PUBLIC NOTICE **AGENDA**

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEETING

Thursday, December 6, 2018, 10:00 a.m. Eighth Floor, Conference Room 851 Ed Ball Building, 214 N. Hogan Street Jacksonville, FL 32202

Committee Members: Gregory Pease, Chairman Randall Barnes, Treasury Jeff Close, OGC

Subcommittee Members	ITEM#	TITLE & ACTION	MOTION	CONTR EXP	OUTCOME
Ed Starnes Duane Kent	P-28-18	Subcommittee Report (Deferred 11/29/18) Professional Design Services for Dock Inspections and Designs Department of Public Works/Engineering & Construction Management Division	It is the consensus of the committee that of the four (4) companies/firms responding to the Request for Proposal (RFP) all were found to be responsive, interested, qualified and available to provide the services required by the RFP. The ranking of first, second and third, designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services and alphabetically they are: 1) Applied Technology & Management, Inc. 3) Construction & Engineering Services Consultants, Inc. 2) Taylor Engineering, Inc. We recommend that the above list is forwarded to the Mayor for final selection so that fee and contract negotiations may begin with Applied Technology & Management, Inc., The number one ranked company.		

cc: Council Auditor Subcommittee Members



City of Jacksonville, Florida

Lenny Curry, Mayor

11/26/18 09:53:27 Procurement Division

Department of Public Works Engineering & Construction Management Division 214 N. Hogan Street, 10th Floor Jacksonville, FL 32202 (904) 255-8762 www.coj.net

ONE CITY. ONE JACKSONVILLE.

November 14, 2018

TO:

Gregory W. Pease, Chairman

Professional Services Evaluation Committee

THRU:

John P. Pappas, P.E.

Director

FROM:

Ed Starnes, P.E., J.D. En un Olyric

Acting Chief, Engineering and Construction Management

R. Duane Kent, P.E.

Public Works Project Manager

Robert Skalitzky

Chief, Natural and Marine Resources

SUBJECT:

P-28-18 Professional Design Services for Dock Inspections and Designs

The subcommittee received four (4) proposals for evaluation for the subject project and found it to be responsive, interested, qualified and available to provide the services required by the RFP.

The proposals were evaluated using the criteria outlined in the Purchasing Code as augmented by the RFP (see attached matrix).

Based on the above, the following firms listed alphabetically were determined to be the most qualified of those submitting proposals. The ranking of first, second, and third designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services.

- 1. Applied Technology & Management, Inc.
- 3. Construction & Engineering Services Consultants, Inc.
- 2. Taylor Engineering, Inc.

We recommend that the above list be forwarded to the Mayor for final selection.

JPP/Iw

Attachment:

Scoring Matrix

CC:

Lori West, PW Contract Specialist

Evaluation Matrix

EVALUATION SCALE	P
1	

PROJECT NO. P-28-18

12/3/2018

QUALIFIED

EXTREMELY QUALIFIED

PROJECT TITLE: Professional Design Services for Dock Inspections & Designs

FIRM	COMPETENCE	CURRENT WORKLOAD	FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	ABILITY TO OBSERVE COMPLIANCE WITH PLANS	PROFESSIONAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS RECORD	PROXIMITY TO PROJECT	Past & Present Demonstrated Commitment to Small & Minonty Businesses & Contributions Toward A Diverse Market Place	ABILITY TO DESIGN AN APPROACH AND WORK PLAN	Willingness to meet time & Budget	VOLUME OF CURRENT AND PRIOR WORK FOR USING AGENCIES	OVERALL
Maximum Points Assessed	10.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	10.00	10.00	100.00
ATM Design Engineering Consulting	9.50	9.00	2.50	9.50	4.00	10.00	7.50	12.50	10.00	9.50	84.00
C&ES Consultants, Inc.	7.00	8.50	3.00	8.00	3.00	10.00	10.00	12.00	6.50	9.00	77.00
McLaren Engineering Group	6.00	7.00	4.50	7.00	3.50	10.00	6.00	11.50	7.00	10.00	72.50
Taylor Engineering, Inc.	9.50	8.00	3.00	9.00	4.50	10.00	7.50	14.00	7.50	9.50	82.50
			1								

MATRIX

				EVALUATI	ION TO BE USED B	Y SUBCOMM	ITTEE					
GRADING COMMITTEE MEMBER: Robert Skalitzky	PROJECT NO.: P-28-18 PROJECT TITLE: Professional Design Services for Dock Inspections and Designs											
RATING CATEGORY	A	В	C	D	P EL	F	G	н	1	J	TOTAL	
FIRM	COMPTENCE	CURRENT WORK LDAD	FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	ABILITY TO OBSERVE COMPLIANCE WITH PLANS	Past and Present Record of Professional Accompliahments with City Agencies and Others.	PROXIMITY TO PROJECT	PAST & PRESENT DEMONSTRATED COMINTMENT TO SMALL AND MANORITY TOWARD & COMTRIGUTIONS TOWARD & DWERSE MARMET PLACE	ABILITY TO DESIGN AN APPROACH AND WORK PLAN	Willingsess to Meet Time and Budget	VOLUME OF CURRENT AND PRICH WORK FOR LISING AGENCIES	DVERALL	RANKING
MAXIMUM POINTS	10	10	5	10	5	10	10	20	10	10	100	
Taylor Engineering, Inc.	9	10	3	10	4	10	10	16	10	10	92	1
McLaren Engineering Group	5	8	5	10	2	10	10	11	7	10	78	4
ATM Design Engineering Consulting	9	10	3	10	4	10	10	14	10	10	90	2
C&ES Consultants, Inc.	8	8	3	7	4	10	10	11	10	10	81	3

Put ft

12/3/2018

MATRIX

				EVALUATIO	ON TO BE USED B	Y SUBCOMN	ITTEE					
		>./		1//			PROJECT NO.:	P-28-18				
GRADING COMMITTEE MEMBER: DUANE KENT	19	D	une I				PROJECT TITLE:	Professional Design Services for Dock Inspections and Designs				
RATING CATEGORY	A	В	Cul	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	TOTAL	f.
FIRM	COMPETENCE	CURRENT WORK LOAD	FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	ABILITY TO OBSERVE COMPLIANCE WITH PLANS	Past and Present Record of Professional Accomplishments with City Agencies and Others.	PROXIMITY TO PROJECT	PAST & PRESENT DEMONSTRATED COMMITMENT TO SMALL AND MINOHITY BUSINESSES & CONTRIBUTIONS TOWARD & DIVERSE MARKET PLACE	ABILITY TO DESIGN AN APPROACH AND WORK PLAN	Willingness to Meet Time and Budget	VOLUME OF CURRENT AND PRIOR WORK FOR USING AGENCIES	OVERALL	RANKING
MAXIMUM POINTS	10	10	5	10	5	10	10	20	10	10	100	
Taylor Engineering, Inc.	10	6	3	8	5	10	5	12	5	9	73	2
McLaren Engineering Group	7	6	4	4	5	10	2	12	7	10	67	4
ATM Design Engineering Consulting	10	8	2	9	4	10	5	11	10	9	78	1
C&ES Consultants, Inc.	6	9	3	9	2	10	10	13	3	8	73	2