Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

City of Jacksonville, Florida

Procurement Award Form (PAF)

Part 1 – Form Type: JPAC Award Request: Renewals, Extensions, and Unit Price Increases

Goods and/or Services: Jacksonville Journey Fast Forward Crime Reduction Program

Requested Action and Justification:

Recommend approval of award to the highest scoring firm, Operation New Hope, for the Journey Fast Forward program, which is designed to address the underlying causes of crime through focused interventions in education, employment, and workforce development. Period of service is for one (1) year from date of executed contract. The total expenditure amount is $325,000.00.

Operation New Hope - 108.33
Jacksonville Urban League - score of 86.67.

To be executed by formal contract amendment through the Office of General Counsel.

Supplier:	Operation New Hope	**Basis of Award:**	Highest Evaluated	**BID Opening Date:**	10/31/2025

POA #:	N/A	**PO #:**	N/A	**Change Order #:**	N/A
Solicitation #:	RFP-NONCON 16892 25	**Contract #:**	N/A	**Contract ADM #:**	N/A
Term:	1 year	**Options:**	N/A	**Options Used:**	N/A

Federally Funded:	Yes	**JSEB Rec:**	Encouragement
Licenses Verified:	N/A	**JSEB %:**	0
Bonds Required:	No	**Bonds Waived Pursuant To:**	N/A

Fund:	11406	**Center:**	111003	**Acct:**	534100	**Proj:**	011063	**Activity:**	00000000	$ 325,000.00
Fund:		**Center:**		**Acct:**		**Proj:**		**Activity:**		$
Fund:		**Center:**		**Acct:**		**Proj:**		**Activity:**		$

New NTE/GMP:	$ 325,000.00	**Contingent Amt:**	$ 0	**DMP/ODPO Amt:**	$ 0

Using Agency/BU:	AFOD:OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR		
Concurrence:	Antonio Starke (DocuSigned by: 858E3E8709E9410...)	**Title:**	MANAGER OF COMMUNITY-BASED INITIATIVES 1/26/2026
Concurrence:	Finkelstein, Larry (DocuSigned by: E970375B5CC1483...)	**Title:**	GRANTS COMPLIANCE OFFICER 1/26/2026
Concurrence:	Maribel Hernandez (DocuSigned by: 156242AB50F141C...)	**Title:**	CHIEF OF GRANTS AND CONTRACT COMPLIANCE 1/27/2026
Concurrence:		**Title:**	

DS AS Initial FL

Procurement Analyst/Buyer:	Signed by: Simpson, Sandy (309A036BE9B74A5...)	**Chief of Procurement:**	Signed by: (B10F1D517352456...) 2/3/2026
Name:	Simpson, Sandy	**Name:**	Dustin L. Freeman

Part 2 – JPAC Action: All award actions subject to lawfully appropriated funds.		**Part 3 – Final Awarding Authority Action:**	
Members who approve:		**Action:**	
Members who disapprove:		**Signature:**	
Date:		**Date:**	

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

PAF Instructions
Part 1 (using agency)

Form Type: This form will be used for both Administrative Awards and JPAC Requests. Select type from dropdown options.

Goods and/or Services: Enter a brief description of the types of goods and services included in the request.

Requested Action and Justification: Enter a brief description of the requested action or authority being sought. i.e.; *Request permission to award the lowest, responsive responsible bidder for an ITB or award the highest ranked supplier for an RFP.* This block should also be used for additional information such as additional 4th account strings, or other information essential for contract execution.

Supplier: Enter awarded supplier name**.** If multiple, include in "Requested Action and Justification" box.

Basis of Award: Select from Lowest Price Technical Acceptable (LPTA), Highest Price Technical Acceptable (HPTA) or N/A.

Bid Opening Date: The date bids were unsealed by Procurement.

POA #: This is your 1Cloud generated Agreement number. Select Type, Enter Number.

PO #: Enter the PO number or agreement release number.

Change Order #: Provide the applicable change order number represented by this request.

Solicitation #: Select Prefix type, Enter Number, and Year.

Contract #: This number should be the same as your POA number (unless procured prior to 2020)

Contract Amendment #: Provide the applicable amendment number represented by this request.

Term: Select the Period of Performance or Term for the initial period.

Options: Period of time for each renewal or option.

Options Used: Prior to this request, enter how many options were already used.

Federally Funded: Select yes or no from dropdown if Federal Funds will be used.

JSEB Rec: Select Encouragement or JSEB Set Aside Option.

JESB %: If JSEB Participation, enter the percentage.

Bonds Waived Pursuant to: Select option from Drop down.

Funding Sources: Fund/Center/Account/Project/Activity, all fields are required even if zeros.

New NTE/GMP: Enter the new total not to exceed amount. Note, this should match the contract maximum indebtedness, if applicable**.**

Contingent Amount: If your agency requests an allowance for unforeseen additional expenses, then specify the amount of your requested increase and new NTE that represents the contingency allowance. This is over and above any quote.

DMP/ODPO Account: Enter the Direct Materials Purchase/Owner Direct Purchase Order Account. Used mainly for CIP (Capital Improvement/Construction) Projects

Using Agency/BU: Select one from the dropdown list.

Concurrence: Using Agency internal routing requirements for Electronic Signatures (follow Proc Manual for threshold requirements)

Title: Title of person electronically signing form.

Attachments: Included any supplemental information to the Procurement Award Form. i.e.; *Scope of work, previous awards*.

**Any field where there is no data available, or no entry is required, either leave it blank or enter N/A. Any fields where there is known data, include for informational purposes. Any changes to an original award should contain the data that is being changed and any other relevant data required for JPAC review and approval.*

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Applicant: Jacksonville Urban League

APPLICATION REFERENCE POINT	BELOW AVERAGE 0-3 points	AVERAGE 4-7 points	ABOVE AVERAGE 8-10 points	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS (If score is 6 or below, a comment must be provided)
Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment (Maximum Points 10)	Description of agency services provided is missing, unclear or minimally described.	Agency history of services somewhat support the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	Agency history of services clearly support the the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	10	5	
Description of Services and Delivery Model (Maximum Points 25)	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of the community.	25	15	
Experience Working with Target Population (s) (Maximum Points 10)	Participants to be served are not fully explained and the described impact does not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Impact of services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some description of the participants to be served but may lack detailed specifics.	Impact of services are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & description of the participants to be served and why.	10	4	Insufficient experience engaging with the target population poses challenges to mitigating crime and enhancing community safety.
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan (Maximum Points 10)	The implementation timeline is seldom clearly defined, with specific indicators and deadlines often overlooked, making it difficult to track progress effectively. Additionally, the staffing plan lacks the necessary details on roles, responsibilities, and required skills, leading to uncertainty about resource allocation and project execution.	The implementation timeline lacks clarity, raising concerns about its alignment with the program's objectives. Specific deadlines and milestones are not explicitly defined, complicating progress assessment and accountability. Consequently, key objectives may not be achieved, which could ultimately undermine the program's overall effectiveness.	The outlined framework delineates the phases of a project, which include exploration, installation, and full rollout. It specifies corresponding tasks, identifies dependencies, allocates resources—such as personnel and budgetary considerations—and establishes deadlines. Additionally, the staffing component assesses current and future requirements, identifies skill gaps, defines roles, and outlines necessary training. This comprehensive approach ensures that the appropriate individuals are positioned to meet objectives, thereby mitigating delays and facilitating smooth execution from initiation to completion.	10	5	Effective communication regarding timelines and expectations is crucial for fostering success and attaining desired outcomes.
Budget narrative and itemized costs (Maximum Points 10)	The budget is inadequately detailed and appears insufficient to achieve the program's objectives of reducing crime and enhancing community safety.	The budget lacks sufficient transparency as it fails to adequately justify the purpose of each expense, provide reasonable calculations, and demonstrate a direct contribution to the success of the project. This transparency is an essential element for effective grant applications and financial proposals.	The explanation of each cost within the itemized budget is essential for the project, as it establishes a direct connection between expenditures and the initiative's objectives and deliverables. The itemized costs represent specific, calculated dollar amounts required to achieve the project's goals.	10	6	
Outcome Measurement Plan (Maximum Points 25)	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of	25	15	
Overall Application (Maximum Points 25)	Response does not address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them do not appear to be realistic or effective.	Response somewhat address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them may be unclear or lack specifics.	Response indicates a clear understanding of all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them are clearly described & appear realistic & effective.	25	15	

Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment	10	5
Description of Services and Delivery Model	25	15
Experience Working with Target Population (s)	10	4
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan	10	5
Budget narrative and itemized costs	10	6
Outcome Measurement Plan	25	15
Overall Application	25	15
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/ POINTS AWARDED	**115**	**65**
PERCENTAGE OF POINTS ACHIEVED	162.50%	

Application Section and Scoring Criteria	Criteria Minimally Described (Below Average)	Criteria Somewhat Described (Average)	Criteria Significantly Described (Above Average)	Criteria Fully Described (Excellent)
	0-1 Point	2-4 Points	5-7 Points	8-10 Points

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Applicant: Jacksonville Urban League

APPLICATION REFERENCE POINT	BELOW AVERAGE 0-3 points	AVERAGE 4-7 points	ABOVE AVERAGE 8-10 points	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS (If score is 6 or below, a comment must be provided)
Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment (Maximum Points 10)	Description of agency services provided is missing, unclear or minimally described.	Agency history of services somewhat support the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	Agency history of services clearly support the the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	10	1o	
Description of Services and Delivery Model (Maximum Points 25)	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of the community.	25	19	
Experience Working with Target Population (s) (Maximum Points 10)	Participants to be served are not fully explained and the described impact does not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Impact of services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some description of the participants to be served but may lack detailed specifics.	Impact of services are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & description of the participants to be served and why.	10	6	
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan (Maximum Points 10)	The implementation timeline is seldom clearly defined, with specific indicators and deadlines often overlooked, making it difficult to track progress effectively. Additionally, the staffing plan lacks the necessary details on roles, responsibilities, and required skills, leading to uncertainty about resource allocation and project execution.	The implementation timeline lacks clarity, raising concerns about its alignment with the program's objectives. Specific deadlines and milestones are not explicitly defined, complicating progress assessment and accountability. Consequently, key objectives may not be achieved, which could ultimately undermine the program's overall effectiveness.	The outlined framework delineates the phases of a project, which include exploration, installation, and full rollout. It specifies corresponding tasks, identifies dependencies, allocates resources—such as personnel and budgetary considerations—and establishes deadlines. Additionally, the staffing component assesses current and future requirements, identifies skill gaps, defines roles, and outlines necessary training. This comprehensive approach ensures that the appropriate individuals are positioned to meet objectives, thereby mitigating delays and facilitating smooth execution from initiation to completion.	10	6	

Budget narrative and itemized costs **(Maximum Points 10)**	The budget is inadequately detailed and appears insufficient to achieve the program's objectives of reducing crime and enhancing community safety.	The budget lacks sufficient transparency as it fails to adequately justify the purpose of each expense, provide reasonable calculations, and demonstrate a direct contribution to the success of the project. This transparency is an essential element for effective grant applications and financial proposals.	The explanation of each cost within the itemized budget is essential for the project, as it establishes a direct connection between expenditures and the initiative's objectives and deliverables. The itemized costs represent specific, calculated dollar amounts required to achieve the project's goals.	10	7	
Outcome Measurement Plan **(Maximum Points 25)**	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of	25	15	Would need to see more details about their collection of qualitative data.
Overall Application **(Maximum Points 25)**	Response does not address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them do not appear to be realistic or effective.	Response somewhat address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them may be unclear or lack specifics.	Response indicates a clear understanding of all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them are clearly described & appear realistic & effective.	25	20	

Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment	10	1o
Description of Services and Delivery Model	25	19
Experience Working with Target Population (s)	10	7
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan	10	6
Budget narrative and itemized costs	10	7
Outcome Measurement Plan	25	15
Overall Application	25	20
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/ POINTS AWARDED	**115**	**74**
PERCENTAGE OF POINTS ACHIEVED	185.00%	

Application Section and Scoring Criteria	Criteria Minimally Described (Below Average)	Criteria Somewhat Described (Average)	Criteria Significantly Described (Above Average)	Criteria Fully Described (Excellent)
	0-1 Point	2-4 Points	5-7 Points	8-10 Points

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Applicant: Operation New Hope

APPLICATION REFERENCE POINT	BELOW AVERAGE 0-8 points	AVERAGE 8-16 points	ABOVE AVERAGE 16-25 points	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS (If score is 6 or below, a comment must be provided)
Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment (Maximum Points 10)	Description of agency services provided is missing, unclear or minimally described.	Agency history of services somewhat support the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	Agency history of services clearly support the the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	10	10	
Description of Services and Delivery Model (Maximum Points 25)	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of the community.	25	25	
Experience Working with Target Population (s) (Maximum Points 10)	Participants to be served are not fully explained and the described impact does not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Impact of services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some description of the participants to be served but may lack detailed specifics.	Impact of services are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & description of the participants to be served and why.	10	10	
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan (Maximum Points 10)	The implementation timeline is seldom clearly defined, with specific indicators and deadlines often overlooked, making it difficult to track progress effectively. Additionally, the staffing plan lacks the necessary details on roles, responsibilities, and required skills, leading to uncertainty about resource allocation and project execution.	The implementation timeline lacks clarity, raising concerns about its alignment with the program's objectives. Specific deadlines and milestones are not explicitly defined, complicating progress assessment and accountability. Consequently, key objectives may not be achieved, which could ultimately undermine the program's overall effectiveness.	The outlined framework delineates the phases of a project, which include exploration, installation, and full rollout. It specifies corresponding tasks, identifies dependencies, allocates resources—such as personnel and budgetary considerations—and establishes deadlines. Additionally, the staffing component assesses current and future requirements, identifies skill gaps, defines roles, and outlines necessary training. This comprehensive approach ensures that the appropriate individuals are positioned to meet objectives, thereby mitigating delays and facilitating smooth execution from initiation to completion.	10	8	
Budget narrative and itemized costs (Maximum Points 10)	The budget is inadequately detailed and appears insufficient to achieve the program's objectives of reducing crime and enhancing community safety.	The budget lacks sufficient transparency as it fails to adequately justify the purpose of each expense, provide reasonable calculations, and demonstrate a direct contribution to the success of the project. This transparency is an essential element for effective grant applications and financial proposals.	The explanation of each cost within the itemized budget is essential for the project, as it establishes a direct connection between expenditures and the initiative's objectives and deliverables. The itemized costs represent specific, calculated dollar amounts required to achieve the project's goals.	10	10	
Outcome Measurement Plan (Maximum Points 25)	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of	25	20	
Overall Application (Maximum Points 25)	Response does not address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them do not appear to be realistic or effective.	Response somewhat address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them may be unclear or lack specifics.	Response indicates a clear understanding of all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them are clearly described & appear realistic & effective.	25	23	

Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment	10	10
Description of Services and Delivery Model	25	25
Experience Working with Target Population (s)	10	10
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan	10	8
Budget narrative and itemized costs	10	10
Outcome Measurement Plan	25	10
Overall Application	25	23
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/ POINTS AWARDED	**115**	**96**
PERCENTAGE OF POINTS ACHIEVED	240.00%	

Application Section and Scoring Criteria	Criteria Minimally Described (Below Average)	Criteria Somewhat Described (Average)	Criteria Significantly Described (Above Average)	Criteria Fully Described (Excellent)
	0-1 Point	2-4 Points	5-7 Points	8-10 Points

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Applicant: Jacksonville Urban League

APPLICATION REFERENCE POINT	BELOW AVERAGE 0-3 points	AVERAGE 4-7 points	ABOVE AVERAGE 8-10 points	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS (If score is 6 or below, a comment must be provided)
Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment (Maximum Points 10)	Description of agency services provided is missing, unclear or minimally described.	Agency history of services somewhat support the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	Agency history of services clearly support the the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	10	10	
Description of Services and Delivery Model (Maximum Points 25)	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of the community.	25	23	
Experience Working with Target Population (s) (Maximum Points 10)	Participants to be served are not fully explained and the described impact does not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Impact of services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some description of the participants to be served but may lack detailed specifics.	Impact of services are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & description of the participants to be served and why.	10	10	
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan (Maximum Points 10)	The implementation timeline is seldom clearly defined, with specific indicators and deadlines often overlooked, making it difficult to track progress effectively. Additionally, the staffing plan lacks the necessary details on roles, responsibilities, and required skills, leading to uncertainty about resource allocation and project execution.	The implementation timeline lacks clarity, raising concerns about its alignment with the program's objectives. Specific deadlines and milestones are not explicitly defined, complicating progress assessment and accountability. Consequently, key objectives may not be achieved, which could ultimately undermine the program's overall effectiveness.	The outlined framework delineates the phases of a project, which include exploration, installation, and full rollout. It specifies corresponding tasks, identifies dependencies, allocates resources—such as personnel and budgetary considerations—and establishes deadlines. Additionally, the staffing component assesses current and future requirements, identifies skill gaps, defines roles, and outlines necessary training. This comprehensive approach ensures that the appropriate individuals are positioned to meet objectives, thereby mitigating delays and facilitating smooth execution from initiation to completion.	10	9	

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Budget narrative and itemized costs **(Maximum Points 10)**	The budget is inadequately detailed and appears insufficient to achieve the program's objectives of reducing crime and enhancing community safety.	The budget lacks sufficient transparency as it fails to adequately justify the purpose of each expense, provide reasonable calculations, and demonstrate a direct contribution to the success of the project. This transparency is an essential element for effective grant applications and financial proposals.	The explanation of each cost within the itemized budget is essential for the project, as it establishes a direct connection between expenditures and the initiative's objectives and deliverables. The itemized costs represent specific, calculated dollar amounts required to achieve the project's goals.	10	10	
Outcome Measurement Plan **(Maximum Points 25)**	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of the community.	25	25	
Overall Application **(Maximum Points 25)**	Response does not address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them do not appear to be realistic or effective.	Response somewhat address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them may be unclear or lack specifics.	Response indicates a clear understanding of all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them are clearly described & appear realistic & effective.	25	25	

Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment	10	10
Description of Services and Delivery Model	25	23
Experience Working with Target Population (s)	10	10
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan	10	9
Budget narrative and itemized costs	10	10
Outcome Measurement Plan	25	25
Overall Application	25	25
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/ POINTS AWARDED	**115**	**112**
PERCENTAGE OF POINTS ACHIEVED	280.00%	

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Applicant: Operation New Hope

APPLICATION REFERENCE POINT	BELOW AVERAGE 0-8 points	AVERAGE 8-16 points	ABOVE AVERAGE 16-25 points	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS (If score is 6 or below, a comment must be provided)
Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment (Maximum Points 10)	Description of agency services provided is missing, unclear or minimally described.	Agency history of services somewhat support the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	Agency history of services clearly support the the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	10	10	
Description of Services and Delivery Model (Maximum Points 25)	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of the community.	25	23	
Experience Working with Target Population (s) (Maximum Points 10)	Participants to be served are not fully explained and the described impact does not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Impact of services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some description of the participants to be served but may lack detailed specifics.	Impact of services are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & description of the participants to be served and why.	10	10	
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan (Maximum Points 10)	The implementation timeline is seldom clearly defined, with specific indicators and deadlines often overlooked, making it difficult to track progress effectively. Additionally, the staffing plan lacks the necessary details on roles, responsibilities, and required skills, leading to uncertainty about resource allocation and project execution.	The implementation timeline lacks clarity, raising concerns about its alignment with the program's objectives. Specific deadlines and milestones are not explicitly defined, complicating progress assessment and accountability. Consequently, key objectives may not be achieved, which could ultimately undermine the program's overall effectiveness.	The outlined framework delineates the phases of a project, which include exploration, installation, and full rollout. It specifies corresponding tasks, identifies dependencies, allocates resources—such as personnel and budgetary considerations—and establishes deadlines. Additionally, the staffing component assesses current and future requirements, identifies skill gaps, defines roles, and outlines necessary training. This comprehensive approach ensures that the appropriate individuals are positioned to meet objectives, thereby mitigating delays and facilitating smooth execution from initiation to completion.	10	8	

Budget narrative and itemized costs **(Maximum Points 10)**	The budget is inadequately detailed and appears insufficient to achieve the program's objectives of reducing crime and enhancing community safety.	The budget lacks sufficient transparency as it fails to adequately justify the purpose of each expense, provide reasonable calculations, and demonstrate a direct contribution to the success of the project. This transparency is an essential element for effective grant applications and financial proposals.	The explanation of each cost within the itemized budget is essential for the project, as it establishes a direct connection between expenditures and the initiative's objectives and deliverables. The itemized costs represent specific, calculated dollar amounts required to achieve the project's goals.	10	10	
Outcome Measurement Plan **(Maximum Points 25)**	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of	25	21	The three quantitative components being measure are appropriate for the program model. The method used to collect qualitative data is a best pratice for this type od program.
Overall Application **(Maximum Points 25)**	Response does not address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them do not appear to be realistic or effective.	Response somewhat address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them may be unclear or lack specifics.	Response indicates a clear understanding of all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them are clearly described & appear realistic & effective.	25	22	

Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment	10	10
Description of Services and Delivery Model	25	23
Experience Working with Target Population (s)	10	10
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan	10	8
Budget narrative and itemized costs	10	10
Outcome Measurement Plan	25	21
Overall Application	25	22
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/ POINTS AWARDED	**115**	**104**
PERCENTAGE OF POINTS ACHIEVED	260.00%	

Application Section and Scoring Criteria	Criteria Minimally Described (Below Average)	Criteria Somewhat Described (Average)	Criteria Significantly Described (Above Average)	Criteria Fully Described (Excellent)
	0-1 Point	2-4 Points	5-7 Points	8-10 Points

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Applicant: Operation New Hope

APPLICATION REFERENCE POINT	BELOW AVERAGE 0-3 points	AVERAGE 4-7 points	ABOVE AVERAGE 8-10 points	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS (If score is 6 or below, a comment must be provided)
Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment (Maximum Points 10)	Description of agency services provided is missing, unclear or minimally described.	Agency history of services somewhat support the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	Agency history of services clearly support the the commission's plan to reduce crime and increase safety within our community.	10	10	
Description of Services and Delivery Model (Maximum Points 25)	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of the community.	25	25	
Experience Working with Target Population (s) (Maximum Points 10)	Participants to be served are not fully explained and the described impact does not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Impact of services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some description of the participants to be served but may lack detailed specifics.	Impact of services are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & description of the participants to be served and why.	10	10	
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan (Maximum Points 10)	The implementation timeline is seldom clearly defined, with specific indicators and deadlines often overlooked, making it difficult to track progress effectively. Additionally, the staffing plan lacks the necessary details on roles, responsibilities, and required skills, leading to uncertainty about resource allocation and project execution.	The implementation timeline lacks clarity, raising concerns about its alignment with the program's objectives. Specific deadlines and milestones are not explicitly defined, complicating progress assessment and accountability. Consequently, key objectives may not be achieved, which could ultimately undermine the program's overall effectiveness.	The outlined framework delineates the phases of a project, which include exploration, installation, and full rollout. It specifies corresponding tasks, identifies dependencies, allocates resources—such as personnel and budgetary considerations—and establishes deadlines. Additionally, the staffing component assesses current and future requirements, identifies skill gaps, defines roles, and outlines necessary training. This comprehensive approach ensures that the appropriate individuals are positioned to meet objectives, thereby mitigating delays and facilitating smooth execution from initiation to completion.	10	10	

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Budget narrative and itemized costs **(Maximum Points 10)**	The budget is inadequately detailed and appears insufficient to achieve the program's objectives of reducing crime and enhancing community safety.	The budget lacks sufficient transparency as it fails to adequately justify the purpose of each expense, provide reasonable calculations, and demonstrate a direct contribution to the success of the project. This transparency is an essential element for effective grant applications and financial proposals.	The explanation of each cost within the itemized budget is essential for the project, as it establishes a direct connection between expenditures and the initiative's objectives and deliverables. The itemized costs represent specific, calculated dollar amounts required to achieve the project's goals.	10	10	
Outcome Measurement Plan **(Maximum Points 25)**	Services are not fully explained or do not appear sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community.	Services appear somewhat sufficient to support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. The response provides some justification & a general understanding of how to implement the program but program may lack detail.	Program service components are well described & clearly support the reduction of crime or increase safety within the community. Response clearly demonstrates a thorough understanding & ability to implement services that address the needs of the community.	25	25	
Overall Application **(Maximum Points 25)**	Response does not address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them do not appear to be realistic or effective.	Response somewhat address all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them may be unclear or lack specifics.	Response indicates a clear understanding of all of the outcomes selected; and/or the activities/strategies described to measure them are clearly described & appear realistic & effective.	25	25	

Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment	10	10
Description of Services and Delivery Model	25	25
Experience Working with Target Population (s)	10	10
Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan	10	10
Budget narrative and itemized costs	10	10
Outcome Measurement Plan	25	25
Overall Application	25	25
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/ POINTS AWARDED	**115**	**115**
PERCENTAGE OF POINTS ACHIEVED	287.50%	

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Jacksonville Journey Fast Forward Crime Reduction Program

RFP16892-25

Total Points	10 Points	25 Points	10 Points	25 Points	10 Points	10 Points
Suppliers	**Organizational Overview and Mission Alignment**	**Description of Services and Delivery Model:**	**Experience Working with Target Population(s)**	**Outcome Measurement Plan:**	**Implementation Timeline and Staffing Plan**	**Overall Application Quality**
Jacksonville Urban League						
Antonio Starke	5.00	15.00	4.00	15.00	5.00	15.00
Lynn Sherman	10.00	19.00	6.00	15.00	6.00	20.00
Nicoa Garrett	10.00	23.00	10.00	25.00	9.00	25.00
Average	8.33	19.00	6.67	18.33	6.67	20.00
Operation New Hope						
Antonio Starke	10.00	25.00	10.00	20.00	8.00	23.00
Lynn Sherman	10.00	23.00	10.00	21.00	8.00	22.00
Nicoa Garrett	10.00	25.00	10.00	25.00	10.00	25.00
Average	10.00	24.33	10.00	22.00	8.67	23.33

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

10 Points	OVERALL	Rank
Budget Narrative and Itemized Costs	Total Points (100 max)	
6.00	65.00	
7.00	83.00	
10.00	112.00	
7.67	**86.67**	
10.00	106.00	
10.00	104.00	**1**
10.00	115.00	
10.00	**108.33**	

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

City of Jacksonville, Florida

Donna Deegan, Mayor

Procurement Division
Ed Ball Building
214 N. Hogan Street, Suite 800
Jacksonville, Florida 32202

A NEW DAY.

NOTIFICATION OF AWARD AND RELATED ACTIONS

DATE: January 29, 2026

ADDRESS: Reggie Fullwood, President & CEO
Operation New Hope
1830 North Main Street,
Jacksonville, Florida 32206
904.828.3900

EMAIL: rfullwood@operationnewhope.org

BID#: RFP-16892-25 OPEN: 10/31/25 4:00 PM

TITLE: Jacksonville Journey Fast Forward Crime Reduction Program

Sir/Madame:

___X___You are a successful bidder on the above referenced bid. Bid is accepted subject to the terms, conditions and stipulations in our specifications.

_____ Performance Bond in the amount of $____N/A_____ (as required in the original bid package) must be returned within **10** days from receipt of this notification. (Please include bid number on performance bond remittance)

_____ You are not a successful bidder. Bids are available for inspection in the Division of Procurement.

_____ Bid security in herewith returned: Check #_____Amount $_____

Sincerely,

Sandy Simpson
Purchasing Analyst

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8

City of Jacksonville, Florida

Donna Deegan, Mayor

Procurement Division
Ed Ball Building
214 N. Hogan Street, Suite 800
Jacksonville, Florida 32202

A NEW DAY.

NOTIFICATION OF AWARD AND RELATED ACTIONS

DATE: January 29, 2026

ADDRESS: Richard Danford, Ph.D.
President & Chief Executive Officer
Jacksonville Urban League, Inc.
903 West Union Street,
Jacksonville, FL 32204
904.356.8336

EMAIL: r.danford@jaxul.org

BID#: RFP-16892-25 OPEN: 10/31/25 4:00 PM

TITLE: Jacksonville Journey Fast Forward Crime Reduction Program

Sir/Madame:

_____You are a successful bidder on the above referenced bid. Bid is accepted subject to the terms, conditions and stipulations in our specifications.

_____ Performance Bond in the amount of $____N/A_____ (as required in the original bid package) must be returned within **10** days from receipt of this notification. (Please include bid number on performance bond remittance)

____X__ You are not a successful bidder. Bids are available for inspection in the Division of Procurement.

_____ Bid security in herewith returned: Check #_____Amount $_____

Sincerely,

Sandy Simpson
Purchasing Analyst

Docusign Envelope ID: 81D57035-0AD6-4BFE-88B6-8E11EB6484D8