SUBJECT: **DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF LOEST ROAD BRIDGE OVER LONG BRANCH CREEK**

BID # **CDB-0039-20** OPEN DATE: **2019-12-11**

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION – DESIGN/BUILD- PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

BASIS OF AWARD: **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 4 NUMBER RECEIVED: 2 OTHER: 0

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

Subject bid was approved on 01/10/2020 to proceed with evaluation of two proposals received from J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc., and J.D. Hinson Company; Award was approved on 02/27/20 to move forward to interviews/presentation with the two firms; the final technical scores was approved on 04/06/20 to open pricing; Pricing was opened on 04/08/20..

Recommend approval of award to J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc., as receiving the highest technical score and lowest bid price in the guaranteed maximum price of $1,510,454.00.

This award is to be funded by account: 001411-534100-13-10602-381910-AFA01702 to be executed by formal contract through Office of General Counsel.

Attachments: Recommendation Memo, Bid Tabulation Sheet, Scoring Matrix, Previous Award(s)

ANALYST _____
DAVID KLAGES for
MARILYN LAIDLER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED _____
for- GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF
PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: **Robin G. Smith, P.E., Chief, Engineering and Construction Management Division**

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)
ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING_____ MEMBERS DISAPPROVING_____ DATE:_____

_____ _____ OTHER_____

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY DATE _____

APPROVED_____ DISAPPROVED: _____

OTHER: _____

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION _____

City of Jacksonville, Florida

Lenny Curry, Mayor

Department of Public Works
Engineering & Construction Management Division
214 N. Hogan Street, 10th Floor
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 255-8762
www.coj.net

ONE CITY. ONE JACKSONVILLE

April 9, 2020

TO: Gregory W. Pease
Chief of Procurement

THRU: John P. Pappas, P.E.
Director

FROM: Robin G. Smith, P.E., Chief
Engineering and Construction Management

SUBJECT: CDB- 0039-20 – Recommendation to Award
Design Build Services for Replacement of Loest Road Bridge over Long Branch Creek

---

Two submittals, received from the City's Request for Qualifications (RFQ.), with approval to proceed granted January 10, 2020, have been reviewed and ranked. In addition, in accordance with RFQ requirements, oral interviews were held, with those scores added to the previous ranking. Based on this score, the following firms listed alphabetically were determined to be qualified. Bids were opened, with the low bid by J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc. in the amount of $1,510,454.00.

Accordingly, we recommend award for the Design Build Services for Replacement of Loest Road Bridge over Long Branch Creek to J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc., 6741 Lloyd Road West, Jacksonville, FL 32254 in the amount of $1,510,454.00.

Funding for this project should be encumbered as follows:

ACCOUNTS:			TOTAL
001411			
PWEN-Engineering Emergency Incidents EOC			
534100			
13			
10602-381910-AFA01702			
$1,510,454.00			1,510,454.00

JPP/lw

cc: William J. Joyce, P.E., Director of Operations, Dept. of Public Works
Gary Goldsberry, P.E., Project Manager, Engineering and Construction Management
Lori West, PW Contract Specialist, Engineering and Construction Management

PRICING ONLY

TITLE:	DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF LOEST ROAD BRIDGE OVER LONG BRANCH CREEK	J.B. COXWELL CONTRACTING, INC.	J.D. HINSON COMPANY
BID NO.	CDB-0039-20 (PW)		
BUYER:	MARILYN LAIDLER, PURCHASING ANALYST		
ITEM	DESCRIPTION		
	TOTAL LUMP SUM BASE BID GMP	$ 1,510,454.00	$ 2,369,223.79
	CALENDAR DAYS	245	245
	CONFLICT OF INTEREST:	YES	YES
	BID BOND:	YES	YES
	ADDENDUM(S) (1)	YES	YES

SUBJECT: **DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF LOEST ROAD BRIDGE OVER LONG BRANCH CREEK**

BID # **CDB-0039-20** OPEN DATE: **2019-12-11**

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION – DESIGN/BUILD- PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

BASIS OF AWARD: **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 4 NUMBER RECEIVED: 2 OTHER: 0

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
Subject bid was approved on 01/10/2020 to proceed with evaluation of two proposals received from J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc., and J.D. Hinson Company; Award was approved on 02/27/20 to move forward to interviews/presentation with the two firms, below is the final technical scores which includes the presentations:.

1. J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc.- 88.50
2. J.D. Hinson Company- 82.50

Recommend approval of final technical scores, request permission to move forward to have pricing proposals opened and read aloud.

Attachments: Recommendation Memo, Scoring Matrix, Previous Award(s)

ANALYST Marilyn Laidler
MARILYN LAIDLER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
for GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF
PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: **Robin G. Smith, P.E., Chief, Engineering and Construction Management Division**

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)
ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING 5 MEMBERS DISAPPROVING 0 DATE: 4/2/20

OTHER

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY DATE 4/6/20

APPROVED ✓ BH DISAPPROVED:

OTHER:

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION

City of Jacksonville, Florida

Lenny Curry, Mayor

Department of Public Works
Engineering & Construction Management Division
214 N. Hogan Street, 10th Floor
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 255-8762
www.coj.net

ONE CITY ONE JACKSONVILLE

March 12, 2020

TO: Gregory W. Pease
Chief of Procurement

THRU: John P. Pappas, P.E.
Director

FROM: Robin G. Smith, P.E., Chief
Engineering and Construction Management

SUBJECT: CDB- 0039-20 – CSPEC-Presentation-
Design Build Services for Replacement of Loest Road Bridge over Long Branch Creek

---

Two submittals, received from the City's Request for Qualifications (RFQ.), with approval to proceed granted January 10, 2020, have been reviewed and ranked. In addition, in accordance with RFQ requirements, oral interviews were held, with those scores added to the previous ranking. Based on this score, the following firms listed alphabetically were determined to be qualified. The ranking of first and second designates the order of qualification of these firms to perform the required services.

1. J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc.
2. J.D. Hinson Company

Accordingly, we recommend that the bids are opened for these firms for CDB-0039-20 for Design Build Services for Replacement of Loest Road Bridge over Long Branch Creek.

The evaluation committee for this proposal is as follows:

William J. Joyce, P.E., Director of Operations, Department of Public Works
Robin G. Smith, P.E., Chief, Engineering and Construction Management

JPP/lw

cc: Gary Goldsberry, P.E., Project Manager, Engineering and Construction Management
Lori West, PW Contract Specialist, Engineering and Construction Management

Bid: CDB-0039-20 DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF LOEST ROAD BRIDGE OVER LONG BRANCH CREEK

Contractor Names		3.1 COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA PACKAGE (INCLUDING EXPLANATION OR DEMOSTRATION OF APPROACH AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT).	3.2 QUALIFICATIONS (INCLUDING EXPLANATION OR DEMOSTRATION OF AVAILABILITY, PAST WORK OF THE FIRMS, INCLUDING PARTNERS OR MEMBERS).	3.3 BONDING, INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL CAPACITY	3.4 PROJECT SCHEDULE AND ABILITY TO PROVIDE DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES	3.5 INTERVIEWS/PRESENTATIONS	TOTAL POINTS	Average
J.D. Hinson Company	Robin Smith	18	19	20	20	18	95	82.50
	Steve Long	15	14	15	17	9	70	
							0	
J.B. Coswell Contracting, Inc	Robin Smith	19	18	20	20	20	97	88.50
	Steve Long	14	16	20	15	15	80	
							0	
								#DIV/0!

PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW

TITLE:	DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF LOEST ROAD BRIDGE OVER LONG BRANCH CREEK		JB COXWELL GA1	JD HINSON
BID NO.	CDB-0039-20 (PW)			
INTERVIEW DATE:				
SCORER SIGNATURE:				
DESCRIPTION		POINTS		
Overall Presenation Regarding Qualifications		5	5	4
Approach to and Schedule for the Project		10	10	9
Project Organization Development documents and ability to furnish the required design build services		5	5	5
	TOTAL POINTS		20	18

Laidler, Marilyn

From: Smith, Robin
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 2:42 PM
To: Laidler, Marilyn
Subject: RE: Scores from Presentations for Loest Road
Attachments: COJ.pdf

For your review.

From: Laidler, Marilyn <MLaidler@coj.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 12:23 PM
To: Smith, Robin <RobinSmith@coj.net>
Subject: Scores from Presentations for Loest Road

Hello Robin
When you have a moment if you could please me your score from the presentations from last meeting to complete the technical scoring.

Thanks

Marilyn Laidler, Purchasing Analyst
Finance and Administration/Procurement Division
214 N. Hogan Street, 8th Floor
Phone (904) 255-8804
Fax (904) 255-8837
Rightfax (904)630-8288
Email: mlaidler@coj.net

CITY OF JACKSONVILLE

****** Please note that under Florida's very broad public records law, email communications to and from city officials are subject to public disclosure. ******

PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW

TITLE:	DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF LOEST ROAD BRIDGE OVER LONG BRANCH CREEK		COXWELL	Hinson
BID NO.	CDB-0039-20 (PW)			
INTERVIEW DATE:				
SCORER SIGNATURE:				
DESCRIPTION		POINTS		
Overall Presenation Regarding Qualifications		5	5	2
Approach to and Schedule for the Project		10	10	6
Project Organization Development documents and ability to furnish the required design build services		5	—	1
	TOTAL POINTS		15	9

4) One (1) REDACTED scanned copy of the Response (if necessary pursuant to Section 2.12). This copy should be marked "Confidential – Trade Secret" or something comparable to alert the reader of Bidder's claim of a public records exemption.

All copies are to be placed in a sealed package. The outside must be marked with (i) the RFP title and number, and (ii) Bidder's name, address, contact person, and telephone number.

It is the sole responsibility of each Bidder to ensure all copies are EXACT duplicates of the original Response. Photocopies or CD copies will be used for the purpose of evaluating the Responses. Any information contained in the original Response which has not been transferred to the CDs or photocopies will NOT be considered. The original document will be used solely for official record keeping and auditing purposes.

2.5 Evaluation of Responses

A. City will rank the RFP responses based on the Evaluation Criteria contained in Attachment B according to F.S. 287.055 and City Ordinance Code Chapter 126.

B. Before making an award, City reserves the right to seek clarifications, revisions, and information it deems necessary for the proper evaluation of Responses. Failure to provide any requested clarifications, revisions or information may result in rejection of the Response.

C. City reserves the right to accept or reject any and all Responses, or separable portions thereof, and to waive any minor irregularity, technicality, or omission if City determines that doing so will serve City's best interests. City may reject any Response not submitted in the manner specified by the RFP.

D. The City may waive minor informalities or irregularities in Proposals received where such is merely a matter of form and not substance, and the correction or waiver of which is not prejudicial to other Proposers. Minor irregularities are defined as those that will not have an adverse effect on the City's interest and will not affect the Price of the Proposals by giving a Proposer an advantage or benefit not enjoyed by other Proposers.

1) Any design submittals that are part of a Proposal shall be deemed preliminary only.

2) Preliminary design submittals may vary from the requirements of the Design and Construction Criteria.

3) In no event will any such elections by the City be deemed to be a waiving of the Design and Construction Criteria.

4) The Proposer who is selected for the Project will be required to fully comply with the Design and Construction Criteria for the Price Bid, regardless that the Proposal may have been based on a variation from the Design and Construction Criteria.

5) Proposers shall identify separately all innovative aspects as such in the SOQ Response. An innovative aspect does not include revisions to specifications or established City policies. Innovation should be limited to Design-Build Firm's means and methods, roadway alignments, approach to the Project, use of new products, new uses for established products, etc.

6) The Proposer shall obtain any necessary permits or permit modifications not already provided.

2.6 Award of Design-Build Contract

A. Jacksonville Ordinance Code Chapter 126, Part 7. The City will evaluate, select, and rank based on the highest to lowest evaluation score, three Design-Build Firms determined based on the evaluation to be the most qualified and advantageous to the City. The relative importance (i.e., maximum scoring points) assigned to the evaluation Criteria is set forth in Attachment B.

B. Once the City has ranked the Firms, the City will open the sealed Pricing Proposal of the three top ranked DB Firms. Based upon the Pricing Proposal only, the City will again rank the Firms with the lowest priced Design-Build Firm ranked first, second lowest ranked second and so on. The price shall be established based upon the Bid Price Proposal as described on FORM 1 "Bid Price Proposal Form". Once the price rankings are completed, the CSPEC will forward their recommendation of rankings (first, second, and third ranked DB Firms) to the Mayor's Office for approval.

C. The City may make an award within sixty (60) days after the date of the Responses are due, during which period the Responses shall remain firm and shall not be withdrawn. Any Response that expresses a shorter duration may, in City's sole discretion, be accepted or rejected. If award is not made within sixty (60) days, the Response shall remain firm until either the Contract is awarded or City receives from Contractor written notice that the Response is withdrawn. [Note: Withdrawal of a Response may be requested within 72 hours (excluding State holidays, Saturdays and Sundays) after the date and time Responses are due. City will not accept an amended Response after the date and time Responses are due.]

D. Except as may otherwise be expressly set forth in the RFP, City intends to award one contract, but reserves the right to enter into a contract with multiple Contractors or to reject all Responses.

E. Based on the evaluation results, City shall electronically post a notice of intended award at City's website. Please contact the Contact Person if you are uncertain of City's website address or if you experience problems accessing it. Any person who is adversely affected by the decision shall file with City a notice of protest in accordance with the Protest provisions of the RFP. City does not intend to provide tabulations or notices of award by telephone.

2.7 Terms of Agreement. After award to the successful Bidder, City and Bidder will promptly enter into a written agreement (the "Contract") incorporating the terms of the RFP, the successful Response, and other terms and conditions as may be agreed to between the parties. To the extent the Response contains exceptions to or modifications of the RFP, such exceptions or modifications are stricken unless City affirmatively accepts the exceptions or modifications in the Contract. The Contract will be substantially in the form set forth in Form 5 to the RFP, subject to additional modifications required by the City's Office of General Counsel to further the City's purpose of this RFP. City will not be obligated to pay Contractor for the RFP services until the Contract is signed by both parties. City retains the right to reject all bids and/or amend its notice of award at any time prior to the full execution of the Contract.

If Design-Builder fails to perform the Services as agreed, City reserves the right to (i) issue a new solicitation for the Services; (ii) reopen the RFP for the purpose of awarding a second contract to another Bidder in accordance with the criteria and processes set forth herein; and/or (iii) take such other actions permitted by law.

2.8 Public Meetings and Special Accommodations. Any meetings of the RFP evaluation committee (i.e., the Competitive Sealed Proposal Evaluation Committee or Technical Review Committee) shall be noticed on City's website and shall comply with Florida's Open Meetings Laws. Please contact the Contact Person if you are uncertain of City's website address or if you experience problems accessing it. Persons requiring a special accommodation because of a disability should contact the Contact Person identified in Section 1 at least forty-eight (48) hours prior to the meeting.

2.9 Ex-Parte Communications. Communications regarding the RFP by a potential vendor, service provider, bidder, lobbyist or consultant to city employees, staff, or hired consultants are prohibited. This prohibition includes communications with the City's Office of General Counsel unless the Contact Person has authorized those communications in advance. Violations may result in the rejection/disqualification of a Response.

SUBJECT: **DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF LOEST ROAD BRIDGE OVER LONG BRANCH CREEK**

BID # **CDB-0039-20** OPEN DATE: **2019-12-11**

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION – DESIGN/BUILD- PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

BASIS OF AWARD: **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 4 NUMBER RECEIVED: 2 OTHER: 0

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
Subject bid was approved on 01/10/2020 to proceed with evaluation of two proposals received from J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc., and J.D. Hinson Company.

The two proposals received were found to be responsive, interested and qualified and available to provide the services required by the RFQ, below are the scores and ranking in alphabetically order:

1. J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc.- 71.00
2. J.D. Hinson Company- 69.00

Pursuant to Section 2.6- Award of Design Build Contract, recommend approval to move forward with presentation/interviews before CSPEC at next meeting.

Attachments: Recommendation Memo, Scoring Matrix, Section 2.6, Attachment B- Evaluation Criteria, Previous Award

ANALYST Marilyn Laidler
MARILYN LAIDLER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF
PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: **Robin G. Smith, P.E., Chief, Engineering and Construction Management Division**

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)
ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING 5 MEMBERS DISAPPROVING Ø DATE: 2/27/20

OTHER

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY DATE 2/27/20

MK APPROVED ✓ BH DISAPPROVED:

OTHER:

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION

Brian Hughes
Chief Administrative Officer
For: Mayor Lenny Curry
Under Authority of:
Executive Order No. 2019-02

SUBJECT: DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF LOEST ROAD BRIDGE OVER LONG BRANCH CREEK

BID # CDB-0039-20 OPEN DATE: 2019-12-11

COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

KIND AND BASIS OF CONTRACT:
CONSTRUCTION – DESIGN/BUILD- PRE-QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

BASIS OF AWARD: EVALUATION CRITERIA

NUMBER OF BIDS INVITED: 4 NUMBER RECEIVED: 2 OTHER: 0

SUMMARY OF BIDS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
Recommend approval to proceed with evaluation of the two proposals received from J.D. Hinson Company, and J.B. Coxwell Contracting, Inc. No advantage would be gained by the re-solicitation of this bid.

Attachments: Recommendation Memo

ANALYST Marilyn Laidler
MARILYN LAIDLER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED David Pease
for GREGORY PEASE, CHIEF
PROCUREMENT DIVISION

CONCURRENCE BY: Robin G. Smith, P.E., Chief, Engineering and Construction Management Division

(ALL AWARD ACTIONS SUBJECT TO LAWFULLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS)
ACTION OF CSPEC COMMITTEE ON RECOMMENDATIONS ABOVE

MEMBERS APPROVING 5 MEMBERS DISAPPROVING 0 DATE: 1/9/2020

OTHER

ACTION OF AWARDING AUTHORITY DATE 1/10/2020

APPROVED ✓ BH DISAPPROVED:

OTHER:

SIGNATURE OF AUTHENTICATION

Brian Hughes
Chief Administrative Officer
For Mayor Lenny Curry
Under Authority of
Executive Order No: 2019-02