Task Force on Urban Tree Planting Best Practices

Minutes

Monday July 16, 2025, - 11:02am-12:43pm

Via Zoom Platform & In Person

[Recording of Meeting can be obtained by sending request to Joe Rainey JRainey@coj.net]

Commissioners:

Susan Fraser, Chair, Tree Commission Member Curtis Hart, Tree Commission Member William Burke, Tree Commission Member Nina Sickler, Director of Public Works

Non-Member attendees:

Joe Andreson JEA
Nancy Powell, Scenic Jax
Susan Grandin, Scenic Jax
Susan Cavin, Scenic Jax

Advisors:

Justin Gearhart - City Arborist Shannon MacGillis - Office of General Counsel

Staff: Joe Rainey - Executive Assistant Mowing and Landscape

1. Call to Order

Conducted by Chair

2. Roll Call and Verification of Quorum

Conducted by Chair
Commissioners present:
Susan Fraser - present
William Burke - present
Nina Sickler - present

Quorum present (3, in person): Yes

- 3. Call for Public Speakers (online & card): 1
- 4. Submittal of speaker cards: Susan Grandin, Scenic Jax

5. Issue: Approval of Minutes of May 14, 2025 Task Force Meeting

Motion: Approve, as Amended.

Moved by: William Burke Second: Nina Sickler

Vote: July 16, 2025 minutes approved, unanimous.

Housekeeping items:

Due to 1pm taskforce member(s) council obligations this meeting will be cut short, the date for next meeting requested be set. August 6 2:30pm to 5:30pm (pending availability verification).

6. Overview of Approach:

a. Conformation of qualified Taskforce goals in preparation for upcoming Vote:

There was a consensus, the Task Force will first complete its recommendations to the Tree Commission on the Standards, Policies and Procedures document. On the basis of that recommended document from the Task Force, the next steps, almost all in parallel, would be for the staff to develop a checklist it finds appropriate to facilitate an effective review of a project subject to the standards and then, almost concurrently, prepare the application forms necessary to support a complete application for projects subject to the standards.

b. Verifying, and resolving Taskforce findings aligning with City ordinance 656 standards:

It was acknowledged that the proposed standards were inconsistent with at least one section of 656 (likely multiple) and the LDPM, likely to require an amendment to each. Because of the time involved in amending 656 and the deadline for amending the LDPM for its next update in January, it was the consensus that, to the extent possible, inconsistencies be identified by the end of August, allowing for the preparation of legislation and application for LDPM amendment in a timely manner.

ADJOURNMENT