102:18, 125:18, 130:18, 135:1, 135:19, 145:25, 147:22, 151:14, 152:4, 158:17, 165:19, 168:23, 183:20 Power [8] - 10:10, 10:24, 14:2, 14:3, 14:4, 81:14, 81:20, 82:10 power's [1] - 151:18 **PPA** [10] - 62:12, 119:19, 119:24, 120:4, 120:5, 121:4, 124:7, 125:4, 125:7, 132:25 **PR** [1] - 134:15 practice [1] - 100:20 pre [1] - 71:1 precedent [1] - 135:8 **preceptive** [1] - 47:5 precipitously [1] -141:7 preference [2] - 71:22, 86:23 preferred [1] - 18:11 premise [2] - 95:23, 104:12 preparation [1] - 38:8 prepared [7] - 17:1,

140:17, 167:8,

174:2, 175:20,

175:21, 176:3

presence [1] - 101:5

present [5] - 12:7, 32:21, 129:18, 163:15, 164:23 presentation [26] -32:19, 52:5, 59:8, 59:18, 59:22, 60:2, 67:7, 74:24, 84:25, 88:24, 89:17, 94:7, 123:18, 136:6, 136:15, 136:19, 140:17, 140:20, 146:20, 156:19, 156:21, 162:20, 169:7, 174:14, 175:21, 175:22 presentations [5] -90:23, 93:24, 94:4, 118:9, 118:21 presented [6] - 32:25, 52:10, 90:22, 107:10, 112:14, 121:24 preserving [1] - 182:1 president [3] - 12:24, 53:6, 55:16 press [1] - 134:19 pressure [1] - 180:20 pretty [11] - 14:21, 21:5, 76:20, 131:14, 142:20, 147:25, 157:20, 157:21, 159:15, 159:24, 180:18 prevail [1] - 131:22 prevailing [3] -132:22, 133:2, 135:6 prevent [2] - 64:22, 129:7 preventing [1] - 36:10 previous [6] - 67:3, 93:6, 116:14, 121:12, 146:5, 151:4 price [21] - 64:1, 64:6. 64:8, 66:4, 84:13, 98:6, 103:22, 104:1, 111:18, 119:25, 120:9, 125:20, 150:5, 150:24, 152:11, 152:21, 161:23, 165:17, 165:21, 178:11, 178:24 priced [2] - 161:6, 161:7 **prices** [4] - 64:8, 98:11, 152:7, 161:5 pricing [9] - 47:21, 95:20, 99:3, 99:7,

162:4 primary [5] - 8:23, 9:17, 82:10, 86:10, 139:10 principals [1] - 27:15 priority [2] - 143:17, 143:18 private [3] - 6:10, 121:15, 176:25 privatization [55] -6:15, 7:18, 19:9, 20:2, 22:25, 30:6, 30:7, 30:11, 32:23, 35:9, 35:25, 36:22, 37:7, 38:6, 42:14, 44:12, 44:17, 45:8, 46:1, 46:8, 46:20, 47:6, 47:23, 48:3, 48:12, 48:19, 50:22, 57:20, 60:23, 61:5, 61:16, 63:9, 65:19, 67:8, 67:11, 71:14, 74:7, 78:16, 79:24, 80:5, 80:8, 80:10, 80:20, 80:22, 81:1, 81:4, 81:6, 110:3, 111:12, 124:9, 125:11, 136:18, 136:20, 137:5, 179:15 Privatization [1] -78:11 privatize [5] - 62:7, 62:15, 125:8, 125:10, 137:5 privatized [2] - 46:12, 65:3 privatizing [4] - 8:9, 71:9, 72:3, 110:25 pro [2] - 24:23, 120:23 proactive [2] - 95:15, 95:17 problem [5] - 79:15, 79:19, 108:19, 116:13, 133:22 problems [1] - 86:6 proceeded [1] - 89:4 proceeds [3] - 59:2, 117:19, 120:16 process [19] - 44:16, 52:6, 77:10, 77:20, 85:1, 91:14, 102:5, 108:21, 109:16, 111:8, 113:18, 114:8, 114:14, 116:25, 117:6, 117:10, 141:22, 143:6, 170:17 procurement [2] -

46:23, 47:12

produce [6] - 85:14, 87:8, 87:9, 89:4, 96:4, 122:23 produced [2] - 83:14, 173:13 producing [1] - 98:4 **production** [1] - 150:6 **productive** [1] - 74:13 products [1] - 95:19 profit [1] - 69:24 profits [4] - 69:11, 69:17, 69:23, 96:13 program [9] - 99:8, 103:25, 104:23, 105:16, 105:20, 105:23, 106:1, 141:1, 141:2 programs [4] - 95:16, 106:15, 141:14, 142:21 prohibition [1] - 81:5 project [9] - 21:3, 21:12, 21:22, 38:14, 38:15, 108:17, 108:21, 130:19, 132:6 projected [10] - 82:6, 125:18, 136:24, 154:24, 160:9, 168:13, 172:19, 176:4, 176:7, 182:6 projecting [1] - 64:25 projection [6] -146:20, 165:13, 168:19, 178:19, 181:2, 182:8 projections [11] -112:10, 112:22, 143:9, 156:21, 157:5, 157:10, 162:8, 167:10, 169:23, 172:23, 174:11 projects [3] - 130:16, 177:23 promise [2] - 78:6, 136.2 promised [1] - 78:1 **promising** [1] - 106:14 promoted [1] - 141:11 promptly [1] - 122:9 properly [1] - 116:10 property [1] - 117:18 proposal [4] - 8:24, 16:17, 22:15, 39:8 proposals [3] - 16:7, 16:20, 154:12 prospect [3] - 137:14, 182:23, 183:13

prospective [7] -

158:6, 158:18,

160:19, 161:18,

11:20, 11:21, 13:16, 13:18, 13:22, 34:13, 38:16 prospectively [2] -97:15, 138:16 protecting [1] - 99:24 protocol [1] - 170:16 protracted [1] -133:10 prove [1] - 121:20 Provide [1] - 162:23 provide [12] - 23:23, 35:5, 35:19, 36:7, 37:5, 46:3, 96:2, 97:7, 100:16, 114:2, 115:2, 161:19 provided [10] - 16:9, 19:8, 32:15, 37:8, 46:7, 46:10, 50:2, 82:20, 115:1, 121:17 provides [1] - 183:21 **providing** [10] - 9:4, 21:11, 24:10, 24:22, 24:25, 30:5, 36:18, 44:4, 163:18 proxy [1] - 85:8 Public [1] - 1:15 public [12] - 41:24, 58:8, 58:18, 58:23, 63:23, 72:12, 80:10, 98:19, 100:10, 111:8, 114:12, 183:20 publicly [2] - 58:10, 66:23 published [1] - 62:23 pull [5] - 35:24, 83:15, 162:13, 166:24, 167:3 purchase [5] - 10:10, 10:12, 10:23, 14:5, 119:25 purchaser [4] - 11:21, 13:16, 13:18, 13:22 purchasers [1] - 11:20 pure [2] - 10:4, 11:18 purpose [3] - 32:13, 78:2, 89:22 pursuant [1] - 29:18 pursue [1] - 45:3 pursuing [5] - 7:24, 8:13, 8:21, 60:23, 85:13 push [5] - 118:11, 135:14, 153:25, 178:10 pushed [5] - 56:1, 73:11, 88:16, 141:18 pushing [6] - 7:24, 99:21, 135:21,

141:5, 142:7, 143:1 put [42] - 10:13, 23:8, 27:5, 38:17, 38:21, 40:12, 47:3, 63:7, 63:10, 63:20, 74:11, 87:25, 92:17, 97:12, 99:21, 102:16, 102:17, 103:25, 107:17, 108:6, 112:8, 113:13, 115:9, 117:10, 123:16, 123:23, 126:18, 129:11, 130:3, 135:16, 139:22, 142:12, 150:25, 154:12, 167:25, 168:16, 168:20, 174:13, 174:17, 178:13 puts [1] - 152:9 puzzle [1] - 168:21 **PV** [1] - 161:6

Q

quadrupled [1] -140:9 quandary [1] - 15:10 quantified [2] -133:21, 144:7 quantify [1] - 144:7 quarter [1] - 109:19 questionable [1] -157:18 questions [27] - 5:21, 5:25, 6:7, 6:8, 8:6, 42:8, 67:21, 80:9, 89:6, 91:16, 101:21, 105:13, 114:23, 115:3, 118:2, 118:7, 118:14, 118:18, 136:3, 156:23, 162:11, 162:14, 166:9. 167:5. 174:24, 175:2, 175:6 quick [3] - 20:13, 43:7, 57:11 quickly [15] - 48:24, 49:4, 49:8, 56:1, 62:7, 72:13, 72:15, 77:2, 78:8, 94:5, 98:14, 98:16, 101:4, 101:22, 136:4 quietly [1] - 73:23 quite [29] - 54:10, 59:12, 64:12, 71:17, 72:6, 74:22, 76:8, 77:1, 91:1, 95:24, 96:14, 97:25, 98:25, 99:15, 111:24, 115:15, 117:9,

128:7, 133:12, 146:15, 150:9, 150:12, 154:10, 168:11, 171:1, 180:4, 180:11 **quo** [7] - 93:25, 94:7, 94:13, 111:9, 112:6, 113:12, 136:16 **quote** [5] - 12:16, 62:2, 74:21, 89:4, 89:5

R

races [1] - 131:18

rain [1] - 153:6

raise [4] - 7:13, 96:1, 122:6, 122:7 raised [3] - 7:21, 60:17, 118:2 ran [2] - 88:18, 122:19 range [10] - 10:19, 10:22, 13:4, 13:9, 46:13, 59:2, 64:20, 84:6, 93:16, 177:19 rankings [1] - 101:11 rate [30] - 101:24, 102:2, 102:5, 102:8, 103:18, 105:15, 105:20, 105:25, 137:21, 138:14, 141:9, 146:6, 150:11, 158:9, 161:20, 165:17, 171:14, 172:20, 173:17, 176:15, 176:23, 177:25, 178:6, 178:19, 179:8, 181:12, 182:5, 182:7, 183:16 rates [16] - 93:10, 93:11, 122:7, 149:16, 150:13, 150:14, 150:15, 158:1, 176:18, 177:23, 179:25, 180:10, 180:15, 181:3, 181:9 rather [1] - 84:10 rating [4] - 114:15, 119:10, 119:12, 130:1 ratings [1] - 117:6 ratio [1] - 122:1 rationale [1] - 81:13 Re [2] - 33:12, 186:2 re [1] - 18:22 reach [2] - 63:7, 86:5 reached [3] - 10:16, 181:1, 181:15

reaching [4] - 48:20, 87:13, 95:17, 161:23 reaction [1] - 172:1 read [10] - 5:25, 6:6, 23:2, 52:13, 167:11, 167:13, 167:17, 169:1, 180:12, 186:21 reading [1] - 5:4 readout [1] - 53:18 reads [1] - 164:2 ready [1] - 162:14 real [8] - 57:11, 73:14, 153:1, 153:9, 163:6, 163:18, 180:21 realistically [1] - 181:7 reality [6] - 82:1, 133:8, 137:20, 137:23, 138:15, 143:5 realize [2] - 89:20, 92:23 realized [1] - 83:4 really [70] - 6:19, 6:21, 6:25, 13:11, 16:9, 18:10, 24:2, 45:14, 48:15, 76:24, 84:15, 87:20, 90:20, 90:23, 94:10, 95:1, 96:25, 99:21, 100:23, 102:2, 103:20, 105:9, 105:12, 106:6, 108:9, 108:17, 111:4, 115:13. 116:1. 118:10, 118:11, 118:22, 123:20, 124:15, 128:14, 130:11, 134:7, 136:1, 137:14, 139:3, 139:19, 143:4, 144:6, 145:7, 146:20, 150:4, 150:6, 150:10, 150:21, 150:22, 154:1, 155:13, 157:4, 161:17, 167:22, 169:16, 169:24, 170:2, 171:12, 171:13, 173:24, 182:21, 182:22, 182:25, rearview [2] - 137:11, 137:12 reason [11] - 16:25, 21:20, 76:3, 82:10, 122:25, 126:6,

128:22, 130:12,

134:2, 148:19

reasonable [1] -151:20 reasons [2] - 96:14, 120:2 reassess [1] - 104:25 rebate [1] - 161:24 rebrand [1] - 105:1 rebranded [1] - 142:4 rebut [1] - 167:8 recapitalization [1] -110:14 receive [5] - 32:18, 43:20, 55:21, 105:24, 106:15 received [1] - 41:2 receiving [2] - 45:4, 51:21 recent [1] - 36:6 recently [2] - 77:9, 175:20 Recess [2] - 57:14, 125:1 recipients [1] - 43:10 recognized [2] -108:18, 108:20 recollection [2] -11:18, 42:25 recommendation [6] -7:25, 9:22, 40:8, 52:2, 74:5 recommendations [3] - 6:17, 109:7, 140:24 recommended [1] -51:4 recommending [1] -68:12 reconcile [1] - 167:21 record [12] - 53:14, 57:10, 57:13, 57:23, 57:25, 63:24, 79:8, 91:6, 114:12, 121:1, 185:10 records [2] - 20:23, 58:23 recover [1] - 101:12 red [1] - 137:19 redo [1] - 108:15 reduce [15] - 97:19, 103:21, 103:22, 104:6, 104:10, 104:11, 105:4, 105:5, 120:5, 152:4, 160:24, 163:19, 163:22 reduced [5] - 41:10, 49:13, 145:18, 145:21, 176:22 reduces [1] - 101:17 reducing [1] - 155:21

reduction [7] - 143:24,

144:5, 144:17, 145:10, 157:22, 176:19, 181:14 reductions [2] -127:11, 176:13 reenforce [1] - 90:2 refer [1] - 41:19 reference [4] - 52:25, 61:25, 70:6, 80:7 referenced [3] - 40:5, 41:9, 46:25 references [1] - 63:2 referencing [1] -154:14 referred [2] - 45:7, 119:19 referring [5] - 56:14, 57:1, 118:4, 118:14, 143:25 refined [1] - 183:5 refinement [1] -168:13 refinements [1] -143:10 refining [1] - 171:9 reflection [1] - 52:19 refrigeration [2] -145:16, 147:15 refunding [1] - 15:3 refusal [1] - 127:3 refused [1] - 55:19 regard [2] - 96:21 regarding [8] - 7:18, 18:3, 19:8, 35:8, 35:24, 36:23, 36:25, 80:10 regardless [1] - 103:8 regulation [1] - 155:17 regulations [1] -155:23 regulatory [1] - 170:16 Regulatory [2] -128:11, 131:25 reinvested [1] -183:18 relate [3] - 18:1, 31:14, 153:13 related [9] - 39:18, 44:6, 44:8, 81:4, 105:14, 114:22, 116:2, 116:24, 181:22 relates [4] - 17:10, 44:5, 47:23, 81:3 relating [3] - 18:3, 18:4, 42:14 relations [1] - 36:14 relationship [9] -21:16, 22:2, 22:15, 27:16, 29:12, 31:10,

33:14, 74:15, 82:18 relative [6] - 12:21, 80:12, 114:23, 116:2, 185:13, 185:15 relatively [1] - 73:22 release [2] - 58:8, 58:17 released [2] - 58:9, 58:11 relevance [1] - 17:7 reliability [1] - 183:8 reliability's [1] -158:19 reluctantly [1] -121:21 remains [1] - 141:8 remember [6] - 23:7, 29:13, 42:23, 48:13, 50:3, 122:20 reminding [1] - 126:7 remotely [1] - 72:19 remove [1] - 69:22 renewables [1] -127:20 repeat [2] - 56:17, 110:8 replaced [1] - 159:25 replacement [2] -76:14, 97:17 reply [1] - 46:3 report [41] - 30:15, 31:5, 38:8, 39:1, 46:16, 46:18, 51:1, 51:2, 51:7, 53:13, 53:15, 57:20, 58:6, 58:8, 58:9, 58:12, 58:17, 58:21, 58:25, 80:13, 81:1, 107:11, 108:25, 109:5, 109:15, 109:21, 126:10, 126:11, 167:9, 167:24, 169:2, 170:8, 172:6, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 174:6, 174:12, 176:2, 176:5, 185:7 reported [2] - 7:2, 36:5 REPORTER'S [1] -185:1 reporting [1] - 138:22

reports [4] - 37:19,

37:21. 115:19.

represent [2] - 49:9,

representative [3] -

38:13, 119:12,

149:16

49:10

122:23 Representative [1] -27:16 representatives [5] -28:20, 28:24, 28:25, 29:20, 98:20 represented [3] - 15:6, 15:7, 29:24 representing [1] -12:9 Republican [2] - 62:3, 62:6 reputation [2] -128:15, 132:12 reputational [1] -128:16 request [8] - 16:7, 16:20, 22:14, 39:8, 51:19, 55:1, 55:18, 58:23 requested [3] - 53:20, 53:21, 185:9 requesting [3] - 51:17, 53:6, 54:25 requests [1] - 66:25 required [1] - 32:22 requirement [2] -90:8, 178:20 requirements [7] -127:11, 168:4, 169:8, 169:21, 174:11, 176:10, 176:13 Requirements [1] -172:15 research [1] - 89:13 residential [4] - 70:23, 157:20, 160:19, 163.7 residential/ commercial [1] -70:12 resign [2] - 74:9, 76:9 resignation [1] - 77:3 resigned [2] - 75:5, 75:22 resilience [1] - 126:11 resiliency [2] - 183:8, 183:11 resilient [1] - 126:12 resolution [1] - 66:11 resolve [3] - 98:21, 119:21, 119:24 resolved [1] - 133:21 resource [1] - 168:10 resources [1] - 170:22 respect [5] - 63:8, 75:2, 114:12, 114:21, 142:2

130:16 respondent [1] - 45:1 responding [1] -51:19 response [11] - 84:20, 94:1, 94:2, 101:9, 101:13, 105:12, 111:11, 112:7, 119:2, 151:23, 162:20 responses [1] - 161:2 responsiveness [1] -101:17 rest [4] - 15:25, 110:16, 155:18 restrictions [1] - 47:17 result [6] - 22:7, 35:10, 35:15, 35:17, 82:24, 85:15 results [3] - 87:9, 172:22, 172:25 retail [2] - 161:20, 163:6 retain [1] - 92:4 retained [2] - 46:18, 78:18 retaining [2] - 48:9, 48:11 retention [4] - 36:16, 36:19, 37:5, 37:14 retire [1] - 74:18 retired [1] - 75:5 retrospect [1] - 56:3 return [4] - 9:15, 40:13, 96:12 returned [2] - 45:15, 45:22 revealed [1] - 14:1 Revenue [2] - 162:23, 162:24 revenue [18] - 92:22, 92:23, 93:9, 99:13, 163:3, 163:9, 164:8, 164:10, 164:21, 166:1, 170:14, 176:10, 176:12, 177:14, 178:20, 178:21, 179:5 revenue-generating [1] - 163:9 revenues [4] - 122:9, 177:18, 180:14 review [4] - 17:17, 36:22, 53:15, 185:8 reviewed [1] - 126:8 reviewing [2] - 63:19, revised [2] - 136:16, 136:19 **RFP** [19] - 14:9, 21:16,

21:18, 22:1, 22:3, 22:24, 23:3, 23:16, 33:13, 33:15, 43:10, 43:14, 43:21, 47:6, 47:8, 47:14, 47:22, 47:25, 48:5 rhythm [1] - 114:2 ride [1] - 148:6 right-hand [1] -143:22 rigid [1] - 97:4 rigmarole [1] - 110:3 rigorous [1] - 104:24 Riley [1] - 2:9 risk [5] - 117:11, 130:22, 132:19, 134:1, 181:25 risks [5] - 67:10, 129:9, 129:21, 139:3, 176:22 River [3] - 81:13, 81:20, 82:10 road [1] - 98:23 Rob [2] - 13:1 Robert's [1] - 54:2 rocky [1] - 131:14 **role** [5] - 39:16, 77:7, 78:2, 109:13, 159:23 rolled [1] - 102:7 roof [4] - 102:19, 152:6, 161:6 roofs [1] - 153:2 rooftop [1] - 152:24 room [1] - 60:6 rotate [1] - 24:1 rotation [1] - 116:19 roughly [1] - 15:1 routine [1] - 85:25 RPR [3] - 1:15, 185:6, 185:23 Rules [1] - 54:2 rules [3] - 55:17, 99:22, 155:18 run [9] - 32:14, 68:13, 83:8, 92:20, 108:11, 113:14, 127:5, 143:9, 171:8 running [4] - 25:4, 104:10, 111:1, 171:18 rural [3] - 111:23, 111:24, 183:5 rush [1] - 72:6 RUSSELL [60] - 3:7, 3:9, 5:10, 5:23, 6:1, 6:5, 19:10, 19:13, 19:15, 19:18, 19:22, 19:23, 20:15, 21:1, 24:8, 25:22, 25:24, 28:16, 31:12, 38:1,

respective [2] - 5:3,

securities [1] - 45:4 110:10, 110:24, 40:24, 41:1, 41:7, Sam [8] - 13:17, several [11] - 6:20, 42:6, 43:3, 43:6, 26:12, 26:15, 26:18, security [2] - 37:2, 113:16, 114:11, 7:7, 14:19, 21:10, 56:16, 56:25, 57:4, 26:23, 31:25, 34:22 118:9, 119:13, 25:3, 74:11, 81:12, 37:9 57:12, 57:15, 57:21, 119:23, 121:24, 83:22, 88:21, 90:18, sat [3] - 142:18, 174:3, see [35] - 17:2, 17:6, 57:24, 58:1, 61:12, 174:17 25:13, 31:16, 31:18, 142:23, 143:8, 142:19 61:14, 61:24, 67:24, satisfaction [2] -33:18, 49:20, 51:16, 151:16, 160:6, sewer [2] - 152:1, 74:2, 78:22, 79:2, 163:2, 164:19, 167:9 183:21 150:1, 153:2 57:7, 59:10, 63:1, 79:7, 79:9, 79:15, 69:13, 73:8, 73:13, sense [13] - 28:7, saved [1] - 134:25 **shade** [2] - 153:5 79:19, 79:20, 91:13, 34:12, 72:23, shame [2] - 53:6, 55:6 savings [4] - 83:14, 75:13, 78:11, 79:12, 124:2, 124:23, 130:21, 131:18, 84:2, 97:18, 107:3 94:12, 99:18, 105:1, share [3] - 175:10, 133:16, 133:18, 131:24, 132:23, 119:1, 130:17, 182:20, 183:23 saw [6] - 8:3, 47:4, 166:13, 166:18, 138:11, 139:25, 132:24, 134:12, shared [8] - 9:9, 55:17, 99:9, 140:19, 166:21, 166:25, 134:13, 142:20, 153:25, 155:14, 140.21 12:15, 12:23, 15:15, 175:1, 175:4, 156:4, 156:6, 157:2, 158:16 scale [5] - 70:20, 31:3, 40:18, 63:3, 175:13, 183:25, 157:3, 161:22, sensed [1] - 129:1 100:14, 100:15, 110:9 184:5 172:16, 172:18, sensitized [1] - 178:3 161:8, 179:13 shareholder [1] -Russell [1] - 2:3 Scarborough [1] - 2:9 176:25 sent [3] - 23:16, 49:23, 96:11 Ryan [5] - 24:19, 30:1, 53.5 scare [1] - 112:19 seeing [8] - 115:11, sharing [2] - 30:1, 32:9, 113:17, 146:21 138:6, 139:1, 146:3, September [2] - 79:22, scenario [26] - 92:22, 30:13 148:9, 159:2, 184:1 131:12 93:8, 93:18, 93:25, sharply [1] - 119:13 S seek [13] - 8:1, 9:13, sequestered [1] -94:1, 111:10, sheet [9] - 21:3, 21:22, 9:14, 35:23, 39:7, 110:11 111:11, 113:13, 98:13, 119:25, S&P [5] - 114:22, 40:8, 40:12, 41:24, series [3] - 34:10, 118:8, 136:6, 132:25, 176:20, 118:2, 118:6, 119:6, 56:8, 75:7, 123:4, 136:13, 136:14, 44:20, 85:11 179:23, 181:13, 181.6 130:12 138:17, 146:19, serious [4] - 73:13, 181:15 Sachs [3] - 23:22, **seeking** [2] - 54:3, 73:17, 111:19, 151:5, 156:21, sheet's [1] - 180:5 45:19, 49:22 157:4, 157:9, 86:3 111:20 shiny [1] - 89:24 sale [45] - 6:21, 7:4, 162:19, 169:11, seem [6] - 23:17, serve [4] - 95:11, shock [1] - 77:19 12:21, 12:25, 13:15, 169:12, 169:14, 39:23, 68:9, 102:1, 102:24, 102:25, shocking [3] - 77:24, 15:13, 15:19, 16:13, 135:11, 139:9 142:10 171:9, 172:18 77:25, 123:4 16:17, 17:8, 17:10, seer [1] - 160:2 scenarios [15] - 92:20, served [2] - 6:10, short [1] - 163:14 17:19, 18:5, 18:16, 111:9, 111:15, **segments** [1] - 95:21 132:4 short-term [1] -22:25, 33:2, 36:8, 111:21, 113:18, **select** [1] - 50:10 service [12] - 16:8, 163:14 45:3, 52:11, 52:16, 118:21, 160:11, selected [1] - 60:22 68:8, 70:2, 82:3, shortfall [2] - 70:8, 54:14, 56:9, 56:10, 167:10, 168:5, **selection** [1] - 43:13 84:24, 98:19, 70:9 56:14, 56:22, 65:20, 169:9, 172:8, sell [13] - 7:25, 18:8, 100:10, 153:4, shortly [3] - 11:4, 71:21, 71:22, 73:14, 172:16. 172:19. 48:20, 48:21, 83:9, 153:15. 160:14. 11:5, 39:24 110:13, 110:14, 174:12 166:3. 183:6 103:12, 117:18, **shot** [1] - 133:6 112:3, 113:13, schedule [3] - 55:4, services [21] - 14:10, **show** [2] - 53:14, 120:15, 126:5, 115:16, 118:12, 55:6, 64:6 126:6, 132:24, 21:5, 21:11, 23:23, 168:3 118:23, 119:4, Scherer [1] - 97:11 133:7, 138:23 24:10, 28:9, 30:6, showed [2] - 136:23, 120:8, 120:24, seller [3] - 49:9, 49:12, 32:22, 33:2, 34:14, school [1] - 135:13 169:8 121:18, 133:11, 35:5, 35:20, 46:6, Scott [1] - 33:25 66:6 showing [1] - 157:8 133:21, 143:6, sellers' [1] - 34:14 46:19, 47:1, 47:15, screen [1] - 136:7 shown [1] - 164:20 143:19 48:21, 87:25, selling [6] - 89:18, **scrub** [3] - 123:3, shows [10] - 31:18, Sales [1] - 151:10 163:16, 163:21, 123:7, 123:17 89:21, 111:1, 111:4, 31:24, 45:20, 79:23, sales [32] - 68:4, 183:21 seal [1] - 16:22 120:7, 121:4 137:21, 154:23, 68:11, 70:10, 81:24, servicing [1] - 163:17 semantics [1] - 131:15 second [7] - 6:12, 82:5, 84:14, 84:18, 174:6, 176:18, sessions [1] - 86:18 77:6, 153:25, 156:5, send [4] - 51:1, 51:7, 176:22 136:24, 139:5, 51:10. 119:12 set [6] - 11:25, 53:12, 156:7, 165:11, shrink [1] - 62:7 139:11, 140:11, sending [5] - 33:19, 53:15, 86:9, 104:4, 173:18 shut [3] - 81:20, 141:7, 143:25, 171:10 33:20, 36:14, 44:3, secondarily [1] -82:11, 84:1 145:5, 145:19, setting [3] - 71:19, 120:10 50:25 145:21, 146:5, **shutting** [1] - 81:13 111:23 **secondly** [1] - 127:19 senior [34] - 16:3, 147:2, 151:13, side [21] - 15:1, 18:6, 23:25, 24:15, 27:22, settled [1] - 126:17 seconds [1] - 176:2 18:7, 18:8, 18:9, 151:15, 151:18, settlement [7] - 65:13, 27:23, 36:18, 37:18, sector [5] - 22:16, 18:11, 18:16, 82:2, 154:24, 155:10, 108:12, 126:22, 74:12, 92:4, 94:12, 99:14, 138:2, 168:14, 174:7, 88:16, 88:17, 92:23, 105:22, 106:3, 127:1, 130:12, 155:16, 171:5 176:14, 177:5, 99:4, 99:24, 129:6, 106:11, 106:16, 130:24, 134:9 secured [1] - 107:16 177:7, 178:10, 134 11 143 22

107:7, 107:8, 109:2,

Securities [1] - 44:24

178:22, 179:8

seven [2] - 69:15, 91:8

164:4, 168:12,

180:17 **small** [3] - 70:16, **sound** [1] - 13:23 74:13, 120:21, 113:12, 136:15 121:5, 123:13, sidewalk [1] - 134:21 84:19, 134:17 sounds [1] - 133:18 stay [3] - 18:11, 143:8, 173:22 sign [2] - 130:25, smaller [1] - 96:5 144:19, 184:3 source [2] - 81:15, staffs [2] - 39:11, 165:24 smallest [1] - 95:12 144:24 stayed [1] - 88:25 signaled [1] - 82:15 smart [1] - 104:3 132:16 staying [1] - 152:17 sources [2] - 22:17, signals [1] - 104:1 stakeholders [1] stems [1] - 41:12 Smith [2] - 1:10, 2:4 84.4 97:13 signed [2] - 45:22, so-called [1] - 90:21 **Southern** [4] - 64:2, stenographic [1] -131:2 128:5, 134:19, standard [3] - 50:12, 185:11 solar [30] - 13:14, significance [1] - 93:4 68:6, 70:4, 70:6, 134:21 55:6, 87:23 stenographically [1] standards [1] - 153:22 significant [11] - 9:25, 70:11, 70:12, 70:21, spaces [1] - 134:18 185:7 14:19, 54:6, 64:5, 70:24, 97:21, 98:5, spacing [1] - 104:6 standpoint [9] - 77:23, step [2] - 96:19, spans [1] - 21:5 90:11, 113:3, 81:19, 83:14, 83:16, 107:16, 107:20, 141.21 130:23, 182:24, 163:9, 164:1, 108:5, 108:15, stepped [4] - 11:14, speaking [1] - 67:18 182:25, 183:1, 181:14, 182:2 127:20, 142:15, spearheaded [1] -83:24, 83:25, 85:18 152:24, 153:4, 183:14 significantly [4] stepping [4] - 75:16, 39:3 87:9, 93:10, 93:11, 157:20, 158:9, Stanley [35] - 20:22, special [13] - 52:25, 77:7, 183:10 158:11, 158:19, 24:21, 25:1, 25:4, 124:8 steps [1] - 52:6 53:1, 53:14, 53:25, signing [2] - 5:4, 161:22, 161:24, 29:23, 30:2, 30:5, Steve [2] - 102:1, 54:13, 54:21, 55:1, 30:15, 30:20, 30:22, 46:22 163:7, 165:15, 160:5 55:2, 55:3, 55:5, Silagy [3] - 10:9, 11:5, 165:17, 165:19, 31:4, 31:7, 31:23, 55:8, 56:5, 57:18 **still** [17] - 26:18, 32:4, 32:8, 32:14, 165:25 12:22 **SPECIAL** [2] - 1:2, 26:24, 70:15, 70:16, 33:8, 33:9, 34:6, **sold** [4] - 65:3, 123:1, silent [1] - 82:22 186:3 87:1, 105:6, 117:12, 34:11, 34:21, 35:1, 163:20, 179:15 silly [2] - 132:10, Special [1] - 2:2 124:12, 134:1, 35:12, 35:18, 36:6, solicitation [1] - 16:7 132:20 specific [7] - 7:9, 16:2, 149:8, 152:20, 39:18, 45:14, 46:10, similar [3] - 7:23, solution [4] - 53:23, 152:21, 158:2, 18:3, 19:2, 29:10, 59:8, 59:19, 60:5, 77:1, 100:25, 171:10 160:2, 163:11, 44:22, 46:14 37:14, 80:23 60:9, 60:21, 61:6 **solutions** [1] - 107:10 181:24, 182:4 simple [2] - 24:14, specifically [3] start [8] - 42:18, someone [5] - 75:14, stipulated [1] - 5:2 25:12 63:16, 80:21, 116:1 119:12, 123:3, 85:19, 94:6, 122:7, simply [5] - 43:9, stock [2] - 145:9, speculate [1] - 174:19 138:11, 150:22, 126:16, 181:6 159:25 64:12, 76:23, 98:12, speech [4] - 68:12, 155:15 105:3 someplace [1] stood [2] - 130:9, 68:14, 70:25 started [3] - 72:14, sit [2] - 18:8, 174:6 101:16 130:10 spell [1] - 103:3 76:8, 88:17 sometime [1] - 102:12 **site** [15] - 136:23, stop [6] - 89:6, 91:10, spend [2] - 96:6, **starting** [3] - 6:20, sometimes [2] -137:3, 137:20, 114:7 96:23, 114:13, 28:7, 176:21 147:20, 173:8 167:20, 168:9, spent [4] - 122:16, 129:10, 149:10 starts [2] - 21:6, 168:15, 169:18, somewhere [2] stopped [4] - 108:11, 141:25, 143:5, 181:7 129:14 169:23, 170:14, 11:11, 123:15 128:2, 128:19, **spins** [1] - 182:2 state [11] - 77:23, 170:15, 171:2, soon [2] - 23:5, 184:2 128:21 spiral [7] - 90:21, 95:17, 96:16, 171:22, 171:25, sooner [2] - 108:19, stopping [1] - 180:19 92:7, 92:10, 115:8, 173:12 161:1 101:11, 101:16, storage [1] - 157:21 154:16, 154:19, sits [1] - 181:13 130:15, 147:10, sorry [20] - 11:14, stored [1] - 83:25 154:20 170:19, 170:20, sitting [1] - 155:8 19:20, 19:21, 19:22, storms [1] - 101:9 spoken [1] - 77:18 170:23 situation [2] - 54:8, 25:20, 25:22, 27:20, story [2] - 16:24, spreading [2] -State [1] - 128:6 40:1, 43:2, 56:17, 103:23, 121:7 121:17 **STATE** [1] - 185:3 situations [2] - 48:25, 61:11, 61:14, 67:23, stoves [1] - 102:18 **spring** [4] - 11:16, statement [12] - 39:13, 77:14, 79:10, 79:18, 54:7 strategic [27] - 14:9, 102:25, 103:1, 52:13, 52:18, 62:22, 84:20, 124:25, **six** [4] - 69:15, 77:19, 104:25 16:8, 47:1, 68:12, 64:23, 96:24, 145:6, 175:5, 179:2 91:8 **SpringHill** [3] - 59:19, 68:20, 68:23, 68:25, 145:17, 146:9, sort [27] - 8:15, 15:24, size [2] - 71:17, 71:19 84:23, 85:2, 85:11, 59:24, 60:10 151:4, 168:25 30:25, 31:6, 50:6, Skadden [1] - 50:7 86:1, 86:5, 86:10, squeeze [1] - 147:19 states [2] - 96:21, **skip** [2] - 58:4, 166:10 68:19, 68:22, 69:22, 86:19, 86:24, 87:5, St [3] - 81:13, 81:20, 100:24 slide [7] - 149:11, 72:5, 73:3, 81:22, 87:18, 89:5, 89:11, 82:10 statewide [1] - 10:20 92:20, 105:1, 149:12, 151:6, 89:16, 90:2, 92:2, stability [2] - 176:18, static [2] - 144:19, 113:14, 119:2, 151:8, 154:23, 92:5, 92:7, 93:6, 177:23 120:11, 123:2, 160:22 106:4, 138:24 162:22, 164:8 stabilized [1] - 137:20 station [1] - 139:21 124:15, 128:14, slow [1] - 106:5 strategies [3] - 85:13, stacked [1] - 135:7 135:4, 146:12, statistically [1] - 151:2 slowed [2] - 84:14, 110:20, 113:6 staff [18] - 7:1, 8:23, 146:14, 158:1, status [9] - 58:7, 106:12 strategy [5] - 62:11, 9:22, 15:4, 16:3, 158:25, 167:22, 93:25, 94:7, 94:13, 63:20, 109:11, slowly [1] - 77:5 29:7, 35:24, 38:13, 111:9, 112:6, 181:1 158:3, 161:25 SLT [1] - 106:21 48:23, 52:3, 52:20,

167:20, 168:9, 146:6, 147:12, stream [1] - 166:1 111:17 Т 168:14, 169:18, 147:21, 147:23, Street [3] - 1:21, 2:9, sudden [1] - 171:17 table [4] - 52:21, 169:23, 170:14, 153:8, 153:16, 34:19 **sue** [1] - 131:3 129:9, 129:21, 172:7 170:15, 171:2, 153:18, 153:25, street [2] - 15:18, sufficient [4] - 100:15, tackle [1] - 97:8 117:18, 170:22, 171:22, 171:25, 154:11, 157:21, 16:18 tactic [1] - 112:19 177:19 173:12, 181:1, 182:6 157:25, 159:13, strength [4] - 86:20, takeaway [1] - 17:23 ten-year [20] - 24:23, 159:14, 159:23, 98:13, 113:5, 181:18 suggest [2] - 23:17, TAKEN [2] - 1:8, 186:5 82:8, 136:23, 137:2, 160:7, 161:3, 162:4, **stressed** [1] - 121:25 72:19 talks [2] - 42:18, 44:25 137:20, 145:19, 163:3, 163:19, stretch [4] - 60:16, suggested [8] - 6:12, taxes [1] - 150:11 165:6, 167:24, 145:21, 155:13, 7:17, 49:18, 49:20, 153:14, 153:16, tea [1] - 180:12 167:20, 168:9, 168:1, 170:13, 166:16 49:22, 51:7, 51:12, 171:5, 171:9, team [50] - 15:8, 15:9, 168:14, 169:18, 72.17 strong [7] - 16:15, 27:23, 27:24, 37:2, 169:23, 170:14, 171:13, 171:23, 69:20, 71:21, 75:11, suggesting [3] - 7:20, 37:18, 37:20, 38:19, 170:15, 171:2, 173:5, 176:23, 176:6, 176:8 17:8, 36:21 69:25, 71:1, 72:9, 171:22, 171:25, 177:12, 177:15, stronger [1] - 180:5 suggests [1] - 59:1 75:11, 75:12, 75:15, 173:12 178:17, 180:22, Suite [2] - 1:11, 2:5 strongly [1] - 71:13 tends [1] - 87:10 180:24, 181:2, 75:16, 76:2, 85:5, structurally [1] - 98:25 Suites [3] - 59:20, 85:6, 87:1, 92:4, 181:12, 182:8 tens [1] - 164:5 59:24, 60:10 structure [10] - 37:8, 94:12, 99:17, terribly [1] - 103:2 term [10] - 27:12, summarize [3] -65:7, 94:22, 97:5, 106:17, 109:3, Terrie [3] - 57:21, 74:14, 76:25, 99:19, 68:24, 141:4, 176:2 98:18, 111:22, 110:6, 110:11, 105:16, 142:6, 185:6, 185:23 126:1, 145:8, 152:3, summary [1] - 172:7 110:25, 113:16, 163:14, 168:16, terrie [1] - 1:15 162:4 summer [2] - 11:16, 114:11, 114:12, 182.5 territory [8] - 82:4, structures [3] - 66:1, 65:14 115:5, 118:3, 118:9, 99:25, 102:10, terminal [1] - 60:6 95:20, 99:7 sums [1] - 92:25 119:14, 119:23, terminated [2] - 65:21, 153:5, 153:16, struggling [1] supplement [1] - 90:2 121:24, 123:13, 65:24 158:12, 160:14, 100:23 supplemented [1] -130:7, 131:1, termination [1] -166:4 stuck [1] - 84:8 92:6 141:20, 141:22, 108:12 **Tesla** [1] - 159:2 studies [1] - 149:24 supply [3] - 163:24, 142:24, 143:8, test [3] - 73:12, 87:14, terms [130] - 7:9, 8:11, study [5] - 88:14, 164:4, 168:17 151:16, 160:6, 12:11, 13:8, 15:19, 99:3 106:20, 141:19, support [3] - 77:8, 163:2, 164:19 17:1, 17:9, 18:3, testified [1] - 5:8 171:6 90:20, 95:21 team's [1] - 167:9 18:12, 18:15, 18:16, testing [1] - 102:6 studying [1] - 142:1 supported [2] - 92:10, teams [2] - 102:14, 25:4, 26:7, 28:9, that'll [5] - 113:9, **stuff** [5] - 90:15, 182:4 109:15 37:7, 39:5, 39:6, 142:3, 161:4, 109:22, 113:19, supportive [1] technologies [2] -39:9, 44:14, 47:20, 165:25, 166:1 126:14, 144:16 174:22 84:17, 168:22 48:16, 50:7, 63:9, THE [41] - 19:17, sub [1] - 172:15 supposed [2] - 88:19, technology [10] -63:22, 64:13, 65:7, 19:19, 20:20, 23:24, subcontract [1] - 89:2 88:20 64:4, 86:22, 87:1, 73:23, 75:10, 77:2, 29:1. 29:3. 30:9. subject [1] - 33:11 surfaced [1] - 13:25 93:10, 93:12, 99:4, 77:6, 77:7, 80:17, 30:17, 30:19, 30:25, **subscribe** [1] - 165:18 surprised [4] - 19:3, 99:6, 99:10, 104:1, 86:25, 87:3, 88:5, 31:6, 38:9, 39:4, subsequent [4] -43:16, 77:1, 173:24 149:21 88:11, 90:13, 93:16, 39:22, 40:2, 40:16, surprising [1] - 81:7 13:24, 28:21, 52:20, **TECO** [4] - 6:21, 7:4, 95:17, 96:18, 100:2, 41:12, 42:2, 42:16, 70:25 surround [1] - 48:15 12:24, 29:25 100:21, 101:11, 42:22, 42:25, 54:23, subsequently [7] **suspect** [1] - 78:5 teed [1] - 107:3 101:14, 103:23, 56:19, 56:23, 61:18, 35:19, 36:7, 38:22, suspended [1] telephone [3] - 2:3, 106:21, 106:22, 61:23, 68:16, 68:19, 40:3, 40:18, 47:3, 104:23 2:8, 31:21 109:20, 110:9, 69:8, 69:13, 71:11, 55:25 suspending [1] -TELEPHONIC [1] - 1:5 110:19, 112:1, 72:4, 79:10, 79:17, substantial [2] -122:8 112:21, 113:24, tellers [1] - 65:15 124:3, 124:22, 165:12, 179:24 sustain [1] - 104:18 template [3] - 36:16, 115:7, 125:13, 133:17, 133:24, substantially [8] - 7:6, system [21] - 70:23, 125:25, 128:14, 44:4, 45:8 174:25, 184:3, 184:7 64:24, 76:5, 145:9, 82:4, 83:8, 102:11, 128:19, 129:3, theirs [1] - 90:10 ten [36] - 24:23, 68:13, 145:11, 154:5, 102:20, 102:22, 129:4, 130:7, 130:8, 73:21, 82:8, 98:11, theme [2] - 118:7, 154:6, 161:7 104:22, 123:22, 133:4, 133:8, 107:19, 116:6, 180:13 substantive [1] -142:2, 142:7, 133:15, 134:15, 123:11, 123:21, themselves [1] - 48:20 18:14 142:12, 145:4, 135:7, 135:8, 135:9, 135:9, 136:23, thereafter [1] - 122:14 **substitute** [1] - 23:8 145:19, 149:22, 138:13, 140:1, 136:24, 137:2, therefore [5] - 95:14, succeed [2] - 126:23, 151:18, 171:3, 142:5, 142:11, 137:20, 145:19, 96:14, 117:11, 176:11, 176:12, 142:22 143:9, 144:4, 145:21, 146:3, 125:7, 148:21 success [1] - 133:9 177:7, 178:5 144:13, 145:10, 146:5, 147:2, thereof [1] - 157:4 systems [1] - 183:22 **successful** [1] - 90:17 145:18, 146:3, 155:13, 164:15, they've [4] - 44:23, successfully [1] -

unfortunately [2] -

129:1, 158:14, 159:1 thinking [5] - 26:10, 62:24, 100:6, 153:12, 170:5 third [12] - 12:9, 15:23, 39:7, 40:13, 41:24, 70:22, 97:16, 102:14, 103:3, 117:3, 173:21 third-party [6] - 15:23, 40:13, 41:24, 97:16, 173:21 thirds [1] - 103:4 thorough [2] - 105:9, 105:12 thoroughly [1] - 173:7 thoughts [2] - 182:19, three [31] - 15:6, 23:9, 24:5, 31:24, 46:17, 62:8, 62:15, 62:25, 63:9, 86:9, 86:11, 94:1, 102:13, 104:9, 104:13, 111:11, 118:21, 126:25, 127:1, 132:15, 132:16, 152:4, 168:5, 169:9, 171:16, 172:18, 174:20, 176:10, 178:7, 179:10, 181:11 throughout [1] - 79:25 tie [2] - 103:5, 154:21 tight [1] - 178:16 tighter [2] - 147:19 **Tim** [5] - 19:7, 19:25, 20:3 **TIME** [1] - 1:9 Times-Union [1] -62:1 timing [1] - 82:8 titled [1] - 78:10 today [14] - 70:13, 84:17, 106:9, 110:5, 112:24, 127:13, 127:14, 127:15, 141:9, 155:8, 178:12, 181:13, 182:12. 183:24 today's [2] - 64:14, 180:25 together [8] - 38:21, 76:13, 85:5, 86:23, 92:17, 97:12, 103:25, 130:24 **Tom** [1] - 41:2 tomorrow [2] - 33:21, 127:15 ton [1] - 104:20

tons [2] - 97:19, 98:3 transition [4] - 81:14, took [6] - 52:21, 87:19, 124:3, 129:21, 141:19, 145:3 tools [1] - 106:8 top [14] - 29:14, 87:4, 87:9, 90:19, 90:20, 101:10, 107:18, 107:19, 129:16, 139:7, 148:17, 165:7 top-down [2] - 87:4, 87:9 topics [1] - 114:21 Total [1] - 172:15 total [8] - 122:10, 129:24, 135:19, 150:1, 155:1, 155:10, 174:11 totally [1] - 123:19 touch [1] - 101:23 toward [1] - 71:9 towards [16] - 36:8, 39:16, 44:11, 69:3, 71:13, 71:21, 86:14, 87:19, 87:20, 107:9, 109:12, 110:19, 134:15, 138:4, 138:6 tower [1] - 110:12 towers [1] - 163:12 town [1] - 139:19 towns [1] - 134:17 track [1] - 124:18 traditional [6] - 94:1, 111:10, 112:7, 113:12, 119:2, 162:20 transaction [25] -18:6, 18:7, 29:25, 44:6, 44:7, 45:1, 45:7, 45:25, 46:2, 50:17, 56:11, 56:15, 56:21, 56:22, 62:24, 65:10, 71:18, 83:14, 97:16, 97:24, 98:10, 98:15, 116:15 transactions [6] -23:11, 24:1, 24:3, 24:4, 24:16, 29:9 transcript [2] - 185:9, 185:10 TRANSCRIPT [1] -186.2 transfer [2] - 150:14, 153:9 transformational [1] -106:4

transformers [1] -

transient [1] - 163:25

103:6

transmission [1] -150:13 transmittal [2] - 45:20, 50:24 transportation [6] -138:2, 139:18, 142:11, 153:17, 155:16, 156:8 traumatically [1] -112:13 traveling [1] - 159:20 treasury [1] - 29:7 tree [1] - 89:24 tremendous [1] -141:14 trend [7] - 70:9, 70:18, 127:16, 137:16, 137:18, 145:24 trends [7] - 68:6, 94:15, 94:23, 97:4, 115:8, 148:9, 149:21 triggered [1] - 6:23 trouble [1] - 100:8 true [3] - 65:16, 185:10, 186:22 truly [1] - 133:23 try [18] - 48:20, 72:9, 72:10, 72:12, 79:12, 79:13, 87:14, 90:16, 94:4, 104:14, 107:2, 114:1, 122:24, 136:3, 142:20, 170:17, 171:18 trying [16] - 15:14, 27:5, 63:20, 64:22, 69:5, 88:1, 91:7, 119:21, 119:24, 123:4, 134:19, 142:21, 157:24, 164:14, 167:17, 170.13 tunnel [1] - 117:13 turn [2] - 20:12, 102:15 turned [1] - 32:20 two [43] - 5:21, 6:7, 9:12, 21:4, 21:24, 22:17, 24:3, 25:14, 25:16, 25:25, 37:17, 39:6, 39:10, 45:21, 53:12, 66:3, 72:1, 72:20, 73:3, 77:5, 83:5. 84:11. 90:20. 93:25, 97:7, 103:4, 108:7, 111:10, 117:1, 118:8, 118:21, 120:1,

145:24, 158:17,

164:3

120:2, 124:20, 126:25, 127:1, 131:21, 167:10, 177:13, 181:12 two-thirds [1] - 103:4 twofold [1] - 90:25 type [9] - 32:23, 37:7, 50:5, 55:21, 63:20, 112:16, 117:17, 177:9 types [1] - 174:7 typical [1] - 81:3 typically [1] - 163:16 U

ultimate [1] - 149:23 ultimately [5] - 74:19, 77:21, 131:1, 138:6, 167:23 unable [1] - 104:17 unaware [5] - 15:22, 55:11, 61:5, 80:6, 80:21 unblurred [1] - 156:20 uncertain [2] - 18:2, 127:10 uncertainty [1] -125:21 uncomfortable [1] -124:21 unconstitutional [1] -116:10 under [17] - 54:7, 80:7, 89:1, 89:3, 110:6, 122:15, 142:24, 143:22, 143:23, 154:6, 155:2, 160:11, 168:4, 177:17, 183:16, 186:21 underestimated [1] -154:7 underlie [1] - 157:9 underlying [3] -156:20, 157:4, 172:2 understate [1] -177:17 understated [2] -159:5, 159:6 understood 191 -13:20, 29:22, 31:11. 47:7, 74:1, 118:16, 139:8, 156:1, 166:5 underwhelming [1] -153:16 underwriters [1] -15:7 underwriting [2] -

18:12, 20:17

82:20, 152:13 unheard [1] - 98:12 unimpressed [1] -167:25 Union [1] - 62:1 unique [1] - 148:9 unit [3] - 84:1, 150:3, 176:14 unless [1] - 65:21 unlike [1] - 136:22 unnamed [1] - 12:14 unpack [1] - 117:23 unplanned [1] -177:20 unquote [1] - 12:16 unverd [1] - 156:19 **up** [82] - 11:25, 37:22, 39:12, 42:8, 48:14, 49:3, 53:1, 53:12, 53:15, 53:23, 58:14, 64:20, 71:25, 75:16, 78:13, 79:12, 79:25, 81:11, 83:1, 83:24, 83:25, 87:1, 88:4, 88:17, 88:22, 90:15, 91:7, 91:8, 92:25, 94:11, 98:19, 100:12, 100:25, 101:21, 103:9, 103:13, 105:13, 107:3, 108:6, 109:21, 114:23, 116:16, 117:16, 119:22, 120:25, 121:19, 122:22, 127:9, 129:15, 129:24, 135:21, 136:7, 138:12, 139:2, 141:17, 141:21, 146:15, 150:15, 152:10, 154:21, 155:15, 156:6. 156:10. 156:13, 156:22, 162:13, 162:16, 165:10, 165:24, 166:2, 166:12, 166:24, 167:3, 170:20, 171:9, 171:17, 172:5, 172:9, 173:19, 179:14, 182:18 upcoming [1] - 99:12 update [1] - 87:18 updated [3] - 140:20, 141:19, 173:13 updates [1] - 143:10 upfront [1] - 90:9 upgrade [3] - 104:22,

113:8, 142:2 upgrades [1] - 176:25 urban [1] - 111:23 urgency [1] - 72:23 usual [1] - 94:13 utilities [10] - 6:24, 70:20, 95:16, 99:2, 103:8, 119:11, 128:11, 158:4, 160:20, 160:23 utility [27] - 70:20, 71:23, 76:6, 87:21, 94:1, 95:5, 95:6, 100:4, 100:14, 102:9, 105:22, 111:11, 119:14, 150:25, 154:15, 161:7, 161:8, 161:9, 161:15, 161:16, 161:19, 162:3, 162:20, 168:17, 170:12, 182:22 utilization [2] -145:25, 146:10 utilizing [3] - 17:18, 145:15, 171:25

V

valid [1] - 131:20 validated [3] - 52:17, 113:7, 171:6 validation [2] - 31:6, 135.9 validity [2] - 119:1, 157:17 valuable [3] - 127:14, 127:15, 127:17 valuation [13] - 6:24, 7:5, 8:1, 25:5, 32:17, 32:19, 35:25, 46:18, 58:24, 62:13, 63:13, 125:22, 180:3 valuations [2] - 7:23, 21:25 value [40] - 6:25, 7:22, 10:5, 10:6, 21:13, 35:9, 38:23, 46:12, 64:15, 64:16, 64:20, 65:11, 65:16, 66:5, 68:3, 69:12, 73:13, 78:2, 89:20, 96:2, 96:10, 100:17, 107:2, 112:5, 116:5, 127:13, 129:19, 129:22, 130:23, 139:18, 144:12, 163:18, 179:16, 179:18, 179:21, 180:10, 180:22,

181:19, 183:16

valued [1] - 66:2 values [7] - 69:17, 85:17, 181:3, 181:9, 181:13, 181:23 variable [1] - 176:23 varies [1] - 127:22 variety [1] - 87:13 various [3] - 39:11, 86:19, 111:12 vehicle [2] - 148:5, 148:6 vehicles [4] - 139:19, 146:12, 154:23, 154:25 vendor [2] - 108:9, 108:14 verbal [1] - 41:13 verbally [1] - 41:11 versed [1] - 55:17

136:19, 136:22, 156:20 versus [8] - 65:7, 84:4, 84:16, 125:18, 125:19, 152:8, 171:21, 171:22 vetted [2] - 66:2,

version [4] - 136:17,

101:13 via [2] - 2:3, 2:8 viable [1] - 111:15 view [11] - 10:4, 32:19, 69:1, 85:4, 88:10, 89:23, 95:6, 112:9, 137:15, 152:23

views [3] - 90:2, 90:4, 90:13 vision [2] - 85:15, 86:9

visit [1] - 11:5 visited [2] - 25:15, 26:2

visiting [2] - 25:16, 30:3

visualization [1] -87:19

Vogtle [28] - 62:12, 63:6, 63:12, 63:17, 63:19, 63:25, 64:3, 64:10, 64:18, 65:9, 65:11, 65:16, 65:18, 65:21, 80:17, 80:19, 83:23, 98:22, 116:2, 119:19, 119:20, 121:13, 121:19, 122:11, 122:25, 128:5, 128:13, 178:4 voluminous [2] -

148:19, 148:20

vote [1] - 55:10

W

wait [1] - 91:10 waived [1] - 5:5 wake [1] - 171:17 walk [1] - 116:20 walking [1] - 84:8 walls [1] - 183:17 Wannemacher [9] -24:19, 24:22, 24:24, 30:1, 30:20, 32:9, 34:25, 113:17, 146:21 wants [1] - 62:7 wastewater [1] - 100:1 watching [1] - 108:11 water [14] - 95:19, 104:7, 148:22, 149:6, 163:15, 163:20, 163:23, 163:25, 164:3, 165:10, 176:12, 183:21, 183:22 water's [1] - 149:6 water/sewer [3] -15:1, 24:16, 99:24 ways [2] - 83:23, 122:4 weak [1] - 92:19 weaknesses [1] -86:20 weather [1] - 102:14 WEDEKIND [4] -19:11, 78:21, 78:23, 175:9 Wedekind [1] - 2:8 Wednesday [1] - 1:8 week [5] - 39:23, 76:12, 77:5, 77:6, 116:21 weeks [2] - 53:12, 72:20 weight [1] - 159:1 Weinstein [24] - 8:25, 9:17, 12:7, 16:11, 17:13, 17:16, 27:25, 31:25, 34:22, 34:24, 35:3, 38:3, 38:7, 38:10, 38:11, 38:20, 39:3, 39:14, 39:20, 40:6, 40:9, 41:9, 41:12, 43:8 Wells [2] - 20:22, 23:8 Westinghouse [1] -126:14

138:2, 148:3, 164:1 wholly [1] - 170:12 WiFi [1] - 79:14 William [5] - 24:20, 24:22, 24:25, 31:19, 32:8 willing [3] - 135:22, 154:11, 154:15 wind [1] - 127:21 winds [1] - 127:22 winners [2] - 43:9, 43:13 wires [2] - 103:6, 139:23 wise [1] - 152:21 wish [1] - 11:24 Witness [2] - 2:6, 184:9 witness [3] - 1:14, 5:3, 5:5 WITNESS [42] - 3:5, 19:17, 19:19, 20:20, 23:24, 29:1, 29:3, 30:9, 30:17, 30:19, 30:25, 31:6, 38:9, 39:4, 39:22, 40:2, 40:16, 41:12, 42:2, 42:16, 42:22, 42:25, 54:23, 56:19, 56:23, 61:18, 61:23, 68:16, 68:19, 69:8, 69:13, 71:11, 72:4, 79:10, 79:17, 124:3, 124:22, 133:17, 133:24, 174:25, 184:3, 184:7 wonderful [1] - 89:25 worded [1] - 119:13 words [1] - 16:15 works [1] - 133:6 workshop [6] - 52:21, 53:16, 55:21, 67:8, 136:18, 136:21 world [1] - 127:10 worst [17] - 92:19, 92:22, 92:24, 93:8, 93:17, 93:18, 96:15, 112:11, 138:19, 146:16, 151:5, 158:3, 169:12, 178:5, 178:23, 179:3, 179:8 worst-case [1] - 92:22 worth [1] - 180:23

wrap [2] - 154:21,

wrapped [2] - 109:2

172:4

72:7, 82:4, 98:4,

116:24, 121:18,

122:25, 131:23,

WRITE [1] - 186:2 writing [2] - 40:12, 41:10 written [5] - 9:7, 9:16, 22:8, 50:2, 153:22 wrote [5] - 55:11, 62:10, 83:15, 170:3, 170:5

Υ

year [66] - 7:11, 24:23, 68:8, 70:15, 73:2, 82:8, 91:3, 97:20, 97:21, 99:12, 99:13, 99:18, 99:22, 100:19, 102:11, 108:19, 112:9, 112:14, 113:10, 121:15, 124:2, 124:3, 128:21, 129:14, 136:23, 137:2, 137:20, 140:14, 140:21, 141:1, 141:2, 145:19, 145:21, 153:22, 153:23, 155:13, 156:7, 156:12, 156:14, 165:5, 165:9, 167:20, 168:9, 168:14, 169:18, 169:23, 170:14, 170:15, 171:2, 171:22, 171:25, 173:9, 173:12, 174:6, 176:8, 176:11, 177:22, 178:7, 178:8, 179:10, 182:14, 183:16 **Year** [1] - 175:22 yearly [1] - 182:3 years [59] - 6:20, 7:8, 9:25, 64:21, 64:25, 65:12, 65:13, 67:3, 68:13, 73:21, 73:22, 77:18, 84:3, 87:6, 97:18, 98:11, 99:15, 100:5, 104:14, 108:7, 112:12, 112:25, 116:6, 122:14, 123:11, 123:21, 127:5, 127:6, 128:1, 129:24, 134:23, 134:24, 135:9, 136:25, 138:10, 142:19, 146:3, 146:5, 147:3, 150:7, 150:23, 158:23,

whatsoever [2] -

53:11, 82:21

176:20

wherewithal [1] -

whole [11] - 70:24,

164:15, 165:1,
171:16, 176:10,
176:11, 177:24,
178:1, 178:7, 179:7,
179:10, 179:19,
180:14, 181:2,
182:6, 182:7
yesterday [1] - 127:14
yield [3] - 149:17,
150:3, 150:4
York [1] - 100:12
young [2] - 62:3, 62:6
yourself [2] - 89:18,
135:16

Ζ

Zahn [29] - 50:25, 51:2, 51:3, 66:11, 66:21, 67:12, 68:1, 68:2, 68:11, 68:15, 68:18, 69:11, 69:21, 75:7, 75:22, 76:4, 76:7, 78:1, 78:5, 92:4, 105:19, 110:6, 119:21, 126:16, 131:5, 140:22, 141:5, 142:24 **Zahn's** [2] - 66:13, 77:2 zero [7] - 79:14, 127:24, 129:8, 135:4, 135:5, 177:5, 177:6