SUBJECT: **RFQ-DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR MARINE PROJECTS- ANNUAL CONTRACTS**

BID # **CDB-0153-19** OPEN DATE: **05/15/2019**

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION – DESIGN/BUILD- REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

BASIS OF AWARD: **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 7 NUMBER RECEIVED: 3 OTHER: 1

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

Subject bid was previously shortlisted for three firms and approved on 06/20/19; Interviews were held for the three shortlisted firms based on the final average scores, the following firms are listed alphabetically. The ranking of first, second and third designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services:

3) Baker Construction Services, LLC. – 91.50
1) Hal Jones Contractor, LLC a division of Vecellio & Grogan, Inc. – 112.75
2) Superior Construction Co. SE, LLC- 104.00

Pursuant to Section 2.6 – Award of Contract of the RFP, recommend approval of award for final list to begin negotiations with number one ranked firm Hal Jones Contractor, LLC a division of Vecellio & Grogan, Inc.

Attachments: Recommendation Memo, Scoring Matrix, Section 2.6, Previous Award

BUYER Marilyn Laidler
MARILYN LAIDLER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED Gregory Pease
GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF
PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: **John Pappas, P.E., Director, Public Works Department**

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)
ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING_____ MEMBERS DISAPPROVING_____ DATE:_____

_____ _____ OTHER_____

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY DATE _____

APPROVED_____ DISAPPROVED: _____

OTHER: _____

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION _____

City of Jacksonville, Florida

Lenny Curry, Mayor

Department of Public Works
Engineering & Construction Management Division
214 N. Hogan Street, 10th Floor
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 255-8762
www.coj.net

ONE CITY. ONE JACKSONVILLE

July 23, 2019

TO: Gregory W. Pease
Chief of Procurement

FROM: John P. Pappas, P.E.
Director

SUBJECT: CDB- 0153-19 – CSPEC-Presentation-
Design Build Services for Marine Projects- Annual Contract

Three submittals were received from the City's Request for Qualifications (RFQ.) which have been reviewed, interviewed and ranked. Based on the averaged score, the following firms are listed alphabetically. The ranking of first, second, and third designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services.

3. Baker Construction Services, LLC
1. Hal Jones Contractor, LLC, a division of Vecellio & Grogan, Inc.
2. Superior Construction Co. SE, LLC

The evaluation committee for this proposal is as follows:

William J. Joyce, P.E., Director of Operations
Robert Skalitzky, Chief, Natural and Marine Resources

We recommend that the above list be forwarded to the Mayor for final selection.

JPP/lw

cc: William J. Joyce, P.E., Director of Operations, Department of Public Works
Robert Skalitzky, Parks Recreation and Community Services

ab

Bid: CDB-0153-19 DESIGN BUILD SERVICES- FOR MARINE PROJECTS ANNUAL CONTRACT

Contractor Names		EXPERIENCE, COMPETENCE IN APPROACH TO DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF SIMILAR DB PROJECTS	PAST PROFESSIONAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PERFORMANCE	AVAILABILITY OF ADEQUATE PERSONNEL, EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	RECENT, CURRENT AND PROJECTED WORKLOAD	OVERALL WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PROJECTS	THE VOLUME OF CURRENT AND PRIOR WORK PERFORMED FOR USING AGENCIES SHALL BE CONSIDERED A MINUS FACTOR	FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	LOCATION	QUALITY CONTROL PLAN (DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION)	INTERVIEW/PRESENTATIONS	TOTAL POINTS	Average
BAKER DESIGN BUILD	Reviewer 1	24	17.5	10	5	8	2.5	4	5	5	29	110	93.50
	Reviewer 2	17	11	3	4	4	3	2	5	3	25	77	
												0	
HAL JONES	Reviewer 1	29	20	10	5	9	4.5	10	5	5	30	127.5	112.75
	Reviewer 2	21	11	9	5	4	5	4	5	4	30	98	
												0	
SUPERIOR	Reviewer 1	26	17.5	10	5	8	0.5	10	5	5	29	116	104.00
	Reviewer 2	19	11	6	5	4	1	10	5	3	28	92	
												0	
	Reviewer 1											0	#DIV/0!
	Reviewer 2											0	
												0	

3) Two (2) digital scanned copies (in pdf format) of entire Response, on a separate CD-ROM or flash drive. Large files may be scanned as several separate PDF files.

4) One (1) REDACTED scanned copy of the Response (if necessary pursuant to Section 2.12). This copy should be marked "Confidential – Trade Secret" or something comparable to alert the reader of Bidder's claim of a public records exemption.

All copies are to be placed in a sealed package. The outside must be marked with (i) the RFP title and number, and (ii) Bidder's name, address, contact person, and telephone number.

It is the sole responsibility of each Bidder to ensure all copies are EXACT duplicates of the original Response. Photocopies or CD copies will be used for the purpose of evaluating the Responses. Any information contained in the original Response which has not been transferred to the CDs or photocopies will NOT be considered. The original document will be used solely for official record keeping and auditing purposes.

2.5 Evaluation of Statement of Qualifications.

A. City will determine the qualifications, interest and availability of Design-Build Firms by reviewing all SOQ Responses and by conducting formal interviews of selected Design-Build Firms that are determined to be the best qualified based upon evaluation of the SOQ Responses.

B. The determination of which Design-Build Firms are "best qualified" will be based upon the evaluation criteria set forth in this RFQ on Attachment B, which criteria includes qualifications in accordance with Section 287.055, F.S. , and Part 7 of Chapter 126, Ordinance Code.

C. Before making an award, City reserves the right to seek clarifications, revisions, and information it deems necessary for the proper evaluation of the SOQ Responses. Failure to provide any requested clarifications, revisions or information may result in rejection of the SOQ Responses.

D. City reserves the right to accept or reject any and all SOQ Responses, or separable portions thereof, and to waive any minor irregularity, technicality, or omission if City determines that doing so will serve City's best interests. City may reject any Response not submitted in the manner specified by the RFQ.

2.6 Award of Design-Build Contract.

A. The process for contract award is set forth in Section 287.055, Florida Statutes, and Jacksonville Ordinance Code Chapter 126, Part 7. Generally, City will evaluate, select and rank, based on the highest to lowest evaluation score, three Design-Build Firms determined based on the evaluation to be the most qualified and advantageous to the City of Jacksonville, and conduct formal interviews with the each of the three-top ranked Firms. The relative importance (i.e., maximum scoring points) assigned to the Evaluation Criteria is set forth on Attachment B.

B. The three top-ranked Design-Build Firms will be scheduled for interviews before CSPEC, regarding their qualifications, organization, development documents, and ability to furnish the required design-build services in accordance with Section 287.055, F.S. and Chapter 126, Part 7. Once the interviews are completed, the CSPEC will forward their recommendation of rankings (first, second, and third ranked Firms) to the Mayor's Office for approval.

C. Once the City has obtained approval of the rankings, the City shall negotiate with the highest ranked Firm for professional design services pursuant to Section 287.055(5), F.S., at compensation which the City determines is fair, competitive and reasonable for the Project. Should the City be unable to negotiate satisfactory compensation with the highest ranked Firm, the City will terminate negotiations with the highest ranked Firm. The City shall then undertake negotiations with the second highest ranked Firm for compensation which the

SUBJECT: **RFQ-DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR MARINE PROJECTS- ANNUAL CONTRACTS**

BID # **CDB-0153-19** OPEN DATE: **05/15/2019**

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION – DESIGN/BUILD- REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

BASIS OF AWARD: **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 7 NUMBER RECEIVED: 3 OTHER: 1

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

The City received three (3) proposals for evaluation and all were found to be responsive, interested, qualified and available to provide the services required by the RFP. Based on the above the following firms listed alphabetically were determined to be the most qualified. The ranking of first, second and third designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services:

3) Baker Construction Services, LLC. – 66.50
1) Hal Jones Contractor, LLC a division of Vecellio & Grogan, Inc. - 82.75
2) Superior Construction Co. SE, LLC- 75.50

Pursuant to Section 2.6 – Award of Contract of the RFP, recommend approval to move forward to presentation/interviews before CSPEC.

Attachments: Recommendation Memo, Scoring Matrix, Bid Tabulation, JSEB Letter, Section 2.6

BUYER _____ MARILYN LAIDLER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED _____ GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: **John Pappas, P.E., Director, Public Works Department**

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)
ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING 5 MEMBERS DISAPPROVING Ø DATE: 6/20/19

OTHER _____

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY DATE 6/20/19

APPROVED ✓ DISAPPROVED: _____

OTHER: _____

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION _____

Sam E. Mousa
Chief Administrative Officer
For: Mayor Lenny Curry
Under Authority of:
Executive Order No. 2015-05